

Copenhagen Consensus Challenge: Population

Paper Outline

Assaf Razin* and Efraim Sadka†

Tel-Aviv University

October 9, 2003

*E-mail address: razin@post.tau.ac.il

†E-mail address: sadka@post.tau.ac.il

A Aging

1. Oeppen and Vaupel (*Science*, 2002) observe: “Is life expectancy approaching its limit?...Many ... believe it is. The evidence suggests otherwise ... For 160 years, best-performance life expectancy has steadily increased by a quarter of a year per annum...”
2. The median age in Europe is forecasted to rise from 37.7 now to 52.7 in 2050. The ratio of the elderly to the working-age population in West Europe is expected to double from 20% in 2000 to 40% in 2050.
3. The aforementioned demographic trends put state pension systems under enormous stress. So, either payroll contributions will increase exorbitantly [for, instance, Brugiavini (1999) estimated that the theoretical contribution rate that would balance the system in Italy was 44% in 1991], or the debt will sky rocket. As the *Economist* (August 24th, 2002) put it: “On some estimates, by 2050, government debt could be equivalent to almost 100 percent of national income in America, 150 percent in the European Union as a whole, and over 250 percent in Germany and France”. (Recall that the debt target ceiling set by the Stability and Growth Pact is only 60 percent of national income!)
4. Given these unpleasant choices, we study how the political power balance is expected to tilt toward down-scaling the welfare state in general and the old-age social security system in particular.
5. We examine the above hypothesis empirically, using panel data on the United States and European countries in the 1970s-1990s. Also, we estimate the magnitude of the effects of aging on key parameters of the welfare state. Their implication for the future of the welfare state will be examined as well.
6. One of the well-publicized proposals for such a reform is to shift from national pensions to individual retirement accounts.
7. Following Razin and Sadka (forthcoming), we develop a political-economy model with which we analyze the political economics of such reforms in aging societies. We show how aging affects the formation of pro- and anti-reform coalitions.
8. We also analyze how rigid balanced-budget rules (like, for instance, the Stability and Growth Pact in the European Union) can discourage the political forces behind the reform. We also discuss the emergence of

coalitions that can circumvent such obstacles.

9. Immigration of young workers (in particular, skilled workers) can alleviate the burden on young workers in the aging host countries. As the *Economist* recently (September 27th, 2003) put it: “Increasing Immigration would be hugely controversial, but it makes good economic and social sense, and not only from the point of view of pensions ...” In fact, more than a decade ago, the *Economist* (February 15, 1992) pointed out that migration may have positive spillovers: “Demography and economics together suggest that Europe might do better to open wider its doors. Europeans now live longer and have fewer babies than they used to. The burden of a growing host of elderly people is shifting on to a dwindling number of young shoulders”.

B Migration

1. Nowadays, in the era of globalization, capital can flow almost freely from rich to poor countries. Similarly, barriers to goods trade are being lifted; and technological improvements lower transportation costs, so that goods can flow freely from one country to another. If capital and goods flows are substitute to labor mobility, then why migration from poor to rich country is still a major concern for rich countries?
2. Observing that labor is generally restricted from moving from poor to rich countries, Lucas (1990) asks: “Why doesn’t capital flow from rich to poor countries?” Because, if capital does flow adequately from rich to poor countries, so as to equate internationally the marginal product of capital, then so will wages be equated. Hence, migration will turn to be a non-issue. But this is not the case: wages per effective unit of labor are still much higher in rich countries than in poor countries.
3. Lucas reconciles the puzzle by invoking human-capital externalities. The upshot: equal marginal products of capital do not imply equal wages. Labor still desires to flow from poor to rich countries, but it is administratively restrained from doing this.
4. Razin, Rubinstein and Sadka (in preparation) provide yet another reconciliation of the puzzle: “lumpy” adjustment costs of investment render unequal wages across countries even in the presence of free capital mobility. This hypothesis is tested empirically with panel data on bi-lateral FDI flows among rich and poor

countries. The analysis backs up unobserved thresholds above which flows are positive; thus, quantifying barriers to FDI flows.

5. With free trade in goods, the well-known Stolper-Samuelson (1941) factor-price-equalization theorem seems to have nullified the pressures for migration. Layard et al. (1992) follow this theorem and argue: “Ideally, policy should try to bring jobs to the East *rather than* Eastern workers to the West. International trade ... *can* act as a substitute for migration. A free trade pact that ensures Eastern European countries access to Western European markets is the best single migration policy ...” Nevertheless, this is not the case: wages are still much higher in the rich than in the poor countries, despite the expansion of free trade, and migration remains a key policy issue.
6. In fact, in the presence of a technology divide between rich and poor countries, international trade is likely to be complementary to labor mobility, thereby widening, rather than narrowing, the wage gap between rich and poor countries.
7. Incentives for migration are not shaped only by factor returns. Rather, migration is intertwined with welfare issues. The incentives for migration are shaped by the various ingredients of the welfare state, beyond the economic return (i.e., the marginal product) of labor as a factor of production. Pension contributions and benefits, unemployment and disability benefits, public education to children, health care, etc., are all part and parcel of the incentives for migration. These elements may be equally important as the return to labor in the form of wages in generating the pull-and-push factors of migration. The size of the aforementioned payments and benefits, the scope and the composition of the income redistribution embodied in them, and the degree of eligibility of migrants to benefit from them determine not only the incentives to migrate, but also the effect of migration on the well-being of the native-born population and, consequently, the attitude of this population toward migration.
8. A study, initiated by the U.S. National Research Council, estimates the *overall net fiscal* contribution of migrants with at least high school education who arrived in the U.S. at ages between 20 and 35 at approximately \$150,000 over their own lifetime; see Smith and Edmonston (1997). Things are less obvious when the migrants are low skilled. For instance, the aforementioned study estimates that migrants with

less than high school education, aged 20-40 years on arrival, impose an overall net fiscal burden of \$60,000-\$150,000 over their own life time.

9. We employ a political-economy model in which we examine how unskilled or skilled migration affect the outcomes of voting on various redistributive policies in the welfare state. The conclusions of the model are tested empirically with panel data on the U.S. and European countries.
10. We also employ lobbying models of the type of Grossman and Helpman (1994) in order to examine how migration quotas may be shaped. As an example, we mention the debate on H1-B visas, where Silicon Valley executives trooped before the Congress, warning of a Y2K computer disaster unless the number of H1-B visas was increased.

References

- [1] Abowd, John and Richard Freeman, eds. (1991). *Immigration, Trade and the Labor Market*, pp. 201-34. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [2] Auerbach, Alan, Laurence Kotlikoff, and Willi Leibfritz, eds. (1999). *Generational Accounts Around the World*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [3] Barro, Robert J. and Long-Wha Lee (2002). "International Data on Educational Attainment: Updates and Implications". Unpublished manuscript, Harvard University (February).
- [4] Berry, Albert R. and Ronald Soligo (1969). "Some Welfare Aspects of International Migration". *Journal of Political Economy*, 77: 778-794.
- [5] Bhagwati, Jagdish and Robert C. Feenstra, eds. (1987). *International Factor Mobility: Essays in International Economic Theory (International Factor Mobility)*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [6] Borjas, George and S. Trejos (1991). "Immigrant Participation in the Welfare System". *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 44, pp. 195-211.
- [7] Borjas, George (1999). "The Economic Analysis of Immigration". In: Orley Ashenfelter and David Card eds. *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Volume 3A, pp. 1697-1760. North-Holland.
- [8] Brugiavini, Agar (1999). "Social Security and Retirement in Italy". In: Gruber, Jonathan and David A. Wise (eds.). *Social Security and Retirement Around the World*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- [9] Canova, Fabio and Morten O. Ravn (1998). "Crossing the Rio Grande: Migrations, Business Cycles, and the Welfare State". CEPR Discussion Paper 2040, December, London, U.K..
- [10] Card, David, John DiNardo, and Eugena Estes (1998). "The More Things Change: Immigrants and the Children of Immigrants in the 1940s, the 1970s, and the 1990s". NBER Working Paper W6519.
- [11] Deininger, Klaus, and Lyn Squire (1996). "A New Dataset Measuring Income Inequality". *World Bank Economic Review* 10 (September): 565-91. Updated dataset available on <http://www.worldbank.org>.

- [12] Facchini, Giovanni and Gerald Willman (2001). "The Political Economy of International Factor Mobility". SIEPER Working Paper 00-20, Stanford University.
- [13] Grossman, Gene M. and Elhanan Helpman (1994). "Protection for Sale". *The American Economic Review* 84, pp. 833-50.
- [14] Gruber, Jonathan and David A. Wise, Eds. (1999). *Social Security and Retirement Around the World*. The University of Chicago Press.
- [15] Hatton, Timothy I. and Jeffrey G. Williamson (1992). "International Migration and World Development: A Historical Perspective". NBER Historical Paper 4, Cambridge, MA.
- [16] Hatton, Timothy I. and Jeffrey G. Williamson (1998). *The Age of Mass Migration: An Economic Analysis*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- [17] Heller, Peter S. (1998). "Rethinking Public Pension Reform Initiatives". IMF Working Paper 98/61. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- [18] Hemming, R. (1998). "Should Public Pensions be Funded?" IMF Working Paper 98/35. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- [19] Krugman, Paul (2002). "Notes on Social Security". www.wss.princeton.edu/~pkrugman/.
- [20] Lalonde, R.J. and H. Topel (1997). "Economic Impact of International Migration and the Economic Performance of Migrants". In Mark R. Rosenzweig and Oded Stark (eds.). *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, 1B. Elsevier.
- [21] Lassen, David D. and Peter Birch Sorensen (2002). "Financing the Nordic Welfare States: The Challenge of Globalization to Taxation in Nordic Countries". A Report prepared for the Nordic Council of Ministers, June.
- [22] Layard, Richard, Oliver Blanchard, Rudiger Dornbusch, and Paul Krugman (1992). *East-West Migration*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

- [23] Lee, Ronald and Timothy Miller (1998). “The Current Fiscal Impact of Immigrants: Beyond Immigrant Household”. In James Smith and Barry Edmonston, eds.. *The Immigration Debate*, pp. 183-205. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- [24] Lucas, Robert E. (1990). “Why Doesn’t Capital Flow from Rich to Poor Countries?”. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 80(2), 92-96 (May).
- [25] Markusen, James R. (1983). “Factor Movements and Commodity Trade as Complements”. *Journal of International Economics*, 22 (May): 341-356.
- [26] Mendoza, Enrique, Assaf Razin, and Linda Tesar (1994). “Effective Tax Rates in Macroeconomics: Cross-Country Estimates of Tax Rates on Factor Income and Consumption”. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 34: 297-323.
- [27] Mundell, Robert A. (1957). “International Trade and Factor Mobility”. *American Economic Review*, 47: 321-335.
- [28] OECD (1998). *Human Capital and Investment: An International Comparison*. Paris, OECD.
- [29] Oeppen, Jim and James W. Vaupel (2002). “Demography: Broken Limits to Life Expectancy”. *Science* 296 (May): 1029-1031.
- [30] Persson, Torsten, and Guido Tabellini (1999). “Political Economics and Public Finance”. In: Alan Auerbach and Martin Feldstein, eds.. *Handbook of Public Economics* 3: New York, North Holland.
- [31] Razin, Assaf and Efraim Sadka (forthcoming). *The Decline of the Welfare State: Demography and Globalization*. MIT Press.
- [32] Razin, Assaf, Yona Rubinstein and Efraim Sadka (in preparation). “Inadequacy of Capital Flows from Rich to Poor Countries: Lumpy Investment Costs”.
- [33] Razin, Assaf and Efraim Sadka (2001). *Labor, Capital, and Finance: International Flows*. Cambridge University Press.
- [34] Razin, Assaf and Efraim Sadka (1995). *Population Economics*. MIT Press.

- [35] Rosenzweig, Mark R. and Oded Stark, eds. (1997). *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*. Elsevier.
- [36] Smith, James, P. and Barry Edmonston, eds. (1997). *The New American: Economic, Demographic, and Fiscal Effects of Immigration*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C..
- [37] Stolper, Wolfgang and Paul A. Samuelson (1941). "Protection and Real Wages". *Review of Economic Studies*, 9: 58-73.
- [38] Storesletten, Kjetil (2000). "Sustaining Fiscal Policy Through Immigration". *Journal of Political Economy* 108(2) April, pp. 300-323.
- [39] Wildasin, David E. (1994). "Income Redistribution and Migration". *Canadian Journal of Economics* 27, 3 (August): 637-56.