

# CURVES, JACOBIANS, AND ZETA FUNCTIONS

Introductory Course to the Summer School on  
Arithmetic Geometry and Public Key Cryptography

Held in Tel Aviv University, 27.7 – 4.8.2008

by

Moshe Jarden

## 1. Algebraic Function Fields of One Variable

When we speak about a **function field of one variable over a field  $K$** , we mean a finitely generated regular extension  $F$  of  $K$  of transcendence degree 1. We briefly recall the definitions of the main objects attached to  $F/K$  and their properties. See the books [Che51] or [Sti93] for details. A more comprehensive survey can be found [FrJ08, Sections 3.1-3.2].

A  **$K$ -place** of  $F$  is a place  $\varphi: F \rightarrow \tilde{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = a$  for each  $a \in F$ . A **prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$**  of  $F/K$  is an equivalence class of  $K$ -places of  $F$ . Let  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a place in that class,  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the corresponding discrete valuation of  $F/K$ , and  $\bar{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the residue field. The latter field is a finite extension of  $K$  which is uniquely determined by  $\mathfrak{p}$  up to  $K$ -conjugation. We set  $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = [\bar{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} : K]$ . A **divisor** of  $F/K$  is formal sum  $\mathfrak{a} = \sum k_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  ranges over all prime divisors of  $F/K$ , for each  $\mathfrak{p}$  the coefficient  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integer, and  $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\mathfrak{p}$ 's. The **degree** of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\deg(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum k_{\mathfrak{p}} \deg(\mathfrak{p})$ . The divisor attached to an element  $f \in F^{\times}$  is defined to be  $\text{div}(f) = \sum v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  ranges over all prime divisors of  $F/K$ . This makes sense, since  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\mathfrak{p}$ 's. Further, one attaches to  $f$  the **divisor of zeros**  $\text{div}_0(f) = \sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) > 0} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)\mathfrak{p}$  and the **divisor of poles**  $\text{div}_{\infty}(f) = -\sum_{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) < 0} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $f \notin K$ , the degrees of each of these divisors is equal to  $[F : K(f)]$ . Hence,  $\deg(\text{div}(f)) = \deg(\text{div}_0(f)) - \deg(\text{div}_{\infty}(f)) = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{a} = \sum k_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{p}$  is a divisor of  $F/K$ , we write  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for each prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F/K$  and note that  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{div}(f)) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$  for each  $f \in F^{\times}$ . Given two divisors  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  of  $F/K$ , we write  $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$  if  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b})$  for each prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F/K$ . Finally, one attaches to each divisor  $\mathfrak{a}$  a finitely generated vector space  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})$  over  $K$  consisting of all  $f \in F$  with  $\text{div}(f) + \mathfrak{a} \geq 0$  and write  $\dim(\mathfrak{a})$  for  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}))$ .

Note that  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})$  if and only if  $\operatorname{div}_0(f) + \mathfrak{a} \geq \operatorname{div}_\infty(f)$ . Since  $\operatorname{div}_0(f)$  and  $\operatorname{div}_\infty(f)$  have no common prime divisors, the latter condition is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{a} \geq \operatorname{div}_\infty(f)$ . If  $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

The Riemann-Roch theorem gives a nonnegative integer  $g$ , called the **genus** of  $F/K$ , such that if  $\deg(\mathfrak{a}) > 2g - 2$ , then  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})) = \deg(\mathfrak{a}) + 1 - g$ . In the general case  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a})) = \deg(\mathfrak{a}) - 1 + g + \dim(\mathfrak{w} - \mathfrak{a})$ , where  $\mathfrak{w}$  is a **canonical divisor** of  $F/K$  [FrJ08, Thm. 3.2.1]. To this end recall that all canonical divisors of  $F/K$  are **linearly equivalent** (i.e. differ from each other by a divisor of an element of  $F^\times$ ),  $\deg(\mathfrak{w}) = 2g - 2$  and  $\dim(\mathfrak{w}) = g$  [FrJ08, Lemma 3.2.2].

As an example for the application of the Riemann-Roch theorem we consider a function field  $F/K$  of genus 0 with a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of degree 1. Since  $1 > 2 \cdot 0 - 2$ , we have  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{p})) = 2$ , so there exists  $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{p}) \setminus K$ . It satisfies  $\mathfrak{p} \geq \operatorname{div}_\infty(x)$ . Hence,  $1 \leq [F : K(x)] \leq \deg(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , so  $F = K(x)$  is a **rational function field** over  $K$ .

## 2. Curves

Let  $F/K$  be a function field of one variable. By assumption,  $F/K$  is a separably generated extension, that is there exists  $x \in F$  such that  $x$  is transcendental over  $K$  and  $F/K(x)$  is a finite separable extension. By the primitive element theorem, there exists  $y \in F$  with  $F = K(x, y)$ . Moreover,  $y$  can be chosen to be integral over  $K[x]$ . Thus, there exists a polynomial  $f \in K[X, Y]$  such that  $f(x, Y) = \operatorname{irr}(x, K(y))$ . The assumption that  $F/K$  is regular implies that  $f$  is absolutely irreducible. It defines an absolutely irreducible affine plane curve  $\Gamma$  that may be defined as a functor  $L \rightsquigarrow \Gamma(L)$  from the category of all field extension  $L$  of  $K$  to the category of sets given by

$$\Gamma(L) = \{(a, b) \in L^2 \mid f(a, b) = 0\}.$$

Writing  $f(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j \leq d} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$  with  $d = \deg(f)$ , we may also consider the homogeneous polynomial  $f^*(X_0, X_1, X_2) = \sum_{i,j \leq d} a_{ij} X_0^{d-i-j} X_1^i X_2^j$ , of degree  $d$ . Associated with  $f^*$  is the projective plane curve  $\Gamma^*$ , where now

$$\Gamma^*(L) = \{(a_0 : a_1 : a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2(L) \mid f^*(a_0, a_1, a_2) = 0\}.$$

Here  $(a_0:a_1:a_2)$  is the equivalence class of all nonzero triples  $(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$  for which there exists  $c \in L^\times$  satisfying  $(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3) = (ca_1, ca_2, ca_3)$ .

A point  $(a, b)$  of  $\Gamma(L)$  (also called an  $L$ -**rational point** of  $\Gamma$ ) is **simple** if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(a, b) \neq 0$  or  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(a, b) \neq 0$ . Likewise, an  $L$ -rational point  $\mathbf{a} = (a_0:a_1:a_2)$  is **simple** if  $\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial X_i}(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$  for at least one  $i$  between 0 and 2. The advantage of a simple point over singular (=nonsimple) points is that its **local ring**

$$O_{\Gamma^*, \mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{g(1, x, y)}{h(1, x, y)} \mid h, g \in K[X_0, X_1, X_2] \right. \\ \left. \text{are homogeneous of the same degree and } h(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0 \right\}$$

(assuming that  $a_0 \neq 0$ ) is a valuation ring of  $F$ . If  $L = K$ , then the local ring corresponds to a  $K$ -**rational place**  $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}$  (with  $\mathbf{a} = \varphi_{\mathbf{a}}(1, x, y)$ ), so to a prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{a}}$  of degree 1.

The curve  $\Gamma^*$  has two more affine open subsets  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  with coordinate rings  $K[\frac{1}{x}, 1, \frac{y}{x}]$  and  $K[\frac{1}{y}, \frac{x}{y}, 1]$ , respectively. They have the same function field  $F$  over  $K$  as  $\Gamma$ . The three affine pieces  $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  together cover  $\Gamma$ .

The curve  $\Gamma^*$  has only finitely many singular points. In an attempt ‘to get rid of them’, we first consider the integral closure  $K[x, y]'$  of  $K[x, y]$  in  $F$ . It is a finitely generated ring over  $K[x, y]$ , so has the form  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$ . Assuming that  $K$  is perfect (e.g.  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  or  $K$  is finite), then every local ring of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a valuation ring. Thus,  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the coordinate ring of a smooth affine curve  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . Similarly, it is possible to normalize  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  to affine smooth higher dimensional affine curves  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ . Finally, one patches  $\Delta, \Delta_1$ , and  $\Delta_2$  together to obtain a projective normalization  $\Delta^*$  of  $\Delta$ . The curve  $\Delta^*$  has the same function field as  $\Delta$  and there is a surjective morphism  $\pi: \Delta^* \rightarrow \Delta$ .

The advantage of the projective smooth model  $\Delta^*$  of  $F/K$  on  $\Delta$  is that every  $K$ -place  $\varphi$  of  $F$  gives rise to a point  $\mathbf{a} \in \Delta^*(\tilde{K})$  (where  $\tilde{K}$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $K$ ) whose local ring is the valuation ring of  $\varphi$ . This gives a bijective correspondance between  $\Delta^*(K)$  and the set of prime divisors of  $F/K$  of degree 1. In particular,  $\Delta^*(\tilde{K})$  bijectively corresponds to the set of prime divisors of  $F\tilde{K}/\tilde{K}$ . It follows that the group  $\text{Div}(F\tilde{K}/\tilde{K})$  of divisors of  $F\tilde{K}/\tilde{K}$  is isomorphic to the free additive Abelian group  $\text{Div}(\Delta^*)$  generated by the points in  $\Delta^*(\tilde{K})$ . The subgroup of all  $K$ -rational divisors of

$\Delta^*$  (i.e. those that are fixed by  $\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/\tilde{K})$ ) is isomorphic to  $\text{Div}(F/K)$ .

### 3. Elliptic Curves and Jacobians

As before, let  $F$  be a function field of one variable over a field  $K$  (that we assume to be perfect whenever necessary) and let  $C$  be a smooth projective model of  $F/K$  such that  $C(K) \neq \emptyset$ . We choose a point  $\mathbf{o} \in C(K)$ .

First we consider the case where  $g = \text{genus}(F/K) = \text{genus}(C)$  is 1. Then there is a bijective correspondance,  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow [\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{o}]$  between  $C(K)$  and the set of equivalence classes (modulo principal divisors) of divisors of degree 0. For example, if  $\mathbf{a}$  is a divisor of degree 0, then, by Riemann-Roch,  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{o})) = 1$ , so there exists  $f \in F^\times$  with  $\text{div}(f) + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{o} \geq 0$ . Since the degree of the left hand side is 1, there exists  $\mathbf{p} \in C(K)$  such that  $\text{div}(f) + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{p}$ . In other words,  $[\mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{o}]$ . Thus, our map is indeed surjective.

The set of equivalent  $K$ -rational classes of  $C$  of degree 0 forms a group. It is therefore possible to apply the bijective correspondance of the preceding paragraph to define addition on  $C(K)$  making it an additive Abelian group with  $\mathbf{o}$  as the zero point. Another application of Riemann-Roch shows that three points  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 \in C(K)$  lie on the same line if and only if  $\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 = 0$  (in the group  $C(K)$ ).

Another application of the Riemann-Roch theorem allows us to choose  $C$  as a projective plane curve (called an **elliptic curve**) defined by one homogeneous equation of degree 3. If  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$ , that equation can be chosen to be

$$X_2^3 = X_0X_1^2 + AX_0^2X_1 + BX_0^3,$$

where  $A, B \in K$  satisfy  $4A^2 + 27B^3 \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{o} = (0:1:0)$ . The geometric rule of addition on  $C(K)$  leads to explicit formulas of addition and negation that are often used for computations.

In the general case, where  $g \geq 1$ , there is a smooth projective variety  $J$  (called the **Jacobian** of  $C$ ) of dimension  $g$  defined over  $K$  with two morphisms  $J \times J \rightarrow J$  and  $J \rightarrow J$ , also defined over  $K$ , making  $J(\tilde{K})$  an additive Abelian group such that the first morphism gives the addition and the second one gives the negation. Thus,  $J$

is an **Abelian variety**. In addition, there is a unique rational morphism  $\gamma: C \rightarrow J$  defined over  $K$  satisfying  $\gamma(\mathbf{o}) = 0$  and having the following universal property: If  $\alpha$  is a rational map of  $C$  into an Abelian variety  $A$  defined over  $K$  such that  $\alpha(\mathbf{o}) = 0$ , then there exists a unique morphism map  $\beta: J \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$ .

One proves that the image  $\gamma(C)$  is Zariski closed in  $J$ , the map  $\gamma: C(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow J(\tilde{K})$  is injective, and the set  $\gamma(C(\tilde{K}))$  generates  $J(\tilde{K})$ . The map  $\gamma$  extends linearly to a homomorphism  $\beta: \text{Div}(C) \rightarrow J(\tilde{K})$  (that is  $\beta(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \mathbf{p}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \gamma(\mathbf{p}_i)$ ). A theorem of Abel says that the restriction  $\beta_0$  of  $\beta$  to  $\text{Div}_0(C)$  gives a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{div}((F\tilde{K})^\times) \longrightarrow \text{Div}_0(C) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} J(\tilde{K}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Finally we note that when  $g = 1$ ,  $J$  coincides with the elliptic curve  $C$  equipped with the addition law described above. In this case,  $\gamma$  is the identity map.

#### 4. Zeta Functions

The Riemann zeta function is defined for each complex number  $s$  with  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  by the convergent series:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Its relation to number theory goes over the Euler product:

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}},$$

where  $p$  ranges over all prime numbers. The zeta function satisfies a functional equation that extends the definition of  $\zeta(s)$  to a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. One of the most intriguing open questions in Mathematics is the Riemann Hypothesis: If  $\zeta(s) = 0$  and  $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ , then  $|s| = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Riemann Hypothesis has legion of applications.

Likewise one defines a zeta function for a function field  $F$  of genus  $g$  over a finite field  $K$  of  $q$  elements.

$$\zeta_{F/K}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \geq 0} \frac{1}{N\mathfrak{a}^s},$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  ranges over all nonnegative divisors of  $F/K$ , and  $N\mathfrak{a} = q^{\deg(\mathfrak{a})}$ . The Euler product in this case has the form:

$$\zeta_{F/K}(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1}{1 - N\mathfrak{p}^{-s}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  ranges over all prime divisors of  $F/K$ .

It is useful to make a change of variables  $t = q^{-s}$  in order to get a Zeta function:

$$Z(t) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \geq 0} t^{\deg(\mathfrak{a})}$$

that converges for  $|t| < q^{-1}$ . If we write  $A_n$  for the number of nonnegative divisors of  $F/K$  of degree  $n$ , we may rewrite  $Z(t)$  as a power series:

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n.$$

In particular,  $A_1$  is the number of prime divisors of  $F/K$  of degree 1. We set  $N = A_1$ .

It turns out that  $Z(t)$  is a rational function:

$$Z(t) = \frac{L(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)},$$

where  $L(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{2g} t^{2g} \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ . Here  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_1 = N - (q + 1)$ . Thus,  $Z(t)$  has two poles at  $t = 1$  and  $t = q^{-1}$ . The zeros of  $Z(t)$  are the zeros of  $L(t)$ . Writing their inverses as  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2g}$ , we find that  $L(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \omega_i t)$ . One version of the Riemann Hypothesis for  $F/K$  asserts that

$$(1) \quad |\omega_i| = \sqrt{q}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2g.$$

It was proved by André Weil in 1948 and reproved with elementary methods by Bombieri [FrJ08, Chapter 4]. Condition (1) is equivalent to the statement that the zeros of  $\zeta_{F/K}(s)$  lie on the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, the Riemann Hypothesis holds for  $\zeta_{F/K}$ . Another extremely important consequence of (1) follows from the observation that  $a_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \omega_i$ :

$$(2) \quad |N - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

As an application of (2) consider an absolutely irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the affine plane curve defined by  $f(X, Y) = 0$ . Then

$$(3) \quad q + 1 - (d - 1)(d - 2)\sqrt{q} - d \leq |\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q + 1 + (d - 1)(d - 2)\sqrt{q}.$$

It follows that if  $q$  is sufficiently large (in fact, if  $q > (d - 1)^4$ ), then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently, if  $M$  is an infinite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then  $M$  is PAC, that is every absolutely irreducible variety defined over  $M$  has an  $M$ -rational point.

## 5. $l$ -adic Representations

Consider an Abelian variety  $A$  of dimension  $g$  over a field  $K$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $\text{char}(K) \nmid n$ . Then  $A_n(\tilde{K}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in A(\tilde{K}) \mid n\mathbf{a} = 0\}$  is an Abelian group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ . In particular, for each prime number  $l \neq \text{char}(K)$  and every positive integer  $i$ , we have  $A_{l^i}(\tilde{K}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/l^i\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ . The map  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto l\mathbf{a}$  is an epimorphism of  $A_{l^{i+1}}(\tilde{K})$  onto  $A_{l^i}(\tilde{K})$ . Thus, we may pass to a limit to get  $T_l = T_l(A) = \varprojlim A_{l^i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^{2g}$ . The free  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module  $T_l$  is called the **Tate-module** of  $A$ . Tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  gives a vector space  $V_l = T_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  of dimension  $2g$ .

Now note that  $\text{Gal}(K)$  leaves each  $A_{l^i}(\tilde{K})$  invariant. The action of  $\text{Gal}(K)$  commutes with multiplication by  $l$ , so it induces an action of  $\text{Gal}(K)$  on  $T_l$ . Choosing a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -basis of  $T_l$ , this action leads to the  $l$ -adic representation

$$\rho_l: \text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_l)$$

of  $\text{Gal}(K)$  associated with  $A$ .

Next we turn our attention to the case where  $K$  is the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements. Let  $\varphi_q$  be the Frobenius automorphism of  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_q$  defined by  $\varphi_q(x) = x^q$ . As in Section 3, we consider an absolutely irreducible curve  $C$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus  $g > 0$  having an  $\mathbb{F}_q$  rational point  $\mathbf{o}$ . Let  $J$  be the Jacobian variety of  $C$ . Then  $\varphi_q$  acts on  $C(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  and on  $J(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ . The latter action makes  $\varphi_q$  an endomorphism of  $J$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . As such  $J(\mathbb{F}_q) = \text{Ker}(\text{id}_J - \varphi_q)$  and  $|J(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \deg(\text{id}_J - \varphi_q)$  [Mum74, p. 180, Thm. 4].

Considering  $\varphi_q$  as an element of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , hence also as an element  $\text{Aut}(V_l)$ , we have for each prime number  $l$  relatively prime to  $q$  the characteristic polynomial of

$\rho_l(\varphi_q)$ :

$$\chi(t) = \chi_C(t) = \det(\text{id} \cdot t - \varphi_q)$$

It is a monic polynomial of degree  $2g$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ . Indeed,  $\chi(t)$  does not depend on  $l$  and its coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $\chi_l(1) = \det(\text{id}_J - \varphi_q) = |J(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ .

Finally let  $L(t)$  be the numerator of the Zeta function described in Section 6. It turns out that  $L(t) = t^{2g}\chi(\frac{1}{t})$ , so  $L(1) = |J(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ .

### References

- [Che51] C. Chevalley, *Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Functions of One Variable*, Mathematical Surveys VI, AMS, Providence, 1951.
- [FrJ08] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic, Third Edition, revised by Moshe Jarden*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **11**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [Mum74] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Oxford University Press, London, 1974.
- [Sti93] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.