

THE BRUNN–MINKOWSKI INEQUALITY AND NONTRIVIAL CYCLES IN THE DISCRETE TORUS*

NOGA ALON[†] AND OHAD N. FELDHEIM[‡]

Abstract. Let $(C_m^d)_\infty$ denote the graph whose set of vertices is Z_m^d in which two distinct vertices are adjacent iff in each coordinate either they are equal or they differ, modulo m , by at most 1. Bollobás, Kindler, Leader, and O’Donnell proved that the minimum possible cardinality of a set of vertices of $(C_m^d)_\infty$ whose deletion destroys all topologically nontrivial cycles is $m^d - (m-1)^d$. We present a short proof of this result, using the Brunn–Minkowski inequality, and also show that the bound can be achieved only by selecting a value x_i in each coordinate i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, and by keeping only the vertices whose i th coordinate is not x_i for all i .

Key words. Brunn–Minkowski inequality, discrete torus, nontrivial cycles

AMS subject classifications. 05C35, 05C38, 05C40

DOI. 10.1137/100789671

1. Introduction. Let $(C_m^d)_\infty$ denote the graph whose set of vertices is Z_m^d in which two distinct vertices are adjacent iff in each coordinate either they are equal or they differ, modulo m , by at most 1. This graph is the product of d copies of the cycle of length m and can be viewed as the graph of the discrete torus. The problem of determining the minimum possible cardinality of a set of vertices of this graph that intersects all noncontractible cycles in it has been considered by Saks, Samorodnitsky, and Zosin in [4], motivated by the problem of exhibiting directed multicommodity problems that have a large integrality gap. Their estimate has been improved to a tight one, which is $m^d - (m-1)^d$, by Bollobás et al. in [2], where a connection to the parallel repetition of the odd cycle game is mentioned. In this note we describe a short intuitive proof of the same result based on the Brunn–Minkowski isoperimetric inequality. The proof also implies that equality is achieved only when the remaining $(m-1)^d$ vertices form the graph of a d -dimensional hypercube of edge length $m-1$, that is, the product of d paths, each having $m-1$ vertices.

It is worth noting that the problem of determining the minimum cardinality of a set of edges of the graph $(C_m^d)_\infty$ that intersects all nontrivial cycles, discussed in [3], [1], seems more difficult, and only an asymptotic estimate of this minimum is known.

2. The proof. Let Z_m^d be the set of vertices of $(C_m^d)_\infty$, and consider them as points in \mathbb{Z}^d . It is convenient to view \mathbb{Z}^d as an infinite graph in which two distinct vectors are adjacent iff they differ by at most 1 in each coordinate. For two vectors $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d)$ in Z_m^d or in \mathbb{Z}^d we write that $\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a}$ iff $a_i - b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i . Note that \nearrow is a reflexive relation. Note also that the following holds.

*Received by the editors March 22, 2010; accepted for publication (in revised form) May 21, 2010; published electronically August 10, 2010. This work was supported in part by an ERC Advanced grant.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/24-3/78967.html>

[†]Schools of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel (nogaa@tau.ac.il). This author’s research was supported in part by a USA-Israeli BSF grant and by the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University.

[‡]School of Mathematics, Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel (ohad.f@netvision.net.il).

OBSERVATION 1. If $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \nearrow \bar{a}$, then \bar{b}_1 and \bar{b}_2 , considered as vertices of $(C_m^d)_\infty$, are either equal or connected.

Recall that the Brunn–Minkowski inequality, generalized by Lusternik (see, e.g., [5]), is the following.

THEOREM 2.1 (Brunn–Minkowski inequality). Let $n \geq 1$, and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . Define $A + B := \{a + b \in \mathbb{R}^n | a \in A, b \in B\}$. Let A and B be two nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . The following inequality holds:

$$[\mu(A + B)]^{1/n} \geq [\mu(A)]^{1/n} + [\mu(B)]^{1/n}.$$

Equality is achieved iff A and B are homothetic (that is, one is a rescaled version of the other).

Using Brunn–Minkowski we obtain the following useful lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose that $S^+ = \{\bar{a} | \exists \bar{b} \in S (\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a})\}$; then $\sqrt[d]{|S^+|} \geq \sqrt[d]{|S|} + 1$, and equality holds iff S is a hypercube.

Proof. Define $\widehat{S} = \bigcup_{\bar{a} \in S} \{\Pi_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} [a_i - 1, a_i]\}$, and note that $|S| = \mu(\widehat{S})$. It is easy to check that $\widehat{S}^+ = \widehat{S} + [0, 1]^d$. Plugging this and the fact that $|S^+| = \mu(\widehat{S}^+)$ into the Brunn–Minkowski inequality, the result follows. \square

We can now state and prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. If $S \subset Z_m^d$ is a set of vertices of Z_m^d that does not contain any noncontractible cycle of the torus, then $|S| \leq (m-1)^d$. Equality holds iff S is a hypercube with edges of size $m-1$.

Proof. Striving for contradiction, suppose that either $|S| > (m-1)^d$ or $|S| = (m-1)^d$, but S is not a hypercube. Denote the connected components of S by C_1, \dots, C_k . Pick a vertex representative for each component C_i , and denote it by \bar{c}_i . Let the natural projection from \mathbb{Z}^d into Z_m^d be $\pi(\bar{x})$. Slightly abusing notation, denote by $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$ the connected component of \bar{c}_i in $\pi^{-1}(S)$, regarding here \bar{c}_i as an element of \mathbb{Z}^d . (This is instead of taking the whole π preimage of C_i .) As S contains no nontrivial cycle, $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$ must be finite for all i . We next show that there exist two distinct preimages of some vertex \bar{a} in one of the connected components C_i of S , implying that it contains a nontrivial cycle, and thus contradicting the assumption.

Define $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \pi^{-1}(C_i)$. Since every vertex in S has a unique corresponding vertex in \tilde{S} , we deduce that $|S| = |\tilde{S}|$. Looking at $\tilde{S}^+ = \{\bar{a} | \exists \bar{b} \in \tilde{S} (\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a})\}$ we can apply our assumption and Lemma 2.2 to conclude that $|\tilde{S}^+| > m^d$. By the pigeonhole principle we deduce the existence of $\bar{a}_1 \neq \bar{a}_2$ in \tilde{S}^+ such that $\pi(\bar{a}_1) = \pi(\bar{a}_2)$. By the definition of \tilde{S}^+ there must be two elements $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \in \tilde{S}$ such that $\bar{b}_1 \nearrow \bar{a}_1$ and $\bar{b}_2 \nearrow \bar{a}_2$. By Observation 1 we know that $\pi(\bar{b}_1)$ and $\pi(\bar{b}_2)$ are connected in S , and thus \bar{b}_1 and \bar{b}_2 belong to the same connected component $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$ of \tilde{S} for some i . Denote $\bar{b}'_1 = \bar{a}_2 - \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1$. Note that $\bar{b}'_1 \neq \bar{b}_1$, $\pi(\bar{b}'_1) = \pi(\bar{b}_1)$, and $\bar{b}'_1 \nearrow \bar{a}_2$, since $\bar{a}_2 - \bar{b}'_1 = \bar{a}_2 - (\bar{a}_2 - \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1) = \bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1$.

By Observation 1 we conclude that \bar{b}'_1 and \bar{b}_2 are either equal or connected. As $\bar{b}_2 \in \pi^{-1}(C_i)$ we conclude that $\bar{b}'_1 \in \pi^{-1}(C_i)$, which leads to a contradiction, since \bar{b}_1 also lies in C_i . Therefore, either $|S| = (m-1)^d$ and S is a hypercube, or $|S| < (m-1)^d$, completing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ALON AND B. KLARTAG, *Economical toric spines via Cheeger's inequality*, J. Topology Anal., 1 (2009), pp. 101–111.
- [2] B. BOLLOBÁS, G. KINDLER, I. LEADER, AND R. O'DONNELL, *Eliminating cycles in the discrete torus*, Algorithmica, 50 (2007), pp. 446–454.

- [3] R. RAZ, *A counterexample to strong parallel repetition*, in Proceedings of the 49th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 2008, pp. 369–373.
- [4] M. E. SAKS, A. SAMORODNITSKY, AND L. ZOSIN, *A lower bound on the integrality gap for minimum multicut in directed networks*, Combinatorica, 24 (2004), pp. 525–530.
- [5] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.