

**INTRODUCTION INTO
RIGID ANALYTIC GEOMETRY**

COURSE NOTES (IN PROGRESS) FOR A COURSE

GIVEN AT TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY

IN FALL 2006

BY

DAN HARAN

1. Valuation Theory

Only rank 1 valuation, that is, valuations with valuation group contained in \mathbb{R}^+ .

EXERCISE 1.1:

(a) $|-a| = |a|$.

(b) $|a| < |b|$ implies $|a + b| = |b|$.

Proof: (a) $|-a|^2 = |(-a)^2| = |a|^2$, hence $|-a| = |a|$.

(b) On one hand $|a + b| \leq \max(|a|, |b|) = |b|$. If $|a + b| < |b|$, then $|b| = |(a + b) + (-a)| \leq \max(|a + b|, |a|) < |b|$, a contradiction. ■

Completion, definition of norm equivalence of norms over complete fields, uniqueness of extension of valuations from complete fields to finite (and hence algebraic) extensions.

Definition 1.2: Let $(k, |\cdot|)$ be a valued field.

(a) $k^0 = \{a \in k \mid |a| \leq 1\}$ is the **valuation ring** of $|\cdot|$.

It is a valuation subring of k , that is, for each $a \in k$ either $a \in k^0$ or $k^{-1} \in k^0$.

(b) $k^{00} = \{a \in k \mid |a| < 1\}$ is the (unique) **maximal ideal** of k^0 , because

(c) $U = k^0 \setminus k^{00} = \{a \in k \mid |a| = 1\} = (k^0)^\times$.

(d) $\bar{k} = k^0/k^{00}$ is the **residue field** of $|\cdot|$.

(e) $|k^\times| = \{|a| \mid a \in k^\times\}$ is the **value group** of $|\cdot|$. ■

EXERCISE 1.3: Compute the above objects for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ with p -adic valuation and for $k = k_0(t)$. (Notice that $|k^\times| \cong \mathbb{Z}$ - **discrete valuation**.)

Let k_v be the completion of k . Then $\overline{k_v} = \bar{k}$. Indeed, k is dense in k_v . Hence for each $b \in k_v$ with $|b| \leq 1$ there is $a \in k$ with $|b - a| < 1$. In particular, $|a| \leq 1$.

If $|\cdot|$ is discrete, then $|k_v^\times| = |k^\times|$. Indeed, if $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in k , then $\lim |a_n| = |a_m|$ for some m or $\lim |a_n| = 0$.

How does $k_v = \mathbb{Q}_p$ look like? Let $b \in k_v^0$. Then there is a unique $a_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{a}_0 = \bar{b} \in \bar{k}$, that is $|a_0 - b| < 1$. Thus $b = a_0 + pb_1$, where, $b_1 \in k_v^0$. Again, there is a unique $a_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $|a_1 - b_1| < 1$. Thus $b = a_0 + pa_1 + p^2b_2$, where, $b_2 \in k_v^0$. By induction, $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p^n$.

For a general $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ there is $m \geq 0$ such that $p^m b \in k_v^0$, that is, $b = p^{-m} b'$, where $b' \in k_v^0$. So $b = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n p^n$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. (This is just like the usual p -adic expansion of numbers, only infinite; the addition and multiplication are the same.) Notice that $(k_v)^0 = \mathbb{Z}_p = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p^n \mid a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}\}$.

Similarly, the completion of $k_0(t)$ is $k_0((t)) = \{\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n t^n \mid a_n \in k_0, N \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

2. Banach Spaces

(Some theorems that should be here are at the end of this section.)

Recall the following theorem

BAIRE CATEGORY THEOREM: *Let X be a nonempty complete metric space, and let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of closed subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$. Then not each X_i has empty interior.*

Proof: For $x \in X$ and for a positive number ε denote $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{x' \in X \mid d(x, x') < \varepsilon\}$, the open ball around x of radius ε .

Assume that each X_i has empty interior. Then for each $x \in X$, each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each i the point x is not in the interior of X_i and hence there is $x' \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ such that $x' \notin X_i$. As $B(x, \varepsilon)$ is open and X_i is closed, there is $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $B(x', \varepsilon') \subseteq B(x, \varepsilon)$ and $B(x', \varepsilon') \cap X_i = \emptyset$.

Fix $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Use the preceding paragraph to construct, by induction, a sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ such that

- (a) $B(x_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+1}) \subseteq B(x_i, \varepsilon_i/2) \subseteq B(x_i, \varepsilon_i)$,
- (b) $B(x_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+1}) \cap X_i = \emptyset$,

By (a), $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and hence converges to some $x \in X$. Let $i \geq 1$. As $x_j \in B(x_i, \varepsilon_i/2)$ for all $j > i$, this x is in the closure of $B(x_i, \varepsilon_i/2)$ and hence in $B(x_i, \varepsilon_i)$. By (b), $x \notin X_i$. This is a contradiction to $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$. ■

The actions on a normed vector space (addition and multiplication with scalars) are continuous.

A complete vector space (over a complete field) is called a **Banach space**.

BANACH THEOREM 2.1: *Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a surjective continuous linear map of Banach spaces over a complete field k . Then T is open.*

Proof: Fix $\pi \in k$ with $0 < |\pi| < 1$.

Denote $V^0 = \{v \in V \mid \|v\| < 1\}$. This is an open subset of V ; moreover, sets of the form $v + \pi^n V^0$ form a basis for the topology on V . Similarly put $W^0 = \{w \in W \mid \|w\| < 1\}$. We have to show that the image of every open basic set in V is open

in W . Since $T(v + \pi^n V^0) = T(v) + \pi^n T(V^0)$, it is enough to show that $U := T(V^0)$ is open in W . Equivalently, as U is an additive subgroup of W , show that 0 is an inner point of U .

CLAIM 1: 0 is an inner point of \bar{U} . Indeed, apply T to $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-n} V^0$ to get $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-n} U$ and hence $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^{-n} \bar{U}$. By Baire's theorem there is n such that $\pi^{-n} \bar{U}$ has an inner point. Since $\pi^{-n} \bar{U}$ is homeomorphic to \bar{U} , also \bar{U} has an inner point u . Then $0 = u - u$ is an inner point of $\bar{U} - u = \bar{U}$.

Thus there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi^m W^0 \subseteq \bar{U}$.

CLAIM 2: If $\pi^m W^0 \subseteq \bar{U}$, then $\pi^{m+1} W^0 \subseteq U$. Indeed, let $w \in \pi^{m+1} W^0$. We will construct a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in V^0 such that

$$(3) \quad w - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi^i T(v_i) \in \pi^{n+m+1} W^0.$$

Let $n \geq 1$. Suppose that we have already constructed $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V^0$ such that $w - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^i T(v_i) \in \pi^{n+m} W^0$. (For $n = 1$ this is the assumption $w \in \pi^{m+1} W^0$.) Thus there is $w' \in \pi^m W^0$ such that

$$(4) \quad w - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi^i T(v_i) = \pi^n w'.$$

But $w' \in \pi^m W^0 \subseteq \bar{U} = \overline{T(V^0)}$, hence there is $v_n \in V^0$ such that

$$(5) \quad w' - T(v_n) \in \pi^{m+1} W^0.$$

Multiply (5) by π^n and add it to (4) – and get (3).

Clearly, $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \pi^i v_i\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in V^0 . Let $v \in V^0$ be its limit. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \pi^i T(v_i) = T(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi^i v_i)$ converges to $T(v) \in T(V^0) = U$. But by (3), $\sum_{i=1}^n \pi^i T(v_i)$ converges to w . Thus $w \in U$. ■

COROLLARY 2.2: There is $C > 0$ such that for every $w \in W$ there is $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$ and $\|v\| \leq C\|w\|$.

Proof: By Banach Theorem, there is $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\{w \in W \mid \|w\| < \delta\} \subseteq \{T(v) \mid v \in V, \|v\| < 1\}$$

That is, replacing w by $\frac{1}{a^r}w$, where $a \in k^\times$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have:

(1) If $w \in W$ such that $\|w\| < \delta|a^r|$, then there is $v \in V$ such that $w = T(v)$ and $\|v\| < |a^r|$.

Choose $a \in k$ such that $|a| > 1$. Put $C = \frac{|a|}{\delta}$. Let $w \in W$. Then there is a unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$C^{-1}|a|^r = \delta|a|^{r-1} < \|w\| \leq \delta|a|^r = \delta|a^r|.$$

By (1) there is $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$ and

$$\|v\| < |a|^r < C \|w\|.$$

■

COROLLARY 2.3: *Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map of Banach spaces over a complete field k . Then T is continuous if and only if its graph $G = \{(v, T(v)) \mid v \in V\}$ is closed in $V \times W = V \oplus W$.*

Proof: Every continuous map $T: V \rightarrow W$ into a Hausdorff space has a closed graph G . Indeed, let $(v, w) \in (V \times W) \setminus G$, that is $T(v) \neq w$. There are disjoint open neighbourhoods: W_1 of $T(v)$ and W_2 of w . The neighbourhood $T^{-1}(W_1) \times W_2$ of $(v, w) \in V \times W$ does not meet G .

Conversely, assume that G is closed. Then it is a complete k -subspace of $V \times W$. The projection $V \times W \rightarrow V$ induces a bijective continuous linear map $G \rightarrow V$. By Banach Theorem it is also open. Hence its inverse $V \rightarrow G$ is also continuous, hence so is its composition with the projection $V \times W \rightarrow W$. But this is T . ■

Definition 2.4: Let k be a complete field. A **Banach algebra** over k is a Banach space A which is also a commutative ring containing k and $\|1\| = 1$ and $\|ab\| = \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$.

A **Banach module** over A is an A -module M with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that M is a Banach space over k and $\|am\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|m\|$ for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

THEOREM 2.5: *Let M be a finitely generated Banach module over a Banach algebra A (over a complete field k). Assume that A is noetherian (every submodule of M is finitely generated). Then every submodule N of M is closed.*

Proof: Let \tilde{N} be the closure of N in M ; it is closed and hence complete. By the noetherianity, \tilde{N} has a finite set e_1, \dots, e_n of generators. Define a norm on A^n by $\|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| = \max(\|a_1\|, \dots, \|a_n\|)$. Then A^n is Banach A -module (also a Banach algebra - one can produce examples of Banach algebras this way). The map $A^n \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ given by $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ is an A -homomorphism (in particular k -linear), continuous and surjective. By Banach Theorem there is $C > 0$ such that every $x \in \tilde{N}$ can be written as $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ with $\|a_i\| \leq C\|x\|$. Wlog $C > 1$.

Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in N$ such that $\|f_i - e_i\| \leq \frac{1}{C^2}$.

CLAIM: $\hat{N} = \sum_{i=1}^n A f_i$ and hence $\hat{N} = N$.

Let $x \in \hat{N}$. We wil construct, by induction, convergent series in A

$$a_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{1k}, \quad a_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k}, \quad \dots, \quad a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk},$$

such that $x = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n$. Suppose, by induction, that we have found a_{ik} for $k < l$ such that

$$\|x - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_{ik} \right) f_i\| \leq C\|x\|$$

(for $l = 1$ this is obvious). Then there are $a_{il} \in A$ such that

$$x - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_{ik} \right) f_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{il} e_i$$

and

$$\|a_{il}\| \leq C \|x - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_{ik} \right) f_i\| \leq C \frac{1}{C^{l-1}} \|x\|$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{il} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{il} f_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{il} (e_i - f_i) \right\| \leq C \frac{1}{C^{l-1}} \|x\| \frac{1}{C^2} = \frac{1}{C^l} \|x\|$$

■

EXERCISE 2.6: Let M be a finitely generated module over a noetherian Banach algebra A . Then M is a Banach module.

Proof: If $M = A^m$, put $\|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| = \max_i \|a_i\|$. In the general case there is a surjective A -homomorphism $s: A^n \rightarrow M$. Put $\|s(x)\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| \mid y \in \text{Ker}(s)\}$. Now

show that this is a norm on M (here we use that $\text{Ker}(s)$ is closed in A^n) and M is complete w.r.t it.

COROLLARY 2.7: *Every A -homomorphism of finitely generated Banach A -modules is continuous.*

Proof: Let M, N be two A -modules and let $u: M \rightarrow N$ be an A -homomorphism. Suppose first M is a free A -module with basis e_1, \dots, e_n and $\|\sum a_i e_i\| = \max_i \|a_i\|$. Then

$$\|u(\sum a_i e_i)\| = \|\sum a_i u(e_i)\| \leq \max \|a_i u(e_i)\| \leq \max \|a_i\| \cdot \max \|u(e_i)\|.$$

In the general case there is a surjective map $s: A^n \rightarrow M$. By the previous case s and $u \circ s$ are continuous. By Banach theorem s is open. It follows that u is continuous. (Take $U \subseteq N$ open; then $u^{-1}(U) = s(s^{-1}(u^{-1}(U))) = s(u \circ s)^{-1}(U)$ is open.) ■

Definition 2.11: Let V be a vector space over a complete field k . **Norm** on a E is a function $\|\cdot\|: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $v, v' \in V$ and all $a \in k$

- (a) $\|v\| \geq 0$.
- (b) $\|v\| = 0$ implies $v = 0$.
- (c) $\|av\| = |a| \cdot \|v\|$.
- (d) $\|v + v'\| \leq \max(\|v\|, \|v'\|)$.

Excluding requirement (b) we get a **semi-norm**.

Two norms $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$ on V are **equivalent norms** if there are positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1\|v\|_1 \leq \|v\|_2 \leq C_2\|v\|_1$ for all $v \in V$. ■

Example 2.12: If $\dim V = n < \infty$, and v_1, \dots, v_n is its basis,

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\| = \max_i |a_i|$$

defines a norm on V . ■

LEMMA 2.13: *Let V be a vector space over a complete field k , let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ be linearly independent, and let $v^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i)} v_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ be a Cauchy sequence in V . Then $\{a_j^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in k , for every $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof: By induction on n .

COROLLARY 2.13: *In the above lemma,*

$$v^{(i)} \rightarrow 0 \leftrightarrow a_j^{(i)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

THEOREM 2.14: *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a complete field k . Then any two norms on V are equivalent: There are positive constants C_1, C_2 such that for every $v \in V$*

$$C_1 \|v\|_1 \leq \|v\|_2 \leq C_2 \|v\|_1.$$

COROLLARY 2.15: *Let E be an algebraic extension of a complete field k . Then the valuation $|\cdot|$ of k uniquely extends to a valuation of E . Moreover, if E/k is finite, then E is complete.*

Proof: We do not prove the existence of the extension. We proved the completeness and the uniqueness. (Missing.) ■

3. Affinoids in the projective line

Let K be an algebraically closed valued field wrt to a non-archimedean (multiplicative) valuation $|\cdot|$. Notice that $|K^\times|$ is dense in $[0, \infty)$.

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^1(K) = (K \times K \setminus \{(0,0)\}) / \sim$ where $(x_0, x_1) \sim (y_0, y_1)$ if there is $a \in K^\times$ such that $y_0 = ax_0$ and $y_1 = ax_1$.

Denote the equivalence class of (x_0, x_1) in \mathbb{P} by $(x_0 : x_1)$ and write $z = (z : 1)$ and $\infty = (1 : 0)$. If $x_1 \neq 0$, then $(x_0 : x_1) = (\frac{x_0}{x_1} : 1) = \frac{x_0}{x_1}$. If $x_1 = 0$, then $x_0 \neq 0$, and hence $(x_0 : x_1) = (1 : 0) = \infty$. Thus $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$. We call \mathbb{P} **the projective line**.

Definition 3.1: A map $\varphi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is called an **automorphism** of \mathbb{P} if there exists a matrix $A \in \text{Gl}_2(K)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$. ■

EXERCISE 3.2: *The set of automorphisms of \mathbb{P} is a group, isomorphic to $\text{GPl}_2(K)$.*

Given distinct $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{P}$ and distinct $z'_1, z'_2, z'_3 \in \mathbb{P}$, there is a unique automorphism φ of \mathbb{P} such that $\varphi(z_i) = z'_i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Definition 3.3: A subset D of \mathbb{P} is a **closed [open] disk** if there are $a \in K$ and $\rho \in |K^\times|$ such that

$$D = \{z \in K \mid |z - a| \leq [<] \rho\} \quad \text{or} \quad D = \{z \in K \mid |z - a| \geq [>] \rho\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

■

EXERCISE 3.4: (i) *Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a| < \rho\}$. If $b \in D$, then $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - b| < \rho\}$.*

(ii) *Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a| > \rho\}$. If $b \notin D$, then $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - b| > \rho\}$.*

(iii) *Analogous statements hold for closed disks.*

LEMMA 3.5: *Let D be an open (closed) disk, and let T be an automorphism of \mathbb{P} . Then $T(D)$ is an open (closed) disk.*

Proof: Every automorphism of \mathbb{P} is the product of stretchings ($z \mapsto az$ with $a \in K^\times$), translations ($z \mapsto z + b$ with $b \in K$), and the inversion ($z \mapsto z^{-1}$). (These maps are defined by elementary matrices over K , and every elementary matrix over K is of one

of these types, except for $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. But $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we may assume that T is one of these three types.

If T is either stretching or translation, the assertion is obvious. Assume therefore that T is $z \mapsto z^{-1}$. We may also assume that $\infty \notin D$. Otherwise $\mathbb{P} \setminus D$ is a closed (open) disk that does not contain ∞ . If $T(\mathbb{P} \setminus D) = \mathbb{P} \setminus T(D)$ is a closed (open) disk, then $T(D)$ will be an open (closed) disk.

This leaves us with four cases. Let \triangleleft be one of the symbols $<$, \leq , and let \triangleleft' be the other one. This notation allows us to deal with a pair of cases simultaneously.

- (1) $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a| \triangleleft \rho\}$ and $|a| \triangleleft \rho$. Then $0 \in D$, and hence by Exercise 3.4, $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| \triangleleft \rho\}$. In this case $T(D) = \{w \mid \frac{1}{\rho} \triangleleft |w|\}$, a disk.
- (2) $D = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a| \triangleleft \rho\}$ and $\rho \triangleleft' |a|$. Then every $z \in D$ satisfies $|z - a| < |a|$, and hence $|z| = |a|$. Put $D' = \{w \mid |w - \frac{1}{a}| \triangleleft \frac{\rho}{|a|^2}\}$. As $\frac{\rho}{|a|^2} \triangleleft' |\frac{1}{a}|$, every $w \in D'$ satisfies $|w - \frac{1}{a}| < |\frac{1}{a}|$, and hence $|w| = \frac{1}{|a|}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T(D) &= \{w \mid |\frac{1}{w} - a| \triangleleft \rho, |\frac{1}{w}| = |a|\} = \{w \mid |w - \frac{1}{a}| \triangleleft \frac{\rho}{|a|} |w|, |w| = \frac{1}{|a|}\} = \\ &= \{w \mid |w - \frac{1}{a}| \triangleleft \frac{\rho}{|a|^2}, |w| = \frac{1}{|a|}\} = D'. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.6: *Let D_1, D_2 be two disks (open or closed, not necessarily of the same type!) such that $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $D_1 \cup D_2 \neq \mathbb{P}$. Then either $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ or $D_2 \subseteq D_1$.*

Proof: Using an automorphism of \mathbb{P} we may assume that $\infty \notin D_1, D_2$. Thus

$$D_i = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a_i| < \rho_i\} \quad \text{or} \quad D_i = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z - a_i| \leq \rho_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $a \in D_1 \cap D_2$. By Exercise 3.4, wlog $a_1 = a_2 = a$. The assertion follows. (If $\rho_i < \rho_j$, then $D_i \subseteq D_j$; if $\rho_i = \rho_j$, and D_i is open or D_j closed, then $D_i \subseteq D_j$.) \blacksquare

COROLLARY 3.7: *Let $F' \neq \mathbb{P}$ be the union of finitely many disks. Then F' is the union of finitely many disjoint disks.*

Proof: Let C_1, \dots, C_m be disks such that $F' = \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$. Let D_1, \dots, D_r be the maximal among C_1, \dots, C_m (with respect to inclusion of sets). Then $F' = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$. For $i \neq j$, neither $D_i \subseteq D_j$ nor $D_j \subseteq D_i$, and $D_i \cup D_j \neq \mathbb{P}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.6, $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$. \blacksquare

Definition 3.8:

- (a) A non-empty subset of \mathbb{P} is called a **connected affinoid**, if it is the intersection of finitely many closed disks. Equivalently, the set is the complement of the union of finitely many open disks and the union is not \mathbb{P} .
- (b) An **affinoid** is the union of finitely many connected affinoids. ■

The value group $|K^\times|$ is not discrete, and hence it has infinitely many values between ρ_1 and ρ_2 . Therefore R is infinite. ■

LEMMA 3.9: *Let D_0, \dots, D_n be disks. If $D_i \cup D_j \neq \mathbb{P}$ for all i, j , then $\bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i \neq \mathbb{P}$; moreover, $\mathbb{P} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$ is an infinite set.*

Proof: Replace D_0, \dots, D_n by the maximal disks among them to assume that there are no inclusion among them. By Lemma 3.6, D_0, \dots, D_n are disjoint. By Lemma 3.5 we may assume that either $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| \geq 1\}$ or $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| > 1\}$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $D_0 \cap D_i = \emptyset$, we have $D_i = \{z \in K \mid |z - a_i| \triangleleft_i \rho_i\}$, where \triangleleft_i is either $<$ or \leq .

PART A: $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| \geq 1\}$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $D_0 \cap D_i = \emptyset$, we have $|a_i| < 1$. As $D_0 \cup D_i \neq \mathbb{P}$, also $\rho_i < 1$. Thus $\pi := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|a_i|, \rho_i)$ is smaller than 1, and hence $\{z \in K \mid \pi < |z| < 1\}$ is contained in $\mathbb{P} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$.

PART B: $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| > 1\}$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $D_0 \cap D_i = \emptyset$, we have $|a_i|, \rho_i \leq 1$. However, if $\rho_i = 1$ and \triangleleft_i is \leq , then $D_i = \{z \in K \mid |z| \leq 1\}$, which gives the contradiction $D_0 \cup D_i = \mathbb{P}$. Therefore either $\rho_i < 1$ or \triangleleft_i is $<$, and hence $D_i \subseteq \{z \in K \mid |z - a_i| < 1\}$. Thus $\mathbb{P} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$ contains the set

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= \{z \in K \mid |z| = 1, |z - a_i| = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\ &= \{z \in K^0 \mid \bar{z} \neq 0, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r\} \end{aligned}$$

which is infinite, since \bar{K} is infinite. ■

COROLLARY 3.10: *Let D_1, \dots, D_n and C_1, \dots, C_m be disks.*

- (a) *If $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ for all i, j , then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i \neq \emptyset$.*
- (b) *If $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j \neq \mathbb{P}$, then there are i and j such that $D_i \subseteq C_j$.*

- (c) If D_1, \dots, D_n are disjoint, of the same type (closed or open), then \mathbb{P} is not their disjoint union.
- (d) If $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j \neq \mathbb{P}$, and there are no inclusions among the D_i and no inclusions among the C_j , then $n = m$ and, up to a permutation, $D_i = C_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof: (a) Apply Lemma 3.9 to the disks $\mathbb{P} \setminus D_1, \dots, \mathbb{P} \setminus D_n$.

(b) If $\bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$, then $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{P} \setminus D_i) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$. By Lemma 3.9 either $(\mathbb{P} \setminus D_i) \cup (\mathbb{P} \setminus D_{i'}) = \mathbb{P}$ for some i, i' , or $C_j \cup C_{j'} = \mathbb{P}$ for some j, j' , or $(\mathbb{P} \setminus D_i) \cup C_j = \mathbb{P}$ for some i, j . The first option gives $D_i \cap D_{i'} = \emptyset$, a contradiction to $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i$. The second option contradicts $\bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j \neq \mathbb{P}$. The third option gives $D_i \subseteq C_j$.

(c) We have $D_i \cup D_k \neq \mathbb{P}$ for all i, k (otherwise $D_i = D_k^c$ are of the same type). Apply Lemma 3.9.

(d) Fix $1 \leq i \leq m$. As $\emptyset \neq D_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j \neq \mathbb{P}$, by (b) there is $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $D_i \subseteq C_j$. Similarly, there is $1 \leq i' \leq m$ such that $C_j \subseteq D_{i'}$. Thus $D_i \subseteq D_{i'}$. By assumption, this implies that $i = i'$. Hence $D_i = C_j$. ■

PROPOSITION 3.11: *Let F be a connected affinoid, and let F_1, \dots, F_m be disjoint connected affinoids, $m \geq 2$. Then $F \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$.*

Proof: Write F as $F = \mathbb{P} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^p C_j$, where C_j are disjoint open disks, and $p \geq 0$.

Similarly, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $F_i = \mathbb{P} \setminus \bigcup_{t_i=1}^{n_i} D_{it_i}$, where the D_{it_i} are open disks.

Assume that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$. Let $\mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \mid 1 \leq t_i \leq n_i\}$. Then

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P} \neq \bigcup_{j=1}^p C_j = \left(\bigcup_{t_1=1}^{n_1} D_{1t_1} \right) \cap \cdots \cap \left(\bigcup_{t_m=1}^{n_m} D_{mt_m} \right) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}} D_{\mathbf{t}},$$

where $D_{\mathbf{t}} = D_{1t_1} \cap \cdots \cap D_{mt_m}$, for each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}$.

PART A: *If $D_{\mathbf{t}} \neq \emptyset$, then there is $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that $D_{kt_k} \subseteq D_{it_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and hence $D_{\mathbf{t}} = D_{kt_k}$.*

Indeed, $D_{\mathbf{t}} \subseteq \bigcup_j C_j \neq \mathbb{P}$, so by Corollary 3.10(b) there are $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$ such that $D_{kt_k} \subseteq C_j$. In particular, $D_{\mathbf{t}} \subseteq C_j$. As C_1, \dots, C_p are disjoint, this

j is uniquely determined by \mathbf{t} . Let $1 \leq i \leq m$. As $F_i \subseteq F$ and hence $C_j \subseteq \bigcup_{s_i=1}^{n_i} D_{is_i}$, by Corollary 3.10(b) there is (a unique) s_i such that $C_j \subseteq D_{is_i}$. Thus there is a unique $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $C_j \subseteq D_{\mathbf{s}}$. We get $D_{\mathbf{t}} \subseteq D_{k t_k} \subseteq C_j \subseteq D_{\mathbf{s}}$. But $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{s}$, since $D_{\mathbf{t}} \cap D_{\mathbf{s}} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $D_{\mathbf{t}} = D_{k t_k}$, which proves the claim.

PART B: For all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ there are t_i and t_j such that $D_{it_i} \cup D_{jt_j} = \mathbb{P}$. Indeed, $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$, that is, $\bigcup_{t_i=1}^{n_i} D_{it_i} \cup \bigcup_{t_j=1}^{n_j} D_{jt_j} = \mathbb{P}$. By Lemma 3.9, \mathbb{P} is the union of two of the disks on the left handed side. As $\bigcup_{t_i=1}^{n_i} D_{it_i}, \bigcup_{t_j=1}^{n_j} D_{jt_j} \neq \mathbb{P}$, one of the two disks is of the form D_{it_i} and the other one of the form D_{jt_j} .

PART C: Construction of a special $\mathbf{t} \in T$. By Part B there are t_1 and t_2 such that $D_{1t_1} \cup D_{2t_2} = \mathbb{P}$. Choose such t_1 . For $2 \leq i \leq m$ choose t_i in the following way:

- (a) If there exists t_i such that $D_{1t_1} \cup D_{it_i} = \mathbb{P}$, – choose such t_i .
- (b) Otherwise, by Part B, there are $t'_1 \neq t_1$ and t_i such that $D_{1t'_1} \cup D_{it_i} = \mathbb{P}$. Choose such t_i . As $D_{1t_1} \cap D_{1t'_1} = \emptyset$, we have $D_{1t_1} \subseteq D_{1t'_1}^c \subseteq D_{it_i}$. Thus we have chosen t_i such that $D_{1t_1} \subseteq D_{it_i}$.

PART D: There is no i such that $D_{it_i} \subseteq D_{1t_1}, \dots, D_{mt_m}$. Observe that (a) applies to $i = 2$, that is, $D_{1t_1} \cup D_{2t_2} = \mathbb{P}$. Thus $D_{1t_1} \not\subseteq D_{2t_2}$. It follows that if i has been chosen by (b), then also $D_{it_i} \not\subseteq D_{2t_2}$. If i has been chosen by (a), then $D_{it_i} \not\subseteq D_{1t_1}$.

PART E: $D_{\mathbf{t}} \neq \emptyset$. By Corollary 3.10(a) it suffices to show for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ that $D_{it_i} \cap D_{jt_j} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose first $j = 1$. If t_i has been chosen by (a), then $D_{1t_1} \cup D_{it_i} = \mathbb{P}$, and hence $D_{1t_1} \cap D_{it_i} \neq \emptyset$. If t_i has been chosen by (b), then $D_{1t_1} \subseteq D_{it_i}$, and hence $D_{1t_1} \cap D_{it_i} \neq \emptyset$.

Now the general case: If t_i has been chosen by (b), then $D_{1t_1} \subseteq D_{it_i}$, hence by the previous case $D_{it_i} \cap D_{jt_j} \neq \emptyset$. Similarly if t_j has been chosen by (b). If both t_i and t_j have been chosen by (a), then $D_{1t_1} \cup D_{it_i} = \mathbb{P} = D_{1t_1} \cup D_{jt_j}$, and hence $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{P} \setminus D_{1t_1} \subseteq D_{it_i} \cap D_{jt_j}$. ■

EXERCISE 3.12: Let F_1, F_2 be connected affinoids, $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then both $F_1 \cap F_2$ and $F_1 \cup F_2$ are connected affinoids.

Proof: The first assertion is trivial. As for the second one, write $\mathbb{P} \setminus F_1$ and $\mathbb{P} \setminus F_2$ as

unions of open disks, say $\mathbb{P} \setminus F_1 = \bigcup_i D_i$ and $\mathbb{P} \setminus F_2 = \bigcup_j E_j$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2) = (\mathbb{P} \setminus F_1) \cap (\mathbb{P} \setminus F_2) = \bigcup_{ij} D_i \cap E_j.$$

The assumption $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ implies that $D_i \cup E_j \neq \mathbb{P}$, for all i, j . By Lemma 3.6, $D_i \cap E_j$ is either empty or an open disk. ■

THEOREM 3.13: *Let $F \neq \mathbb{P}$ be an affinoid. There are unique connected affinoids F_1, \dots, F_m such that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$.*

PROOF: *Existence.* Write F as the union of connected affinoids F_1, \dots, F_m . If there are $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ such that $F_i \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$, then $F_i \cup F_j$ is a connected affinoid itself, by Exercise 3.12. Proceed by induction on m .

Uniqueness. Suppose that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_j$, where F_i, G_j are connected affinoids. Then $F_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_i \cap G_j$. By Exercise 3.12, each $F_i \cap G_j$ is either empty or a connected affinoid. Therefore, by Proposition 3.11, there is (a unique) j such that $F_i = F_i \cap G_j$, that is, $F_i \subseteq G_j$. Wlog $j = n$. By a similar argument there is a unique i' such that $G_j \subseteq F_{i'}$. As the F_i are disjoint, $i' = m$. Therefore $F_m = G_n$. Thus $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} F_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} G_j$. It follows by induction on $\min(m, n)$ that $m = n$, and $F_i = G_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, up to a permutation. ■

EXERCISE 3.14: *Assume that K is algebraically closed. Let $f \in K(z)$ be a rational function, and let $\rho \in |K^\times|$. Then $F = \{z \mid |f(z)| \leq \rho\}$ is an affinoid.*

Proof: Write f as $c \prod_{i=1}^s (z - a_i)^{n_i}$, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $n = \deg(f) = \sum_i n_i$. Replacing ρ by $\frac{\rho}{|c|}$ we may assume that $c = 1$.

PART A: $s = 1$. In this case

$$F = \{z \mid |z - a_1|^{n_1} \leq \rho\} = \begin{cases} \{z \mid |z - a_1| \leq \rho^{\frac{1}{n_1}}\} & \text{if } n_1 > 0; \\ \{z \mid |z - a_1| \geq \rho^{\frac{1}{n_1}}\} & \text{if } n_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

This is a closed disk.

PART B: *Reduction.* Assume $s \geq 2$. Let T be an automorphism of \mathbb{P} . As T^{-1} maps affinoids onto affinoids, it suffices to show that $F' = \{z \mid |f(T(z))| \leq \rho\}$ is an affinoid.

For instance, if T is $z \mapsto az$, where $a \in K^\times$, then

$$F' = \{z \mid \prod_{i=1}^s |az - a_i|^{n_i} \leq \rho\} = \{z \mid \prod_{i=1}^s |z - \frac{a_i}{a}|^{n_i} \leq \frac{\rho}{|a|^n}\}$$

Replacing a_i by $\frac{a_i}{a}$ we may assume that

(i) $\max_{i \neq j} |a_i - a_j| = 1$.

If T is $z \mapsto z + a$, where $a \in K$, then $F' = \{z \mid \prod_{i=1}^s |z - a'_i|^{n_i} \leq \rho\}$, where $a'_i = a_i - a$. Hence we may replace a_i by a'_i . (Observe that $a'_i - a'_j = a_i - a_j$, so that (i) is preserved.)

Apply this with $a = a_1 + u$, where $u \in K$ such that $|u| = 1$ but $\overline{a_i - a_1} \neq \bar{u}$. We have $|a'_i| \leq \max(|a_i - a_1|, |u|) \leq 1$, but $a'_i = a_i - a_1 - u$ together with $\bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_1 \neq \bar{u}$ implies that $|a'_i| \not\leq 1$, otherwise $\bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_1 = \bar{u}$, a contradiction. Replacing a_i by a'_i we may assume that

(ii) $|a_i| = 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, s$.

PART C: Assume that $|a_i - a_j| = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. We have $F = F_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s F_i$, where

$$F_0 = \{z \mid \bigwedge_{j=1}^s |z - a_j| \geq 1 \wedge |f(z)| \leq \rho\}, \quad F_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| < 1 \wedge |f(z)| \leq \rho\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

Let $z \in F_0$. Then $|z - a_i| = |z - a_j|$ for all $i \neq j$. Indeed, if $|z - a_i| > 1$ for some i , this follows from the above assumption; otherwise $|z - a_i| = 1 = |z - a_j|$. Therefore $F_0 = \{z \mid \bigwedge_{j=1}^s |z - a_j| \geq 1 \wedge |z - a_i|^n \leq \rho\}$ is an affinoid (an intersection of $s + 1$ closed disks, by Part A).

Let $1 \leq i \leq s$ and let $z \in F_i$. Then $|z - a_i| < 1$. By the above assumption $|z - a_j| = 1$ for all $j \neq i$. Therefore

$$F_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| < 1 \wedge |z - a_i|^{n_i} \leq \rho\} = \begin{cases} \{z \mid |z - a_i| \leq \rho^{\frac{1}{n_i}}\} & \text{if } \rho < 1 \text{ and } n_i > 0; \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \rho \leq 1 \text{ and } n_i < 0; \\ \{z \mid \bigwedge_{j \neq i} |z - a_j| = 1 \wedge |z - a_i| < 1\} & \text{if } \rho \geq 1 \text{ and } n_i > 0; \\ \{z \mid \bigwedge_{j \neq i} |z - a_j| = 1 \wedge \rho^{\frac{1}{n_i}} \leq |z - a_i| < 1\} & \text{if } \rho > 1 \text{ and } n_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that $F_0 \cup F_i$ is an affinoid. By Part A, F_0 is an affinoid. In the first two cases also F_i is an affinoid (possibly empty). Let $U = \{z \mid \bigwedge_{j=1}^s |z - a_j| = 1\}$. In

the last two cases $F_i \cup U$ is an affinoid; but now $\rho \geq 1$, and hence $U \subseteq F_0$. Therefore $F_0 \cup F_i = F_0 \cup (U \cup F_i)$ is an affinoid.

PART D: Assume that $|a_1 - a_2| \neq 1$. There is k such that $|a_1 - a_k| = 1$, otherwise $|a_1 - a_k| < 1$ for all $k = 2, \dots, s$, whence $|a_i - a_j| < 1$ for all $i \neq j$, a contradiction to (i). Wlog there is $2 < t < s$ and $\alpha \in |K^\times|$ such that $\alpha < 1$ and $|a_1 - a_i| < \alpha < 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $\alpha < |a_1 - a_i| = 1$ for $i = t + 1, \dots, s$.

If $|z - a_1| \leq \alpha$, then $|z - a_i| = 1$ for $i = t + 1, \dots, s$. If $|z - a_1| \geq \alpha$, then $|z - a_i| = |z - a_1|$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Therefore $F = F_1 \cup F_2$, where

$$F_1 = \{z \mid |z - a_1| \leq \alpha \wedge |f(z)| \leq \rho\} = \{z \mid |z - a_1| \leq \alpha \wedge \prod_{i=1}^t |z - a_i|^{n_i} \leq \rho\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \{z \mid |z - a_1| \geq \alpha \wedge |f(z)| \leq \rho\} \\ &= \{z \mid |z - a_1| \geq \alpha \wedge |z - a_1|^{n_1 + \dots + n_t} \prod_{i=t+1}^s |z - a_i|^{n_i} \leq \rho\} \end{aligned}$$

Both F_0 and F_1 are affinoids, by induction on s . ■

LEMMA 3.15: *Let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r be disjoint connected affinoids.*

- (a) *If $r \geq 2$, there are disjoint closed disks E_1, E_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq E_1$, $F_2 \subseteq E_2$, $F_3, \dots, F_r \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$.*
- (b) *Suppose $F_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^s D_j$, where D_j are closed disks with disjoint complements. Then $D_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_r \neq \mathbb{P}$.*

Proof: (a) By induction on the number m of non-disks among F_1, \dots, F_r . If $m = 0$, that is, F_1, \dots, F_r are disjoint closed disks, this is Corollary 3.10(c). Suppose $m \geq 1$. Then there is t such that F_t is not a disk, and hence F_t is the complement of the disjoint union of open disks $\cup_{j=1}^s C_j$. For each $i \neq t$ we have $F_i \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^s C_j$, and hence, by Corollary 3.10(b), $F_i \subseteq C_j$, for some (unique) j .

If $t = 1$, wlog $F_2 \subseteq C_1$. Apply the induction hypothesis to $(C_1^c, F_2, \{F_i \mid i \geq 3, F_i \subseteq C_1\})$ to get the required assertion. (In detail: the elements of this sequence are disjoint connected affinoids and the number of non-disks among them is $< m$ (we have

replaced at least F_1 by a disk C_1^c). So there are disjoint closed disks E_1, E_2 such that $C_1^c \subseteq E_1$ (and hence $F_1 \subseteq E_1$ and $F_i \subseteq E_1$ if $F_i \not\subseteq C_1$), $F_2 \subseteq E_2$, and $F_i \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$, whenever $i \geq 3$ and $F_i \subseteq C_1$.)

Similarly if $t = 2$.

If $t \neq 1, 2$, wlog $t = r > 2$ and $F_1 \subseteq C_1$. Apply the induction hypothesis to

$$\begin{cases} (F_1, F_2, \{F_i \mid i \geq 3, F_i \subseteq C_1\}, C_1^c) & \text{if } F_2 \subseteq C_1 \\ (F_1, \{F_i \mid i \geq 3, F_i \subseteq C_1\}, C_1^c) & \text{if } F_2 \not\subseteq C_1 \end{cases}$$

to get the required assertion. (In detail: the elements of this sequence are disjoint connected affinoids and the number of non-disks among them is $< m$ (we have replaced at least F_r by a disk C_1^c). So there are disjoint closed disks E_1, E_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq E_1$, each F_i is contained in $E_1 \cup E_2$ —either by assumption or because $F_i \subseteq C_1^c \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$ —and $F_2 \subseteq E_2$ —either by assumption or because $F_2 \subseteq C_1^c \subseteq E_1 \cup E_2$ —.)

(b) First assume $r = 2$ and F_2 is a closed disk. Then $\bigcap_{j=1}^s D_j \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. By Corollary 3.10(a) there is j such that $D_j \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. Hence if $j = 1$, we have $D_1 \cup F_2 \neq \mathbb{P}$ by an exercise (the union of two disjoint closed disks is not \mathbb{P}). If $j \neq 1$, then D_j^c, D_1^c are disjoint, and hence $F_2 \subseteq D_j^c \subseteq D_1$, whence $F_2 \cup D_1 = D_1 \neq \mathbb{P}$.

By induction on the number m of non-disks among F_2, \dots, F_r . If $m = 0$, that is, F_2, \dots, F_r are disjoint closed disks, by an exercise $F_i \cup F_j \neq P$ for $i \neq j$ and $F_i \cup D_1 \neq P$ by the preceding special case. Hence $D_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_r \neq \mathbb{P}$ by Lemma 3.9.

Suppose $m \geq 1$. Then $r \geq 2$ and wlog F_r is not a disk. Hence F_r is the complement of the disjoint union of open disks $\cup_{j=1}^s C_j$. For each $i \neq r$ we have $F_i \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^s C_j$, and hence, by Corollary 3.10(b), $F_i \subseteq C_j$, for some (unique) j . Wlog $F_1 \subseteq C_1$. Apply the induction hypothesis to $(F_1, \{F_i \mid i \geq 2, F_i \subseteq C_1\}, C_1^c)$ (which produces a larger union) to get the required assertion. ■

Remark 3.16: There are disjoint connected affinoids F_1, F_2, F_3 for which do not exist disjoint closed disks E_1, E_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq E_1$ and $F_2, F_3 \subseteq E_2$. Indeed, let $F_1 = (C_1 \cup C_2)^c$, where $C_1 = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ and $C_2 = \{z \mid |z - 1| < 1\}$, and let $F_i \subseteq C_i$ be a closed disk, containing $0, 1$ respectively. If such E_1, E_2 existed, then $0, 1 \in E_1$ and $\infty \notin E_1$. Hence $E_1 = \{z \mid |z| \leq \rho\}$ for some $\rho \in |K^\times|$ and $\rho \geq 1$. But there is $0, 1 \neq \bar{z} \in \bar{K}$. Lift it to $z \in K^o$; then $z \in E_1$ and $z \in F_1$, a contradiction/ ■

LEMMA 3.17: Let F be a connected affinoid such that $\infty \notin F$. Then either F is a closed disk or a finite union of sets of the form

$$C_{r,r'} = \{z \in K \mid r < |z - a_0| < r'\},$$

$$C_r = \{z \in K \mid |z - a_0| = \cdots = |z - a_n| = r\},$$

where $r, r' \in |K^\times|$, $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$ such that $|a_i - a_j| = r$.

Proof: If F is not a closed disk, then it is the intersection of $n + 1 \geq 2$ closed disks D_0, \dots, D_{n+1} , such that their complements are disjoint. As $\infty \in F^c = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} D_i^c$, wlog $\infty \in D_{n+1}^c$. Thus

$$D_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| \geq \pi_i\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n+1} = \{z \mid |z - a_{n+1}| \leq \pi_{n+1}\}.$$

Put

$$F_k = \{z \in F \mid |z - a_k| \leq |z - a_i|, \quad i = 0, \dots, n\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Then $F = \bigcup_{k=0}^n F_k$. (By an exercise each F_k is a connected affinoid, but we will not use this.) Thus it suffices to present each F_k as a finite union of sets of the form $C_{r,r'}$ and C_r . **Wlog** $k = 0$.

As translations move $C_{r,r'}$ and C_r into sets of the same form, we may assume that $a_0 = 0$. Then $0 = a_0 \in D_0^c \subseteq D_{n+1}$; by Exercise 3.4, wlog $a_{n+1} = 0$. Thus

$$D_0 = \{z \mid |z| \geq \pi_0\}, \quad D_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| \geq \pi_i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad D_{n+1} = \{z \mid |z| \leq \pi_{n+1}\}$$

and

$$F_0 = \{z \in F \mid |z| \leq |z - a_i|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The disjointness of D_0^c, \dots, D_{n+1}^c implies, in particular,

$$\pi_0 \leq |a_i| \leq \pi_{n+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\pi_i \leq |a_i|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(Indeed, $a_i \in D_i^c \subseteq D_0, D_{n+1}$, hence $|a_i| \geq \pi_0$, $|a_i| \leq \pi_{n+1}$. Further, $0 \in D_0^c \subseteq D_i$, hence $|a_i| \geq \pi_i$.)

Let $\pi_0 = r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_s = \pi_{n+1}$ be all the distinct numbers in the set $\{\pi_0, |a_1|, \dots, |a_n|, \pi_{n+1}\}$. Then

$$F_0 = \cup_{t=1}^s \{z \in F_0 \mid r_{t-1} < |z| < r_t\} \cup \cup_{t=1}^s \{z \in F_0 \mid |z| = r_t\}.$$

But if $r_{t-1} < |z| < r_t$, then $\pi_0 \leq |z| \leq \pi_{n+1}$, and for every $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$|z - a_i| = \begin{cases} |z| > r_{t-1} \geq |a_i| & \text{if } |a_i| \leq r_{t-1}; \\ |a_i| \geq r_t > |z| & \text{if } |a_i| > r_{t-1}, \text{ and hence } |a_i| \geq r_t. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, $|z - a_i| \geq |z|$ and $|z - a_i| \geq |a_i| \geq \pi_i$. Hence $z \in F_0$. Thus

$$\{z \in F_0 \mid r_{t-1} < |z| < r_t\} = \{z \in K \mid r_{t-1} < |z| < r_t\} = Cr_{t-1}, r_t.$$

Similarly if $|z| = r_t$, then $\pi_0 \leq |z| \leq \pi_{n+1}$, and for every $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$|z - a_i| = \begin{cases} |z| = r_t > |a_i| & \text{if } |a_i| < r_t; \\ \leq r_t & \text{if } |a_i| = r_t; \\ |a_i| > r_t = |z| & \text{if } |a_i| > r_t. \end{cases}$$

Thus if $|a_i| \neq r_t$, then $|z - a_i| \geq |z| = r_t$ and $|z - a_i| \geq |a_i| \geq \pi_i$. If $|a_i| = r_t$, then $|z - a_i| \geq |z| = r_t, \pi_i \leftrightarrow |z - a_i| = r_t (= |a_i| \geq \pi_i)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{z \in F_0 \mid |z| = r_t\} &= \{z \in K \mid |z| = r_t, \pi_0 \leq |z| \leq \pi_{n+1}, \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ |a_i|=r_t}}^n |z - a_i| \geq r_t, \pi_i\} \\ &= \{z \in K \mid |z| = r_t \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ |a_i|=r_t}}^n |z - a_i| \geq r_t, \pi_i\} \\ &= \{z \in K \mid |z| = r_t, \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ |a_i|=r_t}}^n |z - a_i| = r_t\}, \end{aligned}$$

The last set is of the form C_r . Indeed, if for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have $|a_i| = |a_j| = r_t$, then $|a_i - a_j| \leq r_t$. If $|a_i - a_j| < r_t$, then from $|z - a_i| = r_t$ follows $|z - a_j| = r_t$. Therefore we may throw away the condition $|z - a_j| = r_t$. Thus wlog $|a_i - a_j| = r_t$ for all $i < j$.

■

4. Holomorphic functions

Let $(K, |\cdot|)$ be an algebraically closed **complete** non-archimedean valued field. Recall that K^o is its valuation ring and K^{oo} is its maximal ideal.

Let F be a subset of $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(K)$. For a function $f: F \rightarrow K$ define the **norm** $\|f\| = \|f\|_F := \sup_{z \in F} |f(z)| \in K$. Observe that

- (1) $\|f + g\| \leq \max(\|f\|, \|g\|)$;
- (2) $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$;
- (3) $\|cf\| = |c| \cdot \|f\|$, for every $c \in K^\times$.

Let $F \subset \mathbb{P}$ be an affinoid.

Definition 4.1: A function $f: F \rightarrow K$ is **holomorphic** if for every $\varepsilon \in |K^\times|$ there is a rational function $g \in K(z)$ without poles in F such that $\|f - g\|_F < \varepsilon$. ■

We set:

- (i) $\mathcal{O}(F)$ = the set of K -holomorphic functions on F .
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}^o(F) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(F) \mid \|f\| \leq 1\}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}^{oo}(F) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(F) \mid \|f\| < 1\}$;
- (iv) $\overline{\mathcal{O}(F)} = \mathcal{O}^o(F)/\mathcal{O}^{oo}(F)$.

EXERCISE 4.2: Let $g \in K(z)$ be without poles in F . Show that $\|g\|_F < \infty$. Deduce that $\|f\|_F < \infty$ for every holomorphic function f on F .

Proof: As K is algebraically closed, g is the product of a constant function, linear functions $z - c$, with $c \in K$, and the inverses of linear functions, all of them without poles in F . Thus we may assume that g is one of them. In particular, g has only one pole in \mathbb{P} . As F is the union of connected affinoids, we may assume that F is connected. But then F is the intersection of closed disks, and the single pole of g is not in all of them. Therefore we may assume that F is a disk. In this case the assertion is easy.

■

LEMMA 4.3:

- (a) $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is complete.

(b) $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a K -algebra, $\mathcal{O}^o(F)$ is a K^o -algebra, $\mathcal{O}^{oo}(F)$ is an ideal of it, and $\overline{\mathcal{O}(F)}$ is an algebra over $\bar{K} = K^o/K^{oo}$.

Proof: (a) Let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{O}(F)$. Let $z \in F$. Obviously, $\{f_n(z)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in K . As K is complete, this sequence has a limit, say, $f(z) \in K$. This yields a function $f: F \rightarrow K$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is N such that for all $n, m \geq N$ and each $z \in F$ we have $|f_n(z) - f_m(z)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\| < \varepsilon$. In particular, $|f_n(z) - f(z)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$ and each $z \in F$. Hence $\|f_n - f\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus $f_n \rightarrow f$.

Finally, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is f_n such that $\|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$ and there is $g \in K(z)$ without poles in F such that $\|f_n - g\| < \varepsilon$. Then $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.4: Let $D = \{z \in K \mid |z| \leq 1\}$.

- (a) $\mathcal{O}(D) = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0\} =: \mathcal{O}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{O}(D)^o = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in K^o \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0\}$.
- (c) $\mathcal{O}(D)^{oo} = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in K^{oo} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0\}$.
- (d) $\bar{\mathcal{O}} = \bar{K}[\bar{z}]$, the ring of polynomials in one variable over \bar{K} .
- (e) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $\|fg\| = \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$.
- (f) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{O}$, then $\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n\|_D = \max |a_n|$. Moreover, there is $c \in D$ such that $|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n c^n| = \max |a_n|$.

Proof:

PART A: *First part of (a).* Let us denote the right handed side by \mathcal{O} . Its elements are convergent sequences of powers of z , hence $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(D)$.

PART B: *Proof of (f).*

If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{O}$, then clearly $\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n\|_D \leq \max |a_n|$. To show “=”, we may assume, by (3), that $\max |a_n| = 1$, and we have to show that there is $z \in D$ such that $|f(z)| = 1$. Let $\bar{f} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n Z^n$. This is a nonzero polynomial over \bar{K} . Thus there is $\bar{z} \in \bar{K}$ such that $\bar{f}(\bar{z}) \neq 0$. It is the residue of some $z \in K^o = D$. Then $\overline{f(z)} = \bar{f}(\bar{z}) \neq 0$. This means that $|f(z)| = 1$.

PART C: \mathcal{O} is complete. Let $\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(i)} z^n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence. By the above formula for the norm $\{a_n^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence for each $n \geq 0$. Hence it converges to some $a_n \in K$. It is easy to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(i)} z^n \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. (Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is i such that if $j \geq i$, then $|a_n^{(i)} - a_n^{(j)}| \leq \varepsilon$ for all n ; hence $|a_n^{(i)} - a_n| \leq \varepsilon$ for all n . There is also N such that if $n \geq N$ then $|a_n^{(i)}| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus $|a_n| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$.)

PART D: *Second part of (a)*. As \mathcal{O} is complete, to show that $\mathcal{O}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, it suffices to show that every rational function $f \in K(z)$ with no poles in D is in \mathcal{O} . As \mathcal{O} is a K -algebra (check!), we may assume that f is either a polynomial over K (whence $f \in \mathcal{O}$) or $f = \frac{1}{z-b}$, where $b \notin D$, that is, $|b| > 1$, whence $\frac{1}{z-b} = \frac{1}{-b} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{b}z} = \frac{1}{-b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^n} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{b^{n+1}} z^n \in \mathcal{O}$.

(b),(c) – clear.

(d) Let \bar{z} be a variable over \bar{K} . The map $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n \bar{z}^n$ is a well defined homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^o \rightarrow \bar{K}[\bar{z}]$. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{oo} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^o \rightarrow \bar{K}[\bar{z}] \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Hence $\mathcal{O}^o/\mathcal{O}^{oo} \cong \bar{K}[\bar{z}]$.

(e) Clearly $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$. Wlog $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, and we have to show that $\|fg\| = 1$. That is, $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \neq 0$, and we have to show that $\overline{fg} = \bar{f}\bar{g} \neq 0$. This follows from (d), since $\bar{K}[\bar{z}]$ is an integral domain. ■

EXERCISE 4.5: Let φ be an automorphism of \mathbb{P} . Let F be an affinoid. Show that $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F)$ of K -algebras that preserves the norm.

EXERCISE 4.6: Let $c \in K$ and $\pi \in K^\times$.

(a) Let $F = \{z \mid |z - c| \leq |\pi|\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(F) &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - c)^n \mid a_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \pi^n = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \left(\frac{z - c}{\pi} \right)^n \mid b_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - c)^n \|_F = \max |a_n| |\pi|^n = \max |b_n|$.

(b) Let $F = \{z \mid |z - c| \geq |\pi|\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}(F) &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - c)^{-n} \mid a_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \pi^{-n} = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \left(\frac{\pi}{z - c} \right)^n \mid b_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - c)^n \right\|_F = \max |a_n| |\pi|^{-n} = \max |b_n|.$$

Proof: An application of Exercise 4.5 to Proposition 4.4:

- (a) The automorphism $z \mapsto \frac{z-c}{\pi}$ maps F onto the unit disk.
- (b) The automorphism $z \mapsto \frac{\pi}{z-c}$ maps F onto the unit disk. ■

For an affinoid F adopt the following notation: For $c \in F$ let $\mathcal{O}(F)_c = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(F) \mid f(c) = 0\}$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{C}(F)$ be the algebra of constant K -holomorphic functions on F . Clearly $\mathcal{C}(F) \cong K$.

PROPOSITION 4.7 (Decomposition of Mittag-Leffler): *Let D_1, \dots, D_m be m disjoint open disks. Let F_i be the complement of D_i and let $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F_i$. Let $c \in F$. Then*

- (a) $\mathcal{O}(F) = \mathcal{C}(F) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(F_i)_c$.
- (b) Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(F)$ and let $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)_c$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\left\| \sum_{i=0}^m f_i \right\|_F = \max \|f_i\|_{F_i}$. Moreover, there is $z \in F$ such that $\left| \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(z) \right| = \max \|f_i\|_{F_i}$.

Proof: (b) We may assume that $\|f_0\|_F \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|f_i\|_{F_i}$, otherwise for every $z \in F$ we have $\left| \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(z) \right| = |f_0(z)|$. Using (3) we may normalize the f_i to assume that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|f_i\|_{F_i} = 1 \geq \|f_0\|_F$, and we have to show that there is $z \in F$ such that $\left| \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(z) \right| = 1$.

By Exercise 4.5 we may assume that $c = \infty$. Hence $F_i = \{z \mid |z - a_i| \geq |\pi_i|\}$, for each i .

Reordering F_1, \dots, F_m we may assume that

- (i) there is $1 \leq s \leq m$ such that $\|f_i\|_{F_i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $\|f_i\|_{F_i} < 1$ for $i = s + 1, \dots, m$;
- (ii) $|\pi_1| \geq |\pi_i|$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

By Exercise 4.5 we may assume that $a_1 = 0$ and $|\pi_1| = 1$.

Let $2 \leq i$. As $D_1 \cap D_i = \emptyset$ and hence $a_i \notin D_1$ and $a_1 = 0 \notin D_i$,

(x) $|a_i| \geq |\pi_1|, |\pi_i|$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

Therefore, reordering F_2, \dots, F_s we may assume that

(iii) there is $1 \leq r \leq s$ such that $|a_i| = |\pi_1|$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$ and $|a_i| > |\pi_1|$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, s$.

Put $I = \{1\} \cup \{2 \leq i \leq m \mid |a_i| = |\pi_1|\}$ and

$$G = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{z \in K \mid |z - a_i| = |\pi_1|\}.$$

We claim that

(iv) $G \subseteq F$;

(v) every $z \in G$ satisfies $|f_i(z)| < 1$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, m$; and

(vi) there is $z \in G$ such that $|\sum_{i=0}^r f_i(z)| = 1$.

It then follows that there is $z \in F$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(z)| = 1$, whence $\|\sum_{i=1}^m f_i\| = 1$.

(iv) Let $z \in G$ and let $1 \leq i \leq m$. If $i = 1$, then $|z| = |\pi_1|$, and hence $z \in F_1$. If $i \geq 2$ and $i \in I$, then $|a_i| = |\pi_1|$, so $|z - a_i| = |\pi_1| = |a_i| \geq |\pi_i|$, by (x), whence $z \in F_i$. If $i \notin I$, then $i \geq 2$ and $|a_i| > |\pi_1| = |z|$, hence $|z - a_i| = |a_i| \geq |\pi_i|$, by (x), whence $z \in F_i$. Thus $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m F_i = F$.

(v) For $s < i \leq m$ this follows from (i). If $r < i \leq s$ we have $|z| = |\pi_1|$ and $|a_i| > |\pi_1|$, hence $|z - a_i| = |a_i| > |\pi_1|$.

(vi) Let $1 \leq i \leq r$. Recall that $\|f_i\|_{F_i} = 1$. Hence by Exercise 4.6(b), $f_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^{(i)} \left(\frac{\pi_i}{z - a_i}\right)^n$, where $b_n^{(i)} \in K^o$, not all in K^{oo} , $|\pi_i| \leq 1$, and $|a_i| = 1$. Therefore $\bar{f}_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_n^{(i)} \left(\frac{\bar{\pi}_i}{\bar{z} - \bar{a}_i}\right)^n \in K(\bar{z})$. Moreover, $\bar{f}_1 \neq 0$ (as $\|f_1\| = 1$), and has a pole in $\bar{z} = \bar{a}_1 = 0$, whereas \bar{f}_i , for $i = 2, \dots, r$, has a pole in $\bar{a}_i \neq \bar{a}_1 = 0$ (or $\bar{f}_i = 0$), and f_0 has no poles. Therefore $\sum_{i=0}^r \bar{f}_i$ has a pole in 0. In particular, $\sum_{i=0}^r \bar{f}_i \neq 0$. Hence there is $\bar{z} \in \bar{K}$ such that $|\sum_{i=0}^r \bar{f}_i(\bar{z})| \neq 0$ and $\bar{z} \neq \bar{a}_i$, for each $i \in I$. Lift \bar{z} to an element $z \in K$ with $|z| = 1$. Then $z \in G$ and $|\sum_{i=0}^r f_i(z)| = 1$.

(a) Again, we may assume that $c = \infty$. We have to show that for every $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)_{\infty}$ there are unique $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)_{\infty}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$. The uniqueness follows from (b): If $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)_{\infty}$, then $0 = \max(\|f_1\|_{F_1}, \dots, \|f_m\|_{F_m})$, and hence $f_1 = \dots = f_m = 0$.

To show the existences, it suffices to assume that f is rational. (Why?) As K is algebraically closed, f can be written as a finite sum of the form

$$(6) \quad f = \sum_b \sum_k \frac{a_{k,b}}{(z-b)^k},$$

where $k \geq 1$, and $b \in K \setminus F$ and $a_{k,b} \in K$. Put

$$(7) \quad f_i = \sum_{b \in D_i} \sum_k \frac{a_{k,b}}{(z-b)^k}.$$

Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)_\infty$.

■

EXAMPLE 4.8: Let $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$ and let $F = \{z \mid r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ put

$$\tilde{r}_n = \begin{cases} r_1 & \text{if } n < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ r_2 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}. \text{ Then}$$

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{O}(F) = \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in K \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} |a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n = 0 \right\}.$$

$$(b) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right\|_F = \max |a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n.$$

Proof: We have $F = D_1 \cap D_2$, where $D_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid r_1 \leq |z|\}$ and $D_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{P} \mid |z| \leq r_2\}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. Choose $c \in F$. By Mittag-Leffler there are $f_0 \in K$ (a constant function), $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_1)_c$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{O}(D_2)_c$, such that $f = f_0 + \text{res}_F f_1 + \text{res}_F f_2$, and $\|f\|_F = \max(|f_0|, \|f_1\|_{D_1}, \|f_2\|_{D_2})$.

Choose ρ_1, ρ_2 such that $|\rho_i| = r_i$. By Exercise 4.6(a), $f_2(z) = \alpha_2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| r_2^n = 0$. As $f_2(c) = 0$, we have $\alpha_2 = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c^n$.

Similarly, by Exercise 4.6(b), changing n to $-n$, we have $f_1(z) = \alpha_1 + \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} a_n z^n$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} |a_n| r_1^n = 0$. As $f_1(c) = 0$, we have $\alpha_1 = -\sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} a_n c^n$.

Thus $f(z) = f_0 + f_1(z) + f_2(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, where $a_0 = f_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} |a_n| r_1^n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| r_2^n = 0$.

(The a_n as above are unique; this follows from (b).)

(b) Observe that $|\alpha_1| \leq \max_{n < 0} (|a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n)$ and $|\alpha_2| \leq \max_{n > 0} (|a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n)$. Therefore

$$\|f\|_F = \max(|f_0|, \|f_1\|_{D_1}, \|f_2\|_{D_2}) = \max_{n \neq 0} (|f_0|, |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, |a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n) = \max_{n \neq 0} (|f_0|, |a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n).$$

We have to show that this is M , where $M = \max_{n \neq 0} (|f_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2|, |a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n)$. Clearly $M \leq \|f\|_F$. Also, if $|f_0| \leq \max_{n \neq 0} (|a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n)$, then $\|f\|_F \leq M$. If $|f_0| > \max_{n \neq 0} (|a_n| \tilde{r}_n^n)$, then $|f_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2| = |f_0|$, so $M = \|f\|_F$. ■

LEMMA 4.9: Let F_1, \dots, F_r be disjoint connected affinoids in \mathbb{P} . Put $F = \cup_{i=1}^r F_i$. Then $\mathcal{O}(F) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(F_i)$, via $f \mapsto (\text{res}_{F_1} f, \dots, \text{res}_{F_r} f)$.

Proof: Wlog $r \geq 2$.

The map $\text{res}: \mathcal{O}(F) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(F_i)$ is clearly injective. Each $(f_1, \dots, f_r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(F_i)$ is the sum of elements of the form $(0, \dots, 0, f_k, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $1 \leq k \leq r$ and $f_k \in \mathcal{O}(F_k)$. Therefore it suffices to show that the latter element is in the image of res . Wlog $k = 1$.

PART A: $f_1(z) = 1$ for all $z \in F_1$. Let $1 \leq l \leq r$ such that $l \neq 1$. By Lemma 3.15(a) there are two disjoint closed disks D' and D'' such that $F \subseteq D' \cup D''$ and $F_1 \subseteq D'$ and $F_l \subseteq D''$.

Wlog $D' = \{z \mid |z| \leq \rho'\}$ and $D'' = \{z \mid |z| \geq \rho''\}$, where $\rho' < 1 < \rho''$. The sequence $g_n(z) = \frac{1}{z^n + 1}$ (of rational functions without poles in $D' \cup D''$) converges (uniformly!) to 1 on D' and to 0 on D'' . Its restriction to F is a function $f_{1,l} \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ that is 1 on F_1 and 0 on F_l .

Let $f = \prod_{l \neq 1} f_{1,l}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$, and $\text{res} f = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

PART B: Arbitrary $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(F_1)$. Write F_1 as $\bigcap_{i=1}^s D_i$, where D_1, \dots, D_s are closed disks such that $\mathbb{P} \setminus F_1 = \cup_{j=1}^s D_j^c$. By Mittag-Leffler-Decomposition, $f_1 = g_0 + g_1 + \dots + g_s$, where g_0 is constant and $g_l \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ extends to a function $g_l \in \mathcal{O}(D_l)$, for each $1 \leq l \leq s$. Wlog $f_1 = g_l$ for some l and wlog $l = 1$.

Apply an automorphism of \mathbb{P} to Lemma 3.15(b) to assume that $0 \in D_1$ and $\infty \notin D_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_r$. Then wlog D_1 is the unit disk.

We can write $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_1)$ as $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, where $|a_n| \rightarrow 0$. For each N the function $f_1^{(N)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ has a pole only in ∞ , and hence $f_1^{(N)} \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. By Part A there is $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that g is 1 on F_1 and 0 on the rest. Then $\{g f_1^{(N)}\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(F)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Its limit $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ satisfies the required conditions. ■

LEMMA 4.10: Let F be a connected affinoid, and let D be a closed disk contained in F . Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. Then $\text{res}_D f \neq 0$.

Proof: Write F as the intersection of r closed disks D_1, \dots, D_r such that their complements D_1^c, \dots, D_r^c are disjoint. Wlog $\infty \in D$ and $0 \notin D$. Thus

$$D_k = \{z \mid |z - a_k| \geq |\pi_k|\}, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, r, \quad \text{and} \quad D = \{z \mid |z| \geq |\rho|\}.$$

Wlog $\|f\|_F = 1$. If $f(\infty) \neq 0$, the assertion is trivial. So assume that $f(\infty) = 0$. By Mittag-Leffler there are unique $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_1), \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(D_r)$ vanishing at ∞ , such that $f = \text{res}_F f_1 + \dots + \text{res}_F f_r$. As $1 = \|f\|_F = \max_k \|f_k\|_{D_k}$, we have $\|f_k\|_{D_k} \leq 1$ for each k , and there is k with $\|f_k\|_{D_k} = 1$.

PART A: $r = 1$. We may assume that $a_1 = 0$ and $\pi_1 = 1$. Thus $D_1 = \{z \mid |z| \geq 1\}$, and $D = \{z \mid |z| \geq |\rho|\}$, where $|\rho| \geq 1$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i (\frac{1}{z})^i$, where $\max(|b_i|) = \|f\|_F > 0$. Thus not all b_i are 0. Now, $\text{res}_D f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{\rho^i} (\frac{\rho}{z})^i$, and $\|f\|_D = \max(|\frac{b_i}{\rho^i}|)$. Hence $\|f\|_D > 0$.

Assume, by induction, that $r \geq 2$ and that the assertion is true for less than r disks.

PART B: *Reductions.* Wlog (apply the automorphism $z \mapsto \frac{z}{\pi}$ of \mathbb{P}) $\max(|a_k - a_l|) = 1$. For distinct $1 \leq k, l \leq r$ we have $D_k^c \cap D_l^c = \emptyset$, and hence $|a_k - a_l| \geq |\pi_k|, |\pi_l|$. Thus

$$(1) \quad |\pi_1|, \dots, |\pi_r| \leq 1.$$

Furthermore, wlog $|\rho|$ is very large, say

$$(2) \quad |\rho| > 1, |a_k|, |\pi_k|, \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Indeed, let $|\rho'| \geq |\rho|$ and let $D' = \{z \mid |z| \geq |\rho'|\}$. Then $D' \subseteq D \subseteq F$. If $\text{res}_{D'} f \neq 0$, then also $\text{res}_D f \neq 0$.

PART C: *Reduction to $|a_k - a_l| = 1$ and $\pi_k = 1$ for all $k \neq l$.* By Mittag-Leffler there are unique $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_1), \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(D_r)$ vanishing at ∞ , such that $f = \text{res}_F f_1 + \dots + \text{res}_F f_r$. As $f \neq 0$, not all f_k are 0.

For each $1 \leq k \leq r$ let $D'_k = \{z \mid |z - a_k| \geq 1\}$. By Part C, $D \subseteq D'_k$. By (1), $D'_k \subseteq D_k$. Some of the disks in the sequence D'_1, \dots, D'_r may coincide (see below). Let E_1, \dots, E_s be the distinct elements of this sequence, and for each $1 \leq j \leq s$ let $\mathcal{K}(j) = \{k \mid D'_k = E_j\}$.

More precisely, if $|a_k - a_l| < 1$, then $D'_k = D'_l$. If, on the other hand, $|a_k - a_l| = 1$, then the complements of D'_k and D'_l are disjoint, and hence $D'_k \neq D'_l$. As there are k, l such that $|a_k - a_l| = 1$, not all the disks in the sequence D'_1, \dots, D'_r are equal. Thus $2 \leq s$ and $\#\mathcal{K}(j) < r$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$. Furthermore, the complements of E_1, \dots, E_s are disjoint.

Put $G = \bigcap_{j=1}^s E_j$. This is a connected affinoid. We claim that $\text{res}_G f \neq 0$. Indeed, for each $1 \leq j \leq s$ let $g_j = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}(j)} \text{res}_{E_j} f_k \in \mathcal{O}(E_j)$. Then $\text{res}_G f = \sum_{j=1}^s \text{res}_G g_j$. Therefore this is the Mittag-Leffler decomposition of $\text{res}_G f$. Hence it suffices to show that there is j such that $g_j \neq 0$.

There is k_0 such that $f_{k_0} \neq 0$. Let j be such that $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}(j)$. Now, $F_j = \bigcap_{k \in \mathcal{K}(j)} D_k$ is the intersection of $\#\mathcal{K}(j) < r$ closed disks with disjoint complements. Put $g'_j = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}(j)} \text{res}_{F_j} f_k \in \mathcal{O}(F_j)$. This is the Mittag-Leffler decomposition of g'_j . Therefore, as $f_{k_0} \neq 0$, also $g'_j \neq 0$. But $g_j = \text{res}_{E_j} g'_j$. As $\#\mathcal{K}(j) < r$, by the induction hypothesis we have $g_j \neq 0$. This shows that $\text{res}_G f \neq 0$.

Now, either $s < r$ or $s = r$. In the first case, by the induction hypothesis (applied to $D \subseteq G = \bigcap_{j=1}^s E_j$) $\text{res}_D f \neq 0$. In the second case we may replace F with G (and D_k with D'_k for each k) and thus assume that $|a_k - a_l| = 1$ and $\pi_k = 1$ for all $k \neq l$.

PART D: Assume that $|a_k - a_l| = 1$ for all $k \neq l$ and $|\pi_i| = 1 \leq \rho$ for all i .

Write f_k as $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^{(k)} \left(\frac{1}{z-a_k}\right)^j$.

Then

- (i) $|a_k|, |b_j^{(k)}| \leq 1$ for all j and k ; in particular, $\overline{a_k}, \overline{b_j^{(k)}} \in \bar{K}$ are defined.
- (ii) $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_r}$ are distinct;
- (iii) There are j and k such that $|b_j^{(k)}| = 1$; that is, not all $\overline{b_j^{(k)}}$ are 0.

Furthermore, $|b_j^{(k)}| \rightarrow 0$, for each $1 \leq k \leq r$. Therefore

(iv) there is m such that $\overline{b_j^{(k)}} = 0$ for all k and all $j \geq m$.

It follows that $\bar{f}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_j^{(k)}} \left(\frac{1}{t-\bar{a}_k}\right)^j \neq 0$ is a non-trivial rational function over \bar{K} . Therefore there is $\bar{c} \neq 0$ in (the algebraic closure of) \bar{K} such that $\bar{f}(\bar{c}) \neq 0$.

Thus there is c in the algebraic closure of K such that $|c| = 1$ and $f(c) \neq 0$. In particular, the restriction of f to $D' = \{z \mid |z| \geq 1\}$ is not trivial. Since $|\rho| \geq 1$, we have $D \subseteq D'$. Hence by Part A also $\text{res}_D f \neq 0$. ■

5. Factorization

The aim of this section is to prove the following

THEOREM 5.1: *Let F be a connected affinoid in \mathbb{P} such that $\infty \notin F$. Let $0 \neq f(z)$.*

(a) *f has finitely many zeroes in F . Moreover, there are $c_1, \dots, c_m \in F$ such that*

$$f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i), \text{ where } g \in \mathcal{O}(F) \text{ has no zeroes in } F.$$

(b) *The following are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)^\times$;

(ii) f has no zeros in F ;

(iii) There is $\theta > 0$ such that $|f(z)| > \theta$ for all $z \in F$.

(b) *The ring $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a principal ideal domain; its maximal ideals are $(z - c)\mathcal{O}(F)$, where $c \in F$.*

We prove this in several steps:

LEMMA 5.2 (Factorization): *Let F be an affinoid in \mathbb{P} . Let $\infty \neq c \in F$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $f(c) = 0$. Then there is a unique $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $f(z) = (z - c)g(z)$ on $F \setminus \{\infty\}$.*

Proof: To show the uniqueness, it suffices to prove that if $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$, then $(z - c) \cdot g(z) \neq 0$. There is $a \in F$ such that $g(a) \neq 0$. As g is continuous (it is the limit of rational functions, which are continuous on F), we may assume that $a \neq c, \infty$. (There is $0 \neq d \in K$ with $|d|$ sufficiently small; then $g(a + d) \neq 0$ and $a + d \neq c, \infty$.) Then $(a - c) \cdot g(a) \neq 0$.

PART A: Reduction to a connected affinoid. Write F as the disjoint union of connected affinoids F_1, \dots, F_r . Wlog $c \in F_1$. For $2 \leq i \leq r$ we have $c \notin F_i$ and hence $(z - c)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)$, whence $g_i := (z - c)^{-1} f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F_i)$ satisfies $\text{res}_{F_i} f = (z - c)g_i(z)$. Suppose there is $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(F_1)$ such that $\text{res}_{F_1} f = (z - c)g_1(z)$. Then by Lemma 4.9 there is a unique $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $\text{res}_{F_i} g = g_i$. Clearly $f(z) = (z - c)g(z)$.

PART B: Reduction to a closed disk. Write F as the intersection of closed disks $\bigcap_{j=1}^s D_j$. By Mittag-Leffler, $f = \sum f_i$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(F)_c$ extends to a holomorphic

function on D_i . It suffices to prove the assertion for each f_i . Therefore wlog f extends to a holomorphic function on D_i . So wlog $F = D_i$.

PART C: f is the restriction of an automorphism of \mathbb{P} to F . Say, $f(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}$, where $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Gl}_2(K)$. Since $f(c) = 0$, we have $\alpha c + \beta = 0$. Thus $f(z) = \frac{\alpha(z-c)}{\gamma z + \delta} = (z-c) \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\gamma z + \delta}$.

PART D: F is the unit disk. Suppose that $F = U := \{z \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ and $c = 0$. By Proposition 4.4, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, where $a_n \rightarrow 0$. As $f(c) = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$. Moreover, $h(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. Therefore $f(z) = zh(z)$.

PART D: *The general case.* There is an automorphism φ of \mathbb{P} such that $\varphi(F) = U$ and $\varphi(c) = 0$. There is $f_1 \in \mathcal{O}(U)_0$ such that $f(z) = f_1(\varphi(z))$. By Part D, $f_1 = z \cdot g_1$, where $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. Thus $f = f_1(\varphi(z)) = \varphi(z) \cdot g_1(\varphi(z))$, and $g_1(\varphi(z)) \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. By Part C, $\varphi(z) = (z-c)g_2(z)$ for some $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. So $f = (z-c)g_1(z)g_2(z)$. ■

The main tool is a lemma we already proved:

LEMMA 3.17: *Let F be a connected affinoid such that $\infty \notin F$. Then either F is a closed disk or a finite union of sets of the form*

$$C_{r,r'} = \{z \in K \mid r < |z - a_0| < r'\},$$

$$C_r = \{z \in K \mid |z - a_0| = \dots = |z - a_n| = r\},$$

where $r, r' \in |K^\times|$, $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$ such that $|a_i - a_j| = r$.

LEMMA 5.3: *Let $D = \{z \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ be a closed disk. Let $0 \neq f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{O}(D)$, and let $m = \max(n \mid |a_n| = \|f\|_D)$.*

- (a) *If $m \geq 1$, then f has a zero in D ; more precisely –*
- (b) *There are $c_1, \dots, c_m \in D$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ with no zeros in D such that $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$.*
- (c) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (i) $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)^\times$;
 - (ii) f has no zeros in D ;
 - (iii) $f = c(1 + s)$, where $c \in K^\times$ and $s \in \mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}(D)$ (that is, $m = 0$);

(iv) $|f(z)| = \|f\|_D$ for each $z \in D$.

Proof: Wlog $a_m = 1$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{O}^o(D)$.

(a) For $k \geq m$ let $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n z^n$. Then

$$\bar{f}(z) = \bar{f}_k(z) = z^m + \bar{a}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_0.$$

Write f_k as

$$f_k(z) = \lambda' \prod_{i=1}^s (z - c_{ik}) \prod_{j=1}^t (z - d_{jk}),$$

where $|c_{ik}| \leq 1$ and $|d_{jk}| > 1$. Put $\lambda = \lambda'(-d_{1k}) \cdots (-d_{tk})$ (and λ' is the leading coefficient of f_k , which is not necessarily a_k , because the latter could be 0). Then we can write the preceding equation as

$$f_k(z) = \lambda \prod_{i=1}^s (z - c_{ik}) \prod_{j=1}^t (1 - d_{jk}^{-1}z).$$

Comparing norms on both sides we get $|\lambda| = 1$. Taking bar on both sides we see that

$$z^m + \bar{a}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_0 = \bar{f}_k(z) = \bar{\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^s (z - \bar{c}_{ik}).$$

Hence $\bar{\lambda} = 1$ and $m = s$.

For each k put $Z_k = \{c_{1k}, \dots, c_{mk}\}$. Then $\#Z_k \leq m$.

Fix k and let $c_{k+1} \in Z_{k+1}$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^m |c_{k+1} - c_{ik}| = |f_k(c_{k+1})| = |f_k(c_{k+1}) - f_{k+1}(c_{k+1})| \leq \|f_k - f_{k+1}\|.$$

Hence there is $c_k = c_{ik} \in Z_k$ such that $|c_{k+1} - c_k| \leq \|f_k - f_{k+1}\|^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Choose this $c_k \in Z_k$; this defines a map $: Z_{k+1} \rightarrow Z_k$ by $c_{k+1} \mapsto c_k$. Now, $\varprojlim Z_n \neq \emptyset$, so there is a sequence $\{c_k\}_k \subseteq D$ such that $f_k(c_k) = 0$ and $|c_{k+1} - c_k| \leq \|f_k - f_{k+1}\|^{\frac{1}{m}}$ for every k . Thus $\{c_k\}_k$ is a Cauchy sequence. Hence its limit $c \in D$ is a zero of f .

(c) (i) \Rightarrow (ii) - clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If f has no zeros in D , then by (a), $m = 0$. Hence $f = a_0 + s = 1 + s$, where $s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_n$ satisfies $\|s\|_D < 1$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let $z \in D$. Then $|s(z)| \leq \|s\|_D < 1$, hence $|1 + s(z)| = 1$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Write f as the limit of a sequence of rational functions f_k without poles in D (for instance, the partial sums $f_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n z^n$). We may assume that $\|f_k - f\| < 1$ for each k , and hence f_k has no zeros in D ; in fact, for every $z \in D$ we have $|f_k(z) - f(z)| < 1$, but $|f(z)| = 1$, whence $|f_k(z)| = 1$. Thus $\frac{1}{f_k}$ is a sequence of rational functions with no poles in D . Check that $\frac{1}{f_k} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f}$.

(b) By induction on m . Assume first that $m = 0$. Then $\|1 - f\| < 1$, hence by (c), $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)^\times$.

Assume that $m \geq 1$. By (a), f has a zero $c \in D$. Then f can be written as $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (z - c)^n$, where $|b_n| \leq 1$. As $f(c) = 0$, we have $b_0 = 0$. Thus $f(z) = (z - c)h(z)$, where $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (z - c)^{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}^o(D)$. Write $h(z)$ as $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n z^n$, and put $m' = \max(n \mid |a'_n| = 1)$. From $\bar{f}(z) = (z - \bar{c})\bar{h}(z)$ we see that $m' = m - 1$. By the induction hypothesis $h(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (z - c_i)$, where $c_1, \dots, c_{m-1} \in K$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ has no zeros in D . Put $c = c_m$. Then $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)g(z)$. \blacksquare

REMARK 5.4: Let C be a subset of an affinoid F , and let $f, q \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $\|f - q\|_C < \|f\|_C$. Then

(i) $\|f\|_C = \|q\|_C$.

(ii) If $z \in C$ and $|f(z)| = \|f\|_C$, then $|f(z)| = |q(z)|$.

Proof: Let $C' = \{z \in C \mid |f(z)| > \|f - q\|_C\}$. As $\sup_{z \in C} |f(z)| = \|f\|_C > \|f - q\|_C$, the set C' is not empty. Hence C' contains all $z \in C$ with $|f(z)| = \|f\|_C$. For $z \in C'$ we have $|f(z)| > |f(z) - q(z)|$, and hence $|f(z)| = |q(z)|$. This proves (ii). Also $\|f\|_C = \sup_{z \in C'} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in C'} |q(z)| = \|q\|_C$. \blacksquare

LEMMA 5.5: Let $r \in |K^\times|$, and let $b_1, \dots, b_N \in K$ such that $|b_1| = \dots = |b_N| = r$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{z \in K \mid |z| = r, |z - b_\nu| = r, 1 \leq \nu \leq N\} \\ &= \{z \in K \mid |z| = r\} \setminus \bigcup_{\nu=1}^N \{z \in K \mid |z - b_\nu| < r\}, \end{aligned}$$

Let q be a rational function with no poles in C . Let $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \subseteq C$ contain all the zeroes of q in C . Then

- (a) $|q(z)| = \|q\|_C$, if $z \in C$ and $|z - d_i| \geq r$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$;
(b) $\|q\|_{\{z \mid |z - d_i| < r\}} = \|q\|_C$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof: It suffices to show that there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, \rho \in |K^\times|$ such that $p < r$ and:

- (i) if $z \in C$ and $|z - d_i| \geq r$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $|q(z)| = \rho$;
(ii) $|q(z)| \leq \rho$ for all $z \in C$;
(iii) For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $z \in C$ and $p < |z - d_i| < r$, then $|\frac{z-d_i}{r}|^k \rho \leq |q(z)| \leq \rho$.

Observe that if this assertion is true for two rational functions q_1, q_2 , then it also holds for their product $q_1 q_2$. Thus we may assume that either $q(z) = z - a$, where $a \in K$ or $q(z) = \frac{1}{z-a}$, where $a \notin C$.

Futhermore, we may assume that $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ is the set of all zeroes of q in C . (We could have assumed this from the beginning, but this “more general” setup was necessary for the preceding reduction from q to its factors: The set of zeroes of $q_1 q_2$ may properly contain the set of zeroes of q_1 .) More precisely, let k, p, ρ such that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, and let $d_{n+1}, \dots, d_{n'} \in C$. Let

$$p' = \max(p, |d_i - d_j| \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n', |d_i - d_j| < r).$$

Then the corresponding assertions, say (i'), (ii'), and (iii'), hold for $d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}, \dots, d_{n'}$ with k, p', ρ . Indeed, (i') is weaker than (i), and (ii') does not depend on $d_1, \dots, d_{n'}$. Fix $1 \leq j \leq n'$ and $z \in C$ such that $p' < |z - d_j| < r$. If there is no $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $|z - d_i| < r$, then $|q(z)| = \rho$ by (i). If there is $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $|z - d_i| < r$, then $|d_i - d_j| < r$, and hence $|d_i - d_j| \leq p'$, by the definition of p' , whence $|z - d_j| = |(z - d_i) + (d_i - d_j)| = |z - d_i|$. As $p \leq p'$, condition (iii') for j follows from (iii) for i .

Let $q(z) = z - a$. Let $a \in K$, and let $z \in C$. Recall that $|z| = r$.

- (1) If $|a| > r$, then $|z - a| = |a|$. (In this case $n = 0$.)
- (2) If $|a| < r$, then $|z - a| = r$. (In this case $n = 0$.)
- (3) If $|a| = r$, but $a \notin C$, then there is ν such that $|a - b_\nu| < r$. As $|z - b_\nu| = r$, we have $|z - a| = |(z - b_\nu) - (a - b_\nu)| = r$. (In this case $n = 0$.)
- (4) If $|a| = r$ and $a \in C$, then $n = 1$ and $a = d_1$, because a is the only zero of q . If $|z - d_1| \geq r$, then $|z - a| = |z - d_1| = r$ (because $|z| = |d_1| = r$). If $|z - d_1| < r$, then $|z - a| = \frac{|z-d_1|}{r} r$.

In case (1) put $\rho = |a|$, otherwise $\rho = r$. Let $k = 1$, and let p be arbitrary. Then (i),(ii),(iii) hold.

If $q(z) = \frac{1}{z-a}$, where $a \notin C$, then the assertion follows from cases (1),(2),(3) above.

■

LEMMA 5.6: *Let F be an affinoid that contains $D = \{z \in K \mid |z| < 1\}$. Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. Then f has finitely many zeroes in D . Furthermore, $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$, where c_1, \dots, c_m are the zeroes of f in D , and $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes in D . Moreover, $\|g\|_D = |g(z)|$ for all $z \in D$.*

Proof: In this proof let D_r denote the closed disk of radius r around 0, and U_r the circle of radius r around 0. Put $\rho = \|f\|_D (\leq \|f\|_F)$. Then $\rho > 0$ by Lemma 4.10. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ be a rational function such that $\|f - q\|_D < \frac{\rho}{2}$. (E.g., $\|f - q\|_F < \frac{\rho}{2}$.)

If $0 < r_0 < 1$ is sufficiently large, $\|f\|_{D_{r_0}} \geq \frac{\rho}{2}$; this, together with $\|f - q\|_{D_{r_0}} < \frac{\rho}{2}$, gives $\|q\|_{D_{r_0}} \geq \frac{\rho}{2}$ (there is $z \in D_{r_0}$ such that $|f(z)| \geq \frac{\rho}{2}$; of course, $|f(z) - q(z)| < \frac{\rho}{2}$, so $|q(z)| \geq \frac{\rho}{2}$). In particular, $q \neq 0$ has only finitely many zeroes. Provided that r_0 is sufficiently large, we may assume that $q(z)$ has no zeroes in $\{z \in K \mid r_0 < |z| < 1\}$.

Let $r_0 < r < 1$, and let $z \in D$ such that $|z| = r$. We have

$$|f(z) - q(z)| \leq \|f - q\|_D < \frac{\rho}{2} \leq \|q\|_{D_{r_0}} \leq \|q\|_{D_r}.$$

But $\|q\|_{D_r} = \|q\|_{U_r}$, and, by Lemma 5.5 or Proposition 4.4, $\|q\|_{U_r} = |q(z)|$. Thus $|f(z) - q(z)| < |q(z)|$, and hence $|f(z)| = |q(z)| > 0$.

In particular, all the zeroes of f in D are in D_{r_0} . By Lemma 5.3 there are $c_1, \dots, c_m \in D_{r_0}$ and $g' \in \mathcal{O}(D_{r_0})$ with no zeroes such that $\text{res}_{D_{r_0}} f(z) = g'(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$. (Observe that this g' is unique.) By the Factorization Lemma and by induction on i we can write $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$, where $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. By the uniqueness of g' we have $\text{res}_{D_{r_0}} g(z) = g'(z)$. Thus g has no zeroes in D_{r_0} , and hence also in D (by the first statement of this paragraph).

Let $z \in D$. Let $|z| < r < 1$. By Lemma 5.3, $|g(z)| = \|g\|_{D_r}$. Hence $|g(z)| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|g\|_{D_r} = \|g\|_D$. ■

LEMMA 5.7: Let C be as in Lemma 5.5, and let F be an affinoid that contains C . Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$.

- (i) f has finitely many zeroes in C . More precisely, $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$, where c_1, \dots, c_m are the zeroes of f , and $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes in C .
- (ii) If f has no zeroes in C , then $|f(z)| = \|f\|_C$ for all $z \in C$.

Proof: Since C contains a closed disk, by Lemma 4.10, $\|f\|_C > 0$. Let $q \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ be a rational function such that $\|f - q\|_C < \|f\|_C$. Then $q \neq 0$. By Remark 5.4, $\|q\|_C = \|f\|_C$. Let d_1, \dots, d_n be the zeroes of q in C . Put

$$D_i = \{z \in C \mid |z - d_i| < r\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{and} \quad G = C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i.$$

By Lemma 5.5, $|q(z)| = \|q\|_C$ for every $z \in G$.

It follows that for every $z \in G$ we have $|f(z) - q(z)| \leq \|f - q\|_C < \|f\|_C = \|q\|_C = |q(z)|$, and hence $|f(z)| = |q(z)| = \|q\|_C$. In particular, $f(z)$ has no zeroes in G . Thus all the zeroes of f are in the open disks D_1, \dots, D_n . By Lemma 5.6 their number is finite, and we get the required factorization.

(ii) Let

$$\rho = \|f\|_C = \|q\|_C = \|q\|_{D_i}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

(the equalities follow from Remark 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, respectively). It suffices to show that $|f(z)| = \rho$ for every $z \in C$. For $z \in G$ this is written above. For $z \in D_i$, by Lemma 5.3, (present D_i as the increasing union of closed disks) $|f(z)| = \|f\|_{D_i}$. As

$$\|f - q\|_{D_i} \leq \|f - q\|_C < \|f\|_C = \rho = \|q\|_{D_i},$$

by Remark 5.4, $\|f\|_{D_i} = \|q\|_{D_i}$. Thus $|f(z)| = \|q\|_{D_i} = \rho$. ■

LEMMA 5.8: Let $r_1, r_2 \in |K^\times|$, where $r_1 < r_2$. Put

$$C = \{z \in K \mid r_1 < |z| < r_2\}.$$

Let F be an affinoid that contains C .

- (i) Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$. If $f \neq 0$, then f has a finite number of zeroes in C . Furthermore, $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{i=1}^m (z - c_i)$, where c_1, \dots, c_m are zeroes of f in C , and $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes in C .
- (ii) If $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes in C , there is $\theta > 0$ such that $|g(z)| > \theta$ for all $z \in C$.

Proof: For each $r_1 < r < r_2$ let $U_r = \{z \in K \mid |z| = r\}$. Put $\theta = \inf\{\|f\|_{U_r} \mid r_1 < r < r_2\}$. We claim that $\theta > 0$.

Indeed, for all $r_1 < r'_1 \leq r'_2 < r_2$ let $F' = \{z \in K \mid r'_1 \leq |z| \leq r'_2\}$. By Example 4.8, there are $a_n \in K$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n z^n,$$

where $|a_n|(r'_2)^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|a_n|(r'_1)^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$. By Example 4.8(b), these a_n are unique. This implies that a_n do not depend on r'_1, r'_2 . As $f \neq 0$, there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_k \neq 0$.

If $r_1 < r'_1 = r = r'_2 < r_2$, then $F' = U_r$. By Example 4.8, $\|f\|_{U_r} = \max_n |a_n| r^n$. Hence $\|f\|_{U_r} \geq |a_k| r^k \geq |a_k| \cdot \min(r_1^k, r_2^k)$. It follows that $\theta > 0$.

Let $q \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ be a rational function such that $\|f - q\|_F < \theta$. Then $q \neq 0$, and hence q has only finitely many zeroes in C . Let $r_1 < r < r_2$ such that $r \neq |d|$ for each zero $d \in C$ of q , and let $z \in U_r$. Then q has no zero in U_r , and hence by Lemma 5.5, $|q(z)| = \|q\|_{U_r}$. Furthermore, $\|f - q\|_{U_r} \leq \|f - q\|_F < \theta \leq \|f\|_{U_r}$. Hence by Remark 5.4, $\|f\|_{U_r} = \|q\|_{U_r}$. Thus for every $z \in U_r$

$$|f(z) - q(z)| < \theta \leq \|f\|_{U_r} = \|q\|_{U_r} = |q(z)|,$$

and hence $|f(z)| = |q(z)| = \|q\|_{U_r} = \|f\|_{U_r}$.

Therefore $|f(z)| \geq \theta$ for all $z \in C$ except for finitely many U_r 's on which f has zeroes. In particular, this prove (ii). Now apply Lemma 5.7 (to each U_r instead of C there). ■

Proof of Theorem 5.1: (a) By Lemma 3.17, F is the union of certain sets C_1, \dots, C_n . By induction, $f = f_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (z - c_i)$, where $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes

in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i$. By Lemmas 5.3, 5.5, 5.7, $f_0 = g \prod_{i=k+1}^m (z - c_i)$, where $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m \in C_n$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has no zeroes in C_n .

(b) Implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial. By the preceding lemmas, (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). To deduce (iii) \Rightarrow (i), approximate f by rational functions with no zeroes on F , so that their inverses are rational functions on F ; they converge to f^{-1} .

(c) First notice that F is an integral domain: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(F) \setminus \{0\}$. By (i) they have only finitely many zeroes in F . Since F is an infinite set, there is $c \in F$ such that $f(c), g(c) \neq 0$. Hence $fg \neq 0$. (One could also use Lemma 4.10, which proves that $\mathcal{O}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(D)$ for some closed disk D . As $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is an integral domain, so is $\mathcal{O}(F)$.)

Consider the obvious homomorphism (actually, an embedding) $K[z] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F)$. Let $J \leq \mathcal{O}(F)$ be an ideal. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of its generators. By (i) and (ii) each f_i is, up to an element of $\mathcal{O}(F)^\times$, a polynomial in z . Thus we may assume that $f_i \in K[z]$. Let J_0 be the ideal of $K[z]$ generated by the f_i ; then $J = J_0 \mathcal{O}(F)$. As $K[z]$ is a PID, the ideal J_0 is generated by some $f \in K[z]$. Hence $J = f \mathcal{O}(F)$. ■

6. Affinoid algebras

In this section let $(k, | \cdot |)$ be a complete non-archimedean valued field. Let K be the completion of the algebraic closure of k . (Then K is algebraically closed.)

Definition 6.1: Formal power series. Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. The elements of \mathbb{N}_0^n are n -tuples $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. For an n -tuple of indeterminates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ write $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$. (Thus $z^\alpha z^\beta = z^{\alpha+\beta}$.)

Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Then

$$R[[z_1, \dots, z_n]] = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mid a_{\alpha} \in R \right\}$$

is an R -algebra, **the ring of formal power series in z_1, \dots, z_n over R .** ■

LEMMA 6.2: *Let R be a commutative ring with 1.*

(a) $R[[z_1, \dots, z_n]] = R[[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}]][[z_n]]$.

(b) *If R is an integral domain, then so is $R[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$.*

Proof: (b) Suppose $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, g = \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta} z^{\beta} \neq 0$. Choose smallest α, β , in the lexicographical order on \mathbb{N}_0^n , such that $a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta} \neq 0$. Then the coefficient of $z^{\alpha+\beta}$ in fg is $a_{\alpha} b_{\beta} \neq 0$. ■

Assume that $(R, | \cdot |)$ is a normed Banach $(k, | \cdot |)$ -algebra. Then

$$R^{\circ} = \{r \in R \mid \|r\| \leq 1\}$$

is a subring of R (in fact, a k° -algebra) and

$$R^{\circ\circ} = \{r \in R \mid \|r\| < 1\}$$

an ideal in R° . Let $\bar{R} = R^{\circ}/R^{\circ\circ}$. This is an \bar{k} -algebra.

Definition 6.3: Standard affinoid algebra. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ put $|\alpha| = \max_i(\alpha_i)$. (This has got nothing to do with the absolute value on k .) Put

$$T_n(R) = R\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mid a_{\alpha} \in R, \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} a_{\alpha} = 0 \right\}.$$

This is a subalgebra of $R[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$. Put

$$\|\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}\| = \max_{\alpha} \|a_{\alpha}\|.$$

This is a norm (of an algebra over k):

- (a) $\|f\| = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.
- (b) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$. In fact, $\|f + g\| \leq \max(\|f\|, \|g\|)$.
- (c) $\|cf\| = |c|\|f\|$, for $c \in k$ and $f \in T_n$.
- (d) $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$.

It follows that

$$T_n^o = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mid a_{\alpha} \in R^o, \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} a_{\alpha} = 0 \right\}.$$

is a subring of T_n and

$$T_n^{oo} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mid a_{\alpha} \in R^{oo}, \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} a_{\alpha} = 0 \right\}.$$

is an ideal in T_n^o .

Remark 6.4: We have $T_n^o/T_n^{oo} \cong \bar{R}[\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$, the ring of polynomials in n variables. Indeed, the map $T_n^{(0)} \rightarrow \bar{R}[\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$ given by $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} \bar{a}_{\alpha} \bar{z}^{\alpha}$ is well defined and its kernel is precisely T_n^{oo} . ■

EXERCISE 6.5: Let R be a Banach algebra over k .

- (a) T_n is complete, that is, a Banach algebra.
- (b) $T_n(R) = T_{n-1}(R)\langle z_n \rangle$ (and the norm on $T_n(R)$ is the norm coming from the right handed side). (This is the main reason that we consider a general ring R instead of a complete field k .)

PROPOSITION 6.6: Let $R = k$ be a field. Then $\bar{R} = \bar{k}$ is the residue field.

- (a) $\|fg\| = \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$ for all $f, g \in T_n$.
- (b) T_n is an integral domain.
- (c) $f = \sum a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ of T_n is invertible if and only if $|a_0| > |a_{\alpha}|$ for each $\alpha \neq 0$. (Here $0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.)

Proof: (a) We may assume that $f, g \neq 0$. Multiplying them by suitable elements of k we may assume that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$. In particular their images \bar{f}, \bar{g} in $\bar{k}[\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$ are

not 0. As $\bar{k}[\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$ is an integral domain also the image $\bar{f}\bar{g}$ of fg is not 0, that is, $\|fg\| = 1$.

(b) If $f, g \neq 0$, then $\|f\|, \|g\| \neq 0$, and hence $\|fg\| = \|f\| \cdot \|g\| \neq 0$, whence $fg \neq 0$.

(c) Suppose that $|a_0| > |a_\alpha|$ for all $\alpha \neq 0$. Dividing by a_0 we may assume that $a_0 = 1$. Then f may be written as $f = 1 - h$, where $\|h\| < 1$. It is easy to see that $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^n \in T_n$ satisfies $fg = 1$. Hence f is invertible.

Conversely, suppose that f is invertible. Then $\|f\| \neq 0$. Dividing by $\|f\|$ we may assume that $\|f\| = 1$. In particular, $f \in T_n^\circ$. Its residue $\bar{f} = \sum \bar{a}_\alpha \bar{z}^\alpha$ is invertible in $\bar{k}[\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$. Therefore $\bar{a}_\alpha = 0$ for each $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus $|a_\alpha| < 1 = \|f\|$. It follows that $|a_0| = 1$. ■

In what follows we could take $R = k\langle z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \rangle$ and $z = z_n$, so that $R\{z\} = T_n(k)$.

Definition 6.7: For $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \neq 0$ in $R\{z\}$ define the **pseudodegree** of g to be the integer $d = \max(n : \|a_n\| = \|g\|)$. Call a_d the **pseudoleading coefficient** of g . Call g **regular**, if $a_d \in R^\times$ and $\|ca_d\| = \|c\| \cdot \|a_d\|$ for all $c \in R$. ■

Remark 6.8: Let g be regular of pseudodegree d and let $0 \neq q \in R\{z\}$ of pseudodegree l . Then qg is of pseudodegree $d + l \geq d$ and $\|qg\| = \|q\| \cdot \|g\|$.

Indeed, let $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ and let l be the pseudodegree of q . Then $\|qg\| \leq \|q\| \cdot \|g\|$, but, by Remark ? (if $\|a\| < \|b\|$ then $\|a + b\| = \|b\|$), the norm of the coefficient of z^{d+l} in qg is $\|c_l a_d\| = \|c_l\| \cdot \|a_d\| = \|q\| \cdot \|g\|$. ■

THEOREM 6.9 (Weierstrass Division Theorem): *Let $f \in R\{z\}$ and let $g \in R\{z\}$ be regular of pseudodegree d . Then there are unique $q \in R\{z\}$ and $r \in R[z]$ such that $f = qg + r$ and $\deg r < d$. Moreover,*

$$(1) \quad \|qg\| = \|q\| \cdot \|g\| \leq \|f\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|r\| \leq \|f\|.$$

Proof: Write g as $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in R\{z\}$.

PART I: *Estimates (1).* Assume that $f = qg + r$, where $\deg r < d$. If $q = 0$, then (1) is clear. Assume that $q \neq 0$. By Remark 6.8, $\|qg\| = \|q\| \cdot \|g\|$ and qg is of pseudodegree $m \geq d$. In particular, $\|q\| \cdot \|g\|$ is the norm of the coefficient of z^m in

qg . This coefficient is also the coefficient of z^m in $f = qg + r$, since $\deg r < d \leq m$. Therefore $\|q\| \cdot \|g\| \leq \|f\|$. It follows that $\|r\| = \|f - qg\| \leq \max(\|f\|, \|qg\|) \leq \|f\|$.

PART II: *Uniqueness.* Assume that $f = qg + r = q'g + r'$, where $\deg r, \deg r' < d$. Then $0 = (q - q')g + (r - r')$. By Part I, $\|q - q'\| = \|r - r'\| = 0$. Hence $q = q'$ and $r = r'$.

PART III: *Existence if g is a polynomial of degree d .* Write f as $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. For each $m \geq 0$ let $f_m = \sum_{n=0}^m b_n z^n \in R[z]$. As g is regular of pseudodegree d , its leading coefficient is invertible. Euclid's algorithm for polynomials over R produces $q_m, r_m \in R[z]$ such that $f_m = q_m g + r_m$ and $\deg r_m < \deg g$. Thus for all k, m we have $f_m - f_k = (q_m - q_k)g + (r_m - r_k)$. By Part I, $\|q_m - q_k\| \cdot \|g\|, \|r_m - r_k\| \leq \|f_m - f_k\|$. Thus $\{q_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{r_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences in $R\{z\}$, and hence they converge to $q \in R\{z\}$ and $r \in R[z]$ with $\deg r < d$. Clearly $f = qg + r$.

PART IV: *Existence for arbitrary g .* If $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, put $g_0 = \sum_{n=0}^d a_n z^n \in R[z]$. Then $\|g - g_0\| < \|g\|$. By Part III with g_0 and f there are $q_0 \in R\{z\}$ and $r_0 \in R[z]$ such that $f = q_0 g_0 + r_0$ and $\deg r_0 < d$. By Part I, $\|q_0\| \leq \frac{\|f\|}{\|g_0\|}$ and $\|r_0\| \leq \|f\|$. Thus $f = q_0 g + r_0 + f_1$, where $f_1 = -q_0(g - g_0)$, and $\|f_1\| \leq \frac{\|g - g_0\|}{\|g_0\|} \cdot \|f\|$.

Put $f_0 = f$. By induction we get, for each $k \geq 0$, elements $f_k, q_k \in R\{z\}$ and $r_k \in R[z]$ such that $\deg r < d$ and

$$f_k = q_k g + r_k + f_{k+1}, \quad \|q_k\| \leq \frac{\|f_k\|}{\|g\|}, \quad \|r_k\| \leq \|f_k\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{\|g - g_0\|}{\|g_0\|} \|f_k\|.$$

It follows that $\|f_k\| \rightarrow 0$, whence also $\|q_k\|, \|r_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k \in R\{z\}$ and $r = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k \in R[z]$. Clearly $f = qg + r$ and $\deg r < d$. ■

THEOREM 6.10 (Weierstrass Preparation Theorem): *Let $f \in T_n(k)$ have norm 1. Then there exists a norm-preserving k -algebra automorphism σ of $T_n(k)$ such that $\sigma(f)$ is regular in z_n .*

Proof: Let $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Define σ by

$$z_1 \mapsto z_1 + z_n^{e_1}, \dots, z_{n-1} \mapsto z_{n-1} + z_n^{e_{n-1}}, z_n \mapsto z_n.$$

that is, if $g = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$, then $\sigma(g) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \sigma(z^{\alpha})$, where

$$\sigma(z^{\alpha}) = (z_1 + z_n^{e_1}) \cdots (z_{n-1} + z_n^{e_{n-1}}) z_n.$$

This is a well defined continuous homomorphism $T_n(k) \rightarrow T_n(k)$. Indeed, $\|\sigma(z^{\alpha})\| \leq \|z^{\alpha}\|$. Hence for each $g = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \in T_n(k)$ the series $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \sigma(z^{\alpha})$ converges, whence $\sigma(g) \in T_n(k)$. Moreover, $\|\sigma(g)\| \leq \|g\|$. The inverse of σ is given by replacing $+$ with $-$ in the definition of σ .

We claim that $\sigma(f)$ is regular in z_n for suitable $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, write $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$. The set $\Lambda = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid \overline{c_{\alpha}} \neq 0\}$ is finite. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\sigma(f)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{c_{\alpha}} (z_1 + z_n^{e_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z_{n-1} + z_n^{e_{n-1}})^{\alpha_{n-1}} z_n^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{c_{\alpha}} (z_n^{e_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n} + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where the other monomials with coefficient $\overline{c_{\alpha}}$ are of degree in z_n strictly smaller than $e_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$. Thus if the degrees $e_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$ of the ‘leading’ monomials are distinct for distinct $\alpha \in \Lambda$, these monomials will not cancel each other, and one of them will be with the maximal degree.

To achieve it, take $e_i = e^i$ with $e > \alpha_j$ for all j and all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. (The above degrees are then e -adic expansions of natural numbers; the sequences of digits in these expansions are distinct, hence the numbers are distinct.) ■

THEOREM 6.13: *The ring T_n is noetherian (every ideal of T_n is finitely generated).*

Proof: By induction on n . Suppose T_{n-1} is noetherian. Then so is the ring of polynomials $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. Let I be a non-zero ideal of T_n . Then there is $f \in I$ such that $\|f\| = 1$. By the Preparation we may assume that f is regular in z_n , say, of degree d . By the Division each $g \in I$ is of the form $g = qf + r$, where $q \in T_n$ and $r \in T_{n-1}[z_n] \cap I$. Thus I is generated by f and the finitely many generators of the ideal $T_{n-1}[z_n] \cap I$ of $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. ■

LEMMA 6.14: *Let $f \in T_n$ be regular in z_n of pseudodegree d . Then $f = qg$, where $g \in (T_n)^{\times}$ and $g \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ is monic of degree d and norm 1 (and hence also regular in z_n of degree d).*

Proof: The Division gives $q \in T_n$ and $r \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ such that $z_n^d = fq + r$; moreover $\deg_{z_n} r < d$ and $\|r\| \leq \|z_n^d\| = 1$. Hence $z_n^d - r$ is also regular of degree d , and so we may perform another division: $f = q'(z_n^d - r) + r'$. This gives $f = qq'f + r'$. But also $f = 1f + 0$. The uniqueness of division by f gives $qq' = 1$ and $r' = 0$. Thus $f = q'g$, where q' is a unit and $g = z_n^d - r \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ is monic with norm 1. ■

LEMMA 6.15: *Let $f, g \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$, and g be monic of norm 1. Then $g|f$ in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ if and only if $g|f$ in T_n .*

Proof: The division with remainder in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ gives $f = qg + r$, with $q, r \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ and $\deg r < d$. But $q \in T_n$ and g is regular in z_n . Thus if $g|f$ in T_n , by the uniqueness of the division in T_n we must have $r = 0$. Therefore $g|f$ in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. The converse is trivial. ■

LEMMA 6.16: *Let $g \in T_{n-1}[z]$ be monic of norm 1. Then g is irreducible in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ if and only if g is irreducible in T_n .*

Proof: An element of a ring is invertible if and only if it divides 1 in that ring, Thus by Lemma 6.15, a monic polynomial of norm 1 in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ is invertible in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ if and only if it is invertible in T_n .

Suppose g is reducible in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$, that is, $g = g_1g_2$, where $g_1, g_2 \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ are not invertible. Wlog g_1, g_2 are monic, whence $\|g_1\|, \|g_2\| \geq 1$. But $\|g_1\| \cdot \|g_2\| = \|g\| = 1$, so $\|g_1\| = \|g_2\| = 1$. By the preceding paragraph g_1, g_2 are not invertible in T_n . Thus g is reducible in T_n .

Conversely, suppose g is reducible in T_n , that is, $g = g_1g_2$, where $g_1, g_2 \in T_n$ are not invertible. We may assume that $\|g_1\| = \|g_2\| = 1$. By Exercise 6.12, g_1, g_2 are regular in z_n . By Lemma 6.14 we may assume that g_1 is monic in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. Division with remainder in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ gives $g = g_1q + r$ with $q, r \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ and $\deg r < \deg g_1$. By the uniqueness of division in T_n we have $q = g_2$ and $r = 0$. Thus $g_2 \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$. As $g = g_1g_2$, also g_2 is monic. By the first paragraph of this proof g_1, g_2 are not invertible in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. Thus g is reducible in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. ■

THEOREM 6.17: *The ring T_n is a unique factorization domain.*

Proof: By induction on n . Suppose T_{n-1} is a UFD. Then so is the ring of polynomials $T_{n-1}[z_n]$ [Lang, Algebra, Theorem IV.2.3].

Let $0 \neq f \in T_n$. We want to show that f is a product of irreducibles, unique up to invertibles. Without loss of generality $\|f\| = 1$. By the Preparation we may assume that f is regular in z_n , say, of pseudodegree d . By Lemma 6.14 we may assume that $f \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ is monic of degree d and norm 1.

Write $f = g_1 \cdots g_r$, where $g_i \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ are irreducible. Then their leading coefficients must be invertible. So wlog they are monic. Thus $\|g_i\| \geq 1$. As $f = g_1 \cdots g_r$, we have $\|g_i\| = 1$. By Lemma 6.16, the g_i are irreducible in T_n .

To show the uniqueness of the product, let $g \in T_n$ be irreducible, $g|f$ in T_n . By Lemma 6.14 we may assume that $g \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ is monic of norm 1. By Lemma 6.15, $g|f$ in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. Thus there is i such that $g|g_i$ in $T_{n-1}[z_n]$. Therefore $g = g_i$. ■

THEOREM 6.18: *Let I be an ideal of T_n . Then there exist an integer $d \leq n$ and a norm preserving k -automorphism σ of T_n such that the composition $T_d \rightarrow T_n \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_n \rightarrow T/I$ is a finite injective morphism.*

Proof: (a) By induction on n . The assertion is clear for $n = 0$. Assume $n \geq 1$. If $I = 0$, take $d = n$ and let σ be the identity. So assume that $I \neq 0$.

By the Preparation there is a norm-preserving k -automorphism ρ of T_n such that $\rho^{-1}(I)$ contains some f regular of degree m in z_n . Put $J = \rho^{-1}(I) \cap T_{n-1}$. The canonical morphism $\bar{\lambda}: T_{n-1}/J \rightarrow T_n/\rho^{-1}(I)$ is injective. The division by f in T_n shows that $T_n/\rho^{-1}(I) = T_{n-1}\{z_n\}/\rho^{-1}(I)$ is a finite T_{n-1}/J -module, generated by $1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{m-1}$. Thus $\bar{\lambda}$ is finite. The map $\bar{\rho}: T_n/\rho^{-1}(I) \rightarrow T_n/I$ induced from ρ is an isomorphism.

By the induction hypothesis there is d and a norm-preserving k -automorphism τ of T_{n-1} such that $T_d \rightarrow T_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} T_{n-1} \rightarrow T_{n-1}/J$ is a finite injective morphism. Extend

τ to an automorphism of T_n by $\tau(z_n) = z_n$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_n & \xrightarrow{\tau} & T_n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & T_n & \xrightarrow{\rho} & T_n \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & T_{n-1} & & & & \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 T_d & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & T_{n-1}/J & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}} & T_n/\rho^{-1}(I) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & T_n/I
 \end{array}$$

Then $\bar{\rho}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\tau}: T_d \rightarrow T_n/I$ is an injective finite morphism. Hence $\sigma = \rho\tau$ has the required property. ■

COROLLARY 6.19: *Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of T_n . Then the field T_n/\mathfrak{m} is a finite extension of k .*

Proof: By Theorem 6.18 there is a subring T_d of T_n/\mathfrak{m} over which T_n/\mathfrak{m} is finite. As T_n/\mathfrak{m} is a field, so is T_d [AM, Prop. 5.7]. It follows that $d = 0$ (for instance, z_1 is not invertible in T_d) and hence T_n/\mathfrak{m} is a finite extension of $T_0 = k$. ■

Definition 6.20: An **affinoid algebra** A over k is a k -algebra which is finite over T_n , for some n . That is, there is a ring homomorphism $T_n \rightarrow A$ such that via it A is a finite T_n -module. By Theorem 6.18 we may assume that $T_n \rightarrow A$ is injective. (A composition of finite homomorphisms is finite.) ■

THEOREM 6.21: *An affinoid algebra is a noetherian ring.*

Proof: By definition, an affinoid algebra is a finitely generated extension of some T_n , which is noetherian by Theorem 6.13. Hence A is noetherian. ■

COROLLARY 6.22: *Let A be an affinoid algebra, and suppose A is a Banach algebra with respect to some norm on A . Let $I \leq A$ be an ideal. Then*

- (a) I is closed with respect to the norm.
- (b) The norm on A induces a norm on A/I such that A/I is a Banach algebra with respect to it.

Proof: (a) This is Theorem 2.5.

(b) Put $E = A/I$. Define norm on E by $\|e\|_E = \inf\{\|f\| \mid \varphi(f) = e\}$. We check that this is a norm: Suppose $\|e\|_E = 0$. Then there is $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \subseteq A$ such that $\varphi(f_i) = e$ and $\|f_i\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $f_0 - f_i \in I$ and $f - f_i \rightarrow f_0$. But I is closed by (a), hence $f_0 \in I$. Thus $e = \varphi(f_0) = 0$.

Clearly $\|\alpha e\| = \alpha \cdot \|e\|_E$, for every $\alpha \in k$. Let $e, e' \in E$. Let $f, f' \in A$ such that $\varphi(f) = e, \varphi(f') = e'$. Then $\|ee'\|_E \leq \|ff'\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|f'\|$. Taking infimum on the right handed side, $\|ee'\|_E \leq \|e\| \cdot \|e'\|$.

In particular ($e = e' = 1$), $\|1\|_E \geq 1$. But $\|1\|_E \leq \|1\| = 1$. So $\|1\|_E = 1$.

To show that $\|e + e'\| \leq \max(\|e\|, \|e'\|)$, use that for $A, B \subseteq [0, \infty)$ we have $\inf_{a \in A, b \in B} \max(a, b) = \max(\inf(A), \inf(B))$. ■

EXERCISE 6.23: Let $g \in T_{n-1}[z_n]$ be monic of norm 1. Then $T_{n-1}[z_n]/gT_{n-1}[z_n] \rightarrow T_n/gT_n$ is an isomorphism.

THEOREM 6.24: Let E be an affinoid algebra. Then $E \cong T_n/I$ for some n and for some ideal $I \leq E$.

Proof: (a) By the definition there exists a finite homomorphism $\varphi: T_d \rightarrow E$. Thus $E = T_d[e_{d+1}, \dots, e_n]$, (by abuse of notation we write T_d instead of $\varphi(T_d)$) and each e_i is integral over T_d , that is, satisfies some monic $g_i(X) \in T_d[X]$.

Fix i . Say, $g_i = X^m + a_1X^{m-1} + \dots + a_m$, with $a_j \in T_d$. We may assume that $\max\|a_j\| \leq 1$, otherwise replace e_i by αe_i , where $\alpha \in k^\times$ with $|\alpha|$ sufficiently small. (Then αe_i satisfies $X^m + \alpha a_1X^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha^m a_m$.)

CLAIM: We can extend φ to a homomorphism $\varphi: T_n \rightarrow E$ such that $\varphi(z_i) = e_i$. Indeed, by induction on i suppose we have already extended φ to $\varphi: T_{i-1} \rightarrow E$. Extend it to $\varphi: T_{i-1}[z_i] \rightarrow E$ by $\varphi(z_i) = e_i$. Then $g_i(z_i) \in T_{i-1}[z_i]$ and $\varphi(g_i(z_i)) = 0$. Hence φ factors into $T_{i-1}[z_i] \rightarrow T_{i-1}[z_i]/g_iT_{i-1}[z_i] \rightarrow E$. By the preceding paragraph, $\|g_i\| = 1$. By Exercise 6.23 we may replace the first map by $T_i \rightarrow T_i/g_iT_i$ and thus extend φ to T_i .

As the image of φ contains the generators of E over T_d , φ is surjective. Let $I = \ker(\varphi)$; then $E \cong T_n/I$. It is easy to see that E is complete. ■

THEOREM 6.25: Let $(A_i, ||_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, be two affinoid algebras, which are Banach k -algebras w.r.t. their respective norms. Let $u: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a homomorphism of k -algebras. Then u is continuous. In particular, all norms on an affinoid algebra which make it into a Banach k -algebra are equivalent.

Proof: By Corollary 2.3 we have to show that the graph $\{(x, u(x)) \mid x \in A_1\}$ is closed in $A_1 \times A_2$. That is, if $(x_i, u(x_i)) \rightarrow (x, y) \in A_1 \times A_2$, then $y = u(x)$. Replacing x_i by $x_i - x$ and y by $y - u(x)$ we have to prove: if $\lim x_i = 0$ and $\lim u(x_i) = y \in A_2$, then $y = 0$.

Let $I_2 \leq A_2$ be an ideal such that $\dim_k A_2/I_2 < \infty$. Let $I_1 = \text{Ker}(A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_2/I_2)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{u} & A_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ A_1/I_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & A_2/I_2 \end{array}$$

commutes, with \bar{u} an embedding. So also $\dim_k A_1/I_1 < \infty$.

By Theorem 6.18, $A_i/I_i A_i$ are affinoid algebras and by Corollary 6.22, they are Banach algebras, wrt the induced norms. The norm of $A_2/I_2 A_2$ restricts via \bar{u} to another norm on $A_1/I_1 A_1$. By Theorem 2.14 these two norms are equivalent. Thus \bar{u} is continuous. Therefore $\pi_2 \circ u = \bar{u} \circ \pi_1$ is continuous. Thus $\pi_2(y) = 0$, that is, $y \in I_2$.

It remains to show that $\bigcap_{\dim_k A/I < \infty} I = 0$.

Let $M \leq A$ be a maximal ideal. By Theorem 6.24 there is an epimorphism $\pi: T_n \rightarrow A$; As $\pi^{-1}(M) \leq T_n$ is maximal and $T_n/\pi^{-1}(M) \cong A/M$, by Corollary 6.19, $\dim_k A/M < \infty$. Moreover, $\dim_k A/M^n < \infty$ for every $n \geq 1$. (Indeed, by induction on n , using the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{n-1}/M^n \rightarrow A/M^n \rightarrow A/M^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to show that $\dim_k M^{n-1}/M^n < \infty$. As A is noetherian, the A -ideal M^{n-1} is a finite A -module; hence M^{n-1}/M^n is a finite A/M -module. But A/M is a finite k -module, so M^{n-1}/M^n is a finite k -module.)

Assume there is $0 \neq y \in \bigcap_M \bigcap_n M^n$. Put $J = \{a \in A \mid ay = 0\}$. This is a proper ideal of A . Hence there is a maximal $M \leq A$ such that $J \subseteq M$. Thus every $s \in A \setminus M$ satisfies $sy \neq 0$. This means that $\frac{y}{1} \in A_M$ is not zero. Furthermore,

$\frac{y}{1} \in M^n A_M = (MA_M)^n$. But by Krull's Theorem, (in noetherian ring A we have $\bigcap_n \text{rad}(A)^n = 0$) $\bigcap_n (MA_M)^n = 0$. A contradiction. ■

7. Affinoid spaces

Definition 7.1: An **affinoid space** is the set $X = \text{Sp}(A)$ of the maximal ideals of an affinoid algebra A . For each $x \in X$ the field A/x is a finite extension of k by Corollary 6.19. The valuation $|\cdot|$ of k uniquely extends to A/x . For $f \in A$ put $f(x)$ to be the image of f in A/x under the quotient map $A \rightarrow A/x$. Define topology on A : generated by $\{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq 1\}$. Put $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$. Define

$$A^\circ = \{f \in A \mid \|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1\} \quad A^{\circ\circ} = \{f \in A \mid \|f\|_{\text{sp}} < 1\}.$$

■

LEMMA 7.2: *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on A . Then $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in A$.*

Proof: It suffices to prove: $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in A$ and every $x \in X$. Fixing x , it suffices to prove: $|f(x)| \leq \|g\|$ for every $g \in A$ such that $f(x) = g(x)$. That is, $|a| \leq \|a\|$ for every $a \in A/x$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the induced norm on A/x .

There is $C > 0$ such that $C|b| \leq \|b\|$ for every $b \in A/x$. In particular, $C|a|^m = C|a^m| \leq \|a^m\| \leq \|a\|^m$. Thus $C^{1/m}|a| \leq \|a\|$. Taking limit, $|a| \leq \|a\|$. ■

Remark 7.3: The map $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$ is a semi-norm, called the **spectral semi-norm**. It is a norm if and only if the intersection of all maximal ideals of A is 0. ■

Example 7.4: Let A be an affinoid algebra. Let \tilde{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Every $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ defines a homomorphism (necessarily continuous, by Theorem 6.25) $u: A \rightarrow \tilde{k}$, whose image is a finite extension A/x of k . Two such homomorphisms u_1, u_2 are equivalent if they have the same kernel, i.e., there is a k -isomorphism $\theta: u_1(A) \rightarrow u_2(A)$ such that $u_2 = \theta \circ u_1$. Thus elements of $\text{Sp}(A)$ correspond to equivalence classes of k -algebra homomorphisms $u: A \rightarrow \tilde{k}$ with image finite over k . (If $k = K$ is algebraically closed, each equivalence class contains a unique homomorphism.)

In particular, for $A = T_n$, each such $u: T_n \rightarrow \tilde{k}$ defines $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \tilde{k}^n$ by $x_i = u(z_i)$. The continuity of u implies that $|x_i| \leq 1$ (for every $a \in \tilde{k}$ with $|a| < 1$ the Cauchy series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a^j z_i^j$ is mapped into a Cauchy series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a^j x_i^j$, so $|a| \cdot |x_i| < 1$). Conversely, every such $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \tilde{k}^n$ defines a homomorphism $u: T_n \rightarrow \tilde{k}$ with image

finite over k . Thus $\mathrm{Sp}(T_n) = D_n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \tilde{k}^n \mid |x_i| \leq 1\} / \cong$. If $k = K$ is algebraically closed, then $\mathrm{Sp}(T_n) = D_n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \tilde{k}^n \mid |x_i| \leq 1\}$. ■

LEMMA 7.5: *The spectral norm on T_n coincides with the standard norm. Moreover, for every $f \in T_n$ there is $x \in \mathrm{Sp}(T_n)$ such that $\|f\| = |f(x)|$.*

Proof: By Lemma 7.2, $\|f\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in T_n$. So we only have to prove the second assertion. Wlog $\|f\| = 1$. Hence $\bar{f} \in \bar{k}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is not zero. So there are $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$ in the algebraic closure of \bar{k} such that $\bar{f}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \neq 0$. Lift them to $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \bar{k}$ with $|x_i| \leq 1$. (For instance, first lift \bar{x}_i to $x_i \in K^o$, where K is the completion of \bar{k} , and then, as \bar{k} is dense in K , replace x_i by a sufficiently close element of \bar{k} .) There is a finite extension l of k such that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in l^o$. The k -map $T_n \rightarrow l$ defined by $z_i \mapsto x_i$ is a continuous epimorphism. Its kernel $x \in \mathrm{Sp}(T_n)$ satisfies $|f(x)| = 1$. ■

EXERCISE 7.6: *Let A be an affinoid algebra. Let $f \in A$. TFAE:*

- (a) $\inf\{|f(x)| \mid x \in \mathrm{Sp}(A)\} > 0$;
- (b) $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Sp}(A)$;
- (c) $f \in A^\times$;

Example 7.7: Let $k = K$ be algebraically closed. We have defined a connected affinoid in \mathbb{P} as the complement F of a union of disjoint disks in \mathbb{P} . We now show that $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is an affinoid algebra and that $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{O}(F)) = F$.

To make notation easier assume that $\infty \in F$. Thus $F^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a \in \mathbb{P} \mid |a - a_i| < |\pi_i|\}$, with $a_i, \pi_i \in K$. Define $\varphi: F \rightarrow (K^o)^n$ by

$$\varphi(a) = \left(\frac{\pi_1}{a - a_1}, \dots, \frac{\pi_n}{a - a_n} \right).$$

It is an injection and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(F) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (K^o)^n \mid \frac{\pi_i}{x_i} + a_i = \frac{\pi_j}{x_j} + a_j \text{ for } i \neq j\} \\ &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (K^o)^n \mid \pi_i x_j - \pi_j x_i + (a_i - a_j)x_i x_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\} \\ &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (K^o)^n \mid \frac{\pi_i}{a_i - a_j} x_j + \frac{\pi_j}{a_j - a_i} x_i + x_i x_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\} \end{aligned}$$

Let I be the ideal of T_n generated by

$$E_{ij} = \frac{\pi_i}{a_i - a_j} z_j + \frac{\pi_j}{a_j - a_i} z_i + z_i z_j \in T_n = K\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle, \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

and put $A = T_n/I$. Then A is an affinoid algebra and $\text{Sp}(A)$ can be identified with $\varphi(F)$. We show that there is an isomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $\varphi = \text{Sp}(\psi)$.

Since $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the ‘supremum’ norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ and $\|\frac{\pi_i}{z-a_i}\|_F \leq 1$, the map $z_i \mapsto \frac{\pi_i}{z-a_i}$ extends to a unique homomorphism $\hat{\psi}: T_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $\|\hat{\psi}(f)\|_F \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in T_n$. Obviously $\hat{\psi}(E_{ij}) = 0$, hence $\hat{\psi}$ induces a homomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(F)$ such that $\|\psi(f)\|_F \leq \|f\|_A$ for every $f \in A$ (in the infimum norm on A). Using the E_{ij} it is easy to see that every $f \in T_n$ is of the form $f = f_0 + a + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{i,m} z_i^m$, where $f_0 \in I$ and $a, a_{i,m} \in K$ with $\lim_m a_{i,m} = 0$. By the Mittag-Leffler decomposition in $\mathcal{O}(F)$ we see that $\hat{\psi}$ is surjective, its kernel is I , and for every $g \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ there is a preimage $f \in T_n$ such that $\|f\| = \|g\|_F$. Thus ψ is an isometric isomorphism. ■

The above identification allows to give a different proof of ?

THEOREM 7.8: *Let F be a connected affinoid in \mathbb{P} . Then $\mathcal{O}(F)$ is a principal ideal domain. In particular, every $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}(F)$ has only finitely many zeroes.*

8. Spectral norm

LEMMA 8.1: *Let K be an algebraically closed complete field. Let $P(X) = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \in K[X]$ and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ be its roots. Then $\max_j |\alpha_j| = \max_i |a_i|^{1/i}$.*

Proof: We have

$$P(X) = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = (X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n).$$

Wlog $|\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_i|$ for all i . Substitute $X = \alpha_1 Y$. Then $\alpha_1^{-n} P(\alpha_1 Y)$ is

$$Y^n + \frac{a_1}{\alpha_1} Y^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{\alpha_1^n} = (Y - 1) \left(Y - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\right) \cdots \left(Y - \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_1}\right).$$

The right handed side is in $K^o[Y]$. Hence $|\frac{a_i}{\alpha_1^i}| \leq 1$ for each i . We must have $|\frac{a_i}{\alpha_1^i}| = 1$ for some i , otherwise modulo K^{oo} the left handed side of the above displayed equation would be Y^n and the right handed side would have root 1, a contradiction. ■

PROPOSITION 8.2: *Let A be an affinoid algebra without zero-divisors and let $T_d \rightarrow A$ be a finite monomorphism. Then every $f \in A$ satisfies a monic irreducible $P = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \in T_d[X]$. We have $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}^{1/i}$ and there is $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ with $|f(x)| = \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}^{1/i}$. (We can write $\| \cdot \|$ instead of $\| \cdot \|_{\text{sp}}$, by Lemma 7.5.)*

Proof: The map $T_d \rightarrow A$ is an inclusion of integral domains. Let $P(X)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of $f \in A$ over the quotient field of T_d . But T_d is a unique factorization domain, hence integrally closed, [L, Prop. VII.1.7], hence $P(X) \in T_d[X]$ [L, Cor. VII.1.6]. Division with remainder gives that $T_d[f] \cong T_d[X]/(P(X))$.

Let $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ (a maximal ideal of A). As A/T_d is integral, $y = x \cap T_d$ is a maximal ideal of T_d [AM, 5.8], that is, $y \in \text{Sp}(T_d)$. Thus $k \subseteq T_d/y \subseteq A/x$. There is a complete algebraically closed field K such that $A/x \subseteq K$. As $P(f) = 0$, $f(x)$ is a root of $X^n + a_1(y)X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n(y) \in K[X]$. By Lemma 8.1,

$$|f(x)| \leq \max_i |a_i(y)|^{1/i} \leq \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}^{1/i}.$$

In particular, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}^{1/i}$. So we only have to find $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ such that $|f(x)| \geq \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}^{1/i}$.

Choose i which attains the maximum on the right handed side. By Lemma 7.5 there is $y \in \text{Sp}(T_d)$ with $|a_i(y)| = \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}$. Let K be a complete algebraically closed field such that $T_d/y \subseteq K$. By Lemma 8.1 there is a root $\lambda \in K$ of $X^n + a_1(y)X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n(y) \in K[X]$ such that $|\lambda| \geq |a_i(y)|^{1/i}$. So it suffices to find $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ such that $f(x) = \lambda$.

As $T_d[f] \cong T_d[X]/(P(X))$, we may extend the homomorphism $T_d \rightarrow T_d/y$ to $u: T_d[f] \rightarrow K$ such that $u(f) = \lambda$. The image $u(T_d[f]) = T_d/y[\lambda]$ is a field, because T_d/y is a field. Hence $\text{Ker}(u)$ is a maximal ideal of $T_d[f]$. As A is integral over T_d and hence also over $T_d[f]$, there is $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$ lying over $\text{Ker}(u)$ [AM, 5.10 and 5.8]. Then $f(x) = \lambda$. ■

EXERCISE 8.3: Let $u: A \rightarrow B$ be an epimorphism of affinoid algebras. Then $\|u(f)\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f\|_{\text{sp}}$ for every $f \in A$.

Proof: Let $y \in \text{Sp}(B)$. Then $x = u^{-1}(y) \in \text{Sp}(A)$ and $(u(f))(y) = f(x)$. Therefore $\|u(f)\|_{\text{sp}} = \sup_{x \in u^{-1}(\text{Sp}(B))} |f(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in \text{Sp}(A)} |f(x)| = \|f\|_{\text{sp}}$. ■

Let A be a commutative ring with unity. Recall that the **nilradical** $\text{nil}(A) = \{f \in A \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) f^n = 0\}$ is an ideal of A . It is the intersection of all prime ideals of A , and hence the intersection of all minimal prime ideals of A . If A is noetherian, there are only finitely many minimal prime ideals of A . Always $\text{nil}(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$, the intersection of the maximal ideals of A . We say that A is **reduced** if $\text{nil}(A) = 0$.

COROLLARY 8.4: Let A be an affinoid algebra. Then $\text{nil}(A) = \text{rad}(A)$. If A is reduced, then $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$ is a norm.

Proof: We have $\text{rad}(A) = \{f \in A \mid \|f\|_{\text{sp}} = 0\}$. So the second assertion follows from the first one.

Let $f \in \text{rad}(A)$, that is, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = 0$.

Suppose first that A has no zero-divisors. By Theorem 6.18 there exists a finite monomorphism $T_d \rightarrow A$. By Proposition 8.2, f satisfies a monic irreducible $P(X) \in T_d[X]$ whose coefficients, except for the leading one, are 0. Thus $P = X$, and hence $f = 0$. Therefore $\text{rad}(A) = 0$.

In the general case let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal of A . Then A/\mathcal{P} is an affinoid algebra with no zero-divisors. Let \bar{f} be the image of f in A/\mathcal{P} . By Exercise 8.3, $\|\bar{f}\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f\|_{\text{sp}} = 0$. Hence by the previous case $\bar{f} = 0$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore $f \in \bigcap \mathcal{P} = \text{nil}(A)$. ■

PROPOSITION 8.5: *Let A be an affinoid algebra. Let $\varphi: T_d \rightarrow A$ be a finite monomorphism. Then*

- (a) $\varphi(T_d^0) \subseteq A^\circ$.
- (b) A° is integral over T_d° .

Proof: (a) By a home exercise, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = \|\varphi(f)\|_{\text{sp}}$. Thus $\varphi(T_d^0) \subseteq A^\circ$.

(b) Let $f \in A^\circ$. We want to find a monic $P(X) \in T_d^\circ[X]$ such that $P(f) = 0$.

If A has no zero divisors, the irreducible polynomial $P(X)$ of f over T_d has coefficients in T_d° , by Proposition 8.2.

In the general case let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s$ be the minimal prime ideals in A .

Fix $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $A_i = A/\mathcal{P}_i$, let $\pi_i: A \rightarrow A_i$ be the quotient map, and put $f_i = \pi_i(f)$. Then A_i is without zero-divisors. By Exercise 8.3, $f_i \in A_i^\circ$. Let $Q_i = \text{Ker}(\pi_i \circ \varphi)$. Then φ induces a finite monomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: T_d/Q_i \rightarrow A_i$. By Theorem 6.18, there is $c \leq d$ and a norm-preserving automorphism σ of T_d such that $\bar{\sigma}: T_c \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_d \rightarrow T_d/Q_i$ is a finite monomorphism. The composition $\bar{\varphi} \bar{\sigma}$ a finite monomorphism $T_c \rightarrow A_i$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_d & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & T_d & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_i \\
 T_c & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & T_d/Q_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & A_i
 \end{array}$$

By the above special case there is a monic $\hat{P}_i(X) \in T_c^\circ[X]$ such that $\hat{P}_i(f_i) = 0$. Since the spectral norms on T_c, T_d are the standard norms and σ preserves the latter, $P_i(X) = \sigma(\hat{P}_i) \in T_d^\circ[X]$. Moreover, P_i is monic and $P_i(f_i) = 0$. Thus $P_i(f) \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Put $P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^s P_i(X)$. Then $P \in T_d^\circ[X]$ is monic and $P(f) \in \bigcap_i \mathcal{P}_i$. Therefore $P(f)$ is nilpotent. So for a suitable $m \geq 1$ we have $P^m(f) = 0$. ■

COROLLARY 8.6: *Let A be an affinoid algebra with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ which makes it a Banach algebra. Then $A^\circ = \{f \in A \mid \sup_{n \geq 0} \|f^n\| < \infty\}$.*

Proof: Let $f \in A$.

Suppose $N = \sup_{n \geq 0} \|f^n\| < \infty$. Let $x \in \text{Sp}(A)$. Then for every $n \geq 1$, $|f(x)^n = |f^n(x)| \leq \|f^n\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f^n\| \leq N$, whence $|f(x)| \leq 1$. Therefore $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1$, whence $f \in A^\circ$.

Conversely, suppose $f \in A^\circ$. There is a finite monomorphism $T_d \rightarrow A$. By Theorem 8.5(b), f is integral over T_d° . Thus $f^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i f^i$ with $a_i \in T_d^\circ$. By induction, $f^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_i f^i$ where $b_i \in T_d^\circ$. As $T_d \rightarrow A$ is continuous (Theorem 6.25), there is $C > 0$ such that $\|b_i\| \leq C\|b_i\|_{T_d}$. But $\|b_i\|_{T_d} = \|b_i\|_{\text{sp}}$, by Lemma 7.5, and $\|b_i\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1$, hence $\|b_i\| \leq C$. Thus $\|f^m\| \leq \max_{i=0}^{m-1} C\|f^i\|$ is bounded. ■

COROLLARY 8.7: *Let A be an affinoid algebra with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ which makes it a Banach algebra. Then $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^n\|^{1/n}$.*

Proof: By a home exercise, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}}^n = \|f^n\|_{\text{sp}}$. Hence by Lemma 7.2, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}}^n = \|f^n\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f^n\|$, whence $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f^n\|^{1/n}$. It now suffices to show that $\limsup \|f^n\|^{1/n} \leq \|f\|_{\text{sp}}$.

Choose $a \in k$ such that $|a| > 1$. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq |a|^{\frac{s}{m}}$. Then $\|f\|_{\text{sp}}^m \leq |a|^s$, hence $\|\frac{1}{a^s} f^m\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1$, whence by Corollary 8.6 there is $C' > 0$ such that $\|\frac{1}{a^{sq}} f^{mq}\| \leq C'$ for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write it as $n = mq + r$ with $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r < m$. Then $sq = \frac{s}{m}n - \frac{sr}{m}$, and hence

$$\|f^n\| \leq \|f^{mq}\| \cdot \|f^r\| \leq C'|a|^{sq}\|f^r\| \leq C' \frac{\|f^r\|}{|a|^{\frac{sr}{m}}} (|a|^{\frac{s}{m}})^n$$

Let C be the maximum of $C' \frac{\|f^r\|}{|a|^{\frac{sr}{m}}}$ over the finitely many choices of r, s . Then $\|f^n\| \leq C(|a|^{\frac{s}{m}})^n$. Thus $\limsup \|f^n\|^{1/n} \leq |a|^{\frac{s}{m}}$. ■

EXERCISE 8.8: *Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of affinoid algebras over k . Put $C = A\langle X_1, \dots, X_s \rangle$. Let $b_1, \dots, b_s \in B$. Then there exists a homomorphism of k -algebras $\psi: C \rightarrow B$ extending φ such that $\psi(X_i) = b_i$ for each i if and only if $\|b_i\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1$ for each i . If ψ exists, it is unique and continuous.*

LEMMA 8.9: *Let T be an integral domain, E its quotient field, V a vector space over E , and $A, B \subseteq V$ finitely generated T -modules. Let A_E, B_E be the E -vector spaces generated by A, B . If $A_E \subseteq B_E$, then there is $0 \neq t \in T$ such that $tA \subseteq B$.*

Proof: Suppose that $A = \sum_{i=1}^m T\alpha_i$ and $B = \sum_{j=1}^n T\beta_j$. For each i there are $t_{ij}, 0 \neq$

$t'_{ij} \in T$ such that $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t'_{ij}}{t_{ij}} \beta_j$. Put $t = \prod_i \prod_j t_{ij}$. Then $0 \neq t \in T$ and $\frac{t}{t_{ij}} \in T$ for all i, j . Hence $t\alpha_i = \sum_j t'_{ij} \frac{t}{t_{ij}} \beta_j \in \sum_{j=1}^n T\beta_j = B$, for all i , whence $tA \subseteq B$. ■

LEMMA 8.10: *Let A be an affinoid algebra without zero-divisors and let $T_d \rightarrow A$ be a finite morphism. Then $\|f\alpha\|_{\text{sp}} = \|f\| \cdot \|\alpha\|_{\text{sp}}$ for all $f \in T_d$ and $\alpha \in A$. (Recall that $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} = \|f\|$ and the norm on T_d is multiplicative.)*

Proof: Let $X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in T_d[X]$ be the irreducible polynomial of $\alpha \in A$ over the quotient field E of T_d . Then $X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in T_d[X]$ is the irreducible polynomial of $f\alpha$ over E . Hence by Proposition 8.2

$$\|f\alpha\|_{\text{sp}} = \max_i \|f_i^i a_i\|^{1/i} = \max_i \|f_i^i\|^{1/i} \cdot \|a_i\|^{1/i} = \|f\| \max_i \|a_i\|^{1/i} = \|f\| \|\alpha\|_{\text{sp}}.$$

■

LEMMA 8.11: *Let l/k be a finite extension of complete fields, and let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T' = l\langle z_1^{1/q}, \dots, z_d^{1/q} \rangle$ is a finite extension of $T_d = k\langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$.*

Proof: Let β_1, \dots, β_m be a basis of l over k . We show that

$$T' = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{q-1} \dots \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{q-1} T_d(\beta_i z_1^{\mu_1/q} \dots z_n^{\mu_n/q}).$$

Let $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} (z_1^{1/q})^{\alpha_1} \dots (z_n^{1/q})^{\alpha_n} \in T'$, with $a_{\alpha} \in l$ such that $a_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Then each $a_{\alpha} \in l$ can be uniquely written as

$$a_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{\alpha,i} \beta_i, \quad a_{\alpha,i} \in k.$$

We have seen that $a_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ implies $a_{\alpha,i} \rightarrow 0$ for each i . Therefore $f = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \beta_i$, where

$$f_i = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha,i} (z_1^{1/q})^{\alpha_1} \dots (z_n^{1/q})^{\alpha_n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

are well defined elements of T' . But

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= \sum_{0 \leq \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n < q} \sum_{\alpha \equiv \mu_j \pmod{q}} a_{\alpha,i} (z_1^{1/q})^{\alpha_1} \dots (z_n^{1/q})^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n < q} \left(\sum_{\alpha \equiv \mu_j \pmod{q}} a_{\alpha,i} (z_1^{1/q})^{\alpha_1 - \mu_1} \dots (z_n^{1/q})^{\alpha_n - \mu_n} \right) z_1^{\mu_1/q} \dots z_n^{\mu_n/q} \end{aligned}$$

and the series in the brackets are elements of T_d . ■

LEMMA 8.12: Let k be a complete field of characteristic $p > 0$ and assume that $[k : k^p] < \infty$. Let q be a power of p . Let $T = k\langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$ and $T' = k^{1/q}\langle z_1^{1/q}, \dots, z_d^{1/q} \rangle$. Then $T' = T^{1/q}$.

Proof: (The equality takes places in some algebraically closed field K containing T' and hence also T .)

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The isomorphism $k \rightarrow k^{p^i}$ given by $a \mapsto a^{p^i}$ maps $k^p \subseteq k$ onto $k^{p^{i+1}} \rightarrow k^{p^i}$, hence $[k^{p^{i+1}} : k^{p^i}] < \infty$. Therefore $[k : k^q] < \infty$. Apply the inverse of the isomorphism $k \rightarrow k^q$ to get that $[k^{1/q} : k] < \infty$.

CLAIM: $T' \subseteq T^{1/q}$. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z_1^{\alpha_1/q} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n/q} \in T'$. Then $a_{\alpha} \in k^{1/q}$ and $a_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $a_{\alpha}^q \in k$ and $a_{\alpha}^q \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $f^q = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^q z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n} \in T$.

CLAIM: $T^{1/q} \subseteq T'$. Let $f \in T^{1/q}$. Then $f^q \in T$, hence $f^q = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, with $a_{\alpha} \in k$ and $a_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Then $a_{\alpha}^{1/q} \in k^{1/q}$ and $a_{\alpha}^{1/q} \rightarrow 0$. Put $g := \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{1/q} z_1^{\alpha_1/q} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n/q} \in T'$. Then $g^q = f$. Hence $f \in T^{1/q}$. ■

THEOREM 8.13: The spectral norm on a reduced affinoid algebra A is equivalent to any norm which makes A a Banach algebra.

Proof: Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on A such that A is a Banach k -algebra. We have to show that there is $C > 0$ such that $\|\cdot\| \leq C \|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$. Since all Banach norms on an affinoid algebra are equivalent, we actually have to show that A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$.

PART A: *Reduction to an integral domain.* Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s$ be the minimal prime ideals of A . Each $A_i = A/\mathcal{P}_i$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ induced from A (Corollary 6.22). Assume that each A_i satisfies the assertion of the theorem. Then so does $\hat{A} = A_1 \times \dots \times A_s$ with respect to the Banach norm $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{A}}$ given by $\|(a_1, \dots, a_s)\|_{\hat{A}} = \max_i \|a_i\|_i$. Indeed,

$$\text{Sp}(\hat{A}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \{A_1 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times x \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_s \mid x \in \text{Sp}(A_i)\},$$

and hence $\|(a_1, \dots, a_s)\|_{\text{sp}} = \max_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}$. If $\|a_i\|_i \leq C_i \|a_i\|_{\text{sp}}$, then $\|(a_1, \dots, a_s)\|_{\hat{A}} \leq C \|(a_1, \dots, a_s)\|_{\text{sp}}$, where $C = \max_i C_i$.

As A is reduced, the canonical map $\iota: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is injective. Its image $\iota(A)$ is a closed A -submodule of \hat{A} (Theorem 2.5), and hence Banach with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{A}}$. Therefore it induces a Banach norm $\|\cdot\|_{\iota}$ on A by $\|f\|_{\iota} = \|\iota(f)\|_{\hat{A}}$. By Theorem 6.25, $\|\cdot\|_{\iota}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent. On the other hand, the restriction of the spectral norm on \hat{A} to A (via ι) is the spectral norm on A . (Indeed, every maximal ideal of \hat{A} restricts to a maximal ideal of A , and every maximal ideal of A contains some \mathcal{P}_i and hence extends to a maximal ideal of \hat{A} .) Therefore the assertion for A follows from the assertion for \hat{A} .

By Theorem 6.18 there is a finite monomorphism $T_d \rightarrow A$.

PART B: Reduction to: the quotient field $Q(A)$ of A is a normal extension of the quotient field $Q(T_d)$ of T_d . Let L be a finite normal extension of $Q(T_d)$ containing $Q(A)$. There are finitely many $b_1, \dots, b_m \in L$ such that $L = Q(A)[b_1, \dots, b_m]$. Multiplying them by a suitable element of A we may assume that b_1, \dots, b_m are integral over A . Then $B = A[b_1, \dots, b_m]$ is finite over A , and hence also over T_d , and the quotient field of B is L . If we can show that B is complete with respect to its spectral norm $\|\cdot\|$, then A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, by Theorem 2.5. By a home exercise, the restriction of $\|\cdot\|$ to A is the spectral norm on A .

PART C: Reduction to: the quotient field $Q(A)$ of A is a separable extension of the quotient field $Q(T_d)$ of T_d . If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, there is nothing to prove. If $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, we prove the theorem only in the case $[k : k^p] < \infty$. Let M be the maximal purely inseparable extension of $Q(T_d)$ in $Q(A)$. As $Q(A)/Q(T_d)$ is normal, $Q(A)/M$ is separable [L, V.6.11].

There are $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in M$ such that $M = Q(T_d)[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m]$. Each β_i is purely inseparable over $Q(T_d)$ and hence there is a power q_i of the characteristic p such that $\beta_i^{q_i} \in Q(T_d)$. Take $q = \max_i q_i$. Then q is a power of p and $M^q \subseteq Q(T_d)$, that is, $M \subseteq Q(T_d)^{1/q}$. By an exercise (to be written down later) $Q(T_d)^{1/q} = Q(T')$, where

$$T' = k^{1/q} \langle z_1^{1/q}, \dots, z_d^{1/q} \rangle.$$

is a finite extension of $T_d = k \langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$. Let A' be the compositum of T' and A (that is, the smallest ring containing both T' and A) in the algebraic closure of $Q(T')$. Then

A' is finite over T' (is generated by the finitely many generators of A over T_d) and hence over T_d , whence also over A . We have the following commutative diagrams of rings and their quotient fields

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A & \longrightarrow & A' \\
 & \nearrow & & \uparrow \\
 T_d & \longrightarrow & & T'
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Q(A) & \longrightarrow & Q(A') \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 Q(T_d) & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Q(T')
 \end{array}$$

As $Q(A)/M$ is separable and $Q(A')$ is the compositum of $Q(A)$ and $Q(T')$, the extension $Q(A')/Q(T')$ is separable. If we can show that A' is complete with respect to its spectral norm $\|\cdot\|$, then A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, by Theorem 2.5. By a home exercise, the restriction of $\|\cdot\|$ to A is the spectral norm on A .

PART D: *A basis of $Q(A)$ over $Q(T_d)$.* Choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_r of $Q(A)$ over $Q(T_d)$. By Lemma 8.9 we may multiply each e_i by some $0 \neq f_i \in T_d$ to assume that $f_i(T_d e_i) \subseteq A$, that is, $f_i e_i \in A$. Replace e_i by $f_i e_i$ to assume that $e_1, \dots, e_r \in A$.

Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ is a free T_d -module, contained in A . The standard norm $\|\cdot\|$ on T_d induces the ‘maximum’ norm on $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i e_i$ by $\|\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i\| = \max_i \|f_i\|$. It is easy to see that $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ is complete with respect to this norm.

PART E: *The restriction of the spectral norm of A to $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ is equivalent to the above maximum norm.* To prove this, we will be using the trace $\text{Tr}: Q(A) \rightarrow Q(T_d)$ [L, ?]. This is a $Q(T_d)$ -linear operator, defined as follows: If the irreducible polynomial of $\alpha \in Q(A)$ over $Q(T_d)$ is $X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$, then n divides $r = [Q(A) : Q(T_d)]$ and

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha) = -\frac{r}{n} a_1.$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in A$, then by Proposition 8.2, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in T_d$, and

$$(1) \quad \|\alpha\|_{\text{sp}} = \max_i \|a_i\|^{1/i} \geq \|a_1\| \geq \overbrace{\|a_1 + \dots + a_1\|}^{\frac{r}{n} \text{ times}} = \|\text{Tr}(\alpha)\|.$$

Furthermore, as $Q(A)/Q(T_d)$ is separable, there is a basis e_1^*, \dots, e_r^* of $Q(A)$ over $Q(T_d)$ such that $\text{Tr}(e_j^* e_i) = \delta_{ij}$. As in Part D, for each j there is $0 \neq g_j \in T_d$ such that

$g_j e_j^* \in A$. Replace e_j^* by $g_j e_j^*$ to assume that

$$(2) \quad e_1^*, \dots, e_r^* \in A \text{ is a basis of } Q(A) \text{ over } Q(T_d) \text{ and } \text{Tr}(e_j^* e_i) = \delta_{ij} g_j \in T_d.$$

Let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in T_d$. Then

$$\text{Tr}(e_j^* \sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \text{Tr}(e_j^* e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_j \delta_{ij} = g_j f_j,$$

hence by (1)

$$\|g_j\| \cdot \|f_j\| = \|g_j f_j\|_{\text{sp}} = \|\text{Tr}(e_j^* \sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i)\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|e_j^* \sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|e_j^*\|_{\text{sp}} \cdot \|\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\|_{\text{sp}}$$

whence

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\| = \max_j \|f_j\| \leq \max_j \left(\frac{\|e_j^*\|_{\text{sp}}}{\|g_j\|} \right) \|\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\|_{\text{sp}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \max_i \|f_i\|_{\text{sp}} \|e_i\|_{\text{sp}} \leq (\max_i \|e_i\|_{\text{sp}}) \max \|f_i\| = (\max_i \|e_i\|_{\text{sp}}) \cdot \|\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i\|.$$

Hence the two norms on $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ are equivalent.

PART F: *End of the proof.* Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ is complete with respect to the maximum norm. By the preceding part, $\sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$ is complete with respect to the spectral norm of A .

By Lemma 8.9, there is $0 \neq f \in T_d$ such that $fA \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^s T_d e_i$. Therefore the T_d -submodule fA of A is complete (Theorem 2.5). But by Lemma 8.10, $\|f\alpha\|_{\text{sp}} = \|f\|_{\text{sp}} \cdot \|\alpha\|_{\text{sp}} = \|f\| \cdot \|\alpha\|_{\text{sp}}$ for every $\alpha \in A$. Hence A is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$.

■

References

- [AM] M.F. Atiyah, I.G. MacDonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, 1969.
- [L] S. Lang, *Algebra*, third edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, 1994.
- [FP] J. Fresnel and M. v.d. Put, *Rigid Analytic Geometry and its Applications*, Progress in Mathematics **218**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [FP'] J. Fresnel and M. v.d. Put, *Géométrie Analytique Rigide et Applications*, Progress in Mathematics **18**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981.

21 January, 2007