About Yor's problem.

## 7. Another proof of a standardness theorem

Boris Tsirelson (Tel Aviv University)

Consider a Markov chain  $\{X_k\}_k$ ,  $X_k \in \mathcal{X}_k$ . As before (see Item 2), we may describe it by means of  $\mu_k \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_k)$  and  $\nu_k : \mathcal{X}_{k-1} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_k)$ ; that is,  $\mu_k$  is the distribution of  $X_k$ , and  $\nu_k(x_{k-1})$  is the conditional distribution of  $X_k$  for given  $X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}$ .

Take the following infimum of measures:\*

$$\nu_k^{\min} = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x_{k-1}} \nu_k(x_{k-1});$$

that is,  $\nu_k^{\min}$  is the greatest measure satisfying the inequality

$$\nu_k^{\min} \le \nu_k(x_{k-1})$$

for  $\mu_{k-1}$ -almost all  $x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{X}_{k-1}$ . Of course,  $\nu_k^{\min}$  is not a probability measure; consider its total mass:

$$m_k = \nu_k^{\min}(\mathcal{X}_k) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_k} \nu_k^{\min}(dx_k);$$

clearly  $m_k \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\sum_k m_k = \infty$ , then  $\{X_k\}_k$  is tail-trivial, and admits a generating parametrization.

**Proof.** We have to construct the needed function

$$\alpha_k: [0,1] \times \mathcal{X}_{k-1} \to \mathcal{X}_k$$

for each k. First, choose a function

$$\beta_k : [0, m_k] \to \mathcal{X}_k$$

such that

$$\beta_k(\text{mes}) = \nu_k^{\min}.$$

Second, let

$$\alpha_k(y, x_{k-1}) = \beta_k(y) \quad \text{for } y \le m_k.$$

Third, construct  $\alpha_k(y, x_{k-1})$  for  $y > m_k$  such that

$$\alpha_k \left( \operatorname{mes}|_{[m_k,1]}, x_{k-1} \right) = \nu_k(x_{k-1}) - \nu_k^{\min};$$

<sup>\*</sup> It is well-known that the space of measures is a lattice, and any set of measures, bounded from above (or from below) has its supremum (or, correspondingly, its infimum).

then clearly

$$\alpha_k(\mathrm{mes}, x_{k-1}) = \nu_k(x_{k-1})$$

and  $\{\alpha_k\}_k$  is a parametrization, indeed. We have to prove that for almost any sequence  $\{y_k\}_k$  there exists only one sequence  $\{x_k\}_k$  satisfying

$$\forall k \quad x_k = \alpha_k(y_k, x_{k-1}).$$

But the condition  $\sum m_k = \infty$  ensures that  $y_k \leq m_k$  for an infinite set of k. Now,

$$y_k \leq m_k \implies x_k = \beta_k(y_k), \ x_{k+1} = \alpha_{k+1}(y_{k+1}, x_k), \ \dots$$

and all the  $x_{k+i}$  are uniquely determined. We see that

$$\sigma(X_{-\infty}^k) \subset \sigma(Y_{-\infty}^k),$$

so the parametrization is generating. Now,  $\sigma(X_{-\infty}^{k-1})$ ,  $\sigma(Y_k^0)$  are independent; hence  $\sigma_{-\infty}(X)$ ,  $\sigma(Y_k^0)$  are independent; hence  $\sigma_{-\infty}(X)$ ,  $\sigma(Y_{-\infty}^0)$  are independent; hence  $\sigma_{-\infty}(X)$ ,  $\sigma(X_{-\infty}^0)$  are independent; and hence  $\sigma_{-\infty}(X)$  is trivial. So, the theorem is proved.

**Corollary.** If  $\sum m_k = \infty$  and  $\nu_k(x_{k-1})$  is non-atomic for  $\mu_{k-1}$ -almost all  $x_{k-1}$ , then the corresponding chain of  $\sigma$ -fields is standard.

**Proof.** Due to the above Theorem, the chain is tail-trivial and admits a generating parametrization. And it is conditionally non-atomic. Due to the theorem of the section "Parametrizations," it admits an exact parametrization. Hence, it is standard.

Note. Suppose existence of the density

$$\rho_k(x_k, x_{k-1}) = \frac{\nu_k(x_{k-1})(dx_k)}{\mu_k(dx_k)}.$$

Then

$$m_k = \int_{\mathcal{X}_k} \rho_k^{\min}(x_k) \, \mu_k(dx_k),$$

where

$$\rho_k^{\min}(x_k) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x_{k-1}} \rho_k(x_k, x_{k-1}).$$