

Privileged users in zero-error transmission over a noisy channel

Noga Alon* Eyal Lubetzky †

January 11, 2007

Abstract

The k -th power of a graph G is the graph whose vertex set is $V(G)^k$, where two distinct k -tuples are adjacent iff they are equal or adjacent in G in each coordinate. The Shannon capacity of G , $c(G)$, is $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(G^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the independence number of G . When G is the characteristic graph of a channel \mathcal{C} , $c(G)$ measures the effective alphabet size of \mathcal{C} in a zero-error protocol. A sum of channels, $\mathcal{C} = \sum_i \mathcal{C}_i$, describes a setting when there are $t \geq 2$ senders, each with his own channel \mathcal{C}_i , and each letter in a word can be selected from any of the channels. This corresponds to a disjoint union of the characteristic graphs, $G = \sum_i G_i$. It is well known that $c(G) \geq \sum_i c(G_i)$, and in [1] it is shown that in fact $c(G)$ can be larger than any fixed power of the above sum.

We extend the ideas of [1] and show that for every \mathcal{F} , a family of subsets of $[t]$, it is possible to assign a channel \mathcal{C}_i to each sender $i \in [t]$, such that the capacity of a group of senders $X \subset [t]$ is high iff X contains some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. This corresponds to a case where only privileged subsets of senders are allowed to transmit in a high rate. For instance, as an analogue to secret sharing, it is possible to ensure that whenever at least k senders combine their channels, they obtain a high capacity, however every group of $k - 1$ senders has a low capacity (and yet is not totally denied of service). In the process, we obtain an explicit Ramsey construction of an edge-coloring of the complete graph on n vertices by t colors, where every induced subgraph on $\exp(\Omega(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}))$ vertices contains all t colors.

1 Introduction

A channel \mathcal{C} on an input alphabet V and an output alphabet U maps each $x \in V$ to some $S(x) \subset U$, such that transmitting x results in one of the letters of $S(x)$. The characteristic graph of the channel \mathcal{C} , $G = G(\mathcal{C})$, has a vertex set V , and two vertices $x \neq y \in V$ are adjacent iff $S(x) \cap S(y) \neq \emptyset$,

*Schools of Mathematics and Computer Science, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel. Email: nogaa@tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by a USA-Israeli BSF grant, by the Israel Science Foundation and by the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University.

†School of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel. Email: lubetzky@tau.ac.il. Research partially supported by a Charles Clore Foundation Fellowship.

i.e., the corresponding input letters are confusable in the channel. Clearly, a maximum set of predefined letters which can be transmitted in \mathcal{C} without possibility of error corresponds to a maximum independent set in the graph G , whose size is $\alpha(G)$ (the independence number of G).

The strong product of two graphs, $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ is the graph, $G_1 \cdot G_2$, on the vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$, where two vertices $(u_1, u_2) \neq (v_1, v_2)$ are adjacent iff for all $i = 1, 2$, either $u_i = v_i$ or $u_i v_i \in E_i$. In other words, the pairs of vertices in both coordinates are either equal or adjacent. This product is associative and commutative, hence we can define G^k to be the k -th power of G , where two vertices $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \neq (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ are adjacent iff for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, either $u_i = v_i$ or $u_i v_i \in E(G)$.

Note that if I, J are independent sets of two graphs, G, H , then $I \times J$ is an independent set of $G \cdot H$. Therefore, $\alpha(G^{n+m}) \geq \alpha(G^n)\alpha(G^m)$ for every $m, n \geq 1$, and by Fekete's lemma (cf., e.g., [4], p. 85), the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(G^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and equals $\sup_n \alpha(G^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. This parameter, introduced by Shannon in [5], is the Shannon capacity of G , denoted by $c(G)$.

When sending k -letter words in the channel \mathcal{C} , two words are confusable iff the pairs of letters in each of their k -coordinates are confusable. Thus, the maximal number of k -letter words which can be sent in \mathcal{C} without possibility of error is precisely $\alpha(G^k)$, where $G = G(\mathcal{C})$. It follows that for sufficiently large values of k , the maximal number of k -letter words which can be sent without possibility of error is roughly $c(G)^k$. Hence, $c(G)$ represents the effective alphabet size of the channel in zero-error transmission.

The sum of two channels, $\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2$, describes the setting where each letter can be sent from either of the two channels, and letters from \mathcal{C}_1 cannot be confused with letters from \mathcal{C}_2 . The characteristic graph in this case is the disjoint union $G_1 + G_2$, where G_i is the characteristic graph of \mathcal{C}_i . Shannon showed in [5] that $c(G_1 + G_2) \geq c(G_1) + c(G_2)$ for every two graphs G_1 and G_2 , and conjectured that in fact $c(G_1 + G_2) = c(G_1) + c(G_2)$ for all G_1 and G_2 . This was disproved in [1], where the first author gives an explicit construction of two graphs G_1, G_2 with a capacity $c(G_i) \leq k$, satisfying $c(G_1 + G_2) \geq k^{\Omega(\frac{\log k}{\log \log k})}$.

We extend the ideas of [1] and show that it is possible to construct t graphs, G_i ($i \in [t] = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$), such that for every subset $X \subseteq [t]$, the Shannon capacity of $\sum_{i \in X} G_i$ is high iff X contains some subset of a predefined family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[t]$. This corresponds to assigning t channels to t senders, such that designated groups of senders $F \in \mathcal{F}$ can obtain a high capacity by combining their channels ($\sum_{i \in F} \mathcal{C}_i$), and yet every group of senders $X \subseteq [t]$ not containing any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ has a low capacity. In particular, a choice of $\mathcal{F} = \{F \subset [t] : |F| = k\}$ implies that every set X of senders has a high Shannon capacity of $\sum_{i \in X} \mathcal{C}_i$ if $|X| \geq k$, and a low capacity otherwise. The following theorem, proved in Section 2, formalizes the claims above:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T = \{1, \dots, t\}$ for some fixed $t \geq 2$, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of T . For every (large) n it is possible to construct graphs G_i , $i \in T$, each on n vertices, such that the following two statements hold for all $X \subseteq T$:*

1. If X contains some $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $c(\sum_{i \in X} G_i) \geq n^{1/|F|} \geq n^{1/t}$.
2. If X does not contain any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$c(\sum_{i \in X} G_i) \leq e^{(1+o(1))\sqrt{2 \log n \log \log n}},$$

where the $o(1)$ -term tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As a by-product, we obtain the following Ramsey construction, where instead of forbidding monochromatic subgraphs, we require “rainbow” subgraphs (containing all the colors used for the edge-coloring). This is stated by the next proposition, which is proved in Section 3:

Proposition 1.2. *For every (large) n and $t \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{(\log \log n)^3}}$ there is an explicit t -edge-coloring of the complete graph on n vertices, such that every induced subgraph on*

$$e^{(1+o(1))\sqrt{8 \log n \log \log n}}$$

vertices contains all t colors.

This extends the construction of Frankl and Wilson [2] that deals with the case $t = 2$ (using a slightly different construction).

2 Graphs with high capacities for unions of predefined subsets

The upper bound on the capacities of subsets not containing any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ relies on the algebraic bound for the Shannon capacity using representations by polynomials, proved in [1]. See also Haemers [3] for a related approach.

Definition. *Let \mathbb{K} be a field, and let \mathcal{H} be a linear subspace of polynomials in r variables over \mathbb{K} . A **representation** of a graph $G = (V, E)$ over \mathcal{H} is an assignment of a polynomial $f_v \in \mathcal{H}$ and a value $c_v \in \mathbb{K}^r$ to every $v \in V$, such that the following holds: for every $v \in V$, $f_v(c_v) \neq 0$, and for every $u \neq v \in V$ such that $uv \notin E$, $f_u(c_v) = 0$.*

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let \mathcal{H} be a space of polynomials in r variables over a field \mathbb{K} . If G has a representation over \mathcal{H} then $c(G) \leq \dim(\mathcal{H})$.*

We need the following simple lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $T = [t]$ for $t \geq 1$, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of T . There exist sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t such that for every $X \subseteq T$:*

$$X \text{ does not contain any } F \in \mathcal{F} \iff \bigcap_{i \in X} A_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Furthermore, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i| \leq \binom{t}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$.

Proof of lemma. Let \mathcal{Y} denote the family of all maximal sets Y such that Y does not contain any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Assign a unique element p_Y to every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and define:

$$A_i = \{p_Y : i \in Y, Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}. \quad (1)$$

Let $X \subseteq T$, and note that (1) implies that $\bigcap_{i \in X} A_i = \{p_Y : X \subseteq Y\}$. Thus, if X does not contain any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $X \subseteq Y$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and hence $p_Y \in \bigcap_{i \in X} A_i$. Otherwise, X contains some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence is not a subset of any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, implying that $\bigcap_{i \in X} A_i = \emptyset$.

Finally, observe that \mathcal{Y} is an anti-chain and that $|\bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i| \leq |\mathcal{Y}|$, hence the bound on $|\bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i|$ follows from Sperner's Theorem [6]. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let p be a large prime, and let $\{p_Y : Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ be the first $|\mathcal{Y}|$ primes succeeding p . Define $s = p^2$ and $r = p^3$, and note that, as t and hence $|\mathcal{Y}|$ are fixed, by well-known results about the distribution of prime numbers, $p_Y = (1+o(1))p < s$ for all Y , where the $o(1)$ -term tends to 0 as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

The graph $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ is defined as follows: its vertex set V_i consists of all $\binom{r}{s}$ possible s -element subsets of $[r]$, and for every $A \neq B \in V_i$:

$$(A, B) \in E_i \iff |A \cap B| \equiv s \pmod{p_Y} \text{ for some } p_Y \in A_i. \quad (2)$$

Let $X \subseteq T$. If X does not contain any $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then, by Lemma 2.2, $\bigcap_{i \in X} A_i \neq \emptyset$, hence there exists some q such that $q \in A_i$ for every $i \in X$. Therefore, for every $i \in X$, if A, B are disconnected in G_i , then $|A \cap B| \not\equiv s \pmod{q}$. It follows that the graph $\sum_{i \in X} G_i$ has a representation over a subspace of the multi-linear polynomials in $|X|r$ variables over \mathbb{Z}_q with a degree smaller than q . To see this, take the variables $x_j^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, |X|$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, and assign the following polynomial to each vertex $A \in V_i$:

$$f_A(\bar{x}) = \prod_{u \neq s} (u - \sum_{j \in A} x_j^{(i)}).$$

The assignment c_A is defined as follows: $x_j^{(i')} = 1$ if $i' = i$ and $j \in A$, otherwise $x_j^{(i')} = 0$. As every assignment $c_{A'}$ gives values in $\{0, 1\}$ to all $x_j^{(i)}$, it is possible to reduce every f_A modulo the polynomials $(x_j^{(i)})^2 - x_j^{(i)}$ for all i and j , and obtain multi-linear polynomials, equivalent on all the assignments $c_{A'}$.

The following holds for all $A \in V_i$:

$$f_A(c_A) = \prod_{u \neq s} (u - s) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

and for every $B \neq A$:

$$\begin{aligned} B \in V_i, (A, B) \notin E_i &\implies f_A(c_B) = \prod_{u \neq s} (u - |A \cap B|) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \\ B \notin V_i &\implies f_A(c_B) = \prod_{u \neq s} u \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by the fact that $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, as $s = p^2$ and $p < q$. As the polynomials f_A lie in the direct sum of $|X|$ copies of the space of multi-linear polynomials in r variables of degree less than q , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the Shannon capacity of $\sum_{i \in X} G_i$ is at most:

$$|X| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \binom{r}{i} \leq t \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \binom{r}{i} < t \binom{r}{q}.$$

Recalling that $q = (1 + o(1))p$ and writing $t \binom{r}{q}$ in terms of $n = \binom{r}{s}$ gives the required upper bound on $c(\sum_{i \in X} G_i)$.

Assume now that X contains some $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_{|F|}\}$. We claim that the following set is an independent set in $(\sum_{i \in X} G_i)^{|F|}$:

$$\{(A^{(i_1)}, A^{(i_2)}, \dots, A^{(i_{|F|})}) : A \subseteq [r], |A| = s\},$$

where $A^{(i_j)}$ is the vertex corresponding to A in V_{i_j} . Indeed, if (A, A, \dots, A) and (B, B, \dots, B) are adjacent, then for every $i \in F$, $|A \cap B| \equiv s \pmod{p_Y}$ for some $p_Y \in A_i$. However, $\bigcap_{i \in F} A_i = \emptyset$, hence there exist $p_Y \neq p'_Y$ such that $|A \cap B|$ is equivalent both to $s \pmod{p_Y}$ and to $s \pmod{p'_Y}$. By the Chinese Remainder Lemma, it follows that $|A \cap B| = s$ (as $|A \cap B| < p_Y p'_Y$), thus $A = B$. Therefore, the Shannon capacity of $\sum_{i \in X} G_i$ is at least $\binom{r}{s}^{1/|F|} = n^{1/|F|}$. ■

3 Explicit construction for rainbow Ramsey graphs

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Let p be a large prime, and let $p_1 < \dots < p_t$ denote the first t primes succeeding p . We define r, s as in the proof of Theorem 1.1: $s = p^2$, $r = p^3$, and consider the complete graph on n vertices, K_n , where $n = \binom{r}{s}$, and each vertex corresponds to an s -element subset of $[r]$. The fact that $t \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{(\log \log n)^3}}$ implies that $t \leq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1)) \frac{p}{\log p}$, and hence, by the distribution of prime numbers, $p_t < 2p$ (with room to spare) for a sufficiently large value of p .

We define an edge-coloring γ of K_n by t colors in the following manner: for every $A, B \in V$, $\gamma(A, B) = i$ if $|A \cap B| \equiv s \pmod{p_i}$ for some $i \in [t]$, and is arbitrary otherwise. Note that for every $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $s < p_i p_j$. Hence, if $|A \cap B| \equiv s \pmod{p_i}$ and $|A \cap B| \equiv s \pmod{p_j}$ for such i and j , then by the Chinese Remainder Lemma, $|A \cap B| = s$, and in particular, $A = B$. Therefore, the coloring γ is well-defined.

It remains to show that every large induced subgraph of K_n has all t colors according to γ . Indeed, this follows from the same consideration used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. To see this, let G_i denote the spanning subgraph of K_n whose edge set consists of all (A, B) such that $\gamma(A, B) = i$. Each pair $A \neq B$, which is disconnected in G_i , satisfies $|A \cap B| \not\equiv s \pmod{p_i}$. Therefore, G_i has a representation over the multi-linear polynomials in r variables over \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} with a degree smaller than p_i (define $f_A(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ as is in the proof of Theorem 1.1, and take c_A to be the characteristic vector of A). Thus, $c(G_i) < \binom{r}{p_i}$, and in particular, $\alpha(G_i) < \binom{r}{p_i}$. This ensures that every induced subgraph on at least $\binom{r}{p_i} \leq \binom{r}{2p}$ vertices contains an i -colored edge, and the result follows. ■

Acknowledgement We would like to thank Benny Sudakov for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] N. Alon, The Shannon capacity of a union, *Combinatorica* 18 (1998), 301-310.
- [2] P. Frankl and R. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 357-368.
- [3] W. Haemers, An upper bound for the Shannon capacity of a graph, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, 25: Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory, Szeged (Hungary), 1978, 267-272.
- [4] J.H. van Lint and R.M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [5] C. E. Shannon, The zero-error capacity of a noisy channel, *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2(3):8-19, 1956.
- [6] E. Sperner, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.* 27, 544-548, 1928.