

## Class notes 12

### Kernel methods

The general paradigm we have discussed, given modeling problem with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$  low dimensional:

- Embed  $x \rightarrow h(x) \in \mathbb{R}^q$  with  $q \gg p$ .
- Fit a (possible linear model) in the high dimension  $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^q h_j(x) \hat{\beta}_j$ .
- Challenges:
  - Computational: how to fit in high dimension
  - Statistical: how to regularize in high dimension

### Examples:

- Boosting:
  - Model space: all trees of given size
  - Computational trick: coordinate descent via gradient boosting
  - Regularization: sort of lasso (not discussed in class)
- DNN:
  - Model space: Not a linear model but linear combination of non-linear transformation of linear combinations...
  - Computational tricks: (stochastic) gradient descent,
  - Regularization: sort of ridge (gradient descent  $\approx$  ridge, similarly dropout)

Now we will discuss perhaps the primary example of this thinking, which was hugely important in ML in the past, lost some of its glamour: Kernel methods including (but not limited to) kernel SVM. We can think of the basic idea the same way, except now  $x \rightarrow h(x)$  where  $h_1, \dots, h_q$  (possibly  $q = \infty$ ) is a basis of a Reproducing kernel Hilbert functional space (RKHS)  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . The space is defined indirectly through the kernel function

$$K(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that: } K(x, y) = \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^q h_j(x) h_j(y).$$

We also naturally define for a function in  $\mathcal{H}_K$ ,  $f = \sum_j \beta_j h_j$ , we naturally define  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 = \sum_j \beta_j^2$ .

### Kernel examples:

1. Linear Kernel ( $q = p$ ):  $K(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is simply linear functions.
2. Polynomial kernel:  $K_d(x, y) = (1 + x^t y)^d$ . Here  $q = \binom{p+d}{p}$  all polynomials in  $x_j, y_j$  up to degree  $d$ .
3. RBF (Gaussian) kernel:  $K_\sigma(x, y) = \exp(-\|x - y\|^2 / (2\sigma^2))$ . Here  $q = \infty$  and we usually don't think about  $h_1, \dots$  explicitly, only about the kernel as measuring distance:
  - When  $\sigma$  is small, the kernel  $K(x, \cdot)$  is very tight around  $x$
  - When  $\sigma$  is big, the kernel  $K(x, \cdot)$  becomes very spread and  $K(x, y)$  remains big for  $\|x - y\|$  big

Since  $q = \infty$  the function space  $\mathcal{H}_K$  contains all nicely behaved functions regardless of  $\sigma$ , however we will see that the different nature of the kernel will play a role in model building (i.e. selecting among the functions in  $\mathcal{H}_K$ ) through regularization.

## Kernel machines

The Hilbert space comes with a norm attached and therefore a natural regularization term that controls that norm. Given a loss function our problem is:

$$\hat{f}_\lambda = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_K} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, f(x_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2.$$

We see here that the regularization term is where the specific kernel plays an important role: how functions in  $\mathcal{H}_K$  are prioritized for fitting.

The most important result in this area is *the Representer theorem* (Kimmeldorf and Wahba 1970):

The optimal solution to the kernel regression problem above has the form:

$$\hat{f}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i K(x_i, \cdot), \quad \|\hat{f}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 = \alpha^T K \alpha, \quad \text{where: } K_{ij} = K(x_i, x_j).$$

Thus we get that we can solve the problem in the  $n$  dimensional basis of the columns of  $K$ :

$$\hat{f}_\lambda = \arg \min_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j)) + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha.$$

For squared loss this *Kernel linear regression* problem can be nicely written:

$$\hat{f}_\lambda = \sum \hat{\alpha}_i K(x_i, \cdot) \quad \text{where: } \hat{\alpha} = \arg \min_{\alpha} \|\mathbb{Y} - K\alpha\|^2 + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha,$$

a “generalized ridge regression” problem, with an algebraic solution:

$$\hat{\alpha} = (K + \lambda I_n)^{-1} \mathbb{Y}.$$

Now we can interpret what some of our kernels do in this context:

- Linear kernel:  $K = \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T$  and therefore  $\hat{\alpha} = (\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T + \lambda I_n)^{-1}\mathbb{Y}$ . In this case we can easily show:

$$K\hat{\alpha} = \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T(\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T + \lambda I_n)^{-1}\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X} + \lambda I_p)^{-1}\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}\hat{\beta}_\lambda,$$

the solution is the same as regular ridge regression!

- RBF Kernel with small  $\sigma$ :

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2/(2\sigma^2)) \approx 0 \text{ when } x_i \neq x_j.$$

Therefore the kernel regression problem is very much like penalized k-NN:

$$\|\mathbb{Y} - K\alpha\|^2 + \lambda\alpha^T K\alpha \approx \|\mathbb{Y} - \alpha\|^2 + \lambda\alpha^T \alpha.$$

The most important kernel machine was the one using the hinge loss (kernel SVM):

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = (1 - y\hat{y})_+,$$

and recall that we discussed how the sparsity of the solution  $\hat{\alpha}$  helps in computing and finding solution.

For regression, the ML crowd who like loss functions that zero many  $\hat{\alpha}$  came up with the  $\epsilon$ -support vector regression loss, which is absolute loss with a *dontcare* region in the middle:

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = (|y - \hat{y}| - \epsilon)_+,$$

Now we can also describe kernel methods in the high dimensional modeling framework:

- Model space: all functions in the RKHS
- Computational trick: representer theorem, giving a problem of dimension  $n$
- Regularization: RKHS norm, sort of ridge