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## 13a Restricting the class of functions

Let $X$ satisfy assumption $C_{M, n, L}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} X^{\prime}(0) X(t)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\right|_{u=0} X(u)\right) X(t)=\left.\mathbb{E} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\right|_{u=0} & (X(u) X(t))= \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\right|_{u=0} \mathbb{E}(X(u) X(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

since for $u \in(-1,1)$,

$$
\left|\frac{X(u)-X(0)}{u} X(t)\right| \leq \max _{[-1,1]}\left|X^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \cdot|X(t)|,
$$

the majorant being integrable. By stationarity,

$$
\mathbb{E} X^{\prime}(0) X(t)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\right|_{u=0} \mathbb{E} X(0) X(t-u)=-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathbb{E} X(0) X(t)=-\mathbb{E} X(0) X^{\prime}(t)
$$

Similarly,

$$
\mathbb{E} X^{\prime}(0) X^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathbb{E} X^{\prime}(0) X(t)=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \mathbb{E} X(0) X(t)=-\mathbb{E} X(0) X^{\prime \prime}(t)
$$

In particular,

$$
\mathbb{E} X(0) X^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\mathbb{E}\left|X^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}=-1
$$

therefore (think, why)

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2} \geq 1
$$

and $M \geq 1$ (otherwise assumption $C_{M, n, L}$ is never satisfied). Further,

$$
\mathbb{E} X^{\prime}(0) X^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \cos \lambda_{k} t=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\lambda_{k} a_{k}\right|^{2} \cos \lambda_{k} t
$$

as well as

$$
\mathbb{E} X^{\prime \prime}(0) X^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\lambda_{k}^{2} a_{k}\right|^{2} \cos \lambda_{k} t
$$

We have $\mathbb{E}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}=\sigma^{2}$ for some $\sigma \in[1, \sqrt{M}]$. Taking into account that $\lambda_{k}^{4} \leq\left(1+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}$ and $\sigma \geq 1$ we see that assumption $C_{M, n, L}$ for $X$ implies assumption $A_{n, L}$ for $\frac{1}{\sigma} X^{\prime \prime}$.

For the two-dimensional process ( $X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ ) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\langle\left(X^{\prime}(0), X^{\prime \prime}(0)\right),\left(X^{\prime}(t), X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right\rangle=\mathbb{E}\left(X^{\prime}(0) X^{\prime}(t)+X^{\prime \prime}(0) X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)= \\
&= \sum_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}+\lambda_{k}^{4}\right) a_{k}^{2} \cos \lambda_{k} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $\lambda_{k}^{2}+\lambda_{k}^{4} \leq\left(1+\lambda_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}$ and $\sigma \geq 1$ we see that assumption $C_{M, n, L}$ for $X$ implies assumption $A_{n, L}$ for ( $X^{\prime}, \frac{1}{\sigma} X^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Applying 11c1-11c2 to $X^{\prime \prime}$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \frac{C}{n}\left(X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+X_{2 N}^{2}\right)
$$

for some absolute constant $C$.
13a1 Exercise. Prove that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t>2 M\right) \leq C_{M} \mathrm{e}^{-c_{M} n}
$$

for some $c_{M}>0, C_{M}<\infty$ (dependent on $M$ only).
In this sense,

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2 M \quad \text { very probably. }
$$

Applying Theorem 2 a 2 (or rather, its two-dimensional generalization) to the two-dimensional process ( $X^{\prime}, \frac{1}{\sigma} X^{\prime \prime}$ ) we get for any a.e. continuous $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of linear growth,

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi\left(X^{\prime}(t), \frac{1}{\sigma} X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \in \operatorname{ExpConInt}(n)
$$

Using also Lemma 2 a1 (or rather, its two-dimensional generalization) we get for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi\left(X^{\prime}(t), \frac{1}{\sigma} X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int \varphi \mathrm{d} \gamma^{2}+\varepsilon \quad \text { very probably. }
$$

In particular,
$\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{\sigma}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[A, \infty)}\left(\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} t=\left(\int|u| \gamma^{1}(\mathrm{~d} u)\right) \cdot 2 \gamma^{1}([A, \infty)) \leq C \mathrm{e}^{-A^{2} / 2}$,
therefore for every $A>0$ (separately),

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[A, \infty)}\left(\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} t \leq C \sqrt{M} \mathrm{e}^{-A^{2} / 2} \quad \text { very probably, }
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant. ${ }^{1}$ Similarly,

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[-a, a]}\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \leq C \sqrt{M} a \quad \text { very probably. }
$$

Here is a non-probabilistic fact.
13a2 Lemma. Let $f:[0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice continuously differentiable, and $a>0$. Then

$$
\sum_{t \in[0, L], f(t)=0,0<\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq a}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[-2 a, 2 a]}\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+2 a
$$

Proof. For each $t \in[0, L]$ such that $f(t)=0$ and $0<\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq a$ we consider the set $\left\{s \in[0, L]: 0<\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|<2\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\}$ and its connected component $I_{t}$ containing $t$. Clearly, $I_{t}$ is an interval, $f$ is strictly monotone on $I_{t}$, and such intervals are pairwise disjoint. If $I_{t} \subset(0, L)$ then

$$
\int_{I_{t}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \geq 2\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

(think, why). Taking into account that $\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \leq 2 a$ on $I_{t}$ we have

$$
2 \sum\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \sum \int_{I_{t}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[-2 a, 2 a]}\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

where the sum is taken over $t$ such that $I_{t} \subset(0, L)$. Other $t$ (at most two) contribute at most $2 a$.

The random variable

$$
\xi_{a}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in[0, L], X(t)=0,\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq a}\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

is a special case of $\xi$ of Theorem 2 c 1 , for $\varphi(x)=|x| \mathbf{1}_{[-a, a]}(x)$.

[^0]13a3 Exercise. For every $a>0$ (separately), $\xi_{a} \leq C \sqrt{M} a$ very probably; here $C$ is an absolute constant.

Prove it.
13a4 Exercise. $\mathbb{E} \xi_{a} \leq \frac{1}{3 \pi} a^{3}$.
Prove it.
13a5 Exercise. It is sufficient to prove Theorem 2 c 1 for functions $\varphi$ that vanish on a neighborhood ${ }^{1}$ of 0 .

Prove it.
13a6 Lemma. Let $f:[0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice continuously differentiable, and $A>2 \min _{[0, L]}\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|$. Then

$$
\sum_{t \in[0, L], f(t)=0,\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \geq A}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[A / 2, \infty)}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Proof. For each $t \in[0, L]$ such that $f(t)=0$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \geq A$ we consider the set $\left\{s \in[0, L]:\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|>0.5\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\}$ and its connected component $I_{t}$ containing $t$. Clearly, $I_{t}$ is an interval, $f$ is strictly monotone on $I_{t}$, and such intervals are pairwise disjoint. It cannot happen that $I_{t}=[0, L]$, since $\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \geq 0.5 A$ on $I_{t}$. Thus,

$$
\int_{I_{t}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

(think, why). We have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \sum \int_{I_{t}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathbf{1}_{[A / 2, \infty)}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Taking into account that

$$
\min _{[0, L]}\left|X^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

we see (similarly to 13a1) that

$$
\min _{[0, L]}\left|X^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \leq 2 \quad \text { very probably. }
$$

[^1]Similarly to 13a3, for every $A>4$ (separately), the random variable

$$
\xi_{A}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in[0, L], X(t)=0,\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right| \geq A}\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

satisfies

$$
\xi_{A} \leq C \sqrt{M} \mathrm{e}^{-A^{2} / 8} \quad \text { very probably, }
$$

as well as $\mathbb{E} \xi_{A} \leq C \sqrt{M} \mathrm{e}^{-A^{2} / 8}$. Here is the conclusion.
13a7 Proposition. It is sufficient to prove Theorem 2c1 for functions $\varphi$ such that

$$
\exists a, A \in(0, \infty) \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad(\varphi(x) \neq 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a<|x|<A)
$$

The condition $\sup (|\varphi(x)| /|x|)<\infty$ becomes just boundedness of $\varphi$.
13a8 Exercise. It is sufficient to prove Theorem 2c1 for Lipschitz functions $\varphi$ (satisfying 13a7).

Prove it.

## 13b Getting rid of randomness

According to 13a8, we consider a function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $^{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad|\varphi(x)| \leq 1 \\
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq|x-y| \\
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad(\varphi(x) \neq 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad|x|>a)
\end{gathered}
$$

We approximate the random variable of Theorem 2c1,

$$
\xi=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in[0, L], X(t)=0} \varphi\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right),
$$

by another random variable (for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ )

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi\left(X^{\prime}(t)\right)\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(X(t)) \mathrm{d} t
$$

We know that ${ }^{2}$

$$
\mathbb{E} \xi=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \varphi(y)|y| \mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

[^2]and ${ }^{1}$
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \eta_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \iint \varphi(y)|y| \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(x) \gamma^{2}(\mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y)= \\
& \quad=\left(\int \varphi(y)|y| \gamma^{1}(\mathrm{~d} y)\right) \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \gamma^{1}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int \varphi(y)|y| \gamma^{1}(\mathrm{~d} y)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

thus, $\left|\mathbb{E} \eta_{\varepsilon}-\mathbb{E} \xi\right| \rightarrow 0$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ). We also know that ${ }^{2}$

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon} \in \operatorname{ExpConInt}(n)
$$

for every $\varepsilon$ (separately). In order to prove Theorem 2 c 1 we have to prove that

$$
\xi \in \operatorname{Exp} \operatorname{ConInt}(n) ;
$$

by the approximation lemma (11a9, 11a11) it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\left|\xi-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { very probably }
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is small enough (for a given $\varepsilon_{0}$ ).
Here is a non-probabilistic fact, to be proved in Sect. 13 c
13b1 Proposition. Let a twice continuously differentiable function $f$ : $[0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a number $B>0$ satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq B^{2}
$$

and $B \varepsilon<\min \left(1, a^{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in[0, L], f(t)=0, f^{\prime}(t) \neq 0} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| f^{\prime}(t)\left|\mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(f(t)) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leq \\
\leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3} B^{4 / 3}}{a}+\frac{1}{L}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some absolute constant $C$.
Note that $n$ does not occur in 13b1, but $L$ does.
13b2 Exercise. $L \geq c n-C$ for some absolute constants $c, C$.
Prove it.
Given $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, we choose $\varepsilon$ such that $C \frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3}}{a}(2 M)^{2 / 3} \leq \varepsilon_{0} / 2$ and $\varepsilon \sqrt{2 M}<$ $\min \left(1, a^{3}\right)$; then, assuming that $C / L \leq \varepsilon_{0} / 2$ (which holds for all $n$ large enough) we get $\left|\xi-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ whenever $\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2 M$, which happens very probably. Thus, in order to prove Theorem 2c1 it is sufficient to prove Proposition 13b1.

[^3]
## 13c Estimating the error

Here we prove the non-probabilistic Proposition 13b1.
We consider the set $\left\{t \in[0, L]:|f(t)|<\varepsilon, f^{\prime}(t) \neq 0\right\}$ and its connected components $I$ such that

$$
\sup _{I}\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|>a .
$$

Clearly, $I$ is an interval, $f$ is strictly monotone on $I$, and of course, such intervals are pairwise disjoint.

13c1 Exercise. The set $\mathcal{I}$ of all these intervals $I$ is finite.
Prove it.
Assume that $\delta \in(0, a)$ is given (it will be chosen later). We say that an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is good, if

$$
\sup _{I} f^{\prime}(\cdot)-\inf _{I} f^{\prime}(\cdot) \leq \delta ;
$$

otherwise $I$ is bad. Denote by $G \subset \mathcal{I}$ the set of all good intervals.
Denoting ${ }^{1}$
$\xi=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in[0, L], f(t)=0, f^{\prime}(t) \neq 0} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad \eta_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(f(t)) \mathrm{d} t$ we have

$$
\xi=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in I, f(t)=0} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)}_{\xi_{I}}, \quad \eta_{\varepsilon}=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{I} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t}_{\eta_{I, \varepsilon}} .
$$

Taking into account that $|\varphi(\cdot)| \leq 1$ we get

$$
\forall I \in \mathcal{I} \quad\left|\xi_{I}\right| \leq \frac{1}{L}
$$

since the sum contains no more than one summand; and

$$
\forall I \in \mathcal{I} \quad\left|\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{1}{L},
$$

since $\int_{I}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=|f(t)-f(s)|$ for $I=(s, t)$.
At most two $I \in \mathcal{I}$ may violate $I \subset(0, L)$; their contribution to $\left|\xi-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right|$ cannot exceed $4 / L$, that is harmless. From now on we assume that

$$
I \subset(0, L)
$$

for all considered $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

[^4]13 c 2 Lemma. For every good $I \subset(0, L)$,

$$
\left|\xi_{I}-\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{L}
$$

Proof. Let $I=(r, t)$. We have $\min _{I}\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \geq a-\delta>0$, therefore either $f(r)=-\varepsilon, f(t)=\varepsilon$ or $f(r)=\varepsilon, f(t)=-\varepsilon$; in every case, $\int_{I}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u=2 \varepsilon$. Define $s \in I$ by $f(s)=0$, then $\xi_{I}=\frac{1}{L} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{I} \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\xi_{I}-\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{I} \right\rvert\, \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(s)\right)- & \varphi\left(f^{\prime}(u)\right)\left|\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u \leq\right. \\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon L} \int_{I} \underbrace{\left|f^{\prime}(s)-f^{\prime}(u)\right|}_{\leq \delta}| | f^{\prime}(u) \right\rvert\, \mathrm{d} u=\frac{\delta}{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

13c3 Exercise. Prove that

$$
\sum\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s
$$

where the sum is taken over $t$ such that $f(t)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, except for the least and the greatest of these $t$.

We have $\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leq B$, thus,

$$
|G| \leq \frac{B L}{2 a}+2
$$

and so,

$$
\sum_{I \in G}\left|\xi_{I}-\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq\left(\frac{B}{2 a}+\frac{2}{L}\right) \delta
$$

13c4 Lemma. For every bad interval $I \subset(0, L)$,

$$
\int_{I}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \geq \frac{a \delta^{2}}{16 \varepsilon}
$$

Proof. We take $s \in I$ such that $\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|>a$, note that $\sup _{I}\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)-f^{\prime}(s)\right|>\delta / 2$ and take the closest to $s$ point $t \in I$ such that $\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(s)\right|=\delta / 2$. Assume that $s<t$ (the case $t<s$ is similar). We have $\min _{[s, t]}\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right| \geq a-\frac{\delta}{2} \geq \frac{a}{2}$
and $\int_{s}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u=|f(t)-f(s)| \leq 2 \varepsilon$, thus $t-s \leq \frac{4 \varepsilon}{a}$. Also, $\int_{s}^{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u \geq$ $\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(s)\right|=\delta / 2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{2} \leq \int_{s}^{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u \leq\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{s}^{t} 1^{2} \mathrm{~d} u\right)^{1 / 2} ; \\
\int_{I}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \geq \int_{s}^{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(u)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \geq \frac{(\delta / 2)^{2}}{t-s} \geq \frac{a \delta^{2}}{16 \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the number of bad intervals $I \subset(0, L)$ does not exceed

$$
\frac{16 \varepsilon B^{2} L}{a \delta^{2}}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I} \backslash G, I \subset(0, L)}\left(\left|\xi_{I}\right|+\left|\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right|\right) \leq \frac{16 \varepsilon B^{2} L}{a \delta^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{L}+\frac{1}{L}\right)=\frac{32 \varepsilon B^{2}}{a \delta^{2}} ; \\
\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I} \backslash G}\left|\xi_{I}-\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{32 \varepsilon B^{2}}{a \delta^{2}}+\frac{4}{L} ; \\
\left|\xi-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left|\xi_{I}-\eta_{I, \varepsilon}\right| \leq\left(\frac{B}{2 a}+\frac{2}{L}\right) \delta+\frac{32 \varepsilon B^{2}}{a \delta^{2}}+\frac{4}{L}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally we choose

$$
\delta=(B \varepsilon)^{1 / 3}
$$

note that $\delta<a$ and $\delta<1$, and get

$$
\left|\xi-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{B}{2 a} \delta+\frac{32 \varepsilon B^{2}}{a \delta^{2}}+\frac{6}{L} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3} B^{4 / 3}}{a}+\frac{1}{L}\right)
$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 13b1 and ultimately, Theorem 2c1.

## 13d Hints to exercises

13a1. the random variable $\xi=\left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|X^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2}$ belongs to $\operatorname{GaussLip}(C / \sqrt{n})$, and $\mathbb{E} \xi \leq\left(\mathbb{E} \xi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \sqrt{M}$.

13a8 recall 11 d 4 and the paragraph after it.
13b2. $\int_{[0,2]}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) \mu(\mathrm{d} \lambda) \geq \mu([0,2]) \geq 3 / 4$.
13c3: Hint: similar to 13a2,
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bad, 20
good, 20
very probably, 15
a, 18
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Every $C>\sqrt{2 / \pi}$ fits.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The neighborhood depends on $\varphi$, of course.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first two conditions can be enforced multiplying $\varphi$ by a small number. The condition about $|x|<A$ is not needed.
    ${ }^{2}$ By Theorem 2b1.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ By the two-dimensional generalization of Lemma 2 a 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ By the two-dimensional generalization of Theorem 2a2.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ We thus redefine $\xi$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon}$, which should not be too confusing since the probabilistic context is no more needed.

