Statistical Learning, Fall 2018-19

Homework exercise 1

Due date: 6 Nov. 2018

1. **Population Optimizer of absolute loss**
   Prove that for absolute loss: $L_{abs}(Y, f(X)) = |Y - f(X)|$, EPE is minimized by setting $f^*(x) = \text{Median}(Y|X = x)$
   Hint: you may find the following identity useful:

   $$\int_{y>c} (y - c)dP(y) = \int_{y>c} Pr(Y > y)dy$$

   (a) **Generalization to quantile loss** The τth quantile loss for $0 < \tau < 1$ is defined as:

   $$L_\tau(Y, f(X)) = \begin{cases} \tau \times (Y - f(X)) & \text{if } Y - f(X) > 0 \\ -(1 - \tau) \times (Y - f(X)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

   Prove that the EPE is minimized by setting $f^*(x)$ to be the τth quantile of $P(Y|X = x)$, i.e., $P(Y \le f^*(x)|X = x) = \tau$

2. **ESL 2.3:** Derive equation (2.24) (expected median distance to origin's nearest neighbor in an ℓ_p ball):

   $$d(p, n) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}^{1/n})^{1/p}$$

   Suggested approach:

   (a) Find the probability that all observations are outside a ball of radius $r < 1$, as a function of r.

   (b) You are looking for r such that this probability is $1/2$.

   Plot $d(p, n)$ against p for $n \in \{100, 5000, 100000\}$ and $p \in \{3, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100\}$ (make one curve for every value of n — use the R functions plot() and lines()) and interpret the graph.

3. **ESL 2.7:** Compare classification performance of k-NN and linear regression on the zipcode[1] data, on the task of separating the digits 2 and 3. Use $k \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 15\}$. Plot training and test error for k-NN choices and linear regression. Comment on the shape of the graph.

4. **ESL 2.9 (second edition only)** Consider a linear regression model, fit by least squares to a set of training examples $T = \{(X_1, Y_1), ..., (X_N, Y_N)\}$, drawn i.i.d from some population. Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the least

---

squares estimate. Suppose we also have some other ("test") data drawn independently from the same distribution $\{(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{Y}_1), ..., (\tilde{X}_M, \tilde{Y}_M)\}$. Prove that:

$$\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^{N}(Y_i - X_i^T\hat{\beta})^2) \leq \frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^{M}(\tilde{Y}_i - \tilde{X}_i^T\hat{\beta})^2),$$

that is, the expected squared error in-sample is always bigger than out of sample in least squares fitting. Note that the values X are also random variables here, and the expectation is over everything that is random, including X, Y and $\hat{\beta}$.

Hint: There are several ways to prove this. One starts from considering the best possible linear model we derived in class:
$$\beta^* = (E(XX^T))^{-1}E(XY),$$

and comparing both sides to it.

Note: Students who find more than one valid way to prove the result will get a bonus grade.

* **Extra credit problem: Optimality of k-NN in fixed dimension**
Assume $X \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^p)$, and $Y = f(X) + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2)$ (that is, $f(x) = E(Y|X = x)$).
Assume f is Lipschitz: $\|x_1 - x_2\| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < c\delta$, $\forall x_1, x_2 \in [0,1]^p$. Choose any sequence $k(n)$ such that:

$$k(n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty$$
$$k(n)/n \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

Then:
$$\text{EPE(k-NN using } k(n)) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} EPE(f) = \sigma^2$$

(The proof does not have to be completely formal, for example you can replace a binomial with its normal approximation without proof of the relevant asymptotics).