Genetic relationship and maturity state of the allorecognition system affect contact reactions in juvenile *Seriatopora* corals
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ABSTRACT: Adult stony corals are characterized by a well-developed allorecognition system. In most cases, genetically identical coral grafts fuse, whereas genetically different grafts (allografts and xenografts) show various histoincompatible responses. Very little is known, however, about the allorecognition system in juvenile corals. We studied contact reactions of juvenile pairs in 2 scleractinian coral species: *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix* (0 to 59 d after settlement). Juvenile colonies were experimentally grafted in combination groups of various genetic distances: sibling allografts of *S. hystrix* (4 pairs), non sibling allografts of *S. caliendrum* (12 pairs), non sibling allografts of *S. hystrix* (16 pairs) and xenografts of the 2 species (26 pairs). Three tissue contact reactions were detected in all of the genetic combination groups: nonfusion, fusion and incompatible fusion. The transition in contact reaction patterns was recorded over a 1 yr period. Contact reactions and their duration were largely consistent among pair replicates of each combination and within each of the 4 genetic combination groups. We suggest that in juvenile *Seriatopora* corals, the genetic combination of each graft is a primary determinant of the contact reaction and its endurance. Maturity state of the allorecognition system, affects both onset and duration of the fusion and incompatible fusion reactions in the juvenile corals. Onset of the fusion reaction was observed only when grafted colonies were younger than 4 mo. Throughout the experimental period, most fusion reactions transformed into histoincompatible reactions or disconnection and virtually none of the allografts or xenografts maintained stable chimeras. We suggest that as the alloimmune system matures, incompatible fusion reactions eventually transform into nonfusion or disconnection via tissue necrosis of the contact zone.
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INTRODUCTION

For marine colonial invertebrates, an accurate allorecognition system is essential, since direct tissue to tissue contact with neighbour colonies is inevitable as they grow. Hence, many of these taxa have been studied with respect to their allorecognition capabilities (Grosberg 1988, Leddy & Green 1991).


In contrast to these allograft histoincompatible contact responses between adult corals, recurrent incidences of allogeneic fusion have been reported between juvenile corals (Hidaka 1985, Frank et al. 1997, Hidaka et al. 1997, Barki et al. 2002). Hidaka (1985) was the first to study contact reactions
between juvenile corals (primary polyps) in the scleractinian coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. He found that all 22 allografts of the juvenile pairs fused, whereas no fusion was observed in allografts of their maternal colonies. Hence, he suggested that juvenile corals might lack the functional allore cognition system shown by adult corals. Hidaka et al. (1997) further confirmed the appearance of a fusion reaction in allografts of the juvenile coral *P. damicornis* and observed its stability over a 4 to 6 mo experimental period. In addition, they found 2 histoincompatible reactions in the allografts; nonfusion and a newly described type of contact reaction in coral species, which they termed ‘incompatible fusion’, characterized by fusion of tissues with few zooxanthellae at the interface zone. The initial contact responses were not affected by the time elapsed between planulation and initial contact (a maximum of 3 mo), and replicated pairs of each allograft combination showed similar contact reactions. Hence, they concluded that genetic composition might be the primary determinant of contact reactions in allografts of juvenile corals. Similarly, in a study of allografts between newly settled planulae of the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*, Frank et al. (1997) reported that allografts of juvenile corals had the same 3 contact reactions. They also observed that the fused allografts maintained stable chimeras throughout the 8 mo experimental period. However, in their experiment, the allografts established from colonies younger than 2 mo post settlement always fused, while those from colonies between 2 and 4 mo old initially fused, then disconnected via transient fusion (i.e. incompatible fusion sensu Hidaka et al. 1997), and allografts from colonies older than 4 mo always showed nonfusion. This led them to conclude, in contrast to Hidaka et al. (1997), that contact reactions of the allogeneic juvenile corals solely depend on the age of the grafted colonies. In 4 soft coral species, Barki et al. (2002) observed only 2 types of contact responses, fusion and nonfusion, occurring between allogeneic primary polyps. Their follow-up observations (up to 450 d) on the allogenic chimeras of the soft corals revealed that all of the chimeras had disconnected by the end of the experimental period.

These studies led us to address the following 3 questions: (1) Do the 3 contact reactions (fusion, non-fusion and incompatible fusion) constitute general responses between genetically different grafts of juvenile Pocilloporid corals? (2) Do fused allografts of juvenile Pocilloporid corals form and maintain stable chimeras or do they eventually separate as the alloimmune system matures, in a similar way to chimeras of juvenile soft corals? (3) What is the major determinant of contact reactions in juvenile corals; their genetic combination and/or the maturation state of their allore cognition systems?

To examine these questions, juvenile coral pairs of various genetic and age combinations of the Pocilloporid corals *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix* were experimentally brought into contact, and the transition in their contact reactions was followed over a 1 yr experimental period.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Colonies of the brooding corals *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix* were collected just before the first quarter moon from Sesoko and Bise reefs in June, July and August 1997. The 2 reef sites are about 8 km apart in the northern part of Okinawa Island, Japan (26°N, 127°E). To avoid possible collection of colonies of the same genotype, resulting from fragmentation, collection of each colony was done at intervals >100 m between colonies. The corals were transferred to Sesoko station, Tropical Biosphere Research Center, where each colony was numbered and maintained individually in a plastic bucket with running seawater. Planulae were collected by sieving running seawater, which overflowed from the bucket into a 500 ml plastic container with 2 ‘windows’ covered with 180 µm mesh plankton net. The planulae collectors were set from dusk to dawn daily from June to August. Planulae released from individual colonies were collected separately each dawn and placed in Petri dishes filled with seawater. A new plastic sheet (i.e. biologically unconditioned) was placed on the bottom of each dish to serve as a settlement substrate. Most planulae settled on the sheets within a few hours after being introduced into the dishes. The settled planulae (all aged less than 24 h post planulation) were used for the grafting experiments. In order to establish coral pairs of different ages, some of the settled planulae were separated and maintained individually in aquaria with running seawater under indirect natural light.

To examine possible effects of genetic difference on contact reactions of juvenile corals, combinations of sibling allografts (i.e. pairs of juveniles originating from the same colony), non sibling allografts (i.e. pairs of settled planulae originated from different conspecific colonies) and xenografts (i.e. pairs of settled planulae originated from colonies of different species) were made using juvenile colonies of *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix*. However, the genetic origin of planula larvae in *S. caliendrum* and *S. hystrix*, as well as other Pocilloporid corals, has not been fully elucidated yet (i.e. sexual and/or asexual reproduction; see Permata et al. 2000). Hence, examination of the effect of genetic difference was performed assuming genetic
similarity among sibling planulae. Assumed genetic differences among the combinations were: sibling allografts < non sibling allografts < xenografts. To examine possible effect of age on contact reactions of juvenile corals, various age combination-pairs of young (within the first 7 d after settlement) and older juvenile colonies (aged 8 to 59 d after settlement) were also made in each genetic combination group.

Pairs of newly settled planulae (i.e. primary polyps) were brought into tissue contact using a modification of the method described by Hidaka et al. (1997). Two primary polyps that had settled on the same plastic sheet (for sibling allografts) or on separate plastic sheets (for non sibling allografts and for xenografts) were juxtaposed on a glass slide, by cutting the plastic sheet along the edges of the primary polyps. The sheets were then fixed with a pair of clamps comprising silicon tubes glued to both sides of the glass slide and 2 plastic straps. The pairs were numbered and maintained in a tank supplied with running seawater under natural light at the Sesoko station for a 1 yr experimental period.

Of the over 100 juvenile coral pairs originally prepared for this experiment, only 58 pairs were examined, due to mortality or detachment of one or both juvenile colonies, within the first few weeks prior to the establishment of any contact reaction (see Table 1 for the number of pairs in each combination group). The coral pairs were observed and photographed weekly under a dissecting microscope for the first 6 mo and fortnightly for the next 6 mo. The glass slides on which the coral pairs were affixed were periodically cleaned with a fine paintbrush.

Seven naturally occurring xenografts of adult colonies (ca. 20 to 30 cm in diameter) of Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix were found in situ in the reef around Sesoko Island in June 1998 (Fig. 1). Contacting branch pairs of the 2 species were carefully removed with forceps and examined for their contact reactions under a dissecting microscope in order to ascertain the nature of the response in adult colonies.

### RESULTS

**Contact reactions of juvenile Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix**

Three tissue contact reactions were observed in juvenile coral pairs of Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix among all combination groups of different genetic distances: fusion, nonfusion and incompatible fusion (after Hidaka et al. 1997). In the nonfusion reaction, although tissues of paired colonies were directly in contact during the initial stages of the reaction, they maintained clear tissue separation. Within a few weeks, a thin skeletal wall was formed vertically at the interface of these pairs, physically separating the tissues of the colonies (Fig. 2A). In the fusion reaction, tissues and skeletons of paired colonies formed a continuum and zooxanthellae were uniformly distributed across the original contact area of the genets comprising the chimera (Fig. 2B). In the incompatible fusion reaction, tissues and skeletons of paired colonies appeared to be fused but the colonies were separated by a distinct white border zone (WBZ), which usually covered the entire interface.

---

**Table 1. Seriatopora hystrix and S. caliendrum. A summary of genetic and age combinations of coral pairs. The numbers of pairs and combinations (in brackets) with each genetic combination group are shown. For detailed information on each pair, see Fig. 4.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sibling S. hystrix</th>
<th>Allografts</th>
<th>Non sibling S. caliendrum</th>
<th>S. hystrix</th>
<th>Xenografts</th>
<th>S. caliendrum and S. hystrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same age</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>15 (3)</td>
<td>17 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different age</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
<td>26 (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
zone contained few zooxanthellae and no polyp development was observed throughout the experiment (Fig. 3). Tissue and skeleton of the WBZ did not appear to grow much; hence, its width became narrower relative to other parts of the colonies that continued to grow (Fig. 3A–C).

In some incompatible fusion pairs, polyp absorption was observed proximal to the WBZ. We did not detect any clear directionality in polyp absorption between the paired colonies in the small number of cases observed: 2 non sibling allografts of *Seriatopora caliendrum* (nos. 1 and 3 in Fig. 4) and 2 xenografts (nos. 42 and 54). The area where the absorbed polyps had resided later turned into a new white zone, resulting in expansion of the WBZ.

In 15 out of 27 incompatible fusion pairs, a skeletal rise in the WBZ was observed during the last 7 mo of the experimental period (Figs. 3 & 4). The skeleton of the WBZ continued to rise gradually, resulting in a thick skeletal wall being covered with the white zone tissue, separating the juvenile colonies in the pair (Fig. 3D, E).

Overgrowth was observed in 1 non sibling allograft pair of *Seriatopora hystrix* and in 8 xenograft pairs (Figs. 4 & 5). In the latter, *S. caliendrum* colonies always overgrew *S. hystrix* colonies. Overgrowth usually occurred in pairs exhibiting nonfusion. However, in 2 xenografts (nos. 43 and 46, Fig. 4), overgrowth also occurred during incompatible fusion. In these cases, the skeletal rise in the WBZ occurred not upwards but sideways towards *S. hystrix*, resulting in its overgrowth by *S. caliendrum*. Although none of the *S. hystrix* colonies were completely overgrown, most of their basal area had been covered by *S. caliendrum* by the end of the 1 yr experiment (Fig. 5B).

---

Fig. 2. *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix*. (A) A typical nonfusion reaction observed in a xenograft of *S. caliendrum* (left) and *S. hystrix* (right) on 25 September 1997, ca. 14 wk after initial contact (pair no. 32 in Fig. 4). A thin skeletal wall at the interface (white border line) separates tissues of the 2 colonies. (B) *S. caliendrum*: a typical fusion reaction observed in a non sibling allograft, Sc7B (5) (left) vs. Sc12S (1) (right), (pair no.10 in Fig. 4) on December 3 1997, ca. 19 wk after initial contact (indicated by arrow)

Fig. 3. *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix*: Incompatible fusion reaction. (A–C) A typical incompatible fusion reaction and skeletal rise of the ‘white border zone’ observed in a non sibling allograft of *S. caliendrum*, Sc12S(3) (left) vs. Sc15S(1) (right), (pair no. 5 in Fig. 4) on (A) October 23 1997, (B) December 18 1997 and (C) February 26 1998, approximately 12, 20 and 30 wk after initial contact, respectively. (D, E) Skeletal rises of white border zone, (D) in a xenograft of *S. caliendrum* (left) and *S. hystrix* (right) on December 18 1997, (pair no. 54 in Fig. 4) and (E) in a non sibling allograft of *S. caliendrum* on December 3 1997 (pair no. 11 in Fig. 4), Sc7B (5) (left) vs. Sc12S (1) (right), approximately 17 wk after the initial contact, respectively
Fig. 4. *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix*. Dynamics of contact reactions in juvenile coral pairs. Horizontal lines indicate changes in the contact reaction of each grafted pair throughout the experiment. The beginning and end of each line indicates the dates on which the first and last observations were conducted. Weekly observations were carried out for the first 6 mo and fortnightly for the next 6 mo. The combination column provides details of each colony in a pair as follows: species name (*Sc*, *S. caliendrum*; *Sh*, *S. hystrix*), maternal colony no., collection site (*S*, Sesoko; *B*, Bise) and juvenile colony age categorized within the 1st to 9th wk after planulation at initial contact (in brackets). Colonies without brackets are those brought into contact within the first 24 h after planulation. Within each combination group, paired colonies were numbered consecutively, so that a higher combination serial number denoted a pair with a larger age difference between the grafted coral juveniles. Horizontal lines discontinuing before the end of the experiment (June 1998) indicate that either one of the grafted juvenile colonies died (D), or both colonies died (BD). In cases where the juvenile colonies detached and fell off the plastic sheets, there is no notation at the end. Symbols on each horizontal line are as follows: nonfusion (thin continuous line); disconnection (thin broken line); fusion (grey thick line); incompatible fusion (black thick line); skeletal rise of white border zone (open circle in black thick line); overgrowth (arrows pointing out the direction of overgrowth, e.g. in pair no.17, *Sh1S-Sh2S*, the direction of arrows points from left to right signifying that *Sh1S* was overgrowing *Sh2S* at the indicated time). Mixed grey and black thick lines (Pairs 1, 8, 9, 10 and 39) indicate mixed reactions of fusion and incompatible fusion.
In 22 pairs of all combination groups (1 sibling allograft of *Seriatopora hystrix*, 5 non sibling allografts of *S. caliendrum*, 5 non sibling allografts of *S. hystrix* and 11 xenografts), one or both colonies died during the experimental period. No obvious tendency was detected with regard to the identity of the dead partner within the pairs (Fig. 4).

Finding naturally occurring adult xenograft pairs of *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix* colonies in the field (Fig. 1) gave us an opportunity to compare the outcome of contact reactions in adult coral xenografts to that of juvenile xenografts. Among a total of 80 contacting branch-pairs inspected in the xenograft colony-pairs, the vast majority of contact reactions were non-fusion (87.5%), with incompatible fusion reactions comprising only 2.5% and disconnection (separation between tissues of a contacting branch pair) 10%.

**Transition of contact reactions in juvenile *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix***

Fusion reactions were observed 27 times in 21 pairs (recording either 1 or 2 occurrences per pair) in all genetic combination groups during the experimental period (see Fig. 4). Among 21 contact reactions observed prior to the occurrence of the fusion reactions (i.e. nonfusion or incompatible fusion), 81% were non-fusion (Fig. 4). The onset of fusion reaction was observed only between grafted colonies younger than 4 mo post settlement. Except for 2 sibling allografts of *Seriatopora hystrix* (nos. 55 and 56 in Fig. 4) and 3 non sibling allografts of *S. caliendrum* (nos. 8, 9 and 10), all fusion reactions eventually transformed into other contact reactions (nonfusion or incompatible fusion) or disconnection (separation between tissues of paired colonies by necrosis of the border tissue at the interface) within that period. Although the 3 allografts of *S. caliendrum* maintained fusion reaction throughout almost the entire experimental period, the reactions partially transformed into incompatible fusions 1 to 5 mo before the end of the experiment. Hence, except for the 2 sibling *S. hystrix* allografts, no allografts or xenografts maintained stable fusion reactions at the end of the 1 yr experimental period. Among 20 contact reactions following the fusion reactions, 55% were incompatible fusion and 45% were nonfusion reactions (Fig. 4).

The initial appearance of incompatible fusion in each grafted pair varied greatly from 2 wk (nos. 3, 34, 40 and 41 in Fig. 4) to 41 wk (no. 9) after initial contact. During the experiment, most pairs that had exhibited an incompatible fusion reaction once, continued to exhibit this reaction repeatedly, resulting in a total of 60 occurrences in 28 pairs of all genetic combination groups (see Fig. 4). Among 58 contact reactions observed prior to the occurrence of the incompatible fusion reactions (i.e. fusion or nonfusion), most of them (81%) were nonfusion reactions. Among 29 contact reactions observed following incompatible fusions, most of them (86%) were nonfusion reactions. Apart from contact reactions, disconnection was observed in one third of the incompatible fusions at the end of the reaction (20 cases in the 60 incompatible fusions).

**Determinants of contact reactions in juvenile *Seriatopora caliendrum* and *S. hystrix***

There were apparent differences in the incidence and duration of fusion and incompatible fusion reactions among the 4 genetic combination groups (Fig. 4). In sibling allografts of *Seriatopora hystrix*, (n = 4) 3 of the 4 pairs exhibited fusion and/or incompatible fusion reactions. Two pairs (nos. 55 and 56, see Fig. 4) exhibited fusion reactions, forming a stable chimera that lasted throughout the 1 yr experimental period. In non sibling allografts of *S. caliendrum* (n = 12), most pairs (92%) exhibited relatively stable fusion and/or incompatible fusion reactions, whereas in non sibling allografts of *S. hystrix* (n = 16), only 25% exhibited those reactions. In xenografts of the 2 species (n = 26), 62%
showed fusion and/or incompatible fusion reactions. However, only 5 pairs exhibited fusion reactions that lasted for less than 2 wk, in contrast to many incompatible fusion reactions in xenografts that lasted for several months (Fig. 4).

Within various age combinations of juveniles (within the first week post settlement) and ‘older’ colony pairs (from the 2nd to the 9th week post settlement) examined (Fig. 4), there was no obvious effect of colony age on contact reactions of juvenile colonies. In 9 non sibling allografts of Seriatopora caliendrum (nos. 4 to 12) and 9 xenografts (nos. 46 to 54), the initial type of contact reactions and their dynamics within each genetic combination group did not appear to change with increasing pair age differences, but rather remained similar within each genetic combination group.

**DISCUSSION**

Allografts of juvenile scleractinian corals of Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix displayed the same 3 contact reactions (fusion, nonfusion and incompatible fusion), as observed in those of Pocillopora damicornis (Hidaka et al. 1997) and Stylophora pistillata (Frank et al. 1997). Xenografts of juvenile corals of S. hystrix and S. caliendrum also showed the same 3 contact reactions as the allografts. Therefore, these 3 reactions may be considered general contact responses in grafts of genetically different juvenile Pocilloporid corals.

The incidences of the 3 contact reactions differed greatly between non sibling allografts of Seriatopora caliendrum and those of S. hystrix (Fig. 4). Most non sibling allograft pairs of S. caliendrum had fusion and/or incompatible fusion reactions, while only a few non sibling allograft pairs of S. hystrix had these reactions. The primary cause for these differences may be attributed to differences in the general growth direction of the 2 species observed in grafted pairs. While juvenile colonies of S. caliendrum exhibited preferential horizontal growth, those of S. hystrix tended to grow vertically (Fig. 5). These differential growth strategies may have caused S. caliendrum to come into contact with partner-colonies more frequently than S. hystrix, resulting in more occurrences of fusion and incompatible fusion reactions. In xenografts, overgrowth was always unidirectional, with S. caliendrum overgrowing S. hystrix. Hence, we conclude that there is a general hierarchical relationship between juveniles of the 2 species, in which S. caliendrum outcompetes S. hystrix, a result that we have also recorded in situ in all the naturally occurring xenografts of the 2 species. This outcome may also result from differences in the growth strategies of juvenile colonies of the 2 species.

In order to examine possible effects of genetic distance on contact reactions of juvenile corals, we examined contact reactions not only between non sibling allografts of Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix, but also between xenografts of the 2 species. In non sibling allografts of S. caliendrum, fusion and incompatible fusion were the 2 dominant and relatively stable reactions (Fig. 4). In non sibling allografts of S. hystrix, however, the 2 contact reactions were rare, probably stemming from the initial vertical growth direction of S. hystrix discussed above. In contrast, in the xenograft pairs, fusion reactions were rarely observed and when they did occur, they lasted only a short period (<2 wk). Instead, nonfusion reactions were the dominant reaction, along with incompatible fusion reactions, both of which could already be observed at early contact stages. The fusion reaction is considered a histocompatible reaction, whereas incompatible fusion is regarded as a histoincompatible reaction, which eventually transforms into nonfusion or disconnection, via tissue necrosis of the WBZ (Frank et al. 1997, Hidaka et al. 1997). These results suggest a possibility that the allorecognition system already functions to some extent even in juvenile corals, since it appears to identify genetically more distant encounters better than less distant encounters. In addition, contact reactions were largely consistent between pair replicates of each combination and within each of the 4 genetic combination groups, as also noted by Hidaka et al. (1997). We, therefore, conclude that, in juvenile corals, the genetic combination of each graft is a primary determinant of the contact reaction and its endurance.

Obviously, colony age is another important factor to be considered in contact reactions, since fusion and incompatible fusion were rarely observed in allografts and xenografts of adult scleractinian corals, whereas these are 2 of the 3 common contact reactions observed so far in juvenile scleractinian corals. These 2 reactions were suggested to occur in allografts of juvenile scleractinian corals because they still lack a fully developed allorecognition system (Hidaka 1985, Frank et al. 1997, Hidaka et al. 1997). Frank et al. (1997) reported that contact reactions of allografts of juvenile Stylophora pistillata corals were fully dependent on the age, or maturation stage of the allorecognition system. In the present study, we did not detect any apparent age effect on contact reactions in 10 non sibling allografts and 9 xenografts of various age combinations paired from juvenile Seriatopora caliendrum and S. hystrix colonies aged 0 to 59 d post settlement. Hidaka et al. (1997) also reported that the age of grafted colonies did not appear to affect contact reactions in allografts of Pocillopora damicornis aged between 7 d and 3 mo.
post planulation. There is, however, similarity between the 3 studies (i.e. Frank et al. 1997, Hidaka et al. 1997 and the present study). In all 3 studies, the onset of fusion reactions in allografts and xenografts occurred only when grafted colonies were younger than 4 mo post settlement. In the present study, however, we also found that most of the fusion reactions transformed into other contact reactions (i.e. nonfusion or incompat-
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