Recent advances in global electric circuit coupling between the space environment and the troposphere
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A B S T R A C T
The global atmospheric electric circuit is driven by thunderstorms and electrified rain/shower clouds and is also influenced by energetic charged particles from space. The global circuit maintains the ionosphere as an equipotential at \(~\pm 250\, \text{kV}\) with respect to the good conducting Earth (both land and oceans). Its “load” is the fair weather atmosphere and semi-fair weather atmosphere at large distances from the disturbed weather “generator” regions. The main solar-terrestrial (or space weather) influence on the global circuit arises from spatially and temporally varying fluxes of galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) and energetic electrons precipitating from the magnetosphere. All components of the circuit exhibit much variability in both space and time. Global circuit variations between solar maximum and solar minimum are considered together with Forbush decrease and solar flare effects. The variability in ion concentration and vertical current flow are considered in terms of radiative effects in the troposphere, through infra-red absorption, and cloud effects, in particular possible cloud microphysical effects from charging at layer cloud edges. The paper identifies future research areas in relation to Task Group 4 of the Climate and Weather of the Sun-Earth System (CAWSES-II) programme.

1. Introduction

The topic of the global atmospheric electric circuit has been recently reviewed by Rycroft and Harrison (2011), which thoroughly discussed the background to the subject, and gave a large number of key references to the literature going back more than a hundred years. This paper focuses on more recent work in the field of the global electric circuit, in particular, processes linking the space environment from outside the Earth’s atmosphere, to the lower troposphere. In this paper, an overview of the operation of the global electric circuit (both D.C. and A.C. effects) is given, followed by a discussion about the effect of temporal variations in the space environment on the global electric circuit. Several mechanisms by which the global circuit can couple to the lower troposphere are also described, including the radiative action of ions in the troposphere, and charging of low level cloud layers due to vertical current flow in the global circuit. Finally some ideas for future studies in the area are presented.

2. Modus operandi and parameters of the global circuit

2.1. D.C. circuit

Thunder clouds, which generate potential differences exceeding 100 MV between the positive charges at their tops and the negative charges near their bottoms (Wormell, 1930), are one important source of upward currents through the atmosphere. They are both a D.C. “battery” and an A.C. generator in the circuit. Each of the \ (~1000\) thunderstorms active at any one time generates an upward D.C. (Wilson) current of \ (~1\, \text{A}) to the ionosphere, which is an excellent conductor, at an equipotential \ (V_i\) of \ (~+250\, \text{kV}) with respect to the Earth (e.g. Rycroft et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2011). The conduction current flows down in areas remote from thunderstorms, termed regions of fair weather (i.e. non-cloudy) and semi-fair weather (non-precipitating layer clouds).

These currents flow through the partially conducting atmosphere where ionisation is produced by galactic cosmic rays (GCRs); the vertical conduction current density is termed \ (J_v). Near the land surface, but not the oceans, escaping radon determines the ion concentration and hence the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere in the planetary boundary layer, at heights up to \ (~2\, \text{km}) (Pulinets, 2007; Kobylnski and Michnowski, 2007).
At sub-auroral latitudes, there is some extra ionisation at ~70 km altitude produced by relativistic (~1 MeV) electron precipitation from the magnetosphere. Within the polar cap, polewards of the auroral oval, occasional energetic solar proton events (SPEs) of ~100 MeV produce extra ionisation at ~60 km altitude. The circuit closes through the highly conducting land and sea, and via point discharge currents from pointed objects on the Earth’s surface up to the bottom of the thunderclouds. The global circuit is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

The increase—by seven orders of magnitude—of the electrical conductivity of the neutral atmosphere, from the Earth’s surface up to the lower ionosphere at 80 km altitude, has been modelled by Rycroft et al. (2007) and Rycroft and Ozdimek (2010). It is emphasised both here and elsewhere that the conductivity profile is the most important parameter in establishing the global circuit (Holzworth, 1987; Rycroft et al., 2008).

By Ohm’s law, the conductivity and the electrical conduction current density \( J_z \sim 2 \text{ pA m}^{-2} \) in the fair (and semi-fair) weather regions determine the vertical electric field \( E \) there. This electric field points downwards; the potential gradient (PG) is conventionally considered positive in fair weather, typically \( \sim 130 \text{ V/m} \) in unpolluted air at the Earth’s surface. Going up into the atmosphere, the vertical electric field reduces in magnitude. The ionospheric potential, \( V_i \), can be estimated by integrating measurements of the vertical electric field \( E(\zeta) \) made by a balloon or aircraft-borne instrument from the surface up to the ionosphere (Markson, 1986). Markson and Price (1999) have considered how such results might be related to the mean global temperature, since the behaviour of thunderclouds is controlled by thunderstorm updraughts which depend upon the temperature of the air at ground level below them. This physical process provides one link between atmospheric electricity and climate change, a crucially important topic for society today (Gray et al., 2010).

In the model of Rycroft et al. (2007), also discussed by Rycroft et al. (2008), the potential at 60 km altitude is only 24 V less than the assumed ionospheric potential of +250,000 V. These two potentials are the same to within one part in \( 10^4 \). Thus, we may, to a good approximation, term the 60 km level as being the height of the electrosphere. In actuality, the electrical currents find it preferable to continue going upwards into a region of ever increasing conductivity, rather than to flow horizontally. This statement is true through the lower ionosphere, even through the dynamo region at ~100–130 km.

The ionosphere is considered to be an equipotential, except over the polar regions due to the interaction of the solar wind with the geomagnetic field. That situation has been considered in Fig. 2 of Tinsley et al. (2007) and Fig. 1 of Zhou and Tinsley (2010). As the southward component of the interplanetary magnetic field increases from 0 to 7 nT, there exists a dawn-to-dusk potential difference of up to ~150 kV across the northern and southern polar caps (i.e. within the auroral ovals). A potential difference of this magnitude is likely to be present during a strong geomagnetic storm. For a dawn-to-dusk component of the interplanetary magnetic field between ~3 nT and +3 nT, there is also a potential difference between the northern and southern polar caps of between +15 kV and ~15 kV. A complete model of the global circuit which includes these effects quantitatively has yet to be constructed.

The concept of the equipotential ionosphere at middle latitudes was investigated by comparing simultaneous measurements of \( V_i \) made from two widely separated locations—the research ship “Meteor” in the Atlantic, and Weissenau, Germany (shown in Fig. 2(a)). Fig. 2(b) shows, as black dots, the \( V_i \) measurements, made from balloon platforms from the Meteor and Weissenau, during several weeks in 1969. There is a clear linear relationship between the two data sets. Also shown in Fig. 2 is the relationship
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**Fig. 1.** Diagram showing various processes of importance in the global electric circuit. Charge separation in thunderstorms, which occur in disturbed weather regions, creates a substantial potential difference between the highly conducting regions of the ionosphere and the Earth’s surface. The positive potential of the ionosphere (positive with respect to the Earth’s surface) is distributed to fair weather and semi-fair weather regions, where a small current (whose density is \( J_z \)) flows vertically. When this current flows through clouds it generates charge near the upper and lower cloud edges, which can influence cloud microphysical processes. (In this diagram, Mesospheric Convective Systems, which are large scale thunderstorms late in their evolution and which favour sprite generation above them, are indicated by MCS; sprites are one example of Transient Luminous Events (TLEs); Cloud Condensation Nuclei are shown as CCN).
with the surface measurement of PG, given in V/m, from Lerwick, Shetland Islands, in the extreme north of the U.K. (shown by overlaid contours; see Harrison and Bennett, 2007). The consistency between measurements from the three different sites demonstrates that

(i) a common equipotential surface exists above the Atlantic Ocean and Germany, consistent with global electric circuit theory, and

(ii) the surface PG at Lerwick is related to the ionospheric potential above.

It is important to realise that most, ~95%, of the atmospheric columnar resistance (obtained by integrating the inverse of the conductivity from the surface up to a particular height) lies below 10 km altitude. And half of the total resistance lies in the planetary boundary layer, at heights < 2 km. Therefore, the most important part of the load in the global circuit is the boundary layer within 2 km of the Earth’s surface. For the Rycroft et al. (2007) model conductivity profile, the total columnar resistance to the ionosphere is ~167 POhms m². Because of this fact, most of the positive charge in the atmosphere occurs close to the surface; it is not found on the upper conducting plate of the capacitor formed between the Earth and the ionosphere.

As well as being strongly influenced by ionisation, the columnar resistance depends on aerosol concentration and cloud cover. Zhou and Tinsley (2010) used a global electric circuit model with an aerosol and cloud scheme to investigate the effect of such phenomena on the global circuit. Their findings show that aerosols can increase the global columnar resistance by up to 60–90%, and have their greatest effect in the continental boundary layer. In contrast to the large changes caused by aerosol, the effect of clouds on the global columnar resistance was at most 10%, primarily caused by low level clouds. Experimental evidence for a cloud effect on columnar resistance has been demonstrated by Nicoll and Harrison (2009a), using co-located measurements of $J_z$ and cloud cover from a site in Reading, U.K. $J_z$ was found to be 12% lower during days with thick overcast conditions compared to days with thin overcast cloud, suggesting an increased columnar resistance when thick cloud was present.

There is another important D.C. current generator in the global circuit; this is due to electrified rain/shower clouds (shown in Fig. 1) which generally bring negative charge to the Earth’s surface. The shower cloud contribution is believed to be a fraction (up to about a half) of that of thunderstorms. This topic has been discussed by Rycroft et al. (2007), and it is discussed further in Section 3.2 in relation to recent observations.

Recent investigations have applied the global circuit framework to monitoring earthquakes. Denisenko et al. (2008) used an exponential variation of the atmospheric conductivity in their theoretical calculation of electric fields up through the atmosphere and into the ionosphere where the conductivity is more appropriately represented by a tensor quantity. They then applied this theory to a hypothetical electric field variation in the vicinity of the epicentre of an earthquake. Ampferer et al. (2010) followed up that work, and showed that there would be no appreciable electric field signal from an earthquake at satellite altitudes. Harrison et al. (2010) and Pulinets and Ouzounov (2010) discussed two other possible mechanisms involving atmospheric electricity whereby earthquake-associated signals might affect
the ionosphere above the epicentre, the former through conduction current density changes.

2.2. Fluctuations and A.C. effects

The most important sources of A.C. electromagnetic waves within the atmospheric and magnetospheric global circuits, which couple the troposphere to the Earth’s plasma environment, are due to lightning. (However, the lowest frequency wave sources occurring in geospace are found in the magnetosphere; these Ultra Low Frequency (ULF, <3 Hz) waves are called magnetic pulsations.) The radio waves emitted by cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flashes, known as atmospherics, or “sferics” for short, are strong in the Extremely Low Frequency (ELF, 3 Hz–3 kHz), Very Low Frequency (VLF, 3–30 kHz) and Low Frequency (LF, 30–300 kHz) bands.

In the ELF band, Schumann resonances of the dielectric Earth-ionosphere cavity are excited at 8, 14, 20, 26 ... Hz (Rycroft, 1965). The Earth-ionosphere waveguide exhibits a cut-off at ~1.8 kHz, and at higher harmonics, which explains the distinctive frequency-time characteristics of night-time sferics, termed “tweeks” (Kumar et al., 2009). Some ELF/VLF electromagnetic energy from lightning enters the ionosphere and is guided by ducts of enhanced plasma density through the plasmasphere. It is dispersed as it propagates in the whistler-mode along geomagnetic field lines to the opposite hemisphere. These whistlers can be interpreted to derive information on the plasma density distribution in the magnetosphere (Lemaire et al., 1998). Plasma instabilities in the magnetosphere generate whistler-mode ELF/VLF emissions, such as chorus and hiss (Trakhtengerts and Rycroft, 2008). Inan et al. (2010) have provided a valuable review of lightning-generated phenomena and related ionospheric perturbations.

The enormous range of spatial scales involved in global circuit phenomena is illustrated in Fig. 3(a) (Rycroft and Harrison, 2011). Processes of interest, shown as vertical words at their scales and appropriate heights, include point discharge currents, corona, charging within thunderclouds and semi-fair weather clouds, and orographically induced processes. Fig. 3(b) shows a similar figure giving the temporal scales, different processes being shown as vertical words, and different physical phenomena are shown as dark horizontal words. In Fig. 3(b) the boundary between D.C. and A.C. phenomena is placed at ~200 s. This is the characteristic time constant of the global circuit, the product of its capacitance and its resistance.

2.3. Extremely energetic phenomena

Another possible source of perturbations to the global circuit may arise from processes occurring with upward moving electrons (Shao et al., 2010) above intra-cloud (IC) lightning discharges; Maggio et al. (2009) have estimated the charge transferred by IC and CG lightning flashes. A beam of electrons may be accelerated to high enough energies to create a terrestrial gamma ray (TGf) flash as upward bremsstrahlung radiation when the electrons collide with the nuclei of atmospheric atoms. This phenomenon is identified in Fig. 3(b); the topic is covered briefly in Section 3.1 of Rycroft and Harrison (2011). Smith et al. (2011) consider that such a TGf event is rare, occurring for only one IC discharge in a hundred or a thousand, whereas Ostgaard (2011) considers that they occur for each and every IC discharge. Carlson et al. (2010) have discussed the production of a burst of neutrons by a TGf.

Tsuchiya et al. (2007) have presented observations made on the ground of gamma ray bursts which indicate that electrons can be accelerated beyond 10 MeV prior to CG discharges.

Roussel-Dupre et al. (2008) have reviewed both the conventional electrical breakdown of a gas and runaway breakdown which “involves an avalanche of electrons that are collimated by the applied (electric) field to form an electron beam”. “One of the unique signatures of runaway breakdown is the strong gamma ray flux produced by the beam interaction with the gas”. Milikh and Roussel-Dupre (2010) have reviewed the role of runaway breakdown in the initiation and development of lightning discharges. Gurevich et al. (2002) and Fullekrg et al. (2011) have discussed the generation of LF radiation by energetic electrons above thunderclouds accelerated by runaway processes, which transport about ~10 mC upwards.

2.4. Effects at different latitudes

Fig. 4 summarises the different latitude zones involved in electrical phenomena in the atmosphere and near-Earth space environment. Plotted as dots in Fig. 4 are the fractions of the Earth’s area calculated from the equator up to the latitudes shown; these are points on the first quarter of one period of a
sine curve. Thus thunderstorms in the tropics and out to 30° of latitude (diamond shaped point) occur over half the Earth’s surface (not that sin 30° = 0.5). Within this region is the region out to 11° geomagnetic latitude, considered by Kartalev et al. (2004, 2006) and Rycroft et al. (2005) who discussed upward currents from thunderstorms at the magnetic equator, and their effect on the ionosphere below the F-region peak ($L \sim 1.04$). Kobea et al. (1998) discussed the equatorial electrojet as a part of the global magnetospheric electric circuit. It is important to note that, over South America, the magnetic equator lies between 2 and 12° south of the geographic equator whereas, for Africa and South East Asia (see next Section), the geomagnetic equator lies to the north of the geographic equator.

Inputs to the atmosphere from the magnetosphere occur due to the precipitation of outer Van Allen radiation belt electrons (Baker et al., 1994) at $L > 3$ to beyond the plasmapause, which lies at $L \sim 4$ (Lemaire et al., 1998), and even to the auroral zone at $L \sim 7$ (Meng et al., 1991); here $L$ is the Mcllwain (1961) parameter which specifies a particular geometric field line (or flux tube). In addition to that caused by the precipitation of Van Allen radiation belt electrons, ionisation is created by very energetic charged particles from the Sun within the auroral oval, i.e. inside the polar cap, at $L > 7$ (Meng et al., 1991). The entire region polewards of $L \sim 3$ covers only 20% of the Earth’s surface area.

3. Lightning

3.1. Geographical distribution

The long term spatial distribution of lightning has been well studied from space (Christian et al., 2003). There are three major centres of activity, over South East Asia (sometimes termed the maritime continent), Africa, and South America. Thunderstorm activity peaks at \( \sim 1500 \) local time each day, i.e. at \( \sim 07, 14 \) and 20 Universal Time. This is the explanation for the so-called Carnegie curve, present in atmospheric electrical data measured at clear air sites, which shows the variation of thunderstorm activity with Universal Time (see, e.g., MacGorman and Rust, 1998, Figure 1.10). The number of lightning flashes over land, especially over Africa, is typically a hundred times greater than over the tropical oceans. The number of lightning flashes of all types (cloud-to-ground, CG, return strokes, RS, and intra-cloud, IC, flashes) is \( \sim 44 \) s\(^{-1}\), as a global average value (Christian et al., 2003). Mareev et al. (2008) have considered the role of CG and IC discharges as drivers of transient currents in the global circuit.

3.2. Thunderstorms relative to other generators in the global circuit

Liu et al. (2010) have used data from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) to study the geographical distribution of rainfall from thunderstorms, from electrified rain/shower clouds, and from non-electrified rain/shower clouds. They found that thunderstorms contribute most to the rainfall over the land, whereas non-electrified rainfall dominates over the oceans. The UT variation of rainfall over land shows an excellent agreement with the Carnegie curve. Most electrified rain/shower clouds occur over the maritime continent, followed by the Americas and, lastly, over Africa. Liu et al. (2010) conclude that “it seems unlikely that the contribution of the electrified shower clouds to the global circuit will be either completely dominant or entirely negligible in comparison with the thunderstorm contribution”.

Considerable investigation into global circuit generators has been made in a series of papers by Mach et al. during recent years. Mach et al. (2009) studied observations made during 850 flights over electrified clouds and thunderstorms. They found that the mean value of the upward current above a storm was 0.8 A, with the median being 0.27 A. Mach et al. (2010) determined the mean total upward conduction (Wilson) current above storms over land and producing lightning was 1.0 A and, over oceans, 1.6 A. For storms without lightning they found corresponding values of 0.13 A and 0.39 A. They also noted that a storm over land had a lightning flash rate of 2.2 per minute, but only 0.8 per minute over the oceans.

Combining these data sets with optical lightning counts made from space (see Christian et al., 2003; Rycroft and Harrison, 2011). Mach et al. (2011) concluded that the mean contributions to the global electric circuit from land and ocean thunderstorms are 1.1 kA and 0.7 kA, respectively. The contributions to the global circuit from electrified rain/shower clouds are 0.04 kA and 0.22 kA for land and ocean storms, respectively. The mean total conduction current is 2.0 kA. This means that, of the storms contributing to the global circuit, 1100 are land storms with lightning, 390 are ocean storms with lightning, 330 are land storms without lightning, and 530 are ocean storms without lightning (Mach et al., 2011). These new results should improve the development of global circuit models such as those of Rycroft et al. (2007) and Odzimek et al. (2010) considerably.

3.3. Positive cloud-to-ground strokes

Williams et al. (2006) have presented diagrams illustrating the paths of different types of lightning. Their Fig. 2(b) shows a positive cloud-to-ground (+CG) discharge from the top of a thundercloud to ground, whereas their Fig. 2(c) depicts a discharge from the bottom of a horizontally extended thundercloud. This latter situation relates to the later stages of Mesoscale Convective Systems (MCSs) which are very effective in generating sprites above them. (Their Fig. 2(d) shows an intra-cloud discharge up to the positive charge at the top of a thundercloud, which could generate a beam of energetic electrons that could lead to an upward gamma-ray burst, as mentioned in Section 2.3.)

Because positive cloud-to-ground lightning flashes have stronger and longer-lived continuing currents following the impulsive currents of the return strokes, they are much more important for the global circuit than the many times more numerous negative
cloud-to-ground flashes (Rycroft et al., 2007; Rycroft and Odzimek, 2009 (their Fig. 3), 2010). Saba et al. (2010) have published a diagram (their Fig. 6) showing the duration of the continuing currents (CC) for 104 CG discharges carrying positive charge to ground (+ CG discharges). They found that the median duration was almost 100 ms (0.1 s). They also showed that + CGs are much less frequent than − CGs, but that their peak return stroke current \( I_p \) is much larger, often > 50 kA.

Rycroft et al. (2007) investigated the effects of both negative and positive lightning on the global circuit using their PSpice model. They found that CGs contributed only 7% to maintaining the ionospheric potential, and that + CGs with continuing currents lasting 90 ms decreased it by ~5%. Thus, overall, lightning contributes only ~2% to maintaining the ionospheric potential. In relation to discussions since Wormell (1930, 1953) published his “electrical balance sheet” at the Earth’s surface, this is an important result.

3.4. Sprites

Another result derived from the Rycroft et al. (2007) model is that, 1 ms after a large (peak current \( I_p = \) 50 kA, Rakov and Uman, 2003) + CG discharge, a column sprite is created by electrical breakdown at 80 km altitude. This is shown as the starred region in Fig. 5(a), where the continuing current is 0.2 kA. In Fig. 5(b), the continuing current is 0.5 kA which enables positive streamers to progress downwards; this is shown by the region of diamond shapes going down to 42 km. Regions where negative streamers (see Luque and Ebert, 2010) can propagate upwards are shown by the region with crosses. Fig. 5(c), with a continuing current of 2 kA, shows electrical breakdown as the starred region between 10 and 90 ms after the causative lightning discharge. Positive streamers progress downwards for the duration of the continuing currents, which is taken to be 90 ms. For a 2 kA CC, electrical breakdown occurs 10 ms after the lightning return stroke, from 67 km down to 55 km, which reaches in about 100 ms (Rycroft and Odzimek, 2010). This simulation represents a carrot sprite; it occurs when the charge moment change of the lightning discharge exceeds the threshold value of 350 C km.

Rycroft and Odzimek (2009, 2010) showed that the effect of a single sprite on the ionospheric potential \( V_i \) was to decrease it by only ~1 V. Such a small change is unlikely to have any significant effect on the global circuit. As A.C. sources in the global circuit, Pasko et al. (1998), Rycroft and Odzimek (2010) and Li and Cummer (2011) have considered the ELF radiation produced by currents flowing in sprites. Pasko et al. (1998) stated that a sprite produces a comparable amount of ELF radiation to that radiated by the causative lightning discharge. Li and Cummer (2011) discussed streamer processes in sprites; further, they estimated the space charge within a sprite to be of the order of mC.

3.5. Schumann resonances

Radiation from lightning—and sprites—at ~8 Hz, where the wavelength is comparable with the circumference of the Earth, excites Schumann resonances of the Earth-ionosphere cavity. Recent investigations by Surkov and Hayakawa (2010) have shown that + CG lightning activity makes a significant contribution to power spectral density of Schumann resonances, despite their infrequent occurrence rate compared with − CG discharges (Christian et al., 2003; Rycroft et al., 2007).

Further recent research into Schumann resonances has been made by Nickolaenko et al. (2011) who have separated Universal Time and local time variations of the intensity of Schumann resonances observed at three widely separated stations around the world, and also investigated seasonal variations. Whitley et al. (2011) have reported a new global network of four stations with excellent timing accuracy. They have studied the excitation of Schumann resonances by a distant + CG discharge having a large CC and also by the sprite which it caused.

4. Effect of the space environment on the global electric circuit

4.1. Solar cycle variations

The flux of GCRs entering the Earth’s atmosphere is essential to the global electric circuit, as this is the main source of ionisation above the surface. Fig. 6(a) shows a time series of GCR flux from

---

**Fig. 5.** Diagram showing the temporal evolution of regions of electrical breakdown, which occurs within the starred areas, above and at different times after a lightning return stroke (RS) of 50 kA. From the ionosphere at 80 km down to 68 km, this indicates a column (columniform) sprite up to 1 ms after the lightning. Downwards propagating positive streamers can be sustained within the area formed by diamonds, and upward propagating negative streamers within the area formed by crosses; (a) is for a continuing current (CC) lasting 90 ms, of only 0.2 kA, (b) for a CC of 0.5 kA, and (c) for a CC of 2 kA. Only for the latter large CC does an electrical discharge occur, from 66 km down to 57 km, from 10 to 60 ms after the lightning onset; this represents a carrot sprite.
1955 to the present day, at altitudes between 25 and 30 km, obtained from balloon flights made by the Lebedev Institute, Moscow, Russia (Stozhkov et al., 2009). Different lines represent separate measurement locations, at geomagnetic latitudes of 33° (cut off rigidity \( R_c = 6.7 \text{ GV} \)), 51° (2.4 \text{ GV}) and 64° (0.5 \text{ GV}) and (b) the neutron monitor count rate from Oulu, Finland (\( R_c = 0.8 \text{ GV} \)), available from http://cosmicrays.oulu.fi/, during the same time period as (a). The similarity between (b) and the lowest rigidity data presented in (a), as the 11 year solar cycle waxes and wanes, is extremely clear.

The multiple lines represent different geomagnetic latitudes (described in terms of the cut off rigidity, \( R_c \), which is related to the energy of the incoming GCR), from which it is clear that penetration of cosmic rays into the atmosphere depends strongly on location (due to the shielding effect of the geomagnetic field). Also evident from Fig. 6(a) and (b), which shows the neutron count rate measured at Oulu, Finland, indicating the flux of cosmic rays of \( > 0.8 \text{ GV} \), is the 11 year solar cycle, which is much more pronounced for low \( R_c \) (high geomagnetic latitudes) than high ones. In the troposphere the GCR flux at high latitudes is about 20% smaller during solar maximum conditions than solar minimum. The latter data in Fig. 6(a) and (b) demonstrate the extent of the recent deep solar minimum (from 2005 to 2010), when the highest GCR flux for more than 50 years occurred.

The clear dependency of GCR ionisation on solar activity means that the global electric circuit is modulated over the 11 year solar cycle. The ionospheric potential and neutron counter measurements of cosmic rays have been observed to be positively correlated. This result derives from observations made during a period of intense ionospheric potential \( (V_I) \) soundings carried out in the late 1960s and early 1970s (Mühleisen, 1977; Markson and Muir, 1980; Markson 1981). Fig. 7 compares measurements of atmospheric electrical parameters with neutron counter measurements made from Climax, Colorado. Fig. 7(a) shows an upward trend of \( \sim 15\% \) in \( V_I \) for a 10% increase in neutron count rate. Any related variation is much less apparent in surface atmospheric electricity measurements, due to variations in the columnar resistance from air mass changes, near-surface air pollution and weather. Fig. 7(b) shows the scatter present in the daily conduction current density (Wilson) measurements made at Kew (shown as grey points), compared with the Climax neutron counter data; little variation is seen with the neutron counter rate. Investigations into the smoke pollution effects at the Kew Observatory site (Harrison, 2005) have indicated that, in periods of cleaner air, the columnar resistance shows less variability, and hence global circuit effects may be less obscured. One measure of the air’s cleanliness is the air conductivity, which was also measured at this site. Extrapolation of air conductivity against smoke concentration measurements (Harrison, 2006, his Fig. 2) indicates that relatively smoke free air is associated with air conductivity of 10 fSm\(^{-1}\) or greater. Few daily measurements have air conductivity greater than 5 fSm\(^{-1}\) in this data set, as it is a polluted site (see Fig. 8 of Rycroft et al., 2008). However, selecting the upper 2% of conductivity data (38 values, median day of year in the selected data 166, with inter-quartile range 85, shown as black points in Fig. 7(b)) does reveal an upward trend with neutron count rate (\( \sim 14\% \) for a 10% change in the neutron count rate.)
Fig. 7(b) hints at a solar modulation of \( J_z \) as measured from Kew, London. Similar results have been found by Markson and Muir (1980), who reported a 30% solar cycle variation in \( J_z \) in phase with the GCR variation, whilst Harrison and Usoskin (2010) found surface measurements of \( J_z \) measured at Lerwick, Shetland, to be \( \sim 17\% \) less during solar maxima. Since \( J_z \) flows vertically from the ionosphere to the Earth’s surface, this current flow provides a method of coupling between the upper and lower atmosphere. Recent work shows \( J_z \) flows through clouds (Nicol and Harrison, 2009a) which may affect the clouds as it does so, therefore suggesting a possible mechanism whereby solar activity can modulate low level cloud cover (e.g., Tinsley, 2000) (see Section 5.3 for more detail).

4.2. Short term solar variations

As well as modulation of the global electric circuit on an 11 year cycle, short term variations in the output of the Sun have also been observed to affect the global electric circuit, mainly from solar flares and solar magnetic sector boundary crossings. From measurements made at Mauna Loa in Hawaii, Cobb (1967) observed an increase in PG and \( J_z \) by 10% during periods of solar flares, compared to “quiet Sun” days. During a period of multiple solar flares, \( J_z \) increased by 75% of its normal value, for a period of 6 h. Similar results were found by Reiter (1989), who observed an increase in \( J_z \) of about 20% following large solar flares. In addition to surface measurements, observations of atmospheric electrical responses to Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) events in the stratosphere from balloon platforms have been made by Holzworth and Mozer (1979), Holzworth et al. (1987) and Kokorowski et al. (2006). Although evidence of a solar influence on atmospheric electrical parameters exists, the solar-electrical coupling mechanisms are not well understood. For example, solar flares often produce an influx of SEPs into the Earth’s atmosphere, whilst at the same time perturbing the geomagnetic field so that the GCR flux is reduced (an event known as a Forbush Decrease). Since both SEPs and GCRs produce ionisation, it is often difficult to determine the precise cause of the atmospheric electrical changes. More measurements of atmospheric electrical responses to short term solar perturbations are therefore required, as well as measurements over a wide latitudinal range to determine how the global electric circuit changes globally.

5. Observations of atmospheric effects related to the global electric circuit

5.1. Atmospheric ions

The electrical conductivity of the atmosphere is due to the presence of bipolar ions between the surface and the ionosphere. Close to the continental surface, ion production is dominated by natural radioactivity emitted from the ground. The ion production rate from GCRs increases with height, with a maximum at altitudes of \( \sim 15 \) to 20 km. Due to their charge, GCRs are deflected by the geomagnetic field. Therefore the ionisation rate depends on the magnetic latitude; it is greatest at high magnetic latitudes. Ionisation occurs when an electron is separated from a molecule of oxygen or nitrogen, leaving the molecule positively charged, e.g., \( \text{N}_2^+ \). The electron is then quickly captured by neutral electrophilic molecules, creating a negative ion, e.g., \( \text{O}_2^- \). The primary ions stabilise through clustering with other polar molecules such as ammonia, water, and organics, and are then known as cluster ions (Harrison and Carslaw, 2003).

Attachment of these cluster ions to aerosol particles and water droplets charges them. There is evidence that the presence of electric charge on aerosol particles and cloud droplets can affect their behaviour, particularly for droplet–particle, particle–particle and droplet–droplet collisions. Additionally, the ions themselves may absorb infra-red radiation via bending and stretching of their hydrogen bonds, potentially altering the Earth’s energy balance. The radiative effect of ions will be discussed in Section 5.2, and charge effects on clouds in Section 5.3.

5.2. Radiative effects of atmospheric ions

Atmospheric ions are clusters of core positive ions or electrons attached to electrophilic molecules, with polar ligands hydrogen bonded to the core ion. There are numerous possible ligands, represented here for simplicity as \( X \) and \( Y \) with typical species \( \text{O}_2^-(X)_n \) or \( \text{H}_2\text{O}^+(Y)_m \), where \( n < 10 \) (e.g., Harrison and Tammet, 2008). The hydrogen bonds in the clusters absorb infra-red radiation, the physical basis by which neutral species like the water dimer \( (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \) are thought to contribute to atmospheric radiative transfer (e.g., Ptashnik, 2008). Infra-red absorption of molecular ions is already well-established in astrophysics (e.g., Thaddeus et al., 2008), but so far only one ion \( (\text{NO}^+) \) is included in the HITRAN database of radiatively active species in the terrestrial atmosphere (e.g., Rahman et al., 2009). However, as infra-red absorption from the gas phase protonated water dimer \( \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \), a common species of atmospheric ion, has been both predicted and observed in the laboratory, a small radiative effect from atmospheric cluster ions is also expected (Klemperer and Vaida, 2006).

Quantitative prediction of the infra-red absorption from other atmospheric cluster ions is limited computationally by the large number of isomers of each species (Likholyot et al., 2007), and the wide, poorly understood, and highly variable mixture of cluster ion species (Harrison and Tammet, 2008). An order of magnitude estimate of the potential radiative effect of atmospheric ions can be obtained by considering their integrated concentration in an atmospheric column compared to the water vapour dimer. Fig. 8 shows calculated abundance profiles of atmospheric ions and water dimers. The columnar concentration of the water vapour dimer is six orders of magnitude greater than for cluster ions, so
the absorption from atmospheric ions will be much lower than the 3 W m$^{-2}$ expected from the dimer (Ptashnik, 2008). Hence, the absorption of cluster ions is likely to be comparable to the $\mu$ W m$^{-2}$ to m W m$^{-2}$ estimated for some neutral atmospheric complexes (Kjaergaard et al., 2003), which requires sensitive instrumentation for detection.

Laboratory spectroscopy measurements of cluster ion absorption were carried out by Carlon (1982) and Aplin and McPheat (2005). Carlon (1982) identified absorption in the 8–12 $\mu$m continuum region (near the peak of the terrestrial black body radiation spectrum) in humid, ion-rich air. Aplin and McPheat (2005) generated a mixture of ions, with similar electrical mobility to those found naturally in the atmosphere, with a corona source at room temperature and 20% humidity. Infra-red absorption from the enhanced ion concentrations ($10^{12}$ m$^{-3}$) was 1–3% in two broad bands centred on 9.15 and 12.3 $\mu$m. The enhanced ion concentrations represent a column integrated concentration of $10^{19}$ m$^{-2}$, less than the total atmospheric columnar ion concentration of $10^{18}$ m$^{-2}$. These experiments also suggest that detection of an integrated absorption effect from a column of atmospheric cluster-ions is possible.

Infra-red absorption in atmospheric air was measured using a bespoke filter radiometer tuned to the ion absorption band centred on 9.15 $\mu$m (Aplin and McPheat, 2008) at Reading University Atmospheric Observatory, U.K., a well-instrumented meteorological and atmospheric electrical station. In the experiment, described in more detail by Aplin (2008), bipolar ion concentrations $n$ were calculated from 5 min averages of 1 s samples of the atmospheric Potential Gradient $F$ and conduction current $J_2$ using

$$n = \frac{F}{e \tau J_2},$$

where $e$ is the elementary charge, and $\mu$ the mean ion mobility, 1.5 cm$^{-2}$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at the same site (Harrison and Aplin, 2007).

The filter radiometer voltage signal, $V$, was conditioned with a low-noise amplifier (Harrison and Knight, 2006) with gain $G$ of 500, and the instrument’s body temperature $T_b$ was measured with a platinum resistance thermometer. Another instrument, $1$ adjacent to the filter radiometer, was used to measure the broadband downwelling longwave radiation, from which the black body atmospheric brightness temperature $T_B$ was determined. Absorption in the filter radiometer’s passband $L_i$ is given by

$$L_i = \sigma \frac{\tau^2}{G} \left\{ \frac{G}{k T_B} \left[ e^{-\left( T_B^4 - T_i^4 \right)} \right] \right\}$$

where $\sigma$ is Stefan’s constant, $\tau$ is the radiometer’s transmissivity (5.8%), and $k$ is the radiometer sensitivity (29.3 $\mu$V W$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$). The approach of referencing $L_i$ to the atmospheric brightness temperature means that a positive signal indicates emission in the sensitive wavelength range above the radiometer, whereas negative response indicates absorption with respect to the black body background.

The filter radiometer’s response to ion concentrations was explored under nocturnal, quiescent periods to avoid contributions from solar heating and aerosol lofting. To minimise the effect of any neutral water vapour absorption in the passband, saturated (foggy) and clear sky, constant humidity and temperature data were selected for comparison, see Fig. 9. In both circumstances, a response of $\sim$100 $\mu$W m$^{-2}$ per ion was seen, indicating that the total absorption of radiation in the 9.15 $\mu$m

![Filter radiometer response to atmospheric ion concentrations on two days in 2007, for 5 min averages during clear conditions on day 112 (from 01 to 04 UT on 22nd April 2007) and foggy conditions on day 309 (from 00 to 06 UT on 5th November 2007). A linear response is assumed, and for day 112 it is 140 ± 50 $\mu$W m$^{-2}$ per ion.](image)

**5.3. Cloud effects**

Stratiform cloud edges are expected to become charged due to vertical ion current flow, $J_2$, from the global atmospheric electric circuit (Zhou and Tinsley, 2007). A layer of cloud creates a vertical gradient in the electric conductivity of the air, from high conductivity clear air to low conductivity air inside the cloud, due to ion-droplet attachment. To maintain a constant value of $J_2$, and satisfy Ohm’s law, the electric field, $E$, inside the cloud must increase from its clear air value. This creates a vertical gradient in the electric field at the upper and lower edges of the cloud, which, according to Gauss’ law of electrostatics, is associated with space charge, $\rho$, in these regions.

The generation of space charge on cloud edges is dependent upon the flow of $J_2$ through a cloud layer, which is most likely to occur for clouds of large horizontal extent (e.g., layer clouds). This has been confirmed experimentally by Nicoll and Harrison (2009a), using co-located surface measurements of cloud and $J_2$ at a U.K. site. Confirmation of the existence of charge on stratiform cloud edges aloft has also been shown from recent balloon-borne measurements of atmospheric electric parameters, using an inexpensive, disposable charge sensor (Nicoll and Harrison, 2009b). Fig. 10 shows vertical profiles from the ascent—(a) and (b); and descent—(c) and (d), of the balloon-borne charge sensor through a long lived layer of stratuscumulus cloud, over Reading, U.K. The charge sensor was flown alongside a standard meteorological radiosonde, measuring pressure, temperature, relative humidity (RH) and GPS position. From Fig. 10(b) and (d), it is evident that a layer of space charge (of magnitude $\sim$100 pC m$^{-3}$) existed at the top of the cloud layer (shown by the temperature inversion and change in RH at 2.8 km altitude), on both the ascent and descent stages. From the GPS
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position data, it is known that these profiles were obtained 65 km apart, due to horizontal movement of the balloon, suggesting that the cloud edge electrification was occurring over a wide region.

On a different occasion, balloon measurements through a stratocumulus cloud layer, which were made at the same time as an instrumented aircraft flight, showed a clear layer of space charge at the cloud base (Nicoll and Harrison, 2010). Cloud droplet measurements from the aircraft demonstrated that charge was present only in the region where the cloud droplet number concentration (and thus the conductivity) was changing. The theoretical space charge expected from Gauss' law was shown to be in good agreement with the measured space charge, again supporting a cloud edge charging mechanism from Jz flow through clouds.

5.4. Charge and cloud microphysics

Generation of space charge on cloud edges leads to the transfer of charge to cloud droplets and aerosol particles in these regions, which can influence several cloud microphysical processes. Charge influences particle–particle collisions, such as the collection of particles and droplets by other droplets, and inhibits evaporation from droplets. These microphysical mechanisms could link layer cloud edge charging with the cloud properties, as illustrated in Fig. 11. In the first type of cloud microphysical charge effects, affecting the phase of the droplets, charged particles were suggested to have their collision efficiencies2 with liquid droplets electrically-enhanced (shown in Fig. 11(a)), known as electro-scavenging (Tinsley, 2000). This proposal was based on theory and laboratory experiments showing that particle charging considerably enhances the collection of aerosol by water droplets (Wang et al., 1978; Tripathi, 2000; Tinsley et al., 2001). Such effects have been parameterised for use in cloud models by Tripathi et al. (2006).

In the specific case of super-cooled water clouds, electro-scavenging could increase freezing by enhancing the rate of contact nucleation (Harrison, 2000; Tinsley et al., 2000; Tripathi and Harrison, 2002), with an associated change in the amount or location of latent heat release as freezing occurs. The second set of cloud charge effects concerns increasing droplet size (or number), either through enhancing droplet formation, or through an increase in droplet–droplet coalescence. These processes are not restricted to super-cooled clouds. Electro-activation (shown in
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2 Collision efficiency quantifies how readily a collision occurs between drops (or particles) flowing around other drops.
can facilitate droplet formation by reducing the vapour pressure inhibiting evaporation and lowering the super-saturation at which the droplet will grow rather than evaporate (Harrison and Ambaum, 2008), but substantial charging is required. Droplet–droplet collision efficiency can also be considerably enhanced by charging (Khain et al., 2004), leading to droplet growth by charged coalescence (shown in Fig. 11(c)).

Finally, Fig. 12(c) shows the collision efficiency between two small charged droplets, as shown schematically in Fig. 11(c). This is calculated by the method of superposition (Klimin et al., 1994), through establishing the flow field around the larger droplet and allowing collision through the electric image force (e.g., Khain et al., 2004). Depending on the relative polarity of the droplets, the collision efficiency can be enhanced or inhibited. This effect depends strongly on the sizes of the droplets considered, and diminishes as the droplets become large.

In conclusion, these considerations demonstrate that

(i) electrical effects on water droplet activation require the special circumstances of substantial charges and pure droplets,
(ii) the collection of charged particles by droplets can be affected by only modest charges on small particles, and
(iii) droplet–droplet collisions appear particularly sensitive to charging, and especially so for interacting small droplets.

Despite these theoretical expectations, because these processes are only considered in isolation from the many others which also occur in the dynamic circumstances of real clouds, the net quantitative consequences of charge effects on cloud microphysical processes and also on cloud macrophysical properties are not known. More research is required in this realm, particularly for small droplet charges and electric fields typical of fair weather, rather than thunderstorm, conditions.
5.5. Evidence of charge effects on clouds

As demonstrated in Section 4, the GCR flux and therefore the global electric circuit and $J_z$, are modulated by solar processes, both on an 11 year timescale, and shorter periods. It follows that cloud edge charging, due to the flow of $J_z$, may also be influenced by solar processes.

Focusing on short term solar variations, Harrison and Ambaum (2008) detected coincident variations in $J_z$ and downward long-wave radiation underneath a stratocumulus layer during a solar flare event. The long wave radiation was found to reduce by 0.3 W m$^{-2}$ during the event, which was suggested to be due to a change in the height of the cloud base, caused by a change in the cloud droplet charge as a result of the changes in $J_z$. Changes in cloud properties during large Forbush events were also reported in Harrison and Ambaum (2010), using surface based observations of cloud cover from Lerwick, Shetland, derived using the diffuse fraction (the ratio of diffuse solar radiation to global solar radiation) (Harrison et al., 2008). For 10–12% reductions in the neutron count rate (from Climax, Colorado) it was found that broken cloud cover became at least 10% more frequent within one day of a Forbush decrease event. This is consistent with the time scales (a few hours—see Zhou and Tinsley, 2007) expected for a $J_z$ effect on cloud microphysical processes to take effect.

6. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have discussed many ways in which phenomena occurring near the Earth’s surface are coupled to the ionosphere and the near-Earth space environment, and vice versa.

Areas of research in this field requiring further study include

(i) experimental investigations of the roles of thunderstorms and electrified rain/shower clouds, in different geographical regions, as current sources (generators) for the global atmospheric electric circuit, and also their effects on the ionosphere,
(ii) modelling and experimental investigations of infra-red absorption by cluster ions, and their radiative effects, both in the laboratory and in the real atmosphere,
(iii) modelling and experimental studies of the effects of atmospheric electricity on low level clouds and their microphysical processes, especially the evolution of electrical charges on water droplets in clouds, in the semi-fair weather part of the global circuit,
(iv) the incorporation of cosmic ray processes, solar wind and magnetospheric inputs, stratospheric aerosol processes and cloud cover of different types into improved models of the global circuit,
(v) the investigation of the energy densities of the different processes involved (see Maggio et al., 2009),
(vi) further studies of different influences on the global circuit over the land and over the oceans,
(vii) the continuing search for signatures in the vertical electric field and the current density observed in the atmosphere and at the Earth’s surface in the fair and semi-fair weather regions of (a) solar flares, (b) Forbush decreases, (c) solar proton events, (d) coronal mass ejections (CMEs) and other space weather effects, (e) auroral activity and (f) gigantic jets (as discussed briefly, but with more references, in Rycroft and Harrison (2011)),
(viii) the effects of gigantic jets (see Chou et al., 2010) on the global circuit—they short circuit the ~5% of the atmosphere from the cloud top to the ionosphere above the thunderstorm which generates them, and
(ix) ELF radiation of lightning and sprites, and particularly Schumann resonances of the Earth-ionosphere cavity.

An exciting future is guaranteed for studies of these electrodynamic and electromagnetic aspects of coupling from the Earth’s surface up to the ionosphere, and also downwards, in the frame of Task Group 4 of the international CAWSES-II programme.
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