Pinning and unbinding of ideal polymers from a wedge corner
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A polymer repelled by unfavorable interactions with a uniform flat surface may still be pinned to attractive edges and corners. This is demonstrated by considering adsorption of a two-dimensional ideal polymer to an attractive corner of a repulsive wedge. The well-known mapping between the statistical mechanics of an ideal polymer and the quantum problem of a particle in a potential is then used to analyze the singular behavior of the unbinding transition of the polymer. The divergence of the localization length is found to be governed by an exponent that varies continuously with the angle (when reflex). Numerical treatment of the discrete (lattice) version of such an adsorption problem confirms this behavior.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Absorption of polymers to surfaces is a common phenomenon, manifesting a competition between energy gain of binding and entropy loss of fluctuations in unbound configurations. As compromise, a polymer attached (“anchored”) by one end to the surface may decrease its energy by staying within a finite distance ξ from the surface and frequently visiting it. The reduction in entropy of the polymer in this absorbed state is thus compensated by a bigger gain in energy, the balance between the two is determined by temperature T. Above the adsorption critical temperature θ1, the polymer depins from the surface, transitioning into a delocalized state. Such transitions have been studied in great detail in the literature [1–9].

Polymers in the bulk also exist in different states, with distinct universal characteristics [10]. Configurations of polymers in good solvents are designated as self-avoiding, with repulsive interactions between the monomers paramount. If the latter can be ignored, the polymers are called ideal and frequently modeled as random walks on a lattice. These, and other polymer types, each exhibit separate singular behavior near the adsorption transition, characterized by distinct exponents [11]. Transitions of ideal polymers have been extensively studied due to their analogy to well-known models of quantum particles in attractive potentials [12]. For most studies of adsorption transitions, the analogous potential includes both attractive areas and repulsive components, to model solid surfaces covered by an attractive layer. (In the absence of the repulsive part, an ideal polymer is always absorbed to an attractive layer.)

Geometry and dimensionality play an important role in determining the unbinding transition temperature and its singular behavior, leading to different characteristics for polymer adsorption to a rod [13,14], a sphere [15,16], or an arbitrarily shaped mesoscopic particle covered by an attractive layer [17]. In many cases, the adsorbing body introduces an external length scale to the polymer problem, through its finite size or curvature. However, there are also interesting cases where adsorption is to a scale-free form, such as the repulsive (infinite) wedge depicted in Fig. 1, with its edge covered by an attractive layer of microscopic diameter. A polymer attached to such a wedge is expected to undergo an adsorption transition with properties, including critical exponents, that depend on dimensionless descriptors such as the wedge angle. Such angle dependence of critical exponents is not new. The total partition function of a long flexible homogeneous polymer in a dilute solvent scales with the number of monomers N as $Z_{\text{tot}} \sim \mu^N N^{-\gamma}$. While the leading (exponential) term depends on the nonuniversal parameter μ, the exponent γ in the subleading power law is universal depending on only a few major features, such as the space dimension d or the polymer state [10]. If a polymer is attached to a repulsive scale-free surface, such as a plane, or the tip of a cone or a wedge, its partition function will have the same form, but with a smaller exponent γ due to reduction in the number of possible configurations [18–20]. Similar behavior, albeit with a yet different set of angle-dependent exponents γ, is expected at the desorption transition from scale-free surfaces [21].

The ideal polymer in the configuration depicted in Fig. 1 maps to the quantum problem of a particle in the two-dimensional potential obtained from a cross section of the geometry. As we demonstrate in this work, this problem is exactly solvable. While different from the case of a realistic (hence self-avoiding) polymer in three dimensions, we hope that the two share qualitative characteristics. If the quality of a solvent is reduced, the monomers will tend to aggregate. At the compensation point between good and poor solvent, denoted the Θ point, the resulting polymer configurations are called Θ polymers [10]. In $d = 3$ in free space many of their characteristics are close to ideal polymers. However, we do not expect this similarity to extend to adsorption to a line. (The two-dimensional problem of a self-avoiding and Θ polymers in a similar potential does not have a bound state due to the screening of the attractive point.) We expect self-avoiding polymers and ideal polymers in the setup of Fig. 1 to share the property of continuous variations of exponents of the unbinding transition with the wedge angle, although the actual exponents will naturally differ.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we recount the analogy between a polymer in the presence of a weak, slowly varying, potential, and the quantum mechanical problem of a particle in a potential well. We also...
formulate the problem on a lattice and point out the differences between continuous and discrete systems. In Sec. III we consider a two-dimensional problem of a circular well confined by the repulsive walls of a wedge. We find the critical strength of the well potential as a function of the wedge opening angle and characterize the singular behavior of the unbinding transition. The dependence on polymer length \( N \) and the exponent \( \gamma \) are detailed in Sec. IV. The discrete version of the problem, with an attractive lattice site located near a wedge is described in Sec. V, where we determine numerically both the transition point and the correlation length exponent for several wedge angles (Sec. VI).

II. ANALOGY TO QUANTUM BOUND STATES

The well-known mapping between adsorption of a polymer and bound states in quantum mechanics [12] is briefly reviewed here. Let \( Z(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N) \) denote the partition function of an ideal polymer of \( N \) steps, of mean squared size \( \ell^2 \), that starts at point \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) and ends at point \( \mathbf{r} \). In free space the total partition function is \( Z_0 \equiv \int Z d^dr = \mu^N \), and it is convenient to define the reduced partition function \( \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N) = Z(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N)/Z_0 \). If the potential affecting the monomers, \( V^\text{th}(\mathbf{r}) \), changes slowly, such that at temperature \( T \) its change over the distance \( \ell \) is much smaller than \( k_B T \), then the partition function difference \( \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N + 1) - \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N) \approx \partial \tilde{Z}/\partial N \) can be cast in the continuum form [22]

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial N} = \frac{\ell^2}{2d} \nabla^2 \tilde{Z} - \beta V^\text{th} \tilde{Z},
\]

supplemented with the initial condition \( \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, 0) = \delta^d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \). This equation can be solved by variable separation, which leads to eigenvalue equation

\[
\left( -\frac{\ell^2}{2d} \nabla^2 + \beta V^\text{th} \right) f_\alpha = E^\text{th}_\alpha f_\alpha.
\]

Knowledge of all the eigenfunctions \( f_\alpha \), and their eigenvalues ("energies") \( E^\text{th}_\alpha \), enables reconstruction of the reduced partition function as

\[
\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N) = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) f^*_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_0) e^{-E^\text{th}_\alpha N}.
\]

The analogy of the above treatment with the single particle Schrödinger equation is immediately apparent. In this analogy, the variable \( N \) corresponds to an imaginary time for the quantum particle, its mass \( m \) and potential \( V^\text{th} \) related by \( \beta V^\text{th} d/\ell^2 = m V^\text{th} / h^2 \), with the same scaling for \( E^\text{th}_\alpha \) in the eigenvalue equation.

If the potential \( V^\text{th}(\mathbf{r}) \) includes attractive parts, it may support bound states [23] with discrete eigenvalues \( E^\text{th}_\alpha<0 \). If there is a gap between the ground and the first excited state, for large \( N \) the solution will be dominated by the ground state \( (\alpha = 0) \), and

\[
\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, N) \approx f_0(\mathbf{r}) f^*_0(\mathbf{r}_0) e^{-E^\text{th}_0 N}.
\]

Since \( \tilde{Z} \) is positive, the ground state function \( f_0(\mathbf{r}) \) cannot alternate in sign, and can be chosen as being non-negative everywhere. (The absence of nodes in the ground state of a quantum particle is well known.) A bound state \( f_0(\mathbf{r}) \) will be localized within some localization length \( \xi \) in the neighborhood of the well. Since \( \tilde{Z} \) is proportional to the probability to find the polymer end at \( \mathbf{r} \), this implies that the polymer is also localized in the vicinity of the attractive potential. Assuming a typical linear size \( a \) of the potential "well," it is convenient to recast the equation in terms of dimensionless coordinates \( \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}/a \), as

\[
(-\nabla'^2 + V) f_\alpha = E^\alpha f_\alpha. \tag{5}
\]

Here \( \nabla'^2 \) represents the Laplacian in dimensionless coordinates, \( E^\alpha \equiv (2a^2d/\ell^2) E^\text{th}_\alpha \) are the dimensionless energy eigenvalues, and

\[
V \equiv \frac{2d \beta a^2}{\ell^2} V^\text{th} \tag{6}
\]

is the dimensionless potential. For further reference, we note that the \( N \)-dependent Eq. (1) in the new dimensionless variables can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial N'} = \nabla'^2 \tilde{Z} - V \tilde{Z}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( N' \equiv N \ell^2/(2d a^2) \). Note that \( E^\alpha N' = E^\text{th}_\alpha N \). In what follows, we omit the prime in coordinate notation and always measure the distances relative to the extent of the potential.

It is well known in quantum mechanics that any purely attractive potential in \( d = 1 \) dimension always has a bound state [24], while a sufficiently deep well may have many bound states. (There is also a slightly more relaxed criterion guaranteeing the presence of bound states [25].) The situation is similar in \( d = 2 \) dimensions, where a bound state can always be found [26]. As a concrete example, consider a circular well of unit radius

\[
V_{\text{circular}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} -V_0, & \text{for } r < 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq 1 \end{cases}. \tag{8}
\]

The above discontinuous potential was chosen for its simplicity, since we expect that the universal features of the unbinding transition are independent of its detailed shape (as is the case in \( d = 1 \)). This choice may appear to contradict the statement at the beginning of this section that the analogy of the ideal polymer to the "quantum particle" in Eq. (1) is valid only for slowly varying potentials. The actual requirement is that for a potential \( V^\text{th} \sim k_B T \) the range \( \Delta r \) of the change in the potential should satisfy \( \ell \ll \Delta r \). The discontinuous potential
in Eq. (8) can thus be viewed as the continuum limit of the case of \( \ell \ll \Delta r \ll a = 1 \) and therefore represents a valid situation for this mapping.

The eigenfunctions in both interior and exterior of the well described by Eq. (8) are Bessel functions. In the case of the ground state they correspond to the regular and second modified Bessel functions \( J_0 \) and \( K_0 \), respectively. For a shallow well \( (V_0 \ll 1) \) the ground state energy is extremely small \( (E_0 \sim e^{-d}V_0) \), and the corresponding localization length is very large \([27]\). In higher dimensions \( d \), the presence or absence of bound states depends on the depth and details of the potential. In fact, if \( d \) is viewed as continuous variable, it can be shown \([27]\) that the property of always having a bound state disappears immediately above \( d = 2 \).

The above theorems do not apply to potentials that have both repulsive and attractive parts. For instance, a one-dimensional potential representing an attractive layer on a repulsive wall may have no bound states if it is shallow enough. We shall see that a similar situation appears for a two-dimensional circular well in the presence of repulsive walls.

Many theoretical studies of polymers near attractive and repulsive surfaces are performed on discrete lattice models. We will consider a \( d \)-dimensional hypercubic lattice, with lattice spacing \( \ell \), with polymer configurations represented by \( N \)-step walks. The total partition function of a polymer in the absence of any potentials is \( Z_0 = (2d)^N \). The potential \( V^{\text{th}} \) is modeled by Boltzmann weights \( q(r) = \exp(-\beta V^{\text{th}}) \) assigned to lattice sites. In free space \( q = 1 \), on the repulsive wall \( q = 0 \), while for well of depth \( V^{\text{th}} = -V_0 \), \( v = \exp(\beta V_0) \). The reduced \((N+1)\)-step partition function can be deduced from \( N \)-step reduced partition function by

\[
\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, r_0, N + 1) = \frac{q(r)}{2d} \sum_{\mathbf{r}' \in \text{nn of } r} \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}', r_0, N),
\]

with the starting condition \( \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, r_0, 0) = q(r_0)\delta_{r, r_0} \).

As an aside, note that hypercubic lattices are bipartite, their sites separable into disjoint “even” (“e”) and “odd” (“o”) subsets. A walk starting on one subset lands on the same subset (or its complement) after an even (odd) number of steps, with \( \tilde{Z}(\mathbf{r}, r_0, N) = 0 \) on one or other subset. Equation (9) can be written in matrix form

\[
\tilde{Z}_{N+1} = M \tilde{Z}_N,
\]

where the matrix \( M(r,r') \) connects “e” and “o” coordinates. A further recursion yields

\[
\tilde{Z}_{N+2} = M^2 \tilde{Z}_N,
\]

which connects only sites of the same type. Thus the matrix \( M^2 \) can be decomposed into two completely unconnected submatrices. Since the elements of each submatrix are positive, the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda^2 \) is real positive, and the corresponding eigenvector is unique. Indicating by \( \psi_e(r) \) and \( \psi_o(r) \) the eigenvector in the even subspace,

\[
\lambda^2 \psi_e(r) = M^2(r,r') \psi_e(r'),
\]

it is easy to see that \( \psi_o(r) \equiv M(r,r') \psi_e(r') \) is an eigenvector (with eigenvalue \( \lambda^2 \)) in the odd subspace. The orthogonal states \( \psi_o \) and \( \psi_e \) are in the above sense “ground states” of the problem, with energy \( E_0 \) obtained from \( \lambda^2 = e^{-2E_0} \).

For a weak potential with small variations between adjacent lattice sites, Eq. (9) coincides with Eq. (1). However, in a typical lattice simulation the geometrical features are reduced to a bare minimum; e.g., an attractive well near a flat repulsive surface is represented by a single lattice layer of sites with some weight \( w \), while a well near a repulsive wall or wedge may be represented by a single attractive site with a weight \( v \). Since the width of the attractive layer, or a well \( a \), coincide with the polymer step size \( \ell \), we may expect only qualitative similarity between the solutions of Eqs. (1) and (9). Nevertheless, we shall see that the results of continuous and discrete problems are rather close in their numerical values.

**III. ATTRACTIVE CIRCULAR WELL WITHIN A SECTOR**

We next consider a circular well, as in Eq. (8), near a repulsive wall, either flat or forming a sector with opening angle \( 2\theta_0 \) as depicted in Fig. 2. The potential will be

\[
V_{\text{sect}, \theta_0}(r, \phi) = \begin{cases} V_{\text{circ}}(r), & \text{for } -\theta_0 < \phi < \theta_0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

where \( r \) and \( \phi \) are the polar coordinates depicting the distance from the origin, and the azimuthal angle, respectively. As before, we assume that the distances are measured relative to the circle radius.

In polar coordinates, Eq. (5) for potential \( V_{\text{sect}} \) becomes

\[
-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 f_a}{\partial \phi^2} = \epsilon_a f_a,
\]

where \( \epsilon_a \equiv E_a + V_0 \) inside the well \((r < 1)\), and \( \epsilon_a \equiv E_a \) outside the well \((r > 1)\). The repulsive walls of the sector are enforced by the boundary condition \( f_a(r, \phi = \pm \theta_0) = 0 \). The eigenfunction \( f_a \) and its derivative are continuous at \( r = 1 \). To ensure that the function vanishes on the boundaries of the sector, its angular dependence in constrained to the forms
where the prime denotes derivative of a function with respect to its argument. For large \( U_c \) we can have multiple bound states for several values of \( \nu \).

Since we are interested in the ground state, we will consider only \( v \equiv \nu = \pi/2\theta_0 \), since larger \( \nu \) solutions have alternating signs. Of all possible solutions for this \( m \) we look for the smallest \( k \). The number of bound states will decrease with decreasing \( V_0 \), and for a limiting \( V_0 = U_c \), the eigenenergy \( E_0 \) of the ground state is zero, reducing Eq. (15) to

\[
\frac{\sqrt{U_c} J'_m(\sqrt{U_c})}{J_m(\sqrt{U_c})} = \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{q K'_m(q)}{K_m(q)} = -m.
\]

By using the recurrence relations of Bessel functions and their derivatives [30], we find that Eq. (16) is reduced to solving \( J_{m-1}(\sqrt{U_c}) = 0 \). Thus, \( U_c \) is simply the square of the first zero of \( J_{m-1}(\sqrt{U_c}) \). Figure 3 depicts the dependence of \( U_c \) on the angle \( \theta_0 \). As expected, the critical depth \( U_c \) diverges with increasing confinement for \( \theta_0 \to 0 \). Since for large \( m \) the first root of \( J_m \) is approximately at \( m [31] \), for small \( \theta_0 \) we have \( U_c \approx m^2 = (\pi/2\theta_0)^2 \). At the other extreme \( U_c(\theta_0 = \pi) = \pi^2/4 \), while the radial part of the ground state eigenfunction at \( E_0 = 0 \) inside the well becomes \( J_{1/2}(\pi r/2) \), where \( J_{1/2}(x) \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi x} \). Thus \( U_c \) remains finite when the sector becomes a needle-like insertion into the attractive well and the eigenfunction has a very different shape from the bound states of a circular well without the repulsive walls. For \( \theta_0 = \pi/2 \) the sector becomes a straight line, and \( U_c \approx 5.78 \).

For \( V_0 > U_c \) bound states are present, and the partition function at large distances is described by \( K_m(qr) \sim (q r)^{-m} \). The exponential decay of this function implies that the polymer is localized in the vicinity of the corner over a distance of order \( \xi = 1/q = 1/\sqrt{\sqrt{U_c}} \). (For long polymers, only the ground state needs to be taken into account.) When \( E_0 = 0 \) the exponential long distance decay of \( K_m(qr) \) is replaced by a power law \( r^{-m} \). This is different from the one-dimensional case, where \( E_0 = 0 \) corresponds to a function that is constant outside the well. When the depth of the well is close to \( U_c \), i.e., for small \( \delta V_0 \equiv V_0 - U_c \), \( E_0 \) is also small, and we can expand the left-hand side of Eq. (15) (with \( m = \nu = 0 \)) \( F_{L,m}(k) = F_{L,m}(\sqrt{U_c} + \delta V_0 + E_0) \equiv k J'_m(k)/J_m(k) \) in \( \delta V_0 + E_0 \),

\[
F_{L,m} \approx -m - \frac{1}{2} E_0 + \delta V_0, \quad m > 1,
\]

and also expand the right-hand side of the same equation

\[
F_{R,m}(q) = F_{R,m}(\sqrt{-E_0}) \equiv q K'_m(q)/K_m(q) \quad \text{in} \quad (-E_0).
\]

The latter expansion has different forms depending on the value of \( m = 2\theta_0/\pi [30] \):

\[
F_{R,m} \approx \begin{cases} 
-m - \frac{1}{2m-1} (-E_0), & \text{for } m > 1, \\
-1 - \frac{1}{2} (-E_0) \ln(-E_0), & \text{for } m = 1, \\
-m - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi m/2) \pi/m} (-E_0)^{m/2}, & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < m < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

By equating \( F_{L,m} = F_{R,m} \) we find that, to the leading order, \( E_0 \) depends on \( \delta V_0 \) as follows:

\[
q^2 = -E_0 \approx \begin{cases} 
\delta V_0, & \text{for } 0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\delta V_0/\ln \delta V_0, & \text{for } \theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\delta V_0^{2\theta_0/\pi}, & \text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi.
\end{cases}
\]

Since the dimensionless potential \( V_0 \) depends on the physical potential \( V_0^\text{th} \) and the temperature via Eq. (6), \( \delta V_0 = (2a^2 d/k_B T^2)^2 V_0^\text{th}(1/T - 1/T_\infty) \approx (2a^2 d/k_B T_0^2)^2 V_0^\text{th}(T_0 - T) \), we can relate the divergence of the localization length to the temperature difference by

\[
\xi \sim \begin{cases} 
(T_a - T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } 0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}, \\
[\ln(T_a - T)]^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_a - T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \\
(T_a - T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi.
\end{cases}
\]

In the above equation, \( T_a \) itself depends on \( \theta_0 \) due to the \( \theta_0 \) dependence of the critical depth \( U_c \). The \( \theta_0 \to \pi \) limit in the third case of Eq. (20) represents a needle-like insertion into the circular well and differs from the situation when the walls of the wedge are completely absent, since the semi-infinite straight line presents a significant obstacle for a random walk. The dependence of the critical behavior in Eq. (20) is reminiscent of other power-law dependencies, such as that of...
the density of the polymer, or the pressure it exerts on walls of a wedge [32]. (In particular, see Fig. 5 in Ref. [32].) They are all manifestations of scale invariance of the geometry, and appropriate polymer properties. Detailed knowledge of the pressure distribution may shed light on the nontrivial behavior described by Eq. (20). The results in Ref. [32] are expected to be valid in the desorbed phase. The formalism presented in this paper does not allow direct calculation of the local pressure distribution. It is likely that other existing analytical and numerical, continuous space, and lattice methods [32–36] can be extended to calculations of pressure in the presence of attractive potentials.

Close to the transition point, and at very large distances \( r \gg \xi \), the bound eigenstate has radial component \( K_a(r/\xi) \sim e^{-r/\sqrt{\xi R}} \). However, for distances \( a < r \ll \xi \), where \( a = 1 \) is the well radius in our calculations, the eigenstate has a simple power law dependence \( \sim r^{-m} \). The “typical” polymer size is usually determined through an average of a power of end-to-end distance, such as \( R^n \equiv \langle r^n \rangle \). However, whether or not this quantity reflects the localization length \( \xi \) depends on the relevant normalization is determined by \( \xi \) or \( a \). Thus, for various cases we find

\[
R^n \sim \begin{cases} 
\xi^n, & \text{for } m < 2, \\
\xi^{2m+n}/a^{2m}, & \text{for } 2 < m < 2 + n, \\
a^n \ln(\xi/a), & \text{for } m = 2 + n, \\
a^n, & \text{for } m > 2 + n.
\end{cases}
\]

(21)

Close to the transition, the correlation length will be very large, and a sufficiently long polymer settles into the localized ground state, with measures of its size given by Eq. (21). However, for moderate values of \( N \), the partition function will evolve as a Gaussian, i.e., it will have width of order of \( \sqrt{N} \). In such a case, \( \xi \) in the above expressions should be replaced by \( \sqrt{N} \).

IV. \( N \)-DEPENDENT SOLUTIONS INSIDE THE SECTOR

At very high temperatures the dimensionless potential \( V \) in Eq. (7) becomes negligible, reducing it to the simple diffusion equation

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial N'} = \nabla^2 \tilde{Z},
\]

(22)

where the rescaled polymer length \( N' \) plays the role of time. The required solution for \( \tilde{Z} \) must still vanish on the repulsive boundaries of the sector, corresponding to absorbing boundaries for the diffusors. The exact solution to this problem depends on the initial position of the diffuser (starting point of the polymer) \( r_0 \). However, for sufficiently large \( N' \gg r_0^2 \), a significant portion of diffusing density will reach the boundary, and the memory of the initial condition is only reflected in the prefactor of the asymptotic solution [32,37]

\[
\tilde{Z}(r_0, r, N') = \frac{c}{r_0^{m}} N^{1+m} e^{-r^2/4N'} \cos(m \phi).
\]

(23)

As before, the angle \( \phi \) is measured from the axis of symmetry and \( m = \pi/2\theta_0 \), while the prefactor \( c \) depends on \( r_0 \). Integration of the reduced partition function leads to total partition function \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}} = \text{const} N'^{-m/2} \), and therefore exponent \( \gamma = 1 - m/2 = 1 - \pi/4\theta_0 \) [18–20].

The search for an \( N' \)-dependent solution of the diffusion equation in a wedge with absorbing boundaries (corresponding to repulsive surfaces for the polymer) revealed [32,37], that besides the solution in Eq. (23) there is a complementary solution

\[
\tilde{Z}(r_0, r, N') = \frac{c}{r_0^{m}} N^{1+m} e^{-r^2/4N'} \cos(m \phi),
\]

(24)

where the notations are the same as before. This solution is not appropriate for a purely absorbing wedge, since it diverges as \( r^{-m} \) for \( r \to 0 \), and was thus discarded for the repulsive boundary problem in Refs. [32,37]. However, we note that such functional form resembles the ground state at the transition point for a polymer in a wedge with an attractive well. Unlike the true ground state, this solution has an \( N' \)-dependent prefactor and a Gaussian function that truncates the power law behavior, and is a candidate for the asymptotic \( N' \)-dependent solution for the reduced partition function outside the well (valid for sufficiently large \( N' \), when the details of the initial condition have been forgotten). We do not know the continuation of this function inside the well, but expect that it can be constructed by superposition of states. The fact that in the small \( r \) limit the logarithmic derivative \( d \ln \tilde{Z} / d \ln r \to -m \) indicates that this might be a general solution of a small and deep attractive region in the wedge at the transition temperature. We shall later verify this assumption by numerical solutions of discrete problems.

Assuming that Eq. (24) indeed correctly represents the reduced partition function, we can integrate the expression to obtain the total partition function \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}} = \text{const} N'^{-1} \), with

\[
\gamma = \begin{cases} 
1 + m/2, & \text{for } m < 2, \\
2 \text{ (with log correction)}, & \text{for } m = 2, \\
m, & \text{for } m > 2.
\end{cases}
\]

(25)

These exponents are larger than 1, the value for free space, and therefore the mixture of repulsive wedge with critical attractive point at its corner corresponds to an overall attraction for a polymer in free space.

V. ATTRACTIVE POINT NEAR A REPULSIVE SECTOR ON A LATTICE

For numerical studies of polymer adsorption, discrete (lattice) models provide convenient realizations. Some simple discrete analogs for a small adsorbing well at the corner of a repulsive wedge are depicted in Fig. 4, with the attractive potential acting on a single point near a line of repulsive sites. Equation (9) provides a simple recursive numerical tool for calculating \( \tilde{Z}(r, r_0, N + 1) \) in terms of \( \tilde{Z}(r, r_0, N) \): Starting with \( \tilde{Z}(r, r_0, 0) = q(r_0)\delta_{r, r_0} \), Eq. (9) is iterated \( N \) times for polymer length \( N \). The resulting \( \tilde{Z}(r, r_0, N) \) is proportional to the probability of finding the end of the polymer at \( r \). The total reduced partition function \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}}(r_0, N) = \sum_r \tilde{Z}(r, r_0, N) \) is the normalizing factor for this probability.

The total reduced partition function \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}}(r_0, N) \) is, by definition, equal to one in free space. In the absence of attractive potential, a polymer near a repulsive sector will have partition function reduced to \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}} \sim N^{-1} \), where \( \gamma = 1 - \pi/4\theta_0 < 1 \).
In units of lattice spacing, corresponding to $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, the apparent for extra Boltzmann weights gained upon repeated returns to the sector semiangle ($\theta_0 = \pi/4$) on a square lattice. For each rectangular repulsive sector ($\theta_0 = \pi/2, n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 2$). In panel (c), for the attracting point outside a rectangular repulsive sector ($\theta_0 = 3\pi/4, n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 = 1$). In panel (d), an attracting point at the tip of a semi-infinite repulsive line ($\theta_0 = \pi$), has $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = 0$.

depends on the wedge angle [18–20]. This behavior persists for a weakly attractive potential, with $v$ close to 1. As $v$ increases towards $v_c$, this scaling is delayed to larger values of $N$. In the adsorbed state $Z_{\text{tot}}$ will increase exponentially with $N$ due to extra Boltzmann weights gained upon repeated returns to the attracting point. Again, the exponential growth, immediately apparent for $v \gg v_c$, is delayed to larger $N$ as $v$ is decreased towards $v_c$. Figure 5 depicts the dependence of $Z_{\text{tot}}$ for several values of $v$ very close to $v_c$. Only for polymers of several thousand steps does it become evident that the two lowest graphs correspond to delocalized states, while the two top graphs, and possibly the middle one, represent adsorbed states.

If $r_0$ is located at or near the attractive point, we can use the mean squared end-to-end distance

$$R^2(N) = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{tot}}(r_0,N)} \sum_r (r - r_0)^2 Z(r,r_0,N)$$

as an indicator of the localization length. In the absence of the attractive potential, the repulsive walls push away the polymer while maintaining the scaling of the random walk, such that for a polymer anchored close to the apex of a wedge $R^2 = N(1 + \pi/4\theta_0)$ [32,37]. (In this section distances are measured in units of lattice spacing, corresponding to $\ell = 1$.) This is indeed the behavior observed for $v$ close to 1. In an adsorbed state $R^2$ approaches a constant as $N$ increases, masked by crossovers close to $v_c$. Figure 6 depicts $R^2/N$ as a function of $N$ for the same values of $v$ as in Fig. 5. The two lowest curves in this figure, and possibly the middle one, correspond to adsorbed state, with the top graphs in delocalized states. From the last two figures we estimate that $v_c \approx 5.776$. Larger $N$ will enable even more accurate determination of $v_c$.

The presence of an adsorption transition can easily be detected visually by inspecting the probability of the end point, proportional to $Z(r,N)$. In the absence of the attractive site ($v = 1$), this probability density is a Gaussian multiplied by a power law as in Eq. (23). Figures 7(a) and 7(c) depict such situations for geometries of type “1” in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), respectively. Even for a weakly attractive potential, i.e., for $v$ somewhat larger than 1, the distribution of the polymer end point approaches such a form for large $N$. At the critical points...
FIG. 7. Probability density of a polymer end-point position \( r \) for \( N = 10^4 \), near a flat surface \( \theta_0 = \pi/2 \), panels (a) and (b), and outside a rectangular wedge \( \theta_0 = 3\pi/4 \), panels (c) and (d). [See geometries of type “1” in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c).] In the absence of attraction \( (v = 1) \) the distribution is broad with maximum at a distance \( \sim \sqrt{N} \) away from the anchor point of the polymer [panels (a) and (c)], while at \( v = v_c \) [panels (b) and (d)] it is centered on the anchor point.

\( v = v_c \), shown in Figs. 7(b) and 7(d), the distribution is still broad but remains centered on the attracting site to which the polymer is anchored, as expected from Eq. (24), decaying as a power law cutoff at a distance of order \( \sqrt{N} \). For \( v > v_c \), the distributions are still centered on the attracting site, but the correlation length \( \xi \) which cuts off the power law decreases with increasing \( v \), as will be discussed in the next section.

We analyzed \( \tilde{Z}_{\text{tot}} \) and \( R^2 \) for all geometries depicted in Fig. 4, obtaining a set of critical values \( v_c \) that depend on both the opening semiangle \( \theta_0 \), and on the specific discrete realization of the wedge. The values of \( v_c \) for all eight cases are plotted in Fig. 8. We expect \( v_c \) to decrease with increasing \( \theta_0 \), and find results that qualitatively resemble that of a continuous circular well. For each value of \( \theta_0 \) there is a difference between the two possible realizations on a lattice, in variants denoted “1” and “2” in Fig. 4, with the variant that has more nearest-neighbor repulsive sites, \( n \), requiring a stronger attraction (larger \( v_c \)) to confine the polymer.

VI. CRITICAL BEHAVIOR ON A LATTICE

Figure 9 depicts on a logarithmic scale the numerically measured \( R^2 \) for several values of \( N \) as a function of \( v - v_c(\theta_0) \) close to the transition point. For each \( \theta_0 \) we identify a linear segment corresponding to a power law dependence of \( R^2 \), with possible logarithmic corrections. For large \( N \) the power law regime is broader and is cut off when \( R^2 \) reaches values of order of \( N \). The graphs represent rather different geometries of the wedge, ranging from a polymer confined inside a rectangular wedge \( (\theta_0 = \pi/4) \), to outside a needle-like barrier \( (\theta_0 = \pi) \). Dashed lines indicate the theoretically predicted forms from the solution of the continuous potential well in Eq. (20). Note that, as in Eq. (21), we measure \( R^2 \) and not \( \xi \). Thus for the polymer inside a rectangular wedge with \( m = 2 \), there is a logarithmic correction to the relation between \( R^2 \) and \( \xi^2 \), leading to the expectation of \( R^2 \sim |(v - v_c)[c + \ln(v - v_c)]|^{-1} \). The fit in graph (a) in Fig. 9 uses \( c = -4.6 \). For the case of a flat line, as in variant “1” in Fig. 4(b), \( m = 1 \) and the relation between \( R^2 \) and \( v - v_c \), has a logarithmic term...
FIG. 10. Logarithmic plot of the reduced partition function in type “1” model of Fig. 4(a) as a function of distance \( r \) along the diagonal, for (left to right) \( N = 10^3, 10^5, \) and \( 10^7 \). All curves have slope \(-2\) for \( r \ll \sqrt{N} \) as indicated by the dashed line.

originating in the expression for \( \xi \) in Eq. (20), resulting in \( R^2 \sim |c + \ln(v - v_c)|/(v - v_c) \). The fit in graph (b) of Fig. 9 uses \( c = -1.6 \). Finally, the wedges of type “1” in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) exhibit a simple power law scaling on \( v - v_c \) with exponents of \(-3/2\) and \(-2\), respectively, as shown in graphs (c) and (d) in Fig. 9. The match between the numerical results and theoretical predictions is quite good, although the limited range of \( N \) introduces some systematic errors: for example, for the needle-like barrier, direct measurement of the slope gives \(-1.95\) rather than \(-2\), as the discreteness of the lattice combined with limited \( N \) introduces finite-size effects such as a slight effective reduction of the angle \( \theta_0 \), and similar corrections of order \( 1/\sqrt{N} \). We repeated the calculations also for variants of type “2” in Fig. 4, and despite the shifts in the positions of \( v_c \), the results were practically indistinguishable from those in Fig. 9.

We further used the numerical results to check the validity of Eq. (24) for the behavior of \( \tilde{Z}(r_0, r) \) at the transition point \( v_c \). Figure 10 displays the weight of polymers ending at a distance \( r \) from the corner of a rectangular wedge. The expected power-law scaling with exponent \(-m = -2\) is clearly observed. [For proper comparison one must replace \( N' \) in the exponent in Eq. (24) by \( N/4 \) where \( N \) is the number of iterations used in the simulation.] However, the curves for different \( N \) do not show an increase as \( N^{m-1} = N \), for fixed \( r \ll \sqrt{N} \), as predicted by Eq. (24). Such a power law increase is expected exactly at \( v = v_c \), switching to a power-law decrease as \( N^{r-1} \sim N^{-m/2} = N^{r-1} \) for \( v < v_c \). Thus, a small shift in \( v \) can change the behavior between power-law increase and power-law decrease. In both cases there is no exponential growth with \( N \) as occurs for \( v > v_c \).

The simulations are not sufficiently sensitive to locate the exact position of \( v_c \). Indeed, the very choice of putative \( v_c \) for Fig. 10 was guided by consideration that \( Z_{eq} \) is approximately constant over the studied range of \( N \), likely leading to a value slightly below the true \( v_c \).

VII. DISCUSSION

In this work we studied the adsorption transition of a (phantom) polymer to the corner of a (repulsive) wedge. The scale-free nature of the geometry leads to critical exponents that depend on the opening semiangle \( \theta_0 \) of the wedge: At the transition point the probability density of the end point decays as a power law \( \sim r^{-m} \) with \( m = \pi/2\theta_0 \). On approaching the desorption point, the localization length \( \xi \) diverges with an exponent of \( 1/2 \) for acute and obtuse angles, then continuously increasing to one with increasing \( \theta_0 \) for reflex angles. These results for \( d = 2 \) are equally valid for an ideal polymer near a wedge with an attractive edge in \( d = 3 \). Once self-avoidance is introduced, the two-dimensional solution is no longer applicable, since a self-avoiding polymer, as well as \( \Theta \) polymer, cannot be adsorbed to a finite volume. However, in \( d = 3 \) the adsorption transition of a self-avoiding and \( \Theta \) polymer to a wedge with adsorbing edge, as in Fig. 1, is expected to be qualitatively similar, with albeit different \( \theta_0 \)-dependent exponents.

The scale-free geometry studied in this work combines objects of different dimensionality: a zero-dimensional area of attraction with a one-dimensional repulsive surface. In \( d = 3 \) we can consider a richer class of scale-free objects (points, lines, planes, cones, pyramids, etc.), and more combinations of zero-, one-, and two-dimensional entities. Each one of these components can be either repulsive or attractive, and we expect to have competing and coexisting adsorption transitions. For \( d = 3 \) the adsorption transition problem can be expanded to more realistic self-avoiding and \( \Theta \) polymers.
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