9 More on differentiation

9a	Finite Taylor expansion	75
9b	Continuous and nowhere differentiable	78
9c	Differentiable and nowhere monotone	79
Hints	s to exercises	82

9a Finite Taylor expansion

An infinitely differentiable function $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ need not be analytic. It has a formal Taylor expansion, but maybe of zero radius of convergence, or maybe converging to a different function. An example:

 $f(x) = e^{-1/x}$ for x > 0, f(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$.

9a1 Theorem. ^{1 2} If an infinitely differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is not a polynomial then there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f^{(n)}(x)$ is irrational for all n.

Thus, $\exists x \forall n \ f^{(n)}(x) \neq 0$.

The set of rational numbers may be replaced with any other countable set.

We'll prove the theorem via iterated Baire category theorem.

9a2 Lemma. If f is a polynomial on [a, b] and $\forall n \ f(b + \varepsilon_n) = f(b)$ for some $\varepsilon_n \to 0+$ then f is constant on [a, b].

¹Exercise 10.2.9 in book: B. Thomson, J. Bruckner, A. Bruckner, "Real analysis", second edition, 2008.

 2 The theorem:

Theorem: Let f(x) be C^{∞} on (c, d) such that for every point x in the interval there exists an integer N_x for which $f^{(N_x)}(x) = 0$; then f(x) is a polynomial.

is due to two Catalan mathematicians:

F. Sunyer i Balaguer, E. Corominas, Sur des conditions pour qu'une fonction infiniment dérivable soit un polynôme. Comptes Rendues Acad. Sci. Paris, 238 (1954), 558-559.

F. Sunyer i Balaguer, E. Corominas, Condiciones para que una función infinitamente derivable sea un polinomio. Rev. Mat. Hispano Americana, (4), 14 (1954).

The proof can also be found in the book (p. 53):

W. F. Donoghue, Distributions and Fourier Transforms, Academic Press, New York, 1969. I will never forget it because in an "Exercise" of the "Opposition" to became "Full Professor" I was posed the following problem:

What are the real functions indefinitely differentiable on an interval such that a derivative vanish at each point?

Juan Arias de Reyna; see Question 34059 on Mathoverflow.

Proof. We have $f^{(n)}(b) = 0$ for n = 1, 2, ... since otherwise $f(b + \varepsilon) = f(b) + c\varepsilon^k + o(\varepsilon^k)$ for some $k \ge 1$ and $c \ne 0$.

The same holds for $f(a - \varepsilon_n)$, of course.

Assume that f is a counterexample to Theorem 9a1.

Consider a (maybe empty) set P_f of all maximal nondegenerate intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that f is a polynomial on I. Note that intervals of P_f are closed and pairwise disjoint.

9a3 Lemma. The open set

$$G_f = \bigcup_{I \in P_f} \operatorname{Int} I$$

is dense (in \mathbb{R}).

Proof. Closed sets

$$F_{n,r} = \{x : f^{(n)}(x) = r\}$$
 for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

cover \mathbb{R} . By (5b7), $\bigcup_{n,r}$ Int $F_{n,r}$ is dense. Clearly, f is a polynomial on each interval contained in this dense open set.

It follows that P_f , treated as a totally (in other words, linearly) ordered set, is dense (that is, if $I_1, I_2 \in P_f$, $I_1 < I_2$ then $\exists I \in P_f$ $I_1 < I < I_2$). It may contain minimal and/or maximal element (unbounded intervals), but the rest of P_f , being an unbounded dense countable totally ordered set, is order isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ (the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2d4; so-called back-and-forth method).

Now we want to contract each interval of P_f into a point. (We could consider a topological quotient space...)

We take an order isomorphism $\varphi : P_f \to \mathbb{Q}$ between P_f and one of $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1), \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1), \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1], \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$, and construct an increasing $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ such that $\psi(x) = \varphi(I)$ whenever $x \in I$. Clearly, such ψ exists and is unique. It is continuous. The image $\psi(\mathbb{R})$ is one of (0,1), [0,1), (0,1], [0,1]. In every case $\psi(\mathbb{R})$ is completely metrizable. Note that $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}) = \bigcup_{I \in P_f} I$, and ψ is one-to-one on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{I \in P_f} I$.

We define $E_{n,r} \subset \psi(\mathbb{R})$ for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ as follows:

$$E_{n,r} = \{x : \psi^{-1}(x) \subset F_{n,r}\}.$$

9a4 Lemma. Each $E_{n,r}$ is closed in $\psi(\mathbb{R})$.

all k, thus $f^{(n)}(t) = r$, that is, $t \in F_{n,r}$.

If x is irrational then $x \in E_{n,r}$ since $\psi^{-1}(x) = \{t\}$.

If x is rational then $\psi^{-1}(x) = [s, t]$, and $f^{(n)}(\cdot) = r$ on [s, t] by Lemma 9a2 (applied to $f^{(n)}$).

The case $x_k \uparrow x$ is similar.

9a5 Exercise. Each $E_{n,r}$ is nowhere dense in $\psi(\mathbb{R})$.

Prove it.

Now Theorem 9a1 follows from the Baire category theorem (applied the second time).

9a6 Corollary. If an infinitely differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ has only finitely many non-zero partial derivatives at every point then f is a polynomial.

Proof. Let d = 2 (the general case is similar).

By Theorem 9a1, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $f(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial; similarly, each $f(\cdot, y)$ is a polynomial. Introducing the set A_n of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, \cdot)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ we have $A_n \uparrow \mathbb{R}$, therefore A_n is infinite (moreover, uncountable) for n large enough. The same holds for $f(\cdot, y)$ and B_n .

For $x \in A_n$ the coefficients $a_0(x), \ldots, a_n(x)$ of the polynomial $f(x, \cdot)$ are linear functions of $f(x, y_0), \ldots, f(x, y_n)$ provided that $y_0, \ldots, y_n \in B_n$ are pairwise different. Therefore these coefficients are polynomials (in x), of degree $\leq n$.

We get a polynomial $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x, y) = P(x, y) for $x \in A_n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $y \in \mathbb{R}$ two polynomials $f(\cdot, y)$ and $P(\cdot, y)$ coincide on the infinite set A_n , therefore they coincide on the whole \mathbb{R} . \Box

A very similar (and a bit simpler) argument gives an interesting purely topological result.

9a7 Theorem. ¹ If [0, 1] is the disjoint union of countably many closed sets then one of the sets is the whole [0, 1] (and others are empty).

Proof. (sketch). Assume the contrary: $[0,1] = \bigoplus_n F_n$, $F_n \neq \emptyset$ are closed. (Finitely many sets cannot do because of connectedness.) Then $\cup_n \operatorname{Int} F_n$ is dense in [0,1].

¹Exercise 10:2.8 in "Real analysis". Also Problem 13.15.3 in book: B. Thomson, J. Bruckner, A. Bruckner, "Elementary real analysis", second edition, 2008.

Consider a (maybe empty) set P of all maximal nondegenerate intervals $I \subset [0,1]$ such that $\exists n \ I \subset F_n$. Note that intervals of P_f are closed and pairwise disjoint. The open set $G = \bigcup_{I \in P} \operatorname{Int} I$ is dense in [0,1], since it contains $\bigcup_n \operatorname{Int} F_n$.

It follows that P, treated as a totally ordered set, is dense. Thus, the set $C = [0, 1] \setminus G$ is perfect, with no interior (and in fact, homeomorphic to the Cantor set).

As before, each $F_n \cap C$ is nowhere dense in C. (Hint: if an endpoint of an interval $I \in P$ belongs to $F_n \cap C$ then $I \subset F_n$.)

It remains to apply the Baire category theorem (in the second time). \Box

9a8 Corollary. If the cube $[0, 1]^d$ is the disjoint union of countably many closed sets then one of the sets is the whole $[0, 1]^d$ (and others are empty).

Proof. Let d = 2 (the general case is similar).

Assume the contrary: $[0,1]^2 = \bigcup_n F_n$, F_n are closed.

By Theorem 9a7, each $\{x\} \times [0, 1]$ is contained in a single F_n . The same holds for each $[0, 1] \times \{y\}$. Thus, it is a single n.

I wonder, is it true for an arbitrary continuum (that is, a compact connected metrizable space)?

9b Continuous and nowhere differentiable

9b1 Theorem. There exists a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in (0, 1)$, f is not differentiable at x.

We consider the complete metric space C[0, 1] of all continuous $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (separable, in fact). We define continuous functions $\varphi_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi_n(f) = \min_{k=1,\dots,n} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) \right|.$$

Clearly, $\varphi_n \to 0$ pointwise. What about the rate of convergence? We take arbitrary $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ and examine $\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\varphi_n$.

9b2 Exercise. $\limsup_{n \to \infty, g \to f} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \varphi_n(g) = \infty \text{ for all } f \in C[0, 1].$

Prove it.

By Prop. 5b9,

(9b3)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \varphi_n(f) = \infty$$

for quasi all $f \in C[0, 1]$.

On the other hand, if f is differentiable at $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ then $f(x) - f(x_0) = O(|x - x_0|)$, that is,

$$\exists C \; \forall x \in [0,1] \; |f(x) - f(x_0)| \le C |x - x_0|.$$

Taking k such that $\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \in [x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n}]$ we get $|f(\frac{k}{n}) - f(\frac{k-1}{n})| \le \frac{2C}{n}$. Thus,

$$\forall n \ \varphi_n(f) \leq \frac{2C}{n}.$$

By (9b3), such f are a meager set, which proves Theorem 9b1.

9b4 Exercise. There exists a continuous function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{y \to x-} |f(y) - f(x)| \log \log \log \frac{1}{|y-x|} &= \infty \,, \\ \limsup_{y \to x+} |f(y) - f(x)| \log \log \log \frac{1}{|y-x|} &= \infty \,. \end{split}$$

Prove it.

However, |f(y) - f(x)| cannot be replaced with f(y) - f(x). If C > f(1) - f(0) then there exists $x \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{y \to x+} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \le C$$

and moreover, $\sup_{y \in (x,1]} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq C$. Proof (sketch): choose $b \in (f(1) - C, f(0))$ and take the greatest x such that $f(x) \geq Cx + b$.

9c Differentiable and nowhere monotone

9c1 Theorem. ¹ There exists a differentiable function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $(a,b) \subset [0,1]$, f is not monotone on (a,b).

9c2 Lemma. ² There exists a strictly increasing differentiable function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f'(\cdot) = 0$ on a dense set.

¹C.E. Weil (1976) "On nowhere monotone functions", Proc. AMS **56**, 388–389. (Yes, two pages!) See also Sect. 10.7.2 in "Real analysis".

²S. Marcus (1963) "Sur les dérivées dont les zéros forment un ensemble frontière partout dense", Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 12, 5–40.

Proof. We'll construct a continuous strictly increasing surjective $g:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that the inverse function $f = g^{-1}:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ has the needed properties. It is sufficient to ensure that (finite or infinite) derivative $g'(\cdot) \in (0,\infty]$ exists everywhere (and never vanishes), and is infinite on a dense set.

A function

$$\alpha(x) = x^{1/3}$$

is strictly increasing (on \mathbb{R}), with $\alpha'(0) = +\infty$ and $\alpha'(x) \in (0, \infty)$ for $x \neq 0$. We introduce

$$A = \max_{h \neq 0} \frac{\alpha(1+h) - \alpha(1)}{h\alpha'(1)} \in (0,\infty)$$

(this continuous function vanishes on $\pm \infty$; in fact, A = 4) and note that

(9c3)
$$\frac{\alpha(x+h) - \alpha(x)}{h\alpha'(x)} \le A$$

for all $h \neq 0$ and x (since for $x \neq 0$ it equals $\frac{x^{1/3}(\alpha(1+\frac{h}{x})-\alpha(1))}{hx^{-2/3}\alpha'(1)} = \frac{\alpha(1+\frac{h}{x})-\alpha(1)}{\frac{h}{x}\alpha'(1)}$).

Similarly to Sect. 5a we choose some $a_n, c_n \in (0, 1)$ such that a_n are pairwise distinct, dense, and $\sum_n c_n < \infty$. The series

$$\beta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \alpha(x - a_n)$$

converges uniformly on [0,1] (since $|\alpha(\cdot)| \leq 1$ and $\sum_n c_n < \infty$). The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \alpha'(x-a_n)$ converges (to a finite sum) for some x and diverges (to $+\infty$) for other x (in particular, for $x \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$). We consider $\beta_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \alpha(x-a_k)$ and $\gamma_n(x) = \beta(x) - \beta_n(x) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha(x-a_k)$. By (9c3),

$$0 \le \frac{\gamma_n(x+h) - \gamma_n(x)}{h} \le A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k)$$

for all $h \neq 0$ and x. Thus (similarly to Sect. 5a)

$$\underbrace{\beta_n'(x)}_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\n\\ \longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k)}} \leq \liminf_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \\ \leq \limsup_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \beta_n'(x) + A \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_k \alpha'(x-a_k) \leq \\ \leq \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h} \leq \sum_{h\to 0} \frac{\beta(x+h) - \beta(x)}{h}$$

therefore

$$\beta'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \alpha'(x - a_n) \in (0, \infty]$$

Tel Aviv University, 2013

for all x.

It remains to take $g(x) = \frac{\beta(x) - \beta(0)}{\beta(1) - \beta(0)}$.

Do not think that $\beta'(\cdot) = \infty$ only on the countable set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$. Amazingly, f'(x) = 0 for quasi all $x \in [0, 1]$ (and therefore $\beta'(x) = \infty$ for quasi all $x \in [0, 1]$). Here is why. By 5b2 and 5c5, f' is of Baire class 1, thus, $\{x : f'(x) \neq 0\}$ is an F_{σ} set, and $\{x : f'(x) = 0\}$ is a G_{δ} set;¹ being dense it must be comeager (as noted before 5c2).

We introduce the space D of all bounded derivatives on (0, 1); that is, of F' for all differentiable $F : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that F' is bounded. We endow D with the metric

$$\rho(f,g) = \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |f(x) - g(x)| \,.$$

9c4 Exercise. (a) *D* is a complete metric space.

(b) D is not separable.

Prove it.

We consider a subspace D_0 of all $f \in D$ such that f(x) = 0 for quasi all x. As noted above, this happens if and only if $f(\cdot) = 0$ on a dense set. By 9c2, D_0 is not $\{0\}$; moreover, for every $x \in (0, 1)$ there exists $f \in D_0$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ (try f(ax + b)).

9c5 Exercise. (a) D_0 is a vector space; that is, a linear combination of two functions of D_0 is a function of D_0 .

(b) D_0 is a closed subset of D. Prove it.

Given $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$, the set

$$E_{a,b} = \{ f \in D_0 : \forall x \in (a,b) \ f(x) \ge 0 \}$$

is closed (evidently). Given $f \in E_{a,b}$, we take $x \in (a, b)$ such that f(x) = 0and $g \in D_0$ such that g(x) > 0. Then $f - \varepsilon g \in D_0$ and $f - \varepsilon g \notin E_{a,b}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$; thus, f is not an interior point of $E_{a,b}$. We see that $E_{a,b}$ is nowhere dense. Similarly, $-E_{a,b} = \{f \in D_0 : \forall x \in (a, b) \ f(x) \leq 0\}$ is nowhere dense. It follows that quasi all functions of D_0 change the sign on every interval. Theorem 9c1 is thus proved.

 $f'(x) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall \varepsilon \; \exists \delta \; \forall h \; \left(|h| < \delta \implies |f(x+h) - f(x)| \le \varepsilon |h| \right)$

gives only $F_{\sigma\delta}$. Taking into account that f is differentiable we have another representation

 $f'(x) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall \varepsilon \; \exists h \; \left(|h| < \varepsilon \land |f(x+h) - f(x)| < \varepsilon |h| \right)$

that gives G_{δ} .

¹A straightforward representation

Hints to exercises

9a5: otherwise, some interval of P_f is not maximal.

9b2: $g(\frac{k}{n}) = f(\frac{k}{n}) \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}$.

9b4: similar to 9b1.

9c4: (a) D is closed in the space of all bounded functions; (b) try shifts of a discontinuous derivative.