

# FIELDS ON THE BOTTOM

\*

by

MOSHE JARDEN\*\*

*School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University*

*Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel*

*e-mail: jarden@post.tau.ac.il*

and

CARLOS VIDELA

*Mount Royal University*

*Calgary, Alberta, Canada*

*e-mail: cvidela@mtroyal.ca,*

MR Classification: 12E30

Directory: \Jarden\Diary\Bottom

26 December 2013

---

\* Research initiated in a working team during a conference on Definability and decidability problems in number theory, in the American Institute for Mathematics, Palo Alto, California, September 2013

\*\* Research supported by the Minkowski Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University, established by the Minerva Foundation.

## Introduction

We say that a field  $F$  **lies on the bottom** if  $F$  contains no field  $E$  with  $1 < [F : E] < \infty$ . By definition, each of the prime fields  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  lie on the bottom. By a theorem of Artin, every separably closed field of positive characteristic lies on the bottom (see for example the proof of [Lan93, Cor. 9.3]). In particular, the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K)$  of a field  $K$  of positive characteristic is torsion free.

The same theorem combined with another theorem of Artin [Lan93, p. 452, Prop. 2.4] implies that every real closed field lies on the bottom. Again, this implies that the only torsion elements of the absolute Galois group of a field  $K$  are involutions.

By a theorem of F. K. Schmidt, the Henselian closure  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to a prime number  $p$  lies on the bottom (e.g. [Jar91, Cor. 15.3]).

By the “Bottom Theorem” [Jar08, Thm. 18.7.7], for every positive integer  $e$  and almost all  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e) \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})^e$  the field  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e)$  lies on the bottom. Here  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e)$  is the fixed field of  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e$  in the algebraic closure  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The clause “almost all” means “all but a subset of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})^e$  of Haar measure 0”.

We mention that Lior Bary-Soroker [BaS08] strengthened the bottom theorem in the following way: Let  $K$  be a finitely generated extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $e \geq 2$  be an integer. Then, for almost all  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e) \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$  the field  $\tilde{K}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e)$  lies on the bottom [BS08, Thm. 8.2.2].

Next, we recall that a field  $F$  is **Pythagorean** if every sum of two squares in  $F$  is a square in  $F$ . It follows that every sum of finitely many squares in  $F$  is a square in  $F$ . It also follows that the intersection of Pythagorean subfields of a field  $\Omega$  (which we assume to be algebraically closed) is Pythagorean. Note that every algebraically closed field is Pythagorean. Hence, the intersection of all Pythagorean field extensions of a given field  $K$  in  $\Omega$  is the least algebraic extension of  $K$  which is Pythagorean. We denote it by  $K_{\text{pyt}}$ . If  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ , then  $K_{\text{pyt}}$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ . Indeed,  $K_{\text{pyt}}$  is the smallest algebraic extension of  $K$  closed under extensions with elements of the form  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . By [Rbn72, p. 176],  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{pyt}}$  lies on the bottom.

In order to present our results, we consider the field  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  of all totally real algebraic numbers. It is the union of all finite extensions  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose images under all

embeddings into  $\mathbb{C}$  lie in  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is also the intersection of all real closures of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Since the absolute Galois group of a real closed field has order two,  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}})$  is generated by involutions. Florian Pop proved in [Pop92] that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is **PRC**. This means that every absolutely irreducible variety defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  with a simple  $R$ -rational point for each real closure  $R$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ -rational point. Michael Fried, Dan Haran, and Helmut Völklein proved in [FHV94] that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}})$  is a free profinite group (in the sense of Melnikov) generated by involutions. They also proved that the elementary theory of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is effectively decidable [FHV94, Thm. 10.1].

Our first goal is to enrich the already rich collection of properties of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  with the following one:

**THEOREM A:** *The field  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  of all totally real algebraic numbers lies on the bottom.*

Our second result involves the notion of an “ $S$ -closure” of a field, where  $S$  is a set of prime numbers. Given a field  $K$ , we let  $K^{(S)}$  be the union of all finite Galois field extensions  $L$  of  $K$  whose degrees  $[L : K]$  are divisible only by prime numbers that belong to  $S$ . We prove:

**THEOREM B:** *Let  $S$  be a set of primes.*

- (a)  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  lies on the bottom if and only if  $2 \notin S$ .
- (b) If  $2 \in S$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)} = \mathbb{Q}^{(S)} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  lies on the bottom.

The proofs of both theorems use information about Pythagorean fields, an old theorem of George Whaples, and an older theorem of Edmund Landau.

## 1. The Field $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$

QTR  
input, 9

We present a few facts and results that enter the proof of Theorems A and B.

LEMMA 1.1: *Let  $F/K$  be a Galois extension. Suppose that there exists no field  $K \subseteq M \subset F$  such that  $[F : M]$  is a prime number. Then  $F$  is a proper finite extension of no field that contains  $K$ .* QTRa  
input, 13

*Proof:* Assume that  $M$  is an extension of  $K$  in  $F$  such that  $1 < [F : M] < \infty$ . Then  $F/M$  is a finite proper Galois extension. Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $[F : M]$ . By a theorem of Cauchy,  $\text{Gal}(F/M)$  has an element  $\sigma$  of degree  $p$ . Let  $M'$  be the fixed field of  $\sigma$  in  $F$ . Then,  $[F : M'] = p$ , in contrast to the assumption of the Lemma. ■

LEMMA 1.2: *If  $F/M$  is a cyclic extension of an odd prime degree  $p$ , then  $F$  has a cyclic extension of degree  $p$ .* QTRb  
input, 32

*Proof:* By a result of Whaples from 1957 [FrJ08, Thm. 16.6.6],  $M$  has a Galois extension  $N$  with  $\text{Gal}(N/M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The compositum  $FN$  is a Galois extension of  $F$  and  $\text{Gal}(FN/F) \cong \text{Gal}(N/F \cap N)$ . The latter group is isomorphic to an open subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  itself [FrJ08, Lemma 1.4.2]. It follows that  $\text{Gal}(FN/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence,  $F$  has a finite cyclic extension of degree  $p$  in  $FN$ . ■

Next we need the following result about Pythagorean fields which is proved on page 176 of [Rbn72]. It is a corollary of a theorem of Diller and Dress.

PROPOSITION 1.3: *If a finite extension of a field  $P_0$  is Pythagorean, then  $P_0$  itself is Pythagorean.* QTRd  
input, 53

Finally we use a result of Landau from 1919 proved in [Lan19, p. 392, II]. To this end recall that an algebraic number  $a$  is **totally real** if  $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every embedding  $\varphi: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If in addition  $\varphi(a) > 0$  for each such  $\varphi$ , then  $a$  is **totally positive**. Note that if  $a$  is totally real and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a^2$  is totally positive.

LEMMA 1.4:  *$\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is a Pythagorean field.*

QTRe  
input, 68

*Proof:* Given elements  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ , not both zero, the sum  $x^2 + y^2$  is totally positive. Hence, so is  $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . Therefore,  $z \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  and  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ , as claimed ■

The following result is due to Landau [Lnd19].

PROPOSITION 1.5: *Every totally positive algebraic number  $a$  is a sum of finitely many squares of elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ .* QTRf  
input, 82

We mention that two years after Landau published his result, Carl Ludwig Siegel improved it by proving that every totally positive algebraic number  $a$  is a sum of four squares in  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  [Sie21].

*Proof of Theorem A:* Assume that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $p$  of a field  $M$  for some prime number  $p$ . By Lemma 1.1, it suffices to prove that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

There are two cases to consider.

CASE A:  $p \neq 2$ . By Proposition 1.2,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  has a finite cyclic extension  $N_0$  of degree  $p$ . However, since as mentioned in the introduction,  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}})$  is generated by involutions, so is  $\text{Gal}(N_0/\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}})$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $p \neq 2$ .

CASE B:  $p = 2$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}/M$  is a quadratic extension. Thus, there exists a non-square element  $a \in M$  with  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}} = M(\sqrt{a})$ .

Observe that  $a$  is totally positive, since otherwise  $\sqrt{a}$  would not lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ . By Proposition 1.5,  $a$  is a sum of squares in  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ . Hence,  $a$  is a sum of squares in  $M$ . By Proposition 1.4,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is Pythagorean. Hence, by Proposition 1.3,  $M$  is also Pythagorean. Hence,  $\sqrt{a} \in M$ , in contrast to the preceding paragraph.

Thus, in both cases we achieve a contradiction. ■

## 2. The Fields $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)}$

PROOFB  
input, 9

Starting with a set  $S$  of prime numbers, we prove Theorem B about the fields that appear in the title. We start with a few observations:

(1) For each  $p \in S$  the field  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  has no cyclic extension of degree  $p$ .

Otherwise, there exist a finite Galois extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  and a cyclic extension  $L$  of  $K$  of degree  $p$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}L$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)} \cap L = K$ . Let  $\hat{L}$  be the compositum of all conjugates of  $L$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,

(2)  $L \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ .

On the other hand,  $\hat{L}$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and each prime number that divides  $[\hat{L} : \mathbb{Q}]$  belongs to  $S$ . Hence,  $\hat{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , so  $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , in contrast to (2). This concludes the proof of (1).

The second observation is:

(3) If  $M'/M$  is a finite extension of fields and  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq M \subseteq M' \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , then every prime divisor of  $[M' : M]$  belongs to  $S$ .

Indeed,  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a finite Galois extension  $K$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  such that  $M' \subseteq MK$  [FrJ08, Lemma 1.2.5(a)]. The field  $K$  is contained in the compositum of finitely many Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with degrees whose prime divisors belong to  $S$ . Hence, every prime divisor of  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  belongs to  $S$ . Therefore, every prime divisor of  $[M' : M]$  belongs to  $S$ .

(4) If  $M$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  and  $N$  is a Galois extension of  $M$  such that the degree of each finite Galois subextension  $N_0/M$  of  $N/M$  is divisible only by prime numbers that belong to  $S$ , then  $N \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ .

Indeed, it suffices to prove that each  $N_0$  as above is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ . To this end we take a finite Galois extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $M$  and a finite Galois extension  $L_0$  of  $K$  such that  $M \cap L_0 = K$  and  $ML_0 = N_0$  [FrJ08, Lemma 1.2.5(a)]. In particular, every prime divisor of  $[L_0 : K] = [N_0 : M]$  belongs to  $S$ . Let  $L$  be the compositum of all conjugates of  $L_0$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, every prime divisor of  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $[L_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$ , hence belongs to  $S$ . Therefore,  $L$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ . It follows that  $L_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , so  $N_0 = ML_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , as claimed.

Now we break up the rest of the proof of Theorem B into three parts.

PART A: *If  $2 \notin S$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  lies on the bottom.* By Lemma 1.1, it suffices to prove that  $F$  is a cyclic extension of no subfield  $M$  such that  $p = [\mathbb{Q}^{(S)} : M]$  is a prime number. Assume that there exists such an  $M$ . By (3),  $p \in S$ , hence by our assumption  $p \neq 2$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  has a cyclic extension of degree  $p$ . But this contradicts (1).

PART B: *If  $2 \in S$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  does not lie on the bottom.* Indeed, in this case  $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ . Hence, after embedding  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , we find that  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)} \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  is a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)} \cap \mathbb{R}$ . This proves our claim.

The combination of Parts A and B proves Theorem B(a).

PART C: *In each case  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)}$  lies on the bottom.* As before, we have to derive a contradiction from the assumption that  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)}$  is a cyclic extension of some prime degree  $p$  of a field  $M$ . By (3),  $p \in S$ . Again, we have to consider two cases.

CASE C1:  $p \neq 2$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)}$  has a cyclic extension  $N$  of degree  $p$ . By (4),  $N \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is not generated by involutions,  $N \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ . Hence,  $N = \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)}$ , which is a contradiction.

CASE C2:  $p = 2$ . In this case  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)} = M(\sqrt{a})$  for some non-square element  $a$  of  $M$ . In particular,

(5)  $a$  is not a square in  $M$ .

On the other hand,  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  is in our case a Pythagorean field. Otherwise there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$  such that  $x^2 + y^2$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$  is a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(S)}$ , in contrast to (1). Since  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$  is Pythagorean (Lemma 1.4) so is the intersection  $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}^{(S)} = \mathbb{Q}^{(S)} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $M$  is also Pythagorean.

Since  $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\text{tr}}$ , the element  $a$  of  $M$  is totally positive. By Lemma 1.5,  $a$  is a sum of squares in  $M$ . Hence, by the preceding paragraph,  $a$  is a square in  $M$ . This contradiction to (5) ends the proof of Part C and the proof of Theorem B.  $\blacksquare$

## References

- [BaS08] L. Bary-Soroker, *Pseudo Algebraic Closed Extensions*, Ph.D Thesis, Tel Aviv University, 2008.
- [FHV94] M. D. Fried, D. Haran, H. Völklein, *Real hilbertianity and the field of totally real numbers*, Contemporary Mathematics **174** (1994), 1–34.
- [FrJ08] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic, Third Edition, revised by Moshe Jarden*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **11**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [Jar91] M. Jarden, *Intersection of local algebraic extensions of a Hilbertian field*, in “Generators and Relations in Groups and Geometries” (A. Barlotti et al., eds), NATO ASI Series C **333**, 343–405, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [Lnd19] E. Landau, *Über die Zerlegung total positiver Zahlen in Quadrate*, Nachrichten von der Gessellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse (1919), 392–396.
- [Lan93] S. Lang, *Algebra, Third Edition*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1993.
- [Pop92] F. Pop, *Fields of totally  $\Sigma$ -adic numbers*, manuscript, Heidelberg, 1992
- [Rbn72] P. Ribenboim, *L’Arithmétique des corps*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [Sie21] C. Siegel, *Darstellung total positiver Zahlen durch Quadrate*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **11** (1921), 246-275.