

Effect of current injection into thin-film Josephson junctions

V. G. Kogan^{1,*} and R. G. Mints^{2,†}

¹*Ames Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

²*The Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel*

(Received 1 October 2014; published 11 November 2014)

New thin-film Josephson junctions have recently been tested in which the current injected into one of the junction banks governs Josephson phenomena. One thus can continuously manage the phase distribution at the junction by changing the injected current. A method of calculating the distribution of injected currents is proposed for a half-infinite thin-film strip with source-sink points at arbitrary positions at the film edges. The strip width W is assumed small relative to $\Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$; λ is the bulk London penetration depth of the film material and d is the film thickness.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.90.184504](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.184504)

PACS number(s): 74.55.+v, 74.78.-w, 85.25.Cp

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the physics of Josephson phenomena enjoyed a number of important developments. Introduction of π and $0-\pi$ junctions [1], various ways to have the phase shift different from π [2,3], and the effect of vortices in the junction vicinity [4–6], to name a few. Striking improvements in managing Josephson phenomena came after injection of currents into one of the thin-film banks was introduced that allowed for continuous control of the phase difference on the junction [7–9] and, in particular, to imitate the $0-\pi$ behavior [10]. This development necessitates evaluation of the injected supercurrent distribution in one of the junction banks since this determines the distribution of the superconducting phase.

In one of the possible realizations, the junction is formed by two “half-infinite” thin-film strips with overlapped edges. Extra current injectors are attached to the edges of one of the films, shown schematically in Fig. 1. The injected current affects the phase distribution in the thin-film bank where it flows and thus the phase difference on the junction. We show in this article that for sufficiently thin films with the size W smaller than the Pearl length $\Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$ the problem of the injected currents can be solved under very general assumptions, so that the design of junctions with needed properties becomes possible.

II. STREAM FUNCTION

Consider a half-infinite thin-film strip of a width $W \ll \Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$ where λ is the London penetration depth of the film material and d is the film thickness. Choose x along the strip, $0 < x < \infty$, and y across so that $0 < y < W$ [Fig. 1(b)]. Let the injection-sink points be at (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) at the film edge.

The London equation integrated over the film thickness reads

$$h_z + \frac{2\pi\Lambda}{c} \text{curl}_z \mathbf{g} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here, \mathbf{g} is the sheet current density and h is the self-field of the current \mathbf{g} . The Biot-Savart integral for h_z in terms of \mathbf{g}




FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A sketch of two semi-infinite thin-film strips forming the Josephson junction in the overlapping shaded rectangle of the width Δx . (b) The top film; arrows show positions of injection point contacts separated by Δy . (c) The stream function S at the film edge.

shows that h_z is of the order g/c , whereas the second term on the left-hand side of Eq. (1) is of the order $g\Lambda/cW \gg g/c$. Hence, in narrow strips with $W \ll \Lambda$, the self-field can be disregarded. Introducing the scalar stream function S via $\mathbf{g} = \text{curl}[S(x, y)\hat{z}]$, we obtain instead of Eq. (1),

$$\nabla^2 S = 0. \quad (2)$$

Physically, this simplification comes about since in narrow films the major contribution to the system energy is the kinetic energy of supercurrents, while their magnetic energy can be disregarded.

The boundary condition of the zero current component normal to edges, e.g., $g_y = -\partial_x S = 0$ at the edge $y = 0$,

*kogan@ameslab.gov

†mints@post.tau.ac.il

translates to $S = \text{constant}$ along the edges. This constant, however, is not necessarily the same everywhere, in particular, it should experience a finite jump at injection points. Consider a point contact at the edge as illustrated in Fig. 1, take its position as the origin of polar coordinates, and integrate the r component of the current \mathbf{g} along the small half-circle centered at the injection point. The total injected current is

$$I = \int_0^\pi g_r r d\phi = \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} d\phi = S(\pi) - S(0). \quad (3)$$

Hence, on two sides of the injection point the stream function experiences a jump equal to the total injected current. Clearly, at the current sink, the S jump has the opposite sign. Thus, we can choose $S = 0$ everywhere at the edges of the half-infinite strip, except the segment between the injection and sink points, where $S = I$.

To solve the Laplace equation [Eq. (2)] we first employ the conformal mapping of the half-strip to a half-plane [6,11]:

$$u + iv = -i \cosh \pi(x + iy). \quad (4)$$

It is seen that the half-plane $u > 0$ is transformed to the half-strip of a width 1 (hereafter we use W as a unit length). Explicitly, this transformation reads

$$u = \sinh \pi x \sin \pi y, \quad v = -\cosh \pi x \cos \pi y. \quad (5)$$

Hence, we have to solve the Laplace equation on a half-plane $u > 0$ subject to boundary conditions $S = I$ at the edge $u = 0$ in the interval $v_1 < v < v_2$ with

$$v_1 = -\cosh \pi x_1 \cos \pi y_1, \quad v_2 = -\cosh \pi x_2 \cos \pi y_2, \quad (6)$$

and $S = 0$ otherwise.

To proceed, we first write the “step function” $S(0, v)$ at the edge $u = 0$ as a Fourier integral:

$$S(0, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} S(0, k) e^{ikv}, \quad (7)$$

$$S(0, k) = I \int_{v_1}^{v_2} dv e^{-ikv} = \frac{I}{ik} (e^{-ikv_1} - e^{-ikv_2}). \quad (8)$$

Since $S(0, v)$ is a linear superposition of plane waves e^{ikv} , we first consider the solution of the Laplace equation ($\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2$) $s(u, v) = 0$ subject to the boundary condition $s(0, v) = e^{ikv}$. Separating variables we obtain $s(u, v) = e^{ikv} e^{-|k|u}$. Hence, the solution for the actual boundary condition is

$$S(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} S(0, k) e^{ikv - |k|u}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting here $S(0, k)$ of Eq. (8) one obtains

$$S(u, v) = \frac{I}{\pi} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{v - v_1}{u} - \tan^{-1} \frac{v - v_2}{u} \right). \quad (10)$$

It is seen that at $u \rightarrow 0$, $S = I$ if $v_1 < v < v_2$ and $S = 0$ otherwise, as it should be. Thus, we have the stream function at the half-plane $u > 0$ for arbitrary positions v_1 and v_2 of the current contacts at the edge $u = 0$. We now can go back to the (x, y) plane and specify the injection positions.




FIG. 2. The current distribution for the injection points $(0, 0.3)$ and $(0, 0.7)$.

A. Injectors at the edge $x = 0$

Let the injector and the sink be at $(0, y_1)$ and $(0, y_2)$. We obtain

$$\frac{S(x, y)}{I/\pi} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\cos \pi y_1 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{\cos \pi y_2 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y}. \quad (11)$$

The lines of the current \mathbf{g} are given by $\mathbf{g} \times d\mathbf{r} = 0$ or by $\partial_x S dx + \partial_y S dy = dS = 0$, in other words, by contours of $S = \text{const}$. An example is shown in Fig. 2.

B. The injector at $y = 0$ and the sink at $x = 0$

This situation corresponds to positions $(x_1, 0)$ and $(0, y_1)$:

$$\frac{S(x, y)}{I/\pi} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi x_1 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{\cosh \pi y_2 - \cosh \pi x \cos \pi y}{\sinh \pi x \sin \pi y}. \quad (12)$$

An example is shown in Fig. 3.

It is worth noting that the same method can be employed for currents injected to thin films of any polygonal shape. According to the Schwartz-Christoffel theorem on conformal transformations, any polygon can be mapped onto a half-plane. The general solution (10) on the (u, v) plane will hold. Therefore, knowing the function which realizes the needed transformation, one obtains $S(x, y)$. The only physical precondition for this method to work is the requirement of




FIG. 3. The current distribution for the asymmetric injection points $(0.2, 0)$ and $(0, 0.7)$.




FIG. 4. The phase $\phi(x_0, y)$ for $j = 1$ at fixed distances x_0 from the edge $x = 0$ as a function of the transverse coordinate y for symmetric contacts at $y_1 = 0.3$ and $y_2 = 0.7$. The solid line is for $x_0 = 0.05$; the dashed line is for $x_0 = 0.2$.

a small sample size on the scale of Pearl length $2\lambda^2/d$, that allows one to reduce the problem to the Laplace equation for the stream function S . The method can be applied for more than two injection points or to extended injections, which require, though, different boundary conditions imposed on S .

III. PHASE

We now note that the sheet current is expressed either in terms of the gauge invariant phase ϕ or via the stream function S :

$$\mathbf{g} = -\frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi^2\Lambda} \nabla\phi = \text{curl}(S\hat{z}).$$

This relation written in components shows that $S(\mathbf{r})$ and $(c\phi_0/4\pi^2\Lambda)\phi(\mathbf{r})$ are the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function.

It is easy to construct the phase on the (u, v) plane since

$$-i \ln(u + iv) = \tan^{-1}(v/u) - i \ln \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}.$$

Hence, the phase corresponding to the stream function of Eq. (10) obeys

$$\frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi^2\Lambda} \phi = -\frac{I}{\pi} \ln \frac{\sqrt{u^2 + (v - v_1)^2}}{\sqrt{u^2 + (v - v_2)^2}}, \quad (13)$$

or

$$\phi = -\frac{I}{I_0} \ln \frac{u^2 + (v - v_1)^2}{u^2 + (v - v_2)^2}, \quad I_0 = \frac{c\phi_0}{4\pi\Lambda}. \quad (14)$$

The characteristic current I_0 depends on the Pearl length. Thus, the phase is proportional to the reduced injected current $j = I/I_0$ and a factor ϕ/j depending on the film geometry and injection positions. Substituting here $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ one obtains the phase as a function of (x, y) .

Examples of the phase near the edge $x = 0$ are shown in Fig. 4. Clearly, one can make the phase “jump” steeper by putting injection contacts closer. It is worth noting that the phase change due to injected currents can be used, e.g., to imitate properties of $0-\pi$ junctions, or in fact to have any phase shift by choosing properly the injected current.




FIG. 5. $J_c(j)$ for the junction width $\Delta x = 0.1$ and symmetric contacts at the edge $x = 0$. $y_1 = 0.3$ and $y_2 = 0.7$ so that the distance between contacts is $\Delta y = 0.4$.

IV. JOSEPHSON CRITICAL CURRENT

The total Josephson current through a rectangular patch [the shaded region in Fig. 1(a) with dimensions $\Delta x \times W$] is

$$\frac{J(I/I_0)}{J_{c0}} = \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \sin[\phi(x, y, I/I_0) + \phi_0], \quad (15)$$

where J_{c0} is the critical Josephson current density (which in the following is set equal to 1) and ϕ_0 is an overall phase imposed by the transport current through the junction. To find the critical current, we maximize this relative to ϕ_0 to obtain $J_c = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \sin[\phi(x, y; j)], \\ B &= \int_0^{\Delta x} dx \int_0^1 dy \cos[\phi(x, y; j)]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

A. Symmetric injection

Consider $J_c(j)$ for the rectangular junction of the width $\Delta x = 0.1 W$, similar to the experimental setup [8,9,12]. The injection contacts are at the edge $x = 0$ and $y_1 = 0.3$ and $y_2 = 0.7$, i.e., they are symmetric relative to the strip middle $y = 1/2$. The current distribution for this case is given in Fig. 2. $J_c(j)$ evaluated with the help of Eq. (16) is shown in Fig. 5.

We note that $J_c(0)$ is proportional to the junction width Δx , since the Josephson critical current density is constant in the absence of injected currents.

Figure 6 shows $J_c(j)$ in the same junction with contacts at $y_1 = 0.48$ and $y_2 = 0.52$ so that they are separated by $\Delta y = 0.04$, 10 times closer than in the previous example.

Comparing these plots we see that the first zero of J_c is at $j \approx 0.5$ in the first graph whereas it is at $j \approx 5$ in the second. We then conclude that zeros roughly scale as the inverse of the contact separation, $1/\Delta y$. We also observe that maxima of J_c seem to be independent of contact separation. It is shown below that these properties of $J_c(j)$ can be traced back to general expressions (15) and (16) for narrow junctions, $\Delta x \ll 1$, and small contact separations $\Delta y \ll 1$.

To this end, we note that being a solution of the Laplace equation for a half-infinite strip, the phase $\phi(x, y)$ changes considerably on distances of the order $W = 1$ from the edge




FIG. 6. $J_c(I/I_0)$ for the junction width $\Delta x = 0.1$ and symmetric contacts at the edge $x = 0$ and $y_1 = 0.48$ and $y_2 = 0.52$ so that the distance between contacts is $\Delta y = 0.04$.

$x = 0$ where the contacts are placed. Hence, for narrow junctions with $\Delta x \ll 1$, one can set in the first approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &\approx \varphi(0, y) = -j \ln \frac{(v - v_1)^2}{(v - v_2)^2} \\ &= -j \ln \frac{(\cos \pi y - \cos \pi y_1)^2}{(\cos \pi y - \cos \pi y_2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Here, $y_{2,1} = (1 \pm \Delta y)/2$, and for $\Delta y \ll 1$ one can expand the last expression in powers of Δy :

$$\varphi(0, y) = \frac{2\pi j \Delta y}{\cos \pi y} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta y)^3. \quad (18)$$

Since $\cos \pi y$ is odd relative to the strip middle, and so is $\sin \varphi(0, y)$, we have $A = 0$, and $J_c = |B|$ [see Eq. (16)]:

$$\begin{aligned} J_c &= \left| \int_0^{\Delta x} \int_0^1 dx dy \cos[\varphi(x, y)] \right| \\ &= \Delta x \left| \int_0^1 dy \cos \frac{2\pi j \Delta y}{\cos \pi y} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Clearly, when no current is injected, $J_c(0) = \Delta x$ as is seen in Figs. 5 and 6. The integral over y can be done:

$$\frac{J_c}{\Delta x} = \left| 1 - {}_pF_q \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \left\{ 1, \frac{3}{2} \right\}, -\frac{\eta^2}{4} \right] \right|, \quad (20)$$

where ${}_pF_q$ is the generalized hypergeometric function and $\eta = 2\pi j \Delta y$. This function is expressed in terms of Bessel and Struve functions so that it oscillates when η changes:

$$J_0(\eta) - \frac{\pi}{2} [J_0(\eta) \mathbf{H}_1(\eta) - J_1(\eta) \mathbf{H}_0(\eta)]. \quad (21)$$

It is worth noting that J_c of Eqs. (19) and (20) depends on the injected current j and the contact separation Δy only via the product $\eta = 2\pi j \Delta y$. In other words, the curves $J_c(j, \Delta y)$ for different contact separations Δy can be rescaled to a universal curve $J_c(\eta)$. In particular, the zeros of $J_c(j)$ and the positions of its maxima should scale as $1/\Delta y$. Unlike their positions, the absolute value of its n th maximum is independent of the separation Δy .

The two first roots of $J_c(\eta)$ found numerically are 1.11 and 4.06. For $\Delta y = 0.4$, we then obtain the two first zeros of $J_c(j)$: $j_1 = \eta_1/2\pi \Delta y \approx 0.44$ and $j_2 \approx 1.61$. For $\Delta y = 0.04$,




FIG. 7. $J_c(j)$ corresponding to the current distribution of Fig. 3 for the injection points $(0.2, 0)$ and $(0, 0.7)$.

the two first zeros are at 4.41 and 16.1. These are close to what we have at Figs. 5 and 6 although here we study an approximate solution for $\Delta x \ll 1$ whereas the figures are results of “exact” numerical integration.

Let us now consider the magnetic field H applied parallel to the junction plane (x, y) . In general, the critical current should depend on both H and j , $J_c = J_c(j, H)$. In the absence of injected currents, $J_c(0, H)$ has a standard shape with maximum at $H = 0$. The presence of zeros of $J_c(j)$ for symmetric injection in zero field, has an important consequence. If the injected current j_n is such that $J_c(j_n) = 0$, application of the magnetic field will result in the pattern $J_c(j_n, H)$ such that $J_c(j_n, 0) = 0$, i.e., the curve $J_c(j_n, H)$ will have zero at $H = 0$ instead of the standard maximum. This situation is similar to the famous case of the “ $0-\pi$ ” junction [1], however, here the injected currents cause a necessary phase shift. Precisely this situation has been seen in experiment [7,8] with symmetric injectors.

It should be noted that another example of a symmetric injection had been discussed in Ref. [13] where the dynamics of a one-dimensional annular junction with injected current was studied. In particular, $J_c(j)$ qualitatively similar to those shown in Figs. 5 and 6 had been predicted.

B. Asymmetric injection

If the injection contacts are arranged asymmetrically as, e.g., in Fig. 3, the minima of $J_c(j)$ do not reach zeros as shown in Fig. 7.

Without discussing a variety of asymmetric injections, we note that $J_c(j)$ of all of them have the property that their minima do not reach zeros.

V. DISCUSSION

In summary, we have shown that the current distribution in thin-film samples, small on the scale of the Pearl length $\Lambda = 2\lambda^2/d$, can be found by solving the Laplace equation for the stream function under boundary conditions specified for injection sources at arbitrary points at sample edges. When this film constitutes one of the Josephson junction banks, the contribution of the phase associated with injected currents to the junction phase difference is proportional to the injected current $j = 4\pi \Lambda I/c\phi_0$. Hence, the thinner the film (or the

larger the Pearl Λ) the smaller injected currents are needed for the same effect upon the junction properties. The critical Josephson current $J_c(j)$, for certain (symmetric) infection geometries, has zeros, the position of which scales as the inverse distance between the injection points. If j_n is one of these zeros, application of a field H parallel to the junction plane results in a pattern $J_c(H, j_n)$ with zero at $H = 0$ instead of a standard maximum, the property seen in experiments [7,8].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to A. Ustinov for the interest and comments and to E. Goldobin for sharing experimental information and many helpful discussions. The Ames Laboratory is supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358.

-
- [1] L. N. Bulaevskii, V. V. Kuzii, and A. A. Sobyenin, *Sol. St. Com* **25**, 1053 (1978).
 - [2] C. C. Tsuei and J. R. Kirtley, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 969 (2000).
 - [3] R. G. Mints, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, R3221 (1998).
 - [4] T. Golod, A. Rydh, and V. M. Krasnov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 227003 (2010).
 - [5] V. G. Kogan and R. G. Mints, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 014516 (2014).
 - [6] V. G. Kogan and R. G. Mints, *Phys. C Superc.* **502**, 58 (2014).
 - [7] A. V. Ustinov, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **80**, 3153 (2002).
 - [8] E. Goldobin, A. Sterck, T. Gaber, D. Koelle, and R. Kleiner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 057005 (2004).
 - [9] A. Dewes, T. Gaber, D. Koelle, R. Kleiner, and E. Goldobin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 247001 (2008).
 - [10] E. Goldobin, D. Koelle, and R. Kleiner, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 174519 (2004).
 - [11] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
 - [12] T. Gaber, E. Goldobin, A. Sterck, R. Kleiner, D. Koelle, M. Siegel, and M. Neuhaus, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 054522 (2005).
 - [13] B. A. Malomed and A. V. Ustinov, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 064502 (2004).