

3 Brownian rotations via stochastic integrals

3a Dimension one: the circle

3a1 Definition. A *Brownian motion* in a topological group¹ G is a sample continuous² process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in G with stationary independent increments³ $X_{s,t} = X^{-1}(s)X(t)$.

3a2 Example. Let $(B_t)_t$ be the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R} , then $(\sigma B_t + vt)_t$ is a Brownian motion in (the additive group of) \mathbb{R} , for any $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$.⁴

3a3 Definition. A *morphism* of a Brownian motion $(X_t)_t$ in G_1 into a Brownian motion $(Y_t)_t$ in G_2 is a Brownian motion $(Z_t)_t$ in $G_1 \times G_2$, $Z_t = (X'_t, Y'_t)$, such that⁵

$(X'_t)_t$ is distributed like $(X_t)_t$,

$(Y'_t)_t$ is distributed like $(Y_t)_t$,

the σ -field generated by $(Y'_t)_t$ is included into the σ -field generated by $(X'_t)_t$.

3a4 Example. Let $(B_t)_t$ be the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R} , and $Y_t = e^{iB_t}$. Then $(Y_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion in \mathbb{T} , and $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ is a morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into $(Y_t)_t$. Another morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into $(Y_t)_t$ is $(B_t, e^{-iB_t})_t$.

We may guess that $(B_t, e^{i\sigma B_t + ivt})_t$ is the general form of a morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into a Brownian motion in \mathbb{T} . How could we prove the guess?

Let $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ be a morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into a Brownian motion in \mathbb{T} . Then

$$Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < \infty} \dots \int f_{n,t}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n}$$

for some $f_n \in L_2(\Delta_n, \mathbb{C})$. Can we restrict ourselves to $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t$? In other words, is Y_t measurable w.r.t. $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B$? Clearly, Y_t is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t,\infty}^B$; so what?

3a5 Exercise. Let X, Y be two independent random variables, and $Z = f(X, Y)$ be independent of Y . Does it follow that $Z = g(X)$?

Hint. Find an elementary counterexample (say, $X = \pm 1$, $Y = \pm 1$).

3a6 Exercise. (a) Let X, Y be two independent random variables, and the pair $(X, f(X, Y))$ be independent of Y . Then $f(X, Y) = g(X)$ for some g .

(b) Let sub- σ -fields $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ (on a given probability space) satisfy⁶

$$\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{E} \vee \mathcal{F},$$

$$\mathcal{E} \vee \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \text{ are independent.}$$

¹A topological group G is both a group and a topological space such that the maps $G \ni x \mapsto x^{-1} \in G$ and $G \times G \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in G$ are continuous. (We need metrizable G only.)

²Recall 1e.

³Thus, $X_{r,s}X_{s,t} = X_{r,t}$. In a commutative group the operation is often written additively, in which case $X_{s,t} = X_t - X_s$.

⁴In fact, it is the general form of a Brownian motion in (the additive group of) \mathbb{R} .

⁵ $G_1 \times G_2 = \{(x, y) : x \in G_1, y \in G_2\}$ is a topological group with the product topology and the operation $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2)$.

⁶ $\mathcal{E} \vee \mathcal{F}$ denotes the sub- σ -field generated by \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} .

Then $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Prove it.

Hint (to (a)): denote $Z = f(X, Y)$; check that $\mathbb{P}(Z \in C \mid X \in A, Y \in B) = \mathbb{P}(Z \in C \mid X \in A)$; conclude that $\mathbb{E}(Z \mid X, Y) = \mathbb{E}(Z \mid X)$. And what about integrability of Z ?

Applying 3a6(b) to $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{t,\infty}^B$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^Y$ we get $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}^Y \subset \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B$. Therefore

$$Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t} f_{n,t}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n}$$

for some $f_{n,t} \in L_2(\Delta_n \cap (0, t)^n, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover,

$$Y_s^{-1} Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{s < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t} f_{n,t-s}(t_1 - s, \dots, t_n - s) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n},$$

since the joint distribution of the increments of Y and B on (s, t) is the same as on $(0, t - s)$. The equality $Y_t = Y_s(Y_s^{-1} Y_t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (3a7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t} f_{n,t}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n} = \\ = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < s} f_{n,s}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n} \right) \cdot \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{s < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t} f_{n,t-s}(t_1 - s, \dots, t_n - s) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 < s < t < \infty$. Can we solve this equation, thus finding $f_{n,t}$?

First of all we want to eliminate dB and rewrite the equation in terms of $f_{n,t}$ only.

3a8 Exercise. Let $0 < s < \infty$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, $f \in L_2(\Delta_k \cap (0, s)^k)$, $g \in L_2(\Delta_{n-k} \cap (s, \infty)^{n-k})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \infty} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) g(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) \mathbf{1}_{(0,s)}(t_k) \mathbf{1}_{(s,\infty)}(t_{k+1}) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n} = \\ = \left(\int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < s} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_k} \right) \cdot \\ \cdot \left(\int \cdots \int_{s < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-k} < \infty} g(t_1, \dots, t_{n-k}) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_{n-k}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Prove it.

Hint. Both sides are bilinear in f, g ; it is enough to prove the equality for $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \mathbf{1}_{(a_1, b_1)}(t_1) \dots \mathbf{1}_{(a_k, b_k)}(t_k)$, $g(t_1, \dots, t_{n-k}) = \mathbf{1}_{(a_{k+1}, b_{k+1})}(t_1) \dots \mathbf{1}_{(a_n, b_n)}(t_{n-k})$ whenever $0 < a_1 < b_1 < \cdots < a_n < b_n < \infty$. Now use 2e6.

3a9 Exercise. The following equality is equivalent to (3a7):

$$f_{n,t}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_{k,s}(t_1, \dots, t_k) f_{n-k,t-s}(t_{k+1} - s, \dots, t_n - s) \mathbf{1}_{(0,s)}(t_k) \mathbf{1}_{(s,\infty)}(t_{k+1})$$

for almost all $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n$.

Prove it.

Hint: use 3a8.

All that may be written in a more elegant way, by interpreting $\Delta = \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \dots$ as the set of all finite subsets of $(0, \infty)$, and the sequence of functions $f_{0,s}, f_{1,s}, \dots$ as a single function

$$f_s : \Delta_{0,s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where $\Delta_{0,s} \subset \Delta$ is the set of all finite subsets of $(0, s)$. Note that Δ is equipped with the Lebesgue measure ‘mes’ (n -dimensional on Δ_n), $\text{mes } \Delta_{0,s} = e^s$, and

$$\|f_s\|_{L_2(\Delta_{0,s})} = \|Y_s\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

We get

$$(3a10) \quad f_t(M) = f_s(M \cap (0, s)) f_{t-s}(M \cap (s, \infty) - s)$$

for almost all $M \in \Delta$; of course, $M \cap (s, \infty) - s$ means $\{a - s : a \in M \cap (s, \infty)\}$. No need to bother about the case $M \ni s$, since such sets M are a negligible subset of Δ . On the other hand, the point $\emptyset \in \Delta_0 \subset \Delta$ is an atom (non-negligible point) of Δ .

The atom is a good starting point for solving (3a10). We have

$$(3a11) \quad f_t(\emptyset) = f_s(\emptyset) f_{t-s}(\emptyset),$$

which means that

$$(3a12) \quad f_t(\emptyset) = e^{at}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, unless the multiplicative function $t \mapsto f_t(\emptyset)$ is too bad (discontinuous everywhere, and in fact, non-measurable). However, $f_t(\emptyset) = \mathbb{E} Y_t$, which is continuous in t (by the bounded convergence theorem).

Having (3a12) we turn to one-point sets $M = \{u\}$ in (3a10):

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(\{u\}) &= f_s(\{u\}) \underbrace{f_{t-s}(\emptyset)}_{=\exp a(t-s)} \quad \text{for almost all } u \in (0, s); \\ f_t(\{u\}) &= \underbrace{f_s(\emptyset)}_{=\exp as} f_{t-s}(\{u - s\}) \quad \text{for almost all } u \in (s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, the expression $e^{-at} f_t(\{u\})$ depends only on u , and at the same time, it depends only on $t - u$. It must be a constant! However, what to do with ‘almost all’?

For any n we consider the average (like conditional expectation) g_n of the function $u \mapsto f_1(\{u\})$ w.r.t. the partition $(0, 1) = (0, 2^{-n}) \cup (2^{-n}, 2 \cdot 2^{-n}) \cup \dots \cup (1 - 2^{-n}, 1)$,

$$g_n(u) = 2^n \int_{k2^{-n}}^{(k+1)2^{-n}} f_1(\{u\}) du \quad \text{for } u \in (k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}).$$

3a13 Exercise. $g_n \rightarrow f_1(\{\cdot\})$ (for $n \rightarrow \infty$) in $L_2(0, 1)$.⁷

Prove it.

Hint. The increasing sequence of partitions leads to an increasing sequence of subspaces in $L_2(0, 1)$. Their union is dense in $L_2(0, 1)$ (indeed, it approaches any continuous function). And g_n is the orthogonal projection of $f_1(\{\cdot\})$ onto the n -th subspace.

3a14 Exercise. $g_n(u) = c_n \exp(a(1 - 2^{-n}))$ for all u ; here $c_n = 2^n \int_0^{2^{-n}} f_1(\{u\}) du$.

Prove it.

Hint: use (3a10) and (3a12).

3a15 Exercise. $c_n \rightarrow \sigma$ (for $n \rightarrow \infty$) for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Prove it.

Hint: $c_n = c_{n+1} \exp(a2^{-(n+1)})$.

3a16 Exercise. $f_1(\{u\}) = \sigma e^a$ for almost all $u \in (0, 1)$; here σ is as in 3a15.

Prove it.

Hint: use 3a13, 3a14, 3a15.

Similarly,

$$(3a17) \quad f_t(\{u\}) = \sigma e^{at} \quad \text{for almost all } u \in (0, 1).$$

The whole $\Delta_{0,1}$ may be partitioned (for a given n) according to the numbers⁸ $|M \cap (0, 2^{-n})|, \dots, |M \cap (1 - 2^{-n}, 2^{-n})|$. Let g_n be the average of f_1 w.r.t. that partition.

3a18 Exercise. $g_n \rightarrow f_1$ in $L_2(\Delta_{0,1})$.

Prove it.

Hint: similar to 3a13.

3a19 Exercise. $g_n(M) = c_n^{|M|} \exp(a(1 - |M|2^{-n}))$ for all n large enough (depending on $M \in \Delta_{0,1}$); here c_n is as in 3a14.

Prove it.

Hint: $|M \cap (k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})| \leq 1$ for all k , provided that n is large enough.

3a20 Exercise. $f_1(M) = \sigma^{|M|} e^a$ for almost all $M \in \Delta_{0,1}$; here σ is as in 3a15.

Prove it.

Similarly,

$$(3a21) \quad f_t(M) = e^{at} \sigma^{|M|} \quad \text{for almost all } M \in \Delta_{0,t}.$$

Finally, $f_{n,t}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = e^{at} \sigma^n$;

$$(3a22) \quad Y_t = e^{at} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^n \int \dots \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t} dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_n} = e^{at} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^n \frac{t^{n/2}}{n!} H_n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} B_{0,t}\right) = \\ = e^{at} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} B_{0,t} \sigma \sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t\right) = \exp(\sigma B_{0,t} + (a - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) t).$$

⁷In fact, they converge almost everywhere, but we do not need it.

⁸ $|M|$ stands for the number of points of M .

However, $|Y_t| = 1$ a.s., thus σ and $a - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ must belong to $i\mathbb{R}$,⁹ and our guess is confirmed.

3a23 Theorem. Every morphism of the standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} into a Brownian motion in \mathbb{T} is of the form $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ where

$$Y_t = \exp(i\sigma B_t + ivt)$$

and $\sigma, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

3a24 Exercise. Every morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into a Brownian motion in $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ (that is, the additive group of \mathbb{R}) is of the form $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ where

$$Y_t = \sigma B_t + vt$$

and $\sigma, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Deduce it from Theorem 3a23.

(b) Prove it from scratch, assuming however that $Y_t \in L_2$.

Hint. (a) Apply 3a23 to $(B_t, e^{iY_t})_t$ and note that a continuous function $[0, \infty) \rightarrow 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is necessarily constant. Or alternatively, consider $e^{i\lambda_1 Y_t}$ and $e^{i\lambda_2 Y_t}$ with λ_1/λ_2 irrational.

(b) The additive counterpart of (3a10) holds.

3a25 Exercise. Every morphism of $(B_t)_t$ into a Brownian motion in $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ (that is, the multiplicative group of non-zero complex numbers) is of the form $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ where

$$Y_t = \exp(\sigma B_t + vt)$$

and $\sigma, v \in \mathbb{C}$.

Prove it.

Hint. First, apply 3a24 to $(B_t, \ln|Y_t|)_t$ and show that $Y_t \in L_2$. Second, use (3a22). Or alternatively, apply 3a23 to $(B_t, Y_t/|Y_t|)_t$.

3a26 Exercise. Generalize 3a25 to (\mathbb{C}, \cdot) , the multiplicative semigroup of \mathbb{C} . To this end, generalize also 3a3.

Hint. $Y_t \neq 0$. Indeed, $\mathbb{P}(Y_t = 0) = e^{-ct}$, but only $c = 0$ conforms to 1e1(b).

3b Non-commuting matrices

We turn to the group $\text{SO}(n)$ of rotations of \mathbb{R}^n . That is, $\text{SO}(n)$ is the group of all $n \times n$ matrices U such that¹⁰

$$|\psi U| = |\psi| \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{and} \quad \det U = 1.$$

However, $|\psi U|^2 = (\psi U)(\psi U)^* = \psi U U^* \psi$, where U^* is the transpose. Therefore $U \in \text{SO}(n)$ if and only if¹¹

$$U U^* = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \det U = 1.$$

⁹If $\sigma \in i\mathbb{R}$ but $a - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \notin i\mathbb{R}$ then Y_t leaves the circle *tangentially*. A wonder! It never happens in the smooth analysis. In fact, $d|Y_t|$ contains not only dY_t but also $(dY_t)^2$.

¹⁰I write ψU treating ψ as a row (rather than a column); thus, the product $U_1 U_2$ means that U_1 acts before U_2 .

¹¹Conditions $U U^* = 1$, $U^* U = 1$ and $U^* = U^{-1}$ are equivalent.

Note that $\text{SO}(2) = \mathbb{T} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is commutative, but $\text{SO}(3)$ is not. Each element of $\text{SO}(3)$ is the rotation around some line by some angle. Note especially rotations around the axes:

$$(3b1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & 0 & \sin \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ 0 & -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(3).$$

The group $\text{SO}(n)$ lies in the algebra $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ of all real $n \times n$ matrices.

The general form of a continuous one-parameter semigroup in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(\exp(ta))_{t \in [0, \infty)},$$

where $a \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, is a well-known fact of linear algebra. Of course, $\exp(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^n$. The operator

$$a = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} (\exp(ta) - 1)$$

is called the *generator* of the semigroup. The following fact is also well-known, but I want to prove it, since the proof will help us later.

3b2 Lemma. The following two conditions for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ are equivalent:

- (a) $\exp(ta) \in \text{SO}(n)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$;
- (b) $a^* = -a$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). We have $(\exp(ta))(\exp(ta))^* = 1$ and $\exp(ta) = 1 + ta + o(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus $(1 + ta + o(t))(1 + ta^* + o(t)) = 1$; $ta + ta^* = o(t)$; $a + a^* = o(1)$; $a + a^* = 0$.

(b) \implies (a). First, it is sufficient to prove that $\exp(ta)$ is isometric; then $\det \exp(ta) = \pm 1$, and by continuity it must be equal to $+1$. Second, we introduce a function $\varphi : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$,

$$\varphi(U) = \sup_{|\psi|=1} |\ln |\psi U||$$

and note that $\varphi(U_1 U_2) \leq \varphi(U_1) + \varphi(U_2)$; therefore

$$\varphi(\exp(ta)) \leq n\varphi(\exp(\frac{1}{n}ta));$$

it remains to prove that $\varphi(\exp(ta)) = o(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$, which is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi \exp(ta)|^2 &= |\psi(1 + ta + o(t))|^2 = |\psi + t\psi a + o(t)|^2 = \\ &= |\psi|^2 + t\langle \psi a, \psi \rangle + t\langle \psi, \psi a \rangle + o(t) = |\psi|^2 + t\langle \psi(a + a^*), \psi \rangle + o(t) = |\psi|^2 + o(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

Brownian motions in $\text{SO}(n)$ are defined (recall 3a1), as well as morphisms of the standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} into Brownian motions in $\text{SO}(n)$ (recall 3a3). Similarly to 3a, if we have functions

$$f_t \in L_2(\Delta_{0,t}, M_n(\mathbb{R}))$$

satisfying (3a10), then we get a morphism $(B_t, Y_t)_t$,

$$(3b3) \quad Y_t = \int_{\Delta_{0,t}} f_t(M) \left(\prod_{s \in M} dB_s \right) dM = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n < t} \dots \int f_{n,t}(s_1, \dots, s_n) dB_{s_1} \dots dB_{s_n},$$

where $f_{n,t}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = f_t(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$. Of course, a stochastic integral of a vector-function (or matrix-function) is treated coordinate-wise. Generally we get (a morphism to) a Brownian motion in $(M_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$; under some additional conditions on (f_t) we should get a Brownian motion in $SO(n)$.

Similarly to 3a we find the general form of (f_t) satisfying (3a10) and such that $f_t(\emptyset)$ is continuous in t . Namely,

$$(3b4) \quad f_t(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}) = \exp(s_1 a) \sigma \exp((s_2 - s_1) a) \sigma \dots \exp((t - s_n) a);$$

here $a, \sigma \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Unlike 3a, we cannot join the exponentials into $\exp(ta)$ since they need not commute with σ .

3b5 Exercise. Prove (3b4).

Hint. Similar to 3a. Note that $\|e^a - 1\| \leq e^{\|a\|} - 1$ (since $\|a^n\| \leq \|a\|^n$).

We assume that $Y_t \in SO(n)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and try to deduce (necessary) conditions on a, σ in the spirit of “(a) \implies (b)” in the proof of 3b2.

3b6 Exercise. Prove that

$$Y_t = \exp(ta) + \int_0^t \exp(sa) \sigma \exp((t-s)a) dB_s + O(t) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0,$$

that is, the norm of the remainder term in $L_2(\Omega)$ does not exceed $\text{const} \cdot t$.

Hint. Recall the last formula of Sect. 2: $\|X\|^2 = \sum \|f_n\|^2$. Generalize it to vector-functions.

We have for small t

$$\int_0^t \exp(sa) \sigma \exp((t-s)a) dB_s = \int_0^t (1 + O(t)) \sigma (1 + O(t)) dB_s = \sigma \int_0^t dB_s + O(t\sqrt{t}),$$

therefore

$$Y_t = 1 + \sigma B_{0,t} + O(t);$$

$$1 = Y_t Y_t^* = (1 + \sigma B_{0,t} + o(\sqrt{t})) (1 + \sigma^* B_{0,t} + o(\sqrt{t})) = 1 + (\sigma + \sigma^*) B_{0,t} + o(\sqrt{t})$$

(note however that the last occurrence of $o(\sqrt{t})$ is interpreted in $L_1(\Omega)$ rather than $L_2(\Omega)$); so, $(\sigma + \sigma^*) B_{0,t} / \sqrt{t} = o(1)$, which means that

$$\sigma^* = -\sigma.$$

However, the necessary condition is definitely not sufficient, since it does not include a . We need the next term of the asymptotics:¹²

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= \exp(ta) + \int_0^t \exp(sa)\sigma \exp((t-s)a) dB_s + \\
&+ \iint_{0 < r < s < t} \exp(ra)\sigma \exp((s-r)a)\sigma \exp((t-s)a) dB_r dB_s + O(t^{3/2}); \\
\iint_{0 < r < s < t} \exp(ra)\sigma \exp((s-r)a)\sigma \exp((t-s)a) dB_r dB_s &= \sigma^2 \underbrace{\iint_{0 < r < s < t} dB_r dB_s}_{=\frac{1}{2}(B_{0,t}^2 - t)} + O(t^2); \\
Y_t &= 1 + \sigma B_{0,t} + ta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(B_{0,t}^2 - t) + O(t^{3/2}); \\
Y_t Y_t^* &= 1 + \underbrace{(\sigma + \sigma^*)}_{=0} B_{0,t} + t(a + a^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2 + (\sigma^2)^*)(B_{0,t}^2 - t) + \sigma\sigma^* B_{0,t}^2 + O(t^{3/2}); \\
t(a + a^*) + \sigma^2(B_{0,t}^2 - t) - \sigma^2 B_{0,t}^2 &= o(t); \\
t(a + a^* - \sigma^2) &= o(t); \\
a + a^* &= \sigma^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\sigma^* = -\sigma$ and $a + a^* = \sigma^2$;
- $Y_t Y_t^* = 1 + o(t)$ in $L_1(\Omega)$.

3b7 Exercise. The following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $Y_t \in \text{SO}(n)$ for all t almost surely;
- (b) $\sigma^* = -\sigma$ and $a + a^* = \sigma^2$.

Prove it.

Hint. Use the argument above. (b) \implies (a): similarly to 3b2; consider $\mathbb{E} \min(1, \varphi(Y_t))$.

In the case $n = 1$ we get the result of 3a again. Indeed, \mathbb{C} may be treated as a subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re } z & \text{Im } z \\ -\text{Im } z & \text{Re } z \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{T} becomes $\text{SO}(1)$, $\mathbb{T} \in e^{i\alpha} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(1)$. Treating σ and a as complex numbers, we rewrite 3b7(b) as $\bar{\sigma} = -\sigma$ and $a + \bar{a} = \sigma^2$, that is,

$$\sigma \in i\mathbb{R}, \quad \text{Re } a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2.$$

Compare it with (3a22) and the phrase after it: “ σ and $a - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ must belong to $i\mathbb{R}$ ”. Similarly to 3a, we may replace $\sigma, a - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ with $i\sigma, iv$, writing a morphism of the standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} into a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$ as $(B_t, Y_t)_t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3b8) \quad Y_t &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n < t} \dots \int \exp(s_1(iv - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2))\sigma \exp((s_2 - s_1)(iv - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2))\sigma \dots \\
&\dots \exp((t - s_n)(iv - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)) dB_{s_1} \dots dB_{s_n},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma, v \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\sigma^* = \sigma, v^* = v$. That is the general form of such morphisms.

¹²Do not forget: in stochastic analysis a point can leave the circle (or another manifold) tangentially!

3b9 Exercise.

$$Y_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\exp \left(i\sigma B_{0, \frac{1}{n}} + iv \frac{1}{n} \right) \dots \exp \left(i\sigma B_{\frac{n-1}{n}, 1} + iv \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)$$

(convergence in $L_2(\Omega)$).

Prove it.

Hint: $Y_t = \exp(i\sigma B_{0,t} + ivt) + O(t^{3/2})$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Here is a convenient notation for (3b8):

$$Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(\int_0^t (i\sigma dB_s + iv ds) \right);$$

here “Texp” is called “chronological exponential”. The idea is that the integral is the sum of infinitesimal terms, but they need not commute; we multiply their exponentials in the chronological order.¹³

We may also introduce a Brownian motion $(X_t)_t$ in $(M_n(\mathbb{R}), +)$ (the *additive* group of matrices),

$$X_t = \sigma B_t + vt,$$

and consider a morphism of $(X_t)_t$ into $(Y_t)_t$,

$$Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t dX_s \right).$$

3c Finite dimension

3c1 Exercise. (a) The general form of a morphism of the standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} into a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^n is $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ where

$$Y_t = \sigma B_t + vt$$

and $\sigma, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(b) There is no morphism of the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R} into the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Prove it.

Hint. (a): apply 3a24 to each coordinate of Y_t ; (b): follows from (a).

Striving to describe arbitrary Brownian motions in $\text{SO}(n)$ we should turn from $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} to m independent copies of $(B_t)_t$, that is, the standard m -dimensional Brownian motion $(B_1(t), \dots, B_m(t))_t$. Generalization of stochastic integrals is straightforward. The general form of a random variable $X \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_{0,\infty}^{B_1, \dots, B_m})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} X &= f_0 + \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=1}^m f_{1,k}(t) dB_k(t) + \iint_{0 < s < t < \infty} \sum_{k,l} f_{2,k,l}(s,t) dB_k(s) dB_l(t) + \dots = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int \dots \int_{0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n < \infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}} f_{n, k_1, \dots, k_n}(s_1, \dots, s_n) dB_{k_1}(s_1) \dots dB_{k_n}(s_n); \end{aligned}$$

¹³In some sense, $\exp(i\sigma dB + iv dt) = 1 + i(\sigma dB + v dt) - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma dB + v dt)^2 = 1 + i(\sigma dB + v dt) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 dt = 1 + i\sigma dB + (iv - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)dt$.

$$\|X\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int_{0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_n < \infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}} |f_{n, k_1, \dots, k_n}(s_1, \dots, s_n)|^2 ds_1 \dots ds_n.$$

All formulas obtained before hold for each B_k , but also for $B_1 \cos \alpha + B_2 \sin \alpha$ for any α . Especially, the formula $\iint_{0 < r < s < t} dB(r)dB(s) = \frac{1}{2}(B^2(t) - t)$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (\cos \alpha \cdot dB_1(r) + \sin \alpha \cdot dB_2(r)) (\cos \alpha \cdot dB_1(s) + \sin \alpha \cdot dB_2(s)) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((\cos \alpha \cdot B_1(t) + \sin \alpha \cdot B_2(t))^2 - t), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(3c2) \quad \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (dB_1(r)dB_2(s) + dB_2(r)dB_1(s)) = B_1(t)B_2(t).$$

In the spirit of (2c3) we may write¹⁴

$$(dB_1(t))(dB_2(t)) = 0.$$

How to generalize (3a10)? We may equip points of M with indices,

$$Y_t = \int_{\Delta_{0,t}} \left(\sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}^M} f_t(M, k) \prod_{s \in M} dB_{k(s)}(s) \right) dM,$$

or alternatively, we may introduce m finite sets M_1, \dots, M_m and write

$$Y_t = \int_{\Delta_{0,t}^m} f_t(M_1, \dots, M_m) \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \prod_{s \in M_k} dB_k(s) \right) dM_1 \dots dM_m;$$

it is only a matter of convenience. In any case, the argument of f_t may be split according to $0 < s < t$, and (3a10) may be generalized. Similarly to (3a12),

$$f_t(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset) = \exp(at) \quad \text{or} \quad f_t(\emptyset, \text{nothing}) = \exp(at),$$

further,

$$f_t(\{s\}, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset) = \exp(as)\sigma_1 \exp(a(t-s)), \dots, f_t(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \{s\}) = \exp(as)\sigma_m \exp(a(t-s)),$$

that is,

$$f_t(\{s\}, k) = \exp(as)\sigma_k \exp(a(t-s)),$$

and the counterpart of (3b4) is evident:

$$f_t(\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}, k) = \exp(s_1 a)\sigma_{k(s_1)} \exp((s_2 - s_1)a)\sigma_{k(s_2)} \dots \exp((t - s_n)a).$$

¹⁴ $(\frac{dB_1(t) \pm dB_2(t)}{\sqrt{2}})^2 = dt$, thus $dB_1(t)dB_2(t) = 0$.

Similarly to (3b),

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= 1 + \sigma_1 B_1(t) + \cdots + \sigma_m B_m(t) + O(t); \\ 1 = Y_t Y_t^* &= 1 + (\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^*) B_1(t) + \cdots + (\sigma_m + \sigma_m^*) B_m(t) + o(\sqrt{t}); \\ \sigma_1^* &= -\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m^* = -\sigma_m. \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic term (taking for simplicity $m = 2$) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{0 < r < s < t} \exp(ra)(\sigma_1 dB_1(r) + \sigma_2 dB_2(r)) \exp((s-r)a)(\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s)) \exp((t-s)a) = \\ &= \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (1 + O(t))(\sigma_1 dB_1(r) + \sigma_2 dB_2(r))(1 + O(t))(\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s))(1 + O(t)) = \\ &= \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (\sigma_1 dB_1(r) + \sigma_2 dB_2(r))(\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s)) + O(t^2) = \\ &= \sigma_1^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(B_1^2(t) - t) + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdot B_1(t) B_2(t) + \sigma_2^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(B_2^2(t) - t) + O(t^2) \end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k B_k(t) + ta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k^2 (B_k^2(t) - t) + \sum_{k < l} \sigma_k \sigma_l B_k(t) B_l(t) + O(t^{3/2}); \\ Y_t Y_t^* &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \underbrace{(\sigma_k + \sigma_k^*)}_{=0} B_k(t) + t(a + a^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (\sigma_k^2 + (\sigma_k^*)^2) (B_k^2(t) - t) + \\ &+ \sum_{k < l} (\sigma_k \sigma_l + (\sigma_k \sigma_l)^*) B_k(t) B_l(t) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k B_k(t) \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k B_k(t) \right)^* + O(t^{3/2}); \\ t(a + a^*) + \sum_k \sigma_k^2 (B_k^2(t) - t) + \sum_{k < l} (\sigma_k \sigma_l + \sigma_l \sigma_k) B_k(t) B_l(t) - \sum_{k, l} \sigma_k \sigma_l B_k(t) B_l(t) &= o(t); \\ t(a + a^*) - t \sum_k \sigma_k^2 &= o(t); \\ a + a^* &= \sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3b7) we conclude that $Y_t \in \text{SO}(n)$ if and only if $\sigma_1^* = -\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m^* = -\sigma_m$ and $a + a^* = \sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_m^2$. Replacing for convenience $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ and $a - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_m^2)$ with $i\sigma_1, \dots, i\sigma_m$ and iv we get $\sigma_1^* = \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m^* = \sigma_m$, $v^* = v$ and similarly to (3b9), $Y_t = \exp(i\sigma_1 B_1(t) + \cdots + i\sigma_m B_m(t) + ivt) + O(t^{3/2})$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \text{Texp} \left(\int_0^t (i\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \cdots + i\sigma_m dB_m(s) + iv ds) \right) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t dX_s \right), \\ X_t &= \sigma_1 B_1(t) + \cdots + \sigma_m B_m(t) + vt. \end{aligned}$$

3c3 Example. In $\text{SO}(3)$, let $i\sigma_1, i\sigma_2, i\sigma_3$ be the generators of the three rotation groups (3b1),

$$i\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad i\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad i\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$a = iv - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2);$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking $v = 0$ we get

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{1};$$

here, a commutes with everyting, and we may combine $\exp(s_1 a), \dots, \exp((t - s_n) a)$ into $\exp(ta)$ (as simple as in 3a);

$$Y_t = T \exp \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s) + \sigma_3 dB_3(s)) \right) =$$

$$= e^{-t} + e^{-t} i \int_0^t (\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s) + \sigma_3 dB_3(s)) +$$

$$+ e^{-t} i^2 \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (\sigma_1 dB_1(r) + \sigma_2 dB_2(r) + \sigma_3 dB_3(r)) (\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \sigma_2 dB_2(s) + \sigma_3 dB_3(s)) + \dots$$

The linear term of $e^t Y_t$ is simply i times

$$\sigma_1 B_1(t) + \sigma_2 B_2(t) + \sigma_3 B_3(t).$$

The quadratic term contains $i^2 = -1$ times

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 (B_1^2(t) - t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 (B_2^2(t) - t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3^2 (B_3^2(t) - t)$$

but also 6 terms like $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \iint_{0 < r < s < t} dB_1(r) dB_2(s)$. We may write $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_1) = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$;

$$\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (3c2) we may write the symmetric terms as

$$\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 B_1(t) B_2(t) + \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_3 B_1(t) B_3(t) + \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3 B_2(t) B_3(t)$$

and the symmetric part of the quadratic term as

$$\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_1 B_1(t) + \sigma_2 B_2(t) + \sigma_3 B_3(t))^2 - t$$

(compare it with the linear term). However, there is also an asymmetric part,

$$\frac{1}{2} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] \iint_{0 < r < s < t} (dB_1(r) dB_2(s) - dB_2(r) dB_1(s)) + \text{two more terms.}$$

Unlike the commutative case of 3a, here $Y(t)$ is not a function of $B_1(t), B_2(t), B_3(t)$, it depends on the past.