

**REGULAR LIFTING OF COVERS  
OVER AMPLE FIELDS**

by

Dan Haran\* and Moshe Jarden\*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University

Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

e-mail: haran@math.tau.ac.il    and    jarden@math.tau.ac.il

May 29, 2000

---

\* Partially supported by the Minkowski Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University and the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley.

## Introduction

Colliot-Thélène [CT] uses the technique of Kollár, Miyaoka, and Mori to prove the following result.

**THEOREM A:** *Let  $K$  be an ample field of characteristic 0,  $x$  a transcendental element over  $K$ , and  $G$  a finite group. Then there is a Galois extension  $F$  of  $K(x)$  with Galois group  $G$ , regular over  $K$ . Moreover,  $F$  has a  $K$ -rational place  $\varphi$ .*

In fact, Colliot-Thélène proves a stronger version:

**THEOREM B:** *Given a Galois extension  $L/K$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$  which is a subgroup of  $G$ , one can choose  $F$  and  $\varphi$  so that the residue field extension of  $F/K(x)$  under  $\varphi$  is  $L/K$ .*

Case  $\Gamma = G$  of Theorem B means that  $K$  has the arithmetic lifting property of Beckmann and Black [BB].

As the results of Kollár, Miyaoka, and Mori are valid only in characteristic 0, Colliot-Thélène's proof works only in this case. Nonetheless, Theorem A holds in arbitrary characteristic ([Ha, Corollary 2.4] for complete fields, [Po1, Main Theorem A]; see also [Li] and [HV]). Moret-Bailly [MB], using methods of formal patching, extends Theorem B to arbitrary characteristic.

Here we use algebraic patching to prove Theorem B for arbitrary characteristic. In fact, the main ingredient of the proof is almost contained in [HJ1]. Therefore this note can be considered a sequel to [HJ1]; a large portion of it recalls the situation and facts considered there.

We also notice that if  $K$  is PAC and  $F$  is an *arbitrary* Galois extension of  $K(x)$  with Galois group  $G$ , regular over  $K$ , then, *for every* Galois extension  $L/K$  with Galois group which is a subgroup of  $G$ , we can choose  $\varphi$  so that the residue field extension of  $F/K(x)$  under  $\varphi$  is  $L/K$ . (After the first draft of this note has been written, P. Dèbes informed us that he also made this observation in [De, Remark 3.3].) This answers a question of Harbater. Notice that this stronger property does not hold for an arbitrary ample field  $K$  [CT, Appendix].

The idea (displayed in our Lemma 2.1) to use the embedding problem  $G \rtimes G \rightarrow G$  in order to obtain the arithmetic lifting property has been used in [Po2]; we are grateful to F. Pop for making his notes available to us.

## 1. Embedding problems and decomposition groups

Let  $K/K_0$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $\Gamma$ . Let  $x$  be a transcendental element over  $K$ . Put  $E_0 = K_0(x)$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  acts (from the right) on a finite group  $G$ ; let  $\Gamma \rtimes G$  be the corresponding semidirect product and  $\pi: \Gamma \rtimes G \rightarrow \Gamma$  the canonical projection. We call

$$(1) \quad \pi: \Gamma \rtimes G \rightarrow \Gamma = \mathcal{G}(K/K_0)$$

a **finite constant split embedding problem**. A **solution** of (1) is a Galois extension  $F$  of  $E_0$  such that  $K \subseteq F$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(F/E_0) = \Gamma \rtimes G$ , and  $\pi$  is the restriction map  $\text{res}_K: \mathcal{G}(F/E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K/K_0)$ .

In [HJ1, Theorem 6.4] we reprove the following result of F. Pop [Po1]:

**PROPOSITION 1.1:** *Let  $K_0$  be an ample field. Then each finite constant split embedding problem (1) has a solution  $F$  such that  $F$  has a  $K$ -rational place. (In particular,  $F/K$  is regular.)*

In this section we show that the proof of Proposition 1.1 in [HJ1] yields a stronger assertion.

**LEMMA 1.2:** *Let  $F$  be a solution of (1). Put  $F_0 = F^\Gamma$ . Let  $\varphi: F \rightarrow \widetilde{K}_0$  be a  $K$ -place extending a  $K_0$ -place of  $E_0$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is unramified in  $F/E_0$  and let  $D_\varphi$  be its decomposition group in  $F/E_0$ . Then  $\varphi(F) \supseteq K$  and the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\varphi(F) = K$  and  $\Gamma = D_\varphi$ ;
- (b)  $\Gamma \supseteq D_\varphi$ ;
- (c)  $\varphi(F_0) = K_0$ ;
- (d)  $\varphi(F) = K$  and  $\varphi(f^\gamma) = \varphi(f)^\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in F$  with  $\varphi(f) \neq \infty$ .

*Proof:* As  $K \subseteq F$ , we have  $K = \varphi(K) \subseteq \varphi(F)$ . Since the inertia group of  $\varphi$  in  $F/E_0$  is trivial, we have an isomorphism  $\theta: D_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\varphi(F)/K_0)$  given by

$$(2) \quad \varphi(f^\gamma) = \varphi(f)^{\theta(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma \in D_\varphi, f \in F, \varphi(f) \neq \infty.$$

Hence  $|D_\varphi| = [\varphi(F) : K_0] \geq [K : K_0] = |\Gamma|$ . This gives (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b).

Since  $\varphi$  is unramified over  $E_0$ , the decomposition field  $F^{D_\varphi}$  is the largest intermediate field of  $F/E_0$  mapped by  $\varphi$  into  $K_0$ , and hence (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c).

Clearly (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). If  $\varphi(F) = K$ , apply (2) to  $f \in K$  to see that  $\theta(\gamma) = \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in D_\varphi$ . Hence (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d).  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 1.3:* Let  $K_0$  be an ample field and let  $F$  be a solution of (1). Suppose that  $F$  has a  $K$ -rational place extending  $K_0$ -places of  $E_0$  and unramified over  $E_0$  such that  $\Gamma$  is its decomposition group in  $F/E_0$ . Then  $F$  has infinitely many such places.

Indeed, put  $F_0 = F^\Gamma$ . Recall that  $F_0$  is regular over  $K_0$ . By Lemma 1.2,

- (a) the assumption is that there is a  $K_0$ -place  $\varphi: F_0 \rightarrow K_0$  unramified over  $K_0(x)$ , and
- (b) we have to show that there are infinitely many such places.

But (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is a property of an ample field.  $\blacksquare$

**PROPOSITION 1.4:** *Let  $K_0$  be an ample field. Then each finite constant split embedding problem (1) has a solution  $F$  with a  $K$ -rational place of  $F$  extending a  $K_0$ -place of  $E_0$  and unramified over  $E_0$  such that  $\Gamma$  is its decomposition group in  $F/E_0$ .*

*Proof:* Put  $E = K(x) = KK_0(x)$ .

**PART A:** As in the proof of [HJ1, Theorem 6.4], we first assume that  $K_0$  is complete with respect to a non-trivial discrete ultrametric absolute value, with infinite residue field and  $K/K_0$  is unramified.

In this case [HJ1, Proposition 5.2] proves Proposition 1.1. Claim C of that proof shows that, for every  $b \in K_0$  with  $|b| > 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow b$  extends to a  $K$ -homomorphism  $\varphi_b: R \rightarrow K$ , where  $R$  is the principal ideal ring  $K\{\frac{1}{x-c_i} \mid i \in I\}$ . From there it extends to a  $K$ -place  $\varphi_b: Q \rightarrow K \cup \{\infty\}$  of the  $Q = \text{Quot}(R)$ . Furthermore, [HJ1, Lemma 1.3(b)] gives an  $E$ -embedding  $\lambda: F \rightarrow Q$ . The compositum  $\varphi = \varphi_b \circ \lambda$  is a  $K$ -rational place of

$F$ . Excluding finitely many  $b$ 's we may assume that  $\varphi$  is unramified over  $E_0$ . To verify that  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (d) of Lemma 1.2, we first recall the relevant facts from [HJ1].

- (a) [HJ1, Proposition 5.2, Construction B] The group  $\Gamma = \mathcal{G}(K/K_0)$  lifts isomorphically to  $\mathcal{G}(E/E_0)$ . By the choice of the  $c_i$  we have  $(\frac{1}{x-c_i})^\gamma = \frac{1}{x-c_i^\gamma}$ , for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that  $\Gamma$  continuously acts on  $R$  in the following way

$$\left(a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \left(\frac{1}{x-c_i}\right)^n\right)^\gamma = a_0^\gamma + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in}^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x-c_i^\gamma}\right)^n.$$

This action induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $Q$ .

- (b) [HJ1, (7) on p. 334] The above mentioned action of  $\Gamma$  on  $Q$  defines an action of  $\Gamma$  on the  $Q$ -algebra

$$N = \text{Ind}_1^G Q = \left\{ \sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta \theta \mid a_\theta \in Q \right\}$$

in the following way:

$$\left( \sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta \theta \right)^\gamma = \sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta^\gamma \theta^\gamma \quad a_\theta \in Q, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Furthermore, the field  $F$  is a subring of  $N$  [HJ1, p. 332] and  $\Gamma$  acts on it by restriction from  $N$  [HJ1, Proof of Proposition 1.5, Part A].

- (c) The embedding  $\lambda: F \rightarrow Q$  is just the restriction to  $F$  of the projection

$$\sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta \theta \mapsto a_1$$

from  $N = \text{Ind}_1^G Q \rightarrow Q$  [HV, Proposition 3.4].

- (d) The place  $\varphi_b: Q \rightarrow K \cup \{\infty\}$  is induced from the evaluation homomorphism  $\varphi_b: R \rightarrow K$  given by [HJ1, Remark 3.5]

$$\varphi_b \left( a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \left(\frac{1}{x-c_i}\right)^n \right) = a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \left(\frac{1}{b-c_i}\right)^n.$$

In order to prove condition (d) of Lemma 1.2 it suffices to show that both  $\lambda$  and  $\varphi_b$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant.

Let  $f = \sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta \theta \in F \subseteq N$ . Then, by (b) and (c),

$$\lambda(f^\gamma) = \lambda\left(\sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta^\gamma \theta^\gamma\right) = a_1^\gamma = \left(\lambda\left(\sum_{\theta \in G} a_\theta \theta\right)\right)^\gamma = \lambda(f)^\gamma.$$

Furthermore, let  $r = a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \left(\frac{1}{x-c_i}\right)^n \in R$ . By (a) and (d),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_b(r^\gamma) &= \varphi_b\left(a_0^\gamma + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in}^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x-c_i^\gamma}\right)^n\right) = a_0^\gamma + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in}^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{b-c_i^\gamma}\right)^n \\ &= \left(a_0 + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{in} \left(\frac{1}{b-c_i}\right)^n\right)^\gamma = \varphi_b(r)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi_b$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant.

PART B:  $K_0$  is an arbitrary ample field. As in the proof of [HJ1, Theorem 6.4] let  $\hat{K}_0$  be the field of Laurent series over  $K_0$ . Then  $\hat{K} = K\hat{K}_0$  is an unramified extension of  $\hat{K}_0$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$  and infinite residue field.

By Part A,  $\hat{K}_0(x)$  has a Galois extension  $\hat{F}$  which contains  $\hat{K}(x)$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}(\hat{F}/\hat{K}_0(x)) = \Gamma \times G$  and the restriction map  $\mathcal{G}(\hat{F}/\hat{K}_0(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K/K_0)$  is the projection  $\pi: \Gamma \times G \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Furthermore, there is  $b \in \hat{K}_0$  such that the place  $x \rightarrow b$  of  $\hat{K}_0(x)$  extends to an unramified  $\hat{K}$ -place  $\hat{\varphi}: \hat{F} \rightarrow \hat{K}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{F}^\Gamma) = \hat{K}_0$ . Put  $m = |G|$ .

Use Weak Approximation to find  $y \in \hat{F}^\Gamma$  mapped by the  $m$  distinct extensions of  $x \rightarrow b$  to  $\hat{F}^\Gamma$  into  $m$  distinct elements of the separable closure of  $\hat{K}_0$ ; then  $\hat{F}^\Gamma = \hat{K}_0(x, y)$ .

Thus there exist polynomials  $f \in \hat{K}_0[X, Z]$ ,  $g \in \hat{K}_0[X, Y]$ , elements  $z \in \hat{F}$ ,  $y \in \hat{F}^\Gamma$ , and elements  $b, c \in \hat{K}_0$ , such that the following conditions hold:

- (3a)  $\hat{F} = \hat{K}_0(x, z)$ ,  $f(x, Z) = \text{irr}(z, \hat{K}_0(x))$ ; we may therefore identify  $\mathcal{G}(f(x, Z), \hat{K}_0(x))$  with  $\mathcal{G}(\hat{F}/\hat{K}_0(x))$ ;
- (3b)  $\hat{F}^\Gamma = \hat{K}_0(x, y)$ , whence  $\hat{F} = \hat{K}(x, y)$ , and  $g(x, Y) = \text{irr}(y, \hat{K}_0(x))$ ; therefore  $g(X, Y)$  is absolutely irreducible;
- (3c)  $\text{discr}g(b, Y) \neq 0$  and  $g(b, c) = 0$ .

All of these objects depend on only finitely many parameters from  $\hat{K}_0$ . So, there are  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \hat{K}_0$ . So, let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be elements of  $\hat{K}_0$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (4a)  $F = K_0(\mathbf{u}, x, z)$  is a Galois extension of  $K_0(\mathbf{u}, x)$ , the coefficients of  $f(X, Z)$  lie in  $K_0[\mathbf{u}]$ ,  $f(x, Z) = \text{irr}(z, K_0(\mathbf{u}, x))$ , and  $\mathcal{G}(f(x, Z), K_0(\mathbf{u}, x)) = \mathcal{G}(f(x, Z), \hat{K}_0(x))$ ;
- (4b) the coefficients of  $g$  lie in  $K[\mathbf{u}]$ ; hence  $g(x, Y) = \text{irr}(y, K_0(\mathbf{u}, x))$ ; furthermore,  $K_0(\mathbf{u}, x, y) = F^\Gamma$ ;
- (4c)  $b, c \in K_0[\mathbf{u}]$  and  $\text{discr}g(b, Y) \neq 0$  and  $g(b, c) = 0$ .

Since  $\hat{K}_0$  has a  $K$ -rational place, namely,  $x \rightarrow 0$ , the field  $\hat{K}_0$  and therefore also  $K_0(\mathbf{u})$  are regular extensions of  $K_0$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{u}$  generates an absolutely irreducible variety  $U = \text{Spec}(K_0[\mathbf{u}])$  over  $K_0$ . By Bertini-Noether [FJ, Proposition 8.8] the variety  $U$  has a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U'$  such that for each  $\mathbf{u}' \in U'$  the  $K_0$ -specialization  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}'$  extends to a  $K$ -homomorphism  $\prime: K[\mathbf{u}, x, z, y] \rightarrow K[\mathbf{u}', x, z', y']$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (5a)  $f'(x, z') = 0$ , the discriminant of  $f'(x, Z)$  is not zero, and  $F' = K_0(\mathbf{u}', x, z')$  is the splitting field of  $f'(x, Z)$  over  $K_0(\mathbf{u}', x)$ ; in particular  $F'/K_0(\mathbf{u}', x)$  is Galois;
- (5b)  $g'(X, Y)$  is absolutely irreducible and  $g'(x, y') = 0$ ; so  $g'(x, Y) = \text{irr}(y', K(\mathbf{u}', x))$ ; furthermore,  $K_0(\mathbf{u}', x, y') = (F')^\Gamma$ ;
- (5c)  $b', c' \in K_0[\mathbf{u}']$  and  $\text{discr}g'(b', Y) \neq 0$  and  $g'(b', c') = 0$ .

As  $K_0$  is existentially closed in  $\hat{K}_0$ , and since  $\mathbf{u} \in U(\hat{K}_0)$ , there is  $\mathbf{u}' \in U(K_0)$ . Now repeat the end of the proof of [HJ1, Lemma 6.2] (from “By (5a), the homomorphism...” to conclude that  $F'$  is a solution of (1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & F' & & F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{F} \\
& \swarrow & | & \swarrow & | & & \swarrow \\
F_0 & & & F_0 & & & \hat{F} \\
& \swarrow & | & \swarrow & | & & | \\
& & K(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(\mathbf{u}, x) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{K}(x) \\
& \swarrow & | & \swarrow & | & & | \\
K & & & K(\mathbf{u}) & & & \hat{K} \\
& \swarrow & | & \swarrow & | & & | \\
& & K_0(x) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(\mathbf{u}, x) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{K}_0(x) \\
& \swarrow & | & \swarrow & | & & | \\
K_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_0(\mathbf{u}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{K}_0 & & 
\end{array}$$

Condition (5c) ensures that the place  $x \rightarrow b'$  of  $K_0(x)$  is unramified in  $(F')^\Gamma$ , hence in  $F'$ , and extends to a  $K_0$ -rational place of  $(F')^\Gamma$ . This ends the proof by Lemma 1.2.  $\blacksquare$

## 2. Lifting property over ample fields

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  act on  $G$  by the conjugation in  $G$

$$g^\gamma = \gamma^{-1}g\gamma.$$

and consider the semidirect product  $\Gamma \ltimes G$ . To fix notation,  $\Gamma \ltimes G = \{(\gamma, g) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G\}$  and the multiplication on  $\Gamma \ltimes G$  is defined by

$$(\gamma_1, g_1)(\gamma_2, g_2) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2, g_1^{\gamma_2}g_2).$$

Notice that  $\Gamma \ltimes G \cong \Gamma \times G$  by  $(\gamma, g) \mapsto (\gamma, \gamma g)$ . However, the above presentation gives a different splitting of the projection  $\Gamma \ltimes G \rightarrow \Gamma$ . In particular, we have an epimorphism  $\rho: \Gamma \ltimes G \rightarrow G$  given by  $(\gamma, g) \mapsto \gamma g$ . Let  $N$  denote its kernel.

**LEMMA 2.1:** *Let  $K_0$  be a field,  $K$  a Galois extension of  $K_0$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and  $x$  a transcendental element over  $K_0$ . Assume that (1) has a solution  $\hat{F}$  with a  $K$ -rational place  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $F$  extending a  $K_0$ -place of  $K_0(x)$  and unramified over  $K_0(x)$  such that  $\Gamma$  is its decomposition group in  $F/K_0(x)$ . Let  $F = \hat{F}^N$  and let  $\varphi$  be the restriction of  $\hat{\varphi}$  to  $F$ . Then*

(6a)  *$F$  is a Galois extension of  $K_0(x)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(F/K_0(x)) \cong G$ ;*

(6b)  *$F/K_0$  is a regular extension;*

(6c)  *$\varphi$  represents a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F/K_0$  with decomposition group  $\Gamma$  in  $F/K_0(x)$  and residue field  $K$ .*

*Proof:* By assumption,  $\hat{F}$  is a Galois extension of  $K_0(x)$  containing  $K$ , with Galois group  $\Gamma \ltimes G$  such that the restriction  $\mathcal{G}(\hat{F}/K_0(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K/K_0)$  is the projection  $\Gamma \ltimes G \rightarrow \Gamma$ , and  $\hat{F}/K$  is regular. Furthermore,  $\hat{\varphi}: \hat{F} \rightarrow K$  is a  $K$ -place unramified over  $K_0(x)$ , with decomposition group  $\Delta = \{(\gamma, 1) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \cong \Gamma$  in  $\hat{F}/K_0(x)$  and residue field extension  $K/K_0$ . In particular,  $\hat{F}$  is regular over  $K$ .

From the definition of  $F$  we get (6a) and  $\rho(\Delta) = \Gamma \leq G$  is the decomposition group of the restriction  $\varphi: F \rightarrow K$  of  $\hat{\varphi}$  to  $F$ . As  $|\Delta| = [K : K_0]$ , the residue field of  $\varphi$  is  $K$ . As  $\Gamma \ltimes G = NG$ , the fields  $F = \hat{F}^N$  and  $K(x) = \hat{F}^G$  are linearly disjoint over  $K_0(x)$ . Therefore  $F$  is regular over  $K_0$ . ■



Lemma 2.1 together with Proposition 1.4 and Remark 1.3 yield the following result, originally proved by Colliot-Thélène [CT, Theorem 1] in characteristic 0:

**THEOREM 2.2:** *Let  $K_0$  be an ample field,  $G$  a finite group,  $\Gamma$  a subgroup,  $K$  a Galois extension of  $K_0$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ , and  $x$  a transcendental element over  $K_0$ . Then there is  $F$  that satisfies (6a), (6b) and (6d) there are infinitely many prime divisors  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F/K_0$  with decomposition group  $\Gamma$  in  $F/K_0(x)$  and residue field  $K$ .*

**Remark 2.3:** In case of  $\Gamma = G$ , Theorem 2.2 says that an ample field  $K_0$  has the so-called **arithmetic lifting property** of Beckmann-Black [BB]. ■

If  $K_0$  is a PAC field, an even stronger property holds.

**THEOREM 2.4:** *Let  $K_0$  be a PAC field,  $G$  a finite group,  $F$  a function field of one variable over  $K_0$ , and  $E$  a subfield of  $F$  such that  $F/E$  is Galois with Galois group  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $K$  a Galois extension of  $K_0$  with Galois group  $\Gamma$ . Then there are infinitely many prime divisors  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F/K_0$  with decomposition group  $\Gamma$  in  $F/E$  and residue field  $K$ .*

*Proof:* By definition,  $F$  is a regular extension of  $K_0$ . In particular,  $F$  is linearly disjoint from  $K$  over  $K_0$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{G}(FK/E) = \mathcal{G}(FK/F) \times \mathcal{G}(FK/EK) \cong \Gamma \times G.$$

Consider the subgroup  $\Delta = \{(\gamma, \gamma) \in \Gamma \times G \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(FK/E)$ . It satisfies the following conditions:

$$(7a) \quad \Delta \cdot (\Gamma \times 1) = \Gamma \times \Gamma \text{ and } \Delta \cap (\Gamma \times 1) = 1.$$

$$(7b) \quad \Delta \cdot (1 \times G) = \Gamma \times G \text{ and } \Delta \cap (G \times 1) = 1.$$

Denote the fixed field of  $\Delta$  in  $FK$  by  $D$  and the fixed field of the subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G = \mathcal{G}(F/E)$  by  $F_0$ . Condition (7) translates via Galois theory to the following one:

$$(8a) \quad D \cap F = F_0 \text{ and } DF = FK.$$

$$(8b) \quad D \cap EK = E \text{ and } DK = FK.$$

As  $F/K_0$  is regular, so is  $FK/K$ . Hence, by (8b),  $D/K_0$  is a regular extension. Since  $K_0$  is PAC, there exist infinitely many  $K_0$ -places  $\varphi: D \rightarrow K_0$ . Use (8b) to extend

each such  $\varphi$  to a  $K$ -place  $\psi: FK \rightarrow K$ . As  $[FK : D] = |\Delta| = |\Gamma| = [K : K_0]$ ,  $D$  is the decomposition field of  $\psi$  in  $FK/E$ . By (8a),  $F_0$  is the decomposition field of  $\psi|_F$  in  $F/E$ . ■

COROLLARY 2.5: *Let  $K_0$  be a PAC field,  $E$  a function field of one variable over  $K_0$ , and  $G$  a finite group. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  let  $\Gamma_i$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $K_i$  a Galois extension of  $K_0$  with Galois group  $\Gamma_i$ . Then  $E$  has a Galois extension  $F$  such that*

(9a)  $\mathcal{G}(F/E) \cong G$ .

(9b)  $F/K_0$  is a regular extension.

(9c) *For each  $i$  there exists a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  of  $F/K_0$  with decomposition group over  $E$  equal to  $\Gamma_i$  and with residue field  $K_i$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  are distinct.*

*Proof:* The existence of  $F$  with the properties (9a) and (9b) is well known [HJ2, Theorem 2]. Now apply Theorem 2.4 successively to  $\Gamma_i$  and  $K_i$  instead of to  $\Gamma$  and  $K$ .

■

## References

- [BB] E.V. Black, *Deformations of dihedral 2-group extensions of fields*, Transactions of the AMS **351** (1999), 3229–3241.
- [CT] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Rational connectedness and Galois cover of the projective line*, a preprint.
- [De] P. Dèbes, *Galois Covers with Prescribed Fibers: The Beckmann-Black Problem*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **28** (1999), 273–286.
- [FJ] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **11**, Springer, Heidelberg, 1986.
- [Ha] D. Harbater, *Galois coverings of the arithmetic line*, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1240**, 165–195, Springer-Verlag 1987.
- [HJ1] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *Regular split embedding problems over complete valued fields*, Forum Mathematicum **10** (1998), 329–351 .
- [HJ2] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *Regular split embedding problems over function fields of one variable over ample fields*, Journal of Algebra, **208** (1998), 147–164.

- [HV] D. Haran and H. Völklein, *Galois groups over complete valued fields*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **93** (1996), 9–27.
- [Li] Q. Liu, *Tout groupe fini est un groupe de Galois sur  $\mathbb{Q}_p(T)$ , d'après Harbater*, Contemporary Mathematics **186** (1995), 261–265.
- [MB] L. Moret-Bailly, *Construction de revêtements de courbes pointées*, preprint, June 1999.
- [Po1] F. Pop, *Embedding problems over large fields*, Annals of Mathematics **144** (1996), 1–34.
- [Po2] F. Pop, *On the BB theory*, an unpublished note, 2 pages.