



Vector Measures Are Open Maps

Author(s): Dov Samet

Source: *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 9, No. 3, (Aug., 1984), pp. 471-474

Published by: INFORMS

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3689534>

Accessed: 28/04/2008 11:06

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://mc1litvip.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=informs>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## VECTOR MEASURES ARE OPEN MAPS\*

DOV SAMET

*Northwestern University*

Nonatomic vector measures are shown to be open maps from the  $\sigma$ -field on which they are defined to their range, where the  $\sigma$ -field is equipped with the pseudometric of the symmetric difference with respect to a given scalar measure.

The main result we will prove is the following:

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be nonatomic,  $\sigma$ -additive, finite measures on a measurable space  $(I, \Sigma)$ , and let  $\lambda$  be a nonnegative measure. Then the vector measure  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  is an open map from  $\Sigma$  to the range of  $\mu$ , where  $\Sigma$  is equipped with the topology induced by the pseudometric  $d_\lambda$  defined by  $d_\lambda(S, T) = \lambda[(S \setminus T) \cup (T \setminus S)]$ , and the range of  $\mu$  is equipped with its relative topology in  $R^n$ .*

Tauman has shown [2, Lemma 2] that when  $\mu$  is a nonatomic nonnegative finite vector measure then for each  $x$  in the range of  $\mu$  there exists an  $S$  with  $\mu(S) = x$  such that each neighborhood of  $S$  (with respect to  $d_\mu$ ) is mapped by  $\mu$  to a neighborhood of  $x$ . The Main Theorem strengthens this result mainly by showing that every  $S$  with  $\mu(S) = x$  has the same property. This stronger result is used in [3].

Let us introduce the following notations. For  $S$  in  $\Sigma$  we denote by  $\bar{S}$  the complementary set  $I \setminus S$ . The symmetric difference of  $S$  and  $T$ ,  $(S \setminus T) \cup (T \setminus S)$  is denoted by  $S \Delta T$ . The Euclidean norm in  $R^n$  is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|$ , and the scalar product of  $\xi$  and  $x$  in  $R^n$  is denoted by  $\langle \xi, x \rangle$ . By the *relative boundary* of a closed set  $K$  in  $R^n$  we mean the set of all points in  $K$  which are not in the relative interior of  $K$ . The *face* of a convex closed set  $K$  in the direction  $\xi$  is the set

$$F(\xi) = \left\{ x \mid x \in K, \langle \xi, x \rangle = \max_{y \in K} \langle \xi, y \rangle \right\}.$$

We say that a closed set  $K$  in  $R^n$  is *strictly convex* if all the points on the relative boundary of  $K$  are extreme, or alternatively if for each  $\xi \in R^n$ ,  $F(\xi)$  is either  $K$  or a singleton. For a scalar measure  $\lambda$ , we denote by  $|\lambda|$  the sum of the positive and the negative parts of  $\lambda$ . For a vector measure  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ ,  $|\mu|$  is the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|$ . For each  $S$  we define  $R(\mu, S) = \{ \mu(T) \mid T \subseteq S \}$ . Clearly  $R(\mu, S) + R(\mu, \bar{S}) = R(\mu, I)$ . By Lyapunov Theorem [1],  $R(\mu, S)$  is a convex and compact set.

A convenient way to describe  $R(\mu, I)$  is as follows. Let  $f_i$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_i$  with respect to  $|\mu|$  and let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $\mu(S) = \int_S f d|\mu|$  and for  $\xi \in R^n$ ,  $\langle \xi, \mu(S) \rangle = \int_S \langle \xi, f \rangle d|\mu|$ . Obviously  $\mu(S)$  is in the face of  $R(\mu, I)$  in the direction  $\xi$ , if and only if  $\{ t \mid \langle \xi, f(t) \rangle > 0 \} \subseteq S \subseteq \{ t \mid \langle \xi, f(t) \rangle \geq 0 \}$  almost everywhere with respect to  $|\mu|$ . It follows then that  $R(\mu, I)$  is strictly convex if and only if the set  $\{ t \mid \langle \xi, f(t) \rangle = 0 \}$  is of  $|\mu|$ -measure zero for all supporting hyperplanes  $\xi$  of  $R(\mu, I)$  which do not contain  $R(\mu, I)$ , or alternatively if for each subspace  $V$  of  $R^n$  of dimension smaller than that of  $R(\mu, I)$ , the set  $\{ t \mid f(t) \in V \}$  is of  $|\mu|$ -measure zero.

\*Received February 12, 1982; revised September 16, 1982.

AMS 1980 subject classification. Primary: 28B05.

OR/MS Index 1978 subject classification. Primary: 431 Mathematics.

Key words. Measures, vector measures, open maps.

We can prove now:

LEMMA 1. *There is a decomposition  $R(\mu, I) = \sum_i R(\mu, S_i)$  such that  $\bigcup_i S_i$  is a partition of  $I$  and  $R(\mu, S_i)$  is strictly convex for each  $i$ .*

PROOF. The decomposition is built in  $n$  stages. In the stages  $1, \dots, k - 1$  a family of disjoint sets  $S_i^j, 1 \leq j \leq k - 1, 1 \leq i < i_j$  is defined ( $i_j$  is possibly  $\infty$  or  $0$ ) such that  $R(\mu, S_i^j)$  is strictly convex and of dimension  $j$ . Moreover, for each  $k - 1$  dimensional subspace of  $R^n, V$ , the set  $\{t \mid t \in I \setminus \bigcup_{i,j} S_i^j, f(t) \in V\}$  is of  $|\mu|$ -measure zero. In the  $k$ th stage we define the sets  $S_i^k, 1 \leq i < i_k$  which are all the subsets of  $I \setminus \bigcup_{i,j} S_i^j$  of the form  $\{t \mid f(t) \in V\}$  which have positive  $|\mu|$ -measure, where  $V$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $R^n$ . The disjointness of the sets  $S_i^k$  can be guaranteed since the intersection of each such two sets is a set of  $t$ 's for which  $f(t)$  belongs to a subspace of dimension less than  $k$ . The strict convexity of  $R(\mu, S_i^k)$  follows similarly. Q.E.D.

Let us call a vector measure  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  *monotonic* if each  $\mu_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  is either nonnegative or nonpositive. We will show now that it suffices to prove the Main Theorem for monotonic  $\mu$  with strictly convex range  $R(\mu, I)$ . Indeed, there is a partition  $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$  such that the restriction of  $\mu$  to each  $I_i$  is monotonic. We can decompose, furthermore, each  $I_i$  according to Lemma 1 to get eventually a partition  $I = \bigcup_i S_i$  and a decomposition  $R(\mu, I) = \sum_i R(\mu, S_i)$  such that for each  $i, \mu$  is monotonic on  $S_i$  and  $R(\mu, S_i)$  is strictly convex. For  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $S \in \Sigma$  denote

$$\Omega_i(S, \epsilon) = \{T \mid T \subseteq S_i, d_\lambda(T, S \cap S_i) < \epsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(S, \epsilon) = \left\{ \bigcup_i T_i \mid T_i \in \Omega_i(S, \epsilon) \right\}.$$

It is easy to verify that the family of sets  $\Omega(S, \epsilon)$  where  $S$  ranges over  $\Sigma$  and  $\epsilon$  ranges over the positive reals is a basis to the topology induced by  $d_\lambda$  on  $\Sigma$ . Moreover  $\mu(\Omega(S, \epsilon)) = \sum_i \mu(\Omega_i(S, \epsilon))$ . But  $\mu(\Omega_i(S, \epsilon)) \subseteq R(\mu, S_i)$  and  $R(\mu, S_i)$  is strictly convex and the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $S_i$  is monotonic. Therefore by proving the Main Theorem for monotonic  $\mu$  with strictly convex range we prove that  $\mu(\Omega(S, \epsilon))$  is relatively open in  $R(\mu, S_i)$  which says that  $\mu(\Omega(S, \epsilon))$  is relatively open in  $R(\mu, I)$ .

We assume now that  $\mu$  is monotonic and that  $R(\mu, I)$  is strictly convex. We start by proving the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *If  $x_0 = \mu(S_0)$  then for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $\{\mu(S) \mid d_{|\mu|}(\mu(S), S_0) < \epsilon\}$  contains a set  $\{x \mid x \in R(\mu, I), \|x - x_0\| < \delta\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .*

We first prove the lemma in the case that  $x_0$  is in the relative interior of  $R(\mu, I)$ , using Lemma 3.

LEMMA 3. *If  $x_0 = \mu(S_0)$  is in the relative interior of  $R(\mu, I)$ , then the intersection of the relative interiors of  $R(\mu, S_0)$  and  $R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$  is not empty.*

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Indeed, if this intersection is empty then there exists a hyperplane which separates the two sets and for at least one of them, say  $R(\mu, S_0)$ , contains only points from its relative boundary. Since  $0 \in R(\mu, S_0) \cap R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$  we conclude that there exists  $\xi \in R^n$  such that  $\langle \xi, x \rangle \geq 0$  for  $x \in R(\mu, S_0)$  and  $\langle \xi, x \rangle \leq 0$  for  $x \in R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$  and moreover for some  $x$  in the relative interior of  $R(\mu, S_0), \langle \xi, x \rangle > 0$ . Now let  $S \in \Sigma$  and denote  $S_1 = S \cap S_0, S_2 = S \cap \bar{S}_0$ . We have:

$$\langle \xi, \mu(S_2) \rangle \leq 0 \leq \langle \xi, \mu(S_0 \setminus S_1) \rangle = \langle \xi, \mu(S_0) \rangle - \langle \xi, \mu(S_1) \rangle$$

and therefore,

$$\langle \xi, \mu(S) \rangle = \langle \xi, \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2) \rangle \leq \langle \xi, \mu(S_0) \rangle.$$

This inequality holds for each  $S$  in  $\Sigma$  and, moreover, for some  $S$  the inequality is strict which shows that  $\mu(S_0)$  is in the relative boundary of  $R(\mu, I)$ , contrary to our assumption. Q.E.D.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 2.** Assume first that  $x_0$  is in the relative interior of  $R(\mu, I)$ . Let  $E_0, E_1$  and  $E_2$  be the linear spaces spanned by  $R(\mu, I), R(\mu, S_0)$  and  $R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$ , respectively, and denote by  $B_0, B_1$  and  $B_2$  the intersection of the unit ball in  $R^n$  with  $E_0, E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively. Since  $0 \in R(\mu, S_0) \cap R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$ , we find, using Lemma 3, a point  $w$  which belongs to the relative interiors of both  $R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$  and  $R(\mu, S_0)$  and for which  $\|w\| < \epsilon/4$ . Choose now  $0 < \eta < \epsilon/4$  such that  $w + \eta B_1 \subseteq R(\mu, S_0)$  and  $w + \eta B_2 \subseteq R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$ . Clearly  $E_0 = E_1 + E_2$  and therefore we can choose  $0 < \delta < \epsilon/4$  such that  $\delta B_0 \subseteq \eta(B_2 + B_1) = \eta(B_2 - B_1)$ . Now let  $x \in R(\mu, I)$  with  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$  and denote  $z = x - x_0$ . Since  $z \in \delta B_0$  there exist  $z_1 \in \eta B_1$  and  $z_2 \in \eta B_2$  such that  $z = z_2 - z_1$ . There exist also  $S_1 \subseteq S_0, S_2 \subseteq \bar{S}_0$  such that  $\mu(S_1) = w + z_1$  and  $\mu(S_2) = w + z_2$ . Define  $S = (S_0 \setminus S_1) \cup S_2$ . We have

$$\mu(S) = \mu(S_0) - \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2) = x_0 - z_1 + z_2 = x_0 + z = x,$$

and using the monotonicity of  $\mu$ ,

$$d_{|\mu|}(S, S_0) \leq \| \mu(S \Delta S_0) \| = \| \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2) \| = \| 2w + z_1 + z_2 \| < 2 \frac{\epsilon}{4} + 2\eta < \epsilon.$$

We continue now to prove Lemma 2 for  $x_0$  on the relative boundary of  $R(\mu, I)$ . Consider a sequence  $x_n = \mu(S_n)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ . We will show that  $\mu(S_n \Delta S_0) \rightarrow 0$  which is more than we need to complete the proof of Lemma 3. Let  $T'_n = S_n \cap S_0$  and  $T''_n = S_n \cap \bar{S}_0$ . Since the sequences  $\mu(T'_n)$  and  $\mu(T''_n)$  belong to the compact sets  $R(\mu, S_0)$  and  $R(\mu, \bar{S}_0)$  we can assume without loss of generality that  $\mu(T'_n) \rightarrow \mu(T')$  and  $\mu(T''_n) \rightarrow \mu(T'')$  where  $T' \subseteq S_0$  and  $T'' \subseteq \bar{S}_0$ . It follows that  $\mu(T' \cup T'') = \mu(S_0)$  and since  $R(\mu, I)$  is strictly convex  $T' = S_0$  and  $T'' = \emptyset$  almost everywhere with respect to  $\mu$ , which shows that  $\mu(S_n \Delta S_0) = \mu(S_0) - \mu(T'_n) + \mu(T''_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Q.E.D.

To complete the proof of the Main Theorem we have to show that  $d_\lambda$  can replace  $d_{|\mu|}$  in Lemma 2. There is a partition  $I = S_1 \cup S_2$  of  $I$  such that the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $S_1$  is continuous with respect to  $|\mu|$  and  $|\mu|(S_2) = 0$ . Define  $\Omega_i(S, \epsilon) = \{ T \mid T \subseteq S_i, d_\lambda(T, S) < \epsilon \}, i = 1, 2$ , and  $\Omega(S, \epsilon) = \{ T_1 \cup T_2 \mid T_i \in \Omega_i(S, \epsilon), i = 1, 2 \}$ . Clearly  $\mu(\Omega_2(S, \epsilon)) = 0$ . But  $\Omega_1(S, \epsilon)$  is open in the topology induced by  $d_{|\mu|}$  on the  $\sigma$ -field  $\{ T \mid T \in \Sigma, T \subseteq S_1 \}$  and therefore by Lemma 2  $\mu(\Omega(S, \epsilon)) = \mu(\Omega_1(S, \epsilon))$  is relatively open in  $R(\mu, S_1) = R(\mu, I)$ . Q.E.D.

Although in general the projection of a convex compact set is not necessarily an open map, it is open when the set is the range of a vector measure as follows easily from the Main Theorem.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1})$  be a nonatomic,  $\sigma$ -additive, finite vector measure. Then the projection  $\pi$  of the range of  $\mu$  on its first  $n$  coordinates is an open map onto the range of  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ .

**PROOF.** Denote  $\hat{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ . Clearly  $\pi\mu = \hat{\mu}$  and  $\pi = \hat{\mu}\mu^{-1}$ . The result follows since by the Main Theorem  $\hat{\mu}$  is an open map with respect to  $d_{|\mu|}$  and because  $\mu$  is continuous with respect to  $d_{|\mu|}$ . Q.E.D.

The Main Theorem can be stated in terms of the integral of a set valued function as follows. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  be nonatomic, positive and finite scalar measures. For a set valued function  $F: I \rightarrow R^n$  let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all  $\nu$ -integrable functions  $\phi: I \rightarrow R^n$  such that  $\phi(t) \in F(t)$  for each  $t$ , and let  $\int \mathcal{F} = \{ \int \phi d\nu \mid \phi \in \mathcal{F} \}$ . If  $f: I \rightarrow R^n$  is a  $\nu$ -integrable function and  $F(t) = \{0, f(t)\}$ , then  $\int \mathcal{F}$  is the range of the vector measure whose Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to  $\nu$  is  $f$ . By the Main Theorem we

conclude that the map  $\phi \rightarrow \int \phi$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  is open when  $\mathcal{F}$  is equipped with the norm topology of  $L_1^n(\lambda)$ . This formulation raises the natural question: how general the set valued function  $F$  can be, such that the map  $\phi \rightarrow \int \phi$  is still open.

**Acknowledgment.** The author acknowledges Zvi Artstein for a helpful discussion.

### References

- [1] Lindstraus, J. (1966). A Short Proof of Liapunoff's Convexity Theorem. *J. Math. Mech.* **15** 971–972.
- [2] Tauman, Y. A Characterization of Vector Measure Games in pNA. *Israel J. Math.* (to appear).
- [3] ——— and Reichert, J. (1984). The Space of Polynomials in Measures is Internal. *Math. Oper. Res.* (to appear).

MANAGERIAL ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT, J. L. KELLOGG GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201