

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## *Doctoral Thesis Evaluation Form*

A Detailed Evaluation on the Doctoral Thesis of \_\_\_\_\_

Please fill in **all** the sections

**A. Is the work fit to serve as the basis for awarding the degree of “Doctor of Philosophy”?**

1. Yes. In its present form with no corrections.
2. Yes. After making the minor corrections detailed in my report.  
I do not need to see the corrections.
3. Yes. After making substantial corrections or after responding to the comments detailed in my report. I need to see the corrected version.
4. Yes. After the work or parts of it have been rewritten, as detailed in my report.  
I need to see the corrected version.
5. No. The work is not fit to serve as the basis for awarding the degree of “Doctor of Philosophy”.
6. Other comments. Please detail:

**B. This work is particularly outstanding and I recommend that the degree be awarded with distinction:**

Yes

No

**C. Revealing the identity of the reviewer and allowing the student to see the evaluation report:**

The process of evaluating a doctoral thesis is confidential. Nevertheless, evaluators are at liberty to reveal their identity through the departmental committee and to make contact with the student. The changes and corrections that the reviewers recommend, as well as the various material comments relating to the content and form of the work, are conveyed to the student anonymously. Reviewers willing to allow the student to receive a copy of their report in full and bearing their signature should indicate this as follows.

I am prepared to allow the student to see my report in full with my signature, and am prepared to answer the student's questions.

I am prepared to allow the student to see my report in full with my signature.

I am prepared to allow the student to see my report in full, but without revealing my identity.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of reviewer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

## **Guidelines for Preparing a Detailed Evaluation of a Doctoral Thesis**

The report will contain the following items:

1. An evaluation of the research results, with emphasis on the innovations they contain.
2. An evaluation of the dissertation's content, method and form, relating to the following issues: the originality of the approach, the level of innovation and the contribution of the work to the body of knowledge, the discussion of the findings and their implications, critical thinking, command of the background material, suitability of the use of research methods, the degree to which the research rationale and/or model are soundly based, quality of writing, clarity and orderliness of presentation (including tables and figures).
3. Details of the changes, corrections or supplementary material required.
4. If the reviewer finds that the work meets the requirements, a sentence should be included in the report stating explicitly that the thesis is a fitting basis for awarding the degree of "Doctor of Philosophy".
5. If the reviewer finds the work to be particularly outstanding and recommends that the degree be awarded with distinction, a detailed reasoned statement to this effect should appear in a separate paragraph of the evaluation.