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ABSTRACT

Modelling (natural) quartz luminescence (TL/OSL) phenomena appears to be quite common nowadays. The corresponding simulations are capable of giving valuable insights into the charge transport system. By contrast, simulating radiofluorescence (RF) of quartz has rather been neglected in the past. Here we present and discuss (1) the RF signals of natural quartz measured in the UV band and (2) simulations of these experiments executed using a three-energy-level model to explain the experimentally obtained results.

Two natural quartz samples were investigated at room temperature (RT) following different preheat procedures: (a) consecutively increasing preheat temperatures from 50 °C to 700 °C and (b) repeating a 500 °C preheat with subsequent UV-RF measurement at RT for eleven times. Based on the measurement and modelling results, we finally confirm theoretically the dependency of the UV-RF signal of quartz on the burial dose.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Numerical simulations pave the way for a better understanding of luminescence phenomena, such as thermally stimulated and optically stimulated luminescence (TL, OSL) of various dosimeters (e.g., [1–8]). By contrast, simulating radioluminescence / radiofluorescence (henceforth radiofluorescence: RF) of natural quartz appears to have been neglected in the past.

RF is the luminescence emitted during exposure to ionizing radiation and for quartz believed to result from direct recombination of electrons with holes captured in recombination centres [9, cf. for a review]. While quartz RF spectra are reported in the literature (e.g., [7,10–13]) simulation studies for a specific emission wavelength are missing so far. One recent study on simulating RF was published by Pagonis et al. [14], but it is limited to Al2O3:C.

While the comprehensive quartz model developed by Bailey [15] is capable of successfully simulating common TL and OSL luminescence phenomena (such as dose response, dose quenching, phototransfer, thermal activation) for the UV band, it fails for simulating experimentally obtained quartz RF signals.

The results obtained by Bailey [15, Section 3.4.4.] suggest that the shape of the simulated RF is correlated to the population of the so-called reservoir centres. In more recent publications a link between the pre-dose effect [16] and the RF behaviour is mentioned [13,17]. The successful simulation of pre-dose effects on TL signals was published by, e.g., Adamiec [18], Pagonis and Carty [19], Itoh et al. [20] but not the effect of different preheat treatments on the RF signal.

This study is separated into two parts. The first part presents experimental results obtained by measuring quartz RF in the UV band (UV-RF) for different preheat temperatures as well as repeated cycles of heating and subsequent UV-RF measurement for a preheat temperature of 500 °C for two natural quartz samples. In the second part the empirical results are complemented by numerical simulations, i.e., three parameters from the original model [15] are adapted and modified to reproduce the signal dynamics seen in the experiments. To allow an understanding of the charge transport during heating and UV-RF, a simplified one-trap-two-centres model was developed.

Our numerical simulations demonstrate the potential of quartz UV-RF as a method of retrospective dosimetry, which so far has been almost neglected. While the study by Marazuev et al. [21] appears to successfully demonstrate its general applicability, an elaborated explanation to understand the physical background of the obtained results is still missing.

To the best of our knowledge, the RF signal dynamics in the UV and the burial dose estimation for natural quartz samples using RF
signals have not been simulated and presented in the literature before.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Quartz samples

Two natural quartz samples were chosen for the measurements: (1) the quartz fraction of sample BT586 was extracted from a colluvial sample originating from the Trebgast valley in the north-west of Bayreuth (Germany) [22]. For this sample a paleodose of ~24 Gy was obtained. (2) a second quartz sample (BT1195) was extracted from the quartz ridge ‘Pfahl’ (Bavarian Forest, Germany), which is one of the largest hydrothermal quartz veins in Germany. This sample was extracted under daylight conditions and subsequently gently crushed with a steel mortar with frequent sieving in between. Subsequent chemical treatments followed routine preparation procedures for luminescence dating samples (e.g. [23]). These are: HCl (30 %), H2O2 (30 %), density separation using sodium-polytungstate, HF (40 % for 60 min). In contrast to BT586 the sample BT1195 was bleached in a home made solar simulator (2 h with an Osram Duluxstar lamp). For both samples the used grain size fraction is 90–200 μm. Two different pretreatments (natural and bleached) were used to investigate differences in the RF behaviour concerning these pretreatments.

2.2. Measurement conditions

RF measurements were carried out on a Freiberg Instruments lexysg research reader [24] at the luminescence laboratory in Bayreuth. The reader is equipped with a 90Sr/90Y β-source (~3.6 Gy min⁻¹), calibrated for coarse grain quartz on stainless steel cups. The β-source is specifically designed for RF measurements [25]. Luminescence was detected through a Chroma BP 365/5Ks. The channel time for the RF measurements was set to 1 s. The experimental data presented in this study are the arithmetic mean of two aliquots for each measurement. Reproducibility of RF signals using different aliquots was better than 5%.

Further details on the UV-RF experiments are given in the text below.

2.3. Data analysis

Data analyses were carried out using the statistical programming environment R [26] and the R package ‘Luminescence’ [27,28]. For simulating the UV-RF signals the R package ‘RlumModel’ [29,30] was used. The code for the simulations presented here can be found in the supplementary material. Simulation results were cross-checked with Mathematica™ and MATLAB™.

3. Quartz UV-RF measurements

3.1. Preheat experiments

Martini et al. [13,31] reported that samples annealed at temperatures between 400 °C and 600 °C are showing an enhancement in the UV-RF intensity. To determine and better understand the correlation between preheat temperature and UV-RF signal intensity, UV-RF measurements were carried out for 10,000 s at room temperature (~20 °C) after preheating the samples to temperatures ranging from 50 °C to 700 °C using increments of 50 °C. The total absorbed dose after 10,000 s was ~600 Gy.

We expected a successive increase of the initial RF signal, triggered by the pre-dose effect, as described in Zimmerman [16] and Marazuev et al. [21]. A study by Krbetschek and Trautmann [32] showed that high temperature annealing of quartz up to 700 °C can lead to a UV-RF signal characterized by an exponential increase followed by a linear decrease. This behaviour was not observed in any of the studies by Martini et al. [31], although they used even higher temperatures (than reported by Krbetschek and Trautmann [32], up to 1100 °C). In these studies no exponential increase at the beginning of the measurement was observed, just a decrease of the UV-RF signal directly after starting the measurement.

Fig. 1 shows the UV-RF signals for sample BT586 after different preheat temperatures normalized to the final data point. For preheat temperatures from 50 °C to 350 °C no substantial differences within the signal shapes are visible and for the sake of clarity only the UV-RF curve for 50 °C is shown. The changes in these temperature intervals are limited to a small decrease of the UV-RF signal in the first seconds followed by a stable signal until the end of the measurements.

In the range from 400 °C to 550 °C an increase by a factor of ~1.2 (400 °C) to ~2.6 (550 °C) of the initial UV-RF signal was observed. From 600 °C to 700 °C the signal dynamics decreased by a factor of 2 (600 °C) down to 1 (700 °C).

For the RF signal at 700 °C the maximum signal intensity is not observed at the very beginning of the measurements, but the signal builds up in the first channels (up to 3,000 s) and then decreases. A similar behaviour was described by Krbetschek and Trautmann [32] for a quartz sample, after annealing it for 3 hours at 700 °C followed by γ-irradiation.

The inset in Fig. 1 shows all measured data, but on a logarithmic x-axis and not normalized. The strong increase in the first channel is caused by the opening of the shutter of the β-source. This takes up to ~0.5 s and thus, the first channel comprises less
counts than the following ones. Note the very high signal intensities and the behaviour of the RF signal at 700 °C.

Fig. 3 shows the initial signal of the RF curves from Figs. 1 and 2 normalized to the highest signal at 550 °C. Here all measured preheat temperatures are used and no differences are observed for preheat temperatures from 100 °C to 250 °C. For both samples a strong peak at 550 °C is observable. The sharp increase and decrease at lower and higher temperatures, respectively, indicate a change in the RF signal behaviour. The term ‘initial signal’ is used as the difference between the second and the last data point measured.

A similar behaviour to that of sample BT586 was observed for sample BT1195 (see Fig. 2). However, both samples show a slightly different behaviour as the signals with a preheat temperature from 50 °C to 250 °C first increase and at temperatures from 350 °C to 650 °C the decrease is getting steeper the higher the temperatures become. Such a rapid change in the signal dynamics is not observed for sample BT586 and the decrease of the signal is faster than for BT586. The differences between 650 °C and 700 °C are much smaller than for BT586. The inset in Fig. 2 shows that the signal intensities are lower by a factor ~3 (for 550 °C) in contrast to sample BT586.

3.2. Signal stability tests

To test the UV-RF signal stability for repeated measurement cycles, a second experiment was designed measuring the RF signal 11 times with a constant preheat of 500 °C (5 K s⁻¹, holding time 120 s) prior to each signal readout.

A similar measurement was performed by Martini et al. [13, Fig. 4] and they observed an enhancement of the 3.44 eV (360 nm) band after each cycle. In contrast to Martini et al. [13] we did not measure a spectrum, but measured only the UV wavelength region (see Section 2.2). Fig. 4 shows the results of these measurements, again normalized to the last data point. The first two cycles show different slopes than the other ones. For cycles 3 to 11 the slope of the curves is not changing, only the signal intensity increases. The inset shows the same data as in the main figure, but on a logarithmic x-axis. The curves are used with absolute values and a logarithmic x-axis.

Fig. 3. Initial UV-RF signal for different preheat temperatures (holding for 120 s) for samples BT586 and BT1195 normalized to the initial signal at 550 °C.

Fig. 4. UV-RF signals for sample BT586 for 11 cycles for a preheat at 500 °C for 120 s between each cycle. The total absorbed dose was 600 Gy for each RF cycle. The curves are normalized to the last data point. The inset shows the same data as the main figure but with absolute values and a logarithmic x-axis.

4. Quartz UV-RF simulations

4.1. Defining the model

In a first simulation attempt to reproduce the obtained experimental results, the comprehensive quartz model developed by Bailey [15] was used with minor modifications (see Table 2), since it is successful in simulating several TL and OSL phenomena in quartz. These modifications were necessary in order to simulate the RF curves obtained from our experiments. Fig. 5 shows the energy-band diagram the model is based on.

To better understand the modifications applied later, the main aspects of the model by Bailey [15] are listed briefly; for a detailed
explanation of the levels, the reader is referred to Bailey [15]:

- Level 1 represents the 110 °C TL shallow electron trap, which gives rise to a TL peak at ~100 °C when measured with a heating rate of 5 K s⁻¹.
- Level 2 represents a generic 230 °C TL level, as found in many sedimentary quartz samples. Photo-excitation of charge from this level is not allowed.
- Levels 3 and 4 are usually termed ‘fast’ and ‘medium’ OSL components (e.g., [33]) and yield TL peaks at ~330 °C as well as give rise to the OSL emission used for dating.
- Level 5 is a deep, thermally disconnected electron trap. This was proposed in order to explain several TL and OSL phenomena based on competition between energy levels.
- Levels 6–9 are hole trapping centres acting as recombination centres for optically or thermally released electrons or for electrons which recombine directly after they reached the conduction band. Levels 6 and 7 are defined as thermally unstable, non-radiative recombination centres, similar to the hole reservoirs first introduced by Zimmerman [34,16] in order to explain the pre-dose sensitization phenomenon in quartz. Level 8 is a thermally stable, radiative recombination centre termed the ‘luminescence’ (L) centre. Level 9 is defined as a thermally stable, non-radiative recombination centre termed ‘killer’ (K) centre. Holes can be thermally transferred from the two hole reservoirs (levels 6 and 7, R₁ and R₂) into the luminescence centre and the killer centre via the valence band.

Pagonis et al. [35] stated that the levels 1, 6, 7 and 8 play a fundamental role for the pre-dose phenomenon, while we will argue in Section 4.3 that levels 5, 6 and 8 are vital for reproducing the UV-RF experimental results shown in Section 3. After each excitation stage in the simulations a relaxation period is introduced in which the temperature of the sample is kept constant at 20 °C for 60 s after the excitation has stopped (R = 0), and the concentrations of n₁ and n₉ decay to negligible values. When the temperature of the next simulation step is not the same as in the current step, the numerical solution simulates a cooling or heating period with a constant rate of β = 5 K s⁻¹.

Bailey [15] originally administered the burial dose at an elevated temperature of 220 °C and used a very high dose rate of 0.01 Gy s⁻¹ (step 7 in Table 3). In the modified step 7 above, we used a much lower dose rate of 10⁻¹¹ Gy s⁻¹ for the burial dose. This dose rate is closer to the typical environmental dose rate values, and an irradiation temperature of 20 °C [36]. Step 1 in Table 3 is simulated with a dose rate of 1 Gy s⁻¹ in order to reduce computation steps. Step 3 (‘geological time’) is used to empty shallow electron traps and hole centres. Thus, thermally unstable traps and centres are minimally populated after step 3. These conditions are supported by measurements of natural quartz samples (for details see [15], Section 2.5).

It is well known for quartz that thermal transfer can take place from the hole reservoirs (level 6 and 7 in Table 2) into the L-centre (level 8 in Table 2), causing sensitivity changes in general and specifically the pre-dose effect [16,34].

As discussed in Bailey [15], the ionization rate R depends on the exact experimental conditions, namely the strength of the irradiation source and the irradiation geometry. The choice of the R value in the Bailey [15] model is arbitrary; hence we adjusted this value so that the simulated RF results are similar to our experimental RF data.

For the simulations shown here we used the same ionization rate as Bailey [15], except for step 10 of the simulation sequence (Table 3), where we employed a dose rate of 0.006 Gy s⁻¹, which

![Fig. 5. Schematic diagram of the comprehensive Bailey [15] model for quartz.](image)
Table 2
The Q1aA1 parameters of [15] are shown together with their modified values used in the simulations presented in this study (bold values).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>N [cm⁻³]</th>
<th>E [eV]</th>
<th>s [s⁻¹]</th>
<th>A [cm² s⁻¹]</th>
<th>B [cm² s⁻¹]</th>
<th>γ0 [s⁻¹]</th>
<th>E0 [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 110 °C TL</td>
<td>1.5e7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>5e12</td>
<td>1e-8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 230 °C TL</td>
<td>1e7</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>5e14</td>
<td>1e-8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 OSLf</td>
<td>1e9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5e13</td>
<td>1e-9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 OSLM</td>
<td>2.5e8</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>5e14</td>
<td>5e-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Deep</td>
<td>5e10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1e10</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 R₁-centre</td>
<td>3e8</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>5e13</td>
<td>5e-7</td>
<td>5e-9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 R₂-centre</td>
<td>1e10</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5e14</td>
<td>1e-9</td>
<td>5e-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 L-centre</td>
<td>1e11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1e13</td>
<td>1e-9</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 K-centre</td>
<td>5e9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1e13</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
The simulation steps for the UV-RF simulation. For each new preheat temperature a new (simulated) aliquot was used. Steps 9 and 10 represent the simulated measurements in the laboratory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Geological dose irradiation of 1000 Gy at 1 Gy s⁻¹ at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Relaxation stage - 60 s at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Geological time - heat from 20 °C to 150 °C at 5 °C s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Relaxation for geological time, 60 s at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Illuminate for 100 s at 200 °C - repeated daylight exposures over long time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Relaxation stage - 60 s at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Burial dose - 50 Gy at 20 °C at 10⁻¹¹ Gy s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Relaxation stage - 60 s at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Preheat to temperatures from 50 °C to 500 °C (in 50 °C increments) for 120 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Radiofluorescence for 10,000 s at 20 °C at 0.006 Gy s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is an order of magnitude smaller than the experimental dose rate. With such a dose rate, we obtained good accordance between UV-RF experiments and simulations, so that our modelling approach for the first time quantitatively reproduces UV-RF of natural quartz samples.

4.2. Matching experimental results and simulations

Fig. 6 shows the result of the RF simulations for different preheat treatments (see Table 3, step 9). The signals decrease over the observation time, but, as in the experimental data, for low temperatures signal dynamics are very weak. At a preheat temperature of 300 °C a change in the decay curve shape is observable. For preheat temperatures from 550–700 °C the signal intensity decreases again.

Besides the signal intensity, the most striking observation is the change in the decaying UV-RF signal. This effect can be related to a changing proportion of holes in the R-centres and L-centre. With higher preheat temperatures the concentration in the L-centre increases, see Fig. 9. The decay of the UV-RF signal can be linked to an increasing competition between the R-/K-centres and the L-centre during irradiation. This observation and a detailed explanation of this effect will be presented elsewhere.

This seems to qualitatively reproduce the experimental results from Figs. 1 and 2: The inset of Fig. 6 shows that the simulated initial RF signal does not change for low temperatures and at temperatures about 300 °C a massive increase of the signal occurs until a maximum value is reached at 400 °C. The higher the preheat temperatures are from now on the smaller is the initial signal intensity. Thus this simulation enables to reproduce qualitatively the signal dynamics and the signal height of the measured RF signal. However, the accordance is not quantitatively perfect for both natural samples.

To quantitatively simulate experimental RF signals, a more accurate determination of the model parameters (Table 2) is necessary, which is, however, not part of this study. Nevertheless, the behaviour of sample BT368 for a preheat temperature of 700 °C was not reproducible by our numerical simulations.

The second set of experiments was the successive preheat and RF measurement for 11 cycles, see Fig. 4. The simulation for this sequence is shown in Fig. 7 and, as in the experiments with natural samples, a continuous growth of the initial signal intensity was observed from cycle to cycle. Note that before the first UV-RF measurement a preheat to 500 °C was performed. Otherwise, the...
signal for the first cycle would not decrease over time. In contrast to the experimental data, the signal for cycle 1 has the same curvature as all other cycles and so only the signal height is changing for each new cycle.

4.3. Further simulation results

In order to understand the charge movements of the UV-RF signal with different preheat temperatures, we simplified the used quartz model down to three energy levels, which produces approximately the same results as the complete model, but is easier to interpret.

For this purpose the deep electron trap, the R-centre and the L-centre were chosen. Figs. 8(a) and (b) show the same simulations as Figs. 6 and 7 but with only three energy levels and the results appear to be in very good accordance with the results obtained for the comprehensive Bailey [15] model. Signal intensities from this simplified three-energy-level model are ∼30% higher than compared to the complete parameter set in the original model, which can be explained by the absence of competing traps in which electrons can be captured. Thus, the probability of a direct recombination with the L-centre is higher and consequently a higher signal intensity is observed. The curve shape after normalizing to the last value of the RF signal is in very good agreement with Fig. 6, justifying the application of the simplified model for further analyses.

In the following we used the simplified three-energy-level model. To better understand the dynamics of the charge flows in the system, a closer look at the numerical solutions is necessary. For this we investigated the concentrations of the deep trap and the two hole centres at the beginning of the RF step (see Table 3, step 10).

Fig. 9 shows the concentration of electrons in the deep trap and holes in the R-centre and the L-centre after the preheat step (step 9 in Table 3) for the simplified three-energy-level model. The values are normalized to the total amount of electrons decreases by the same total amount. The results of Fig. 9 also show that an activation temperature in the region of 300–400 °C is sufficiently high to transfer all holes from the hole reservoir R into the luminescence centre L. In contrast to the simulations by Pagoni et al. [35], Fig. 2b, the concentration of electrons does not remain constant during even higher temperatures, but decreases and so does the number of holes in the L-centre. This is possible because the temperatures are high enough to release electrons from the deep electron trap and charge neutrality forces the number of available holes to decrease; consequently the intensity of the luminescence signal decreases. This mechanism may explain the measured and simulated initial RF signal in Figs. 3 and 6 and it is capable of explaining why the decrease of the UV-RF signal is much weaker at temperatures above 550 °C.

Furthermore we investigated the behaviour for the initial UV-RF signal for different burial doses (see step 7 in Table 3). Fig. 10(a) shows the initial RF signal for different burial doses from 50 °C to 500 °C and for different simulated burial doses in step 7 in the sample history of the quartz sample (see Table 3). The higher the simulated burial dose, the higher are the initial signals as well as the peak at 400 °C. A detailed view is provided by Fig. 10(b): The initial RF signal at
a preheat temperature of 450 °C is plotted against the simulated burial dose and an increasing dose-response curve can be extracted from the simulated data. Note that Fig. 10(a) only provides 6 different burial doses. Fig. 10(b) shows the numerical solution for burial doses from 0 Gy to 10,000 Gy using increments of 500 Gy.

In summary, the results of these simulations show that the initial signal of the quartz UV-RF depends on the burial dose. A multiple aliquot additive dose (MAAD) protocol with convenient preheat temperatures might be used for determining the burial dose. Marazuev et al. [21] first used this technique to determine the equivalent dose of quartz extracted from bricks in Chernobyl, but they used X-ray excitation. In their experiments, they focused on the difference between the initial signal and the signal after a certain time, the final kinetic equilibrium, and they used a preheat temperature of 450 °C for 10 minutes. In contrast to our simulation findings, they observed a linearity in their dose-response curve for very low doses only. Nevertheless, our results indicate that with the UV-RF technique a determination of the equivalent dose in quartz is possible and needs to be (re-)investigated in a separate study.

5. Discussion

‘Modeling is important for the purpose of determining if suggested mechanisms can indeed produce the effects observed in the practice’ [37]. The presented model and the interpretation of the results show indeed the accordance of model predictions and experimental results. Nevertheless it is important to test the model to determine ‘what is possible with the model, and what is not possible’ [37]. We have run several tests with the parameter set presented in Table 2 (TL peak shift with different heating rates, thermal activation characteristics, dose-recovery tests, OSL behaviour; see supplementary material) and all investigated phenomena produced meaningful results.

Nevertheless, simulated results should always be handled with care, as they describe a phenomenological point of view. To use the dependency of the initial signal height on the burial dose as a dating method one important requirement is the zeroing of the luminescence signal. From Fig. 10 one can deduce that a non zero signal of the initial RF signal for a preheat temperature of 450 °C is obtained in simulations for a burial dose equal to zero. The growth of the initial RF signal with the burial dose is a result of the dose dependence of the hole concentration in the luminescence centres (see Fig. 5). The concentration of this centre, however, is growing also before the zeroing event and optical bleaching is not sufficient to reduce it down to zero. Fig. 9 shows that this is in principle possible when heating a sample to very high temperatures. This is in accordance with the published literature for determining an equivalent dose with quartz UV-RF [21], because they used bricks to determine the dose-related to the Chernobyl accident [21]. When burning these bricks all electron traps and hole centres were emptied and the requirement of a complete zeroing of the signal was fulfilled. Nevertheless, Marazuev et al. [21] also determined equivalent doses for natural quartzes but they also mentioned that this UV-RF approach will work for small doses only. Investigating this in detail is not part of this contribution.

As described in Schmidt et al. [9], RF offers new insights into the recombination centres, due to the fact that quartz RF signals are believed to correspond to the direct recombination of electrons from the conduction band. Schmidt et al. [9] argue that the RF technique provides information primarily on the recombination centres involved. Our results seem to confirm these ideas, since the increase of the initial RF signal appears to be a consequence of the movement of trapped holes from the reservoir centres to luminescence centres. In addition, our preheat experiments and simulations indicate that the deep traps play a fundamental role in the description of quartz RF signals. At high temperatures the deep traps get emptied and so does the concentration of holes in the luminescence centres (see Fig. 9).

It should also be noted that the rapid change in the initial RF height occurs after the transition from α- to β- quartz at a temperature of 573 °C (at normal pressure). Due to the fact that all RF measurements were performed at RT and the samples were cooled down from the preheat temperatures to RT in nitrogen atmosphere, a transition back from β- to α- quartz appears to be likely. This transition is not part of the simulations but in the simulations this behaviour is indicated by emptying the deep electron traps.

6. Conclusions

A systematic investigation of UV-RF signals on two quartz samples (BT586 and BT1196) after preheat temperatures ranging from 50 °C to 700 °C was presented. For both samples the behaviour was similar: for low temperatures no differences in the UV-
RF signal dynamics and in the initial signal height was observed. For preheat temperatures >400 °C a significant rise in the initial height was noticeable as well as a decreasing signal. The initial signal was increasing until a peak was reached at a preheat of 550 °C. From this temperature on, the signal intensity was decreasing rapidly. For sample BT586 a change in the signal dynamics was detected for very high preheat temperatures: the signal is not decreasing during the complete simulation time but builds up until 3,000 s and then decreases. Note that BT1195 was completely bleached before the measurements and BT586 still carries its natural dose. Nevertheless, both samples show a very similar behaviour.

Another preheat experiment showed that the initial RF signals are rising, if repeated cycles of preheating to 500 °C for 120 s and subsequent RF measurements were executed. These dynamics are similar to what is already known as the ‘pre-dose’ effect in quartz. This observation was similar for both samples.

In order to simulate these experimental results, a slightly modified Bailey [15] model was used successfully. The different initial signal intensities and dynamics of the UV-RF signal could be simulated with good accordance between numerical and experimental results.

In addition to the successful simulation of the experimental data, we used a simplified model with three energy levels to obtain further insights. A theoretical explanation of the observed decrease of the initial signal height for high preheat temperatures is given, because the deep electron traps are emptied and the signal is not decreasing during the complete stimulation time but builds up until 3,000 s and then decreases. Note that BT1195 was completely bleached before the measurements and BT586 still carries its natural dose. Nevertheless, both samples show a very similar behaviour.

Another preheat experiment showed that the initial RF signals are rising, if repeated cycles of preheating to 500 °C for 120 s and subsequent RF measurements were executed. These dynamics are similar to what is already known as the ‘pre-dose’ effect in quartz. This observation was similar for both samples.

In order to simulate these experimental results, a slightly modified Bailey [15] model was used successfully. The different initial signal intensities and dynamics of the UV-RF signal could be simulated with good accordance between numerical and experimental results.

In addition to the successful simulation of the experimental data, we used a simplified model with three energy levels to obtain further insights. A theoretical explanation of the observed decrease of the initial signal height for high preheat temperatures is given, because the deep electron traps are emptied and the signal is not decreasing during the complete stimulation time but builds up until 3,000 s and then decreases. Note that BT1195 was completely bleached before the measurements and BT586 still carries its natural dose. Nevertheless, both samples show a very similar behaviour.

Another preheat experiment showed that the initial RF signals are rising, if repeated cycles of preheating to 500 °C for 120 s and subsequent RF measurements were executed. These dynamics are similar to what is already known as the ‘pre-dose’ effect in quartz. This observation was similar for both samples.
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