

# ALGEBRAIC REALIZATION OF $p$ -ADICALLY PROJECTIVE GROUPS

by

Moshe Jarden \*

Tel Aviv University

*Dedicated to the memory of my father, Dr. Dov Jarden*

## Table of contents

Introduction . . . . .	1
Notation . . . . .	4
Definitions . . . . .	4
1. $\Gamma$ -universal groups . . . . .	5
2. The group $\Delta_\omega$ . . . . .	11
3. The $\Gamma$ -structure $\Delta_\omega$ . . . . .	15
4. Projective $\Gamma$ -groups . . . . .	17
5. Subgroups of $\Gamma$ -projective groups . . . . .	21
6. The cohomological dimension of $\Gamma$ -projective groups . . . . .	23
7. Algebraic extensions of pseudo closed fields . . . . .	29

---

\* This work was partially supported by a Grant from the G.I.F., the German Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, while the author enjoyed the hospitality of the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton, New Jersey.

8. Algebraic extensions of $PpC$ fields . . . . .	32
9. The realization Theorem . . . . .	35
10. The Lefschetz principle for $PpC$ fields . . . . .	37
Index of definitions and notation . . . . .	42
References . . . . .	42

## Introduction

There are relatively few cases in which the absolute Galois group of a field is known explicitly. One such case is the absolute Galois group of a  $p$ -adic field [JW]. A very broad generalization of this case is given by the class of  $p$ -adically projective groups, defined below (Section 4), which can be realized as absolute Galois groups of “pseudo  $p$ -adically closed” (PpC) fields  $K$  [HJ4], characterized by the condition that any absolutely irreducible variety defined over  $K$  with a simple point in every “ $p$ -adic closure” of  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point. Here we prove a realization theorem that implies in particular that every  $p$ -adically projective profinite group of at most countable rank is realizable as an absolute Galois group of an *algebraic* PpC field. In the more precise form given as Theorem A below, this has consequences both for the algebraic theory of (arbitrary) PpC fields and the theory of  $p$ -adically projective groups (of arbitrary rank), given as Theorems B, C below. Of course the realization theorem can also be viewed as giving the construction of a large family of fields algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose absolute Galois groups are known explicitly. For this it suffices to give explicit constructions of  $p$ -adically projective groups, which is quite easy. For example, the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is itself  $p$ -adically projective, as is any free profinite group (indeed, any projective profinite group), the class is closed under free products, and under taking closed subgroups satisfying a certain condition (Theorem F).

The main results are as follows. The notation  $G(K)$  denotes the absolute Galois group of a field  $K$ .

**THEOREM A (Realization Theorem):** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adically projective group of at most countable rank,  $K$  a number field,  $L$  a finite Galois extension of  $K$  and  $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(L/K)$  and epimorphism satisfying:*

*for each embedding  $\eta: G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G$  there is an embedding  $\zeta: G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G(K)$  such that  $\text{res}_L \circ \zeta = \pi \circ \eta$ .*

*Then there is a PpC field  $E$  algebraic over  $K$  and an isomorphism  $\gamma: G \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\text{res}_L \circ \gamma = \pi$ .*

**THEOREM B (Group Theoretic Application):** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adically projective profinite*

group which is not projective. Then  $G$  has cohomological dimension 2.

This theorem answers a question of Gregory Cherlin.

**THEOREM C (Field Theoretic Application):** *Let  $K$  be a PpC field,  $v, v_1, \dots, v_e$   $p$ -adic valuations of  $K$ . Then  $K$  is dense in the  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{K}$  with respect to  $v$ ,  $\overline{K}$  is unique up to  $K$ -isomorphism, and if  $v_1, \dots, v_e$  are inequivalent, then they are independent.*

The route from Theorem A to Theorem C goes through model theory.

**THEOREM D (Lefschetz Principle):** *Let  $\theta$  be a first order sentence true in all PpC fields which are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\theta$  is true in every PpC field.*

This theorem has the effect of recoding the Realization Theorem in a directly applicable form.

One very striking consequence of Theorem B should be noticed. It is well known that  $G(\mathbb{C}(t))$  is a free profinite group and it follows from the work of Krull and Neukirch [KN] that  $G(\mathbb{R}(t))$  is a real free profinite group in an appropriate sense [HJ2]. On the other hand it follows easily from Theorem B that  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p(t))$  is not even  $p$ -adically projective (Theorem 6.9), and hence probably not  $p$ -adically free in any reasonable sense. It would no doubt be interesting to make the obstruction more explicit.

One very useful principle in the PAC, PRC cases is that an algebraic extension of such a field is again of the same type [FJ and P]. This fails in the PpC case. However, it is necessary to find the correct version of this principle to prove the Realization Theorem.

**THEOREM E (Algebraic Extension Theorem):** *Let  $L$  be an algebraic extension of a PpC field  $K$ . Then  $L$  is PpC if and only if for every  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$ , either  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$  or  $L\overline{K} = \widetilde{K}$ .*

The proof (as always in such contexts) uses Weil descent, and generalizes Heine-  
mann and Prestel's proof [HP]. We have a group theoretic analog, which however is *not*  
a strict parallel to the field theoretic result:

**THEOREM F:** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adically projective profinite group and let  $H$  be a closed*

subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is  $p$ -adically projective if and only if for each  $\overline{G} \leq G$  with  $\overline{G} \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  either  $\overline{G} \leq H$  or  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective.

This relies on group theoretic construction of Haran [H] analogous to Weil descent.

At this stage a common framework for theories of “pseudo closed” fields is beginning to emerge, based on certain special properties largely shared by the three profinite groups  $1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It is not surprising that the necessary properties emerge more clearly in the third case. We take an abstract unifying approach as far as it can conveniently go at this point, but at present we are still restricted to taking essentially these groups as our point of departure.

To conclude this introduction we sketch the proof of the Realization Theorem. We construct in succession four fields  $K_\sigma \subseteq M_\omega \subseteq M_0 \subseteq E$ , algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  so that

- (1a)  $K_\sigma$  is PpC and has an explicitly known Galois group.
- (1b) The Algebraic Extension Theorem applies to each extension successively (so that all four fields are PpC).
- (1c) The desired isomorphism  $\gamma$  exists at the level of  $G(E)$ .

The four fields involved are obtained as follows.

$K_\sigma$ : We may assume that the set  $\text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G)$  of all embeddings  $\eta: G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G$  is nonempty (else [FJ, Thm. 20.22] applies). Then  $\pi \circ \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) = \{\text{res}_L \circ \eta_i \mid i = 1, \dots, e\}$  for some  $\eta_i \in \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  and a positive integer  $e$ . Let  $\overline{K}_i$  be the fixed field of  $\eta(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  in  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ . By a theorem of Neukirch [N1],  $\overline{K}_i$  is  $p$ -adically closed. Choose generators  $\bar{\sigma}_{e+1}, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_{e+m}$  for  $\mathcal{G}(L/K)$  with  $m \geq 2$ . We will find  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{e+m} \in G(K)$  such that

- (2a)  $\text{res}_L \sigma_{e+i} = \bar{\sigma}_{e+i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,
- (2b) the intersection  $K_\sigma$  of the fields  $\overline{K}_i^{\sigma_i}$  ( $i \leq e$ ) and the fixed field of  $\sigma_{e+1}, \dots, \sigma_{e+m}$  in  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a PpC field, and
- (2c)  $G(K_\sigma) \cong D_{e,m}$  is the free product of  $e$  copies of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and the free profinite group on  $m$  generators.

In fact the set of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{e+m})$  having properties (2b) and (2c) are of measure 1 in  $G(K)^{e+m}$ .

The remaining steps can be viewed as taking place group theoretically inside  $G(K_\sigma) = D_{e,m}$ .

$M_\omega$ : There is a group  $\Delta_\omega$  called the universal  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -group of rank  $\aleph_0$  which plays the role of the  $p$ -adically free group on  $\aleph_0$  generators. Working inside  $D_{e,m}$  we find an extension  $M_\omega$  of  $K_\sigma$  with these properties:

$$(3a) \quad K_\sigma \subseteq M_\omega \subseteq \overline{K}_1^{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{K}_e^{\sigma_e},$$

$$(3b) \quad LK_\sigma \cap M_\omega = K_\sigma, \text{ and}$$

$$(3c) \quad G(M_\omega) \cong \Delta_\omega.$$

This is analogous to a construction introduced by Lubotzky – v.d. Dries / Melnikov [FJ, Sec. 24.3] to recognize the free profinite group of rank  $\aleph_0$  as a subgroup of  $\widehat{F}_m$ .

$M_0$ : Next find  $\Delta_0 \leq \Delta_\omega$  and an epimorphism  $\theta: \Delta_0 \rightarrow G$  such that

$$(7a) \quad \pi \circ \theta = \text{res}_L \text{ on } \Delta_0,$$

$$(7b) \quad \text{if } H \leq \Delta_0 \text{ is isomorphic to } G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \text{ then } \theta(H) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

$$(7c) \quad \text{if } H_1, H_2 \leq \Delta_0 \text{ are isomorphic to } G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ and } \theta(H_1) = \theta(H_2), \text{ then } H_1 \text{ and } H_2 \text{ are conjugate in } \Delta_0, \text{ and}$$

$$(7d) \quad \text{for each } H \leq G \text{ isomorphic to } G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ there is } H' \leq \Delta_0, \quad H' \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ with } \theta(H') = H.$$

Let  $M_0$  be the fixed field of  $\Delta_0$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

$E$ : Apply  $p$ -adic projectivity to get a continuous section  $\gamma: G \rightarrow \Delta_0$  for  $\theta$  and let  $E$  be the fixed field of  $\gamma(G)$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

## Notation

$\widehat{F}_m$  = the free profinite group on  $m$  generators.

For  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e) \in G(K)^e$ ,  $\widetilde{K}(\sigma)$  is the fixed field of  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e$  in  $\widetilde{K}$ .

$\widehat{F}_\omega$  = the free profinite group on  $\aleph_0$  generators.

$G(K)$  = the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

$\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}} = \mathbb{Q}_p \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

$\widetilde{K}$  = the algebraic closure of a field  $K$ .

$K_s$  = the separable closure of a field  $K$ .

$V_{\text{sim}}(K)$  = the set of  $K$ -rational simple points of a variety  $V$  defined over  $K$ .

We use the term “variety” for “absolutely irreducible variety”.

## Definitions

A field  $K$  is **PAC** if every variety  $V$  defined over  $K$  has a rational point.

A field  $K$  is **PRC** if every variety  $V$  defined over  $K$  with a simple  $\overline{K}$ -rational point for each real closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

A valuation  $v$  of a field  $K$  is  **$p$ -adic** if the residue field is  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $p$  has the smallest positive value under  $v$ .

A field  $\overline{K}$  is  **$p$ -adically closed** if it admits a  $p$ -adic valuation and no proper algebraic extension of  $\overline{K}$  admits one. If  $\overline{K}$  is algebraic over a field  $K$ , then  $\overline{K}$  is said to be an **algebraic closure** of  $K$ .

A field  $K$  is  **$PpC$**  if every variety  $V$  defined over  $K$  with a simple  $\overline{K}$ -rational point for each  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$  has a  $K$ -rational point.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** The author is indebted to Ido Efrat for valuable suggestions that improve a former version of this work.

## 1. $\Gamma$ -universal groups.

Lubotzky and v.d. Dries, and independently Melnikov, have shown how to embed  $\widehat{F}_\omega$  as a closed normal subgroup of  $\widehat{F}_m$  for  $m \geq 2$  [FJ, Sec. 24.3], using a characterization of  $\widehat{F}_\omega$  due to Iwasawa. In the theory of PRC fields it has been necessary to extend Iwasawa's characterization to real free groups and to apply it to the embedding of a "universal" real free group as a closed normal subgroup of a free product of finitely many copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\widehat{F}_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) [HJ3, Lemma 3.4]. We will show how to develop this theory in a general framework which applies also to the case of  $p$ -adically universal groups. Thus we will deal with  $\Gamma$ -universal groups, for  $\Gamma$  a fixed finitely generated profinite group:  $\Gamma = 1$  is Iwasawa's context,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is the context of [HJ3], and  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is our intended application. Our main result will be that the  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -universal group of rank  $\aleph_0$  embeds in  $D_{e,m}$  for  $e \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 2$  (Proposition 1.8) which corresponds exactly to the second step in the proof of the Realization theorem.

For a profinite group  $G$  let  $\text{Subg}(G)$  (resp.,  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ ) be the set of all closed subgroups of  $G$  (resp., all continuous homomorphisms of  $\Gamma$  into  $G$ ). If  $G$  is finite, both  $\text{Subg}(G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  are finite. In general  $\text{Subg}(G) = \varprojlim \text{Subg}(G/N)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) = \varprojlim (\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G/N))$ , where  $N$  ranges over all normal open subgroups of  $G$ . Thus both  $\text{Subg}(G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  are Boolean spaces. The map  $\text{Im}: \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow \text{Subg}(G)$  which maps each  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  onto its image,  $\psi(\Gamma)$ , is continuous. Let  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  be the set of all subgroups of  $G$  which are isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$  be the set of all embeddings of  $\Gamma$  into  $G$ . Since each epimorphism of  $\Gamma$  onto a group isomorphic to  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism [FJ, Prop. 15.3],  $\text{Im}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)) = \text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  is closed in  $\text{Subg}(G)$  if and only if  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ .

The group  $G$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  according to the law:  $\psi^x(g) = x^{-1}\psi(g)x$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  according to the law:  $\psi^\omega = \psi \circ \omega$ . Define  $\psi, \psi' \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  to be  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -**equivalent** (or just **equivalent** if  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  are clear from the context) if there exist  $x \in G$  and  $\omega \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\psi' = \psi^{x\omega}$ . Since the actions of  $G$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  commute, this defines an equivalence relation on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . We call a subset  $I$  of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  a  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -**domain** if it is closed under the actions of both  $G$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . For example,  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$  is a  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -



domain. If  $\gamma: G \rightarrow B$  is an epimorphism, then the relations  $\gamma \circ \psi^x = (\gamma \circ \psi)^{\gamma(x)}$  and  $\gamma \circ \psi^\omega = (\gamma \circ \psi)^\omega$  show that  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  is a  $(B, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -domain. If, in addition,  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  is closed, then so is  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ .

A **proper  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem** for  $G$  is a triple  $(\varphi: G \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A, I)$ , where  $\varphi$  and  $\pi$  are epimorphisms of profinite groups and  $I$  is a closed  $(B, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -subdomain of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$  such that  $\pi \circ I = \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ . The embedding problem is **finite** if  $B$  is a finite group. A **proper solution** of the embedding problem is an epimorphism  $\gamma: G \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  and  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = I$ .

The profinite group  $G$  is  **$\Gamma$ -universal** if  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  is nonempty and if each proper finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem of  $G$  is properly solvable.

LEMMA 1.1: *Any two  $\Gamma$ -universal groups  $G$  and  $H$  of rank  $\aleph_0$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof:* Each of the groups  $G$  and  $H$  has a descending sequence of open normal subgroups whose intersections is 1:  $G = M'_0 \geq M'_1 \geq \dots$  and  $H = N'_0 \geq N'_1 \geq \dots$ . By induction we construct two descending sequences of open normal subgroups,  $G = M_0 \geq M_1 \geq \dots \geq M_n$  and  $H = N_0 \geq N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_n$  such that  $M_i \leq M'_i$  and  $N_i \leq N'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and isomorphisms  $\varphi_i: G/M_i \rightarrow H/N_i$  such that  $\varphi_i$  induces  $\varphi_{i-1}$  and  $\varphi_i \circ \rho_i \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \tau_i \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)$ , where  $\rho_i: G \rightarrow G/M_i$ ,  $\tau_i: H \rightarrow H/N_i$  are canonical.

Initially  $\varphi_0$  is the map  $1 \rightarrow 1$ . To proceed with the  $(n+1)$ st step of the induction consider the group  $K = M'_{n+1} \cap M_n$  and let  $\kappa: G \rightarrow G/K$  and  $\bar{\rho}_n: G/K \rightarrow G/M_n$  be the canonical maps. Then

$$\varphi_n \circ \bar{\rho}_n \circ (\kappa \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)) = \varphi_n \circ \rho_n \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \tau_n \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H).$$

Since  $H$  is  $\Gamma$ -universal there exists an epimorphism  $\gamma': H \rightarrow G/K$  such that  $\varphi_n \circ \bar{\rho}_n \circ \gamma' = \tau_n$  and  $\gamma' \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H) = \kappa \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ . Let  $N_{n+1} = N'_{n+1} \cap \text{Ker}(\gamma')$  and let  $\gamma: H/N_{n+1} \rightarrow G/K$  be the epimorphism defined by  $\gamma'$ . Then  $N_{n+1} \leq N'_{n+1}$ ,  $\varphi_n \circ \bar{\rho}_n \circ \gamma = \tau_{n+1, n}$ , and  $\gamma \circ (\tau_{n+1} \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)) = \kappa \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ . Again,  $\tau_{n+1, n}: H/N_{n+1} \rightarrow H/N_n$  is canonical.

Since  $G$  is  $\Gamma$ -universal there exists an epimorphism  $\varphi': G \rightarrow H/N_{n+1}$  such that  $\gamma \circ \varphi' = \kappa$  and  $\varphi' \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \tau_{n+1} \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)$ .

Let  $M_{n+1} = \text{Ker}(\varphi')$  and let  $\varphi_{n+1}: G/M_{n+1} \rightarrow H/N_{n+1}$  be the isomorphism defined by  $\varphi'$ . Then, with canonical  $\rho_{n+1,n}: G/M_{n+1} \rightarrow G/M_n$ , we have  $\tau_{n+1,n} \circ \varphi_{n+1} = \varphi_n \circ \rho_{n+1,n}$  and  $\varphi_{n+1} \circ (\rho_{n+1} \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)) = \tau_{n+1} \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)$ . This completes the induction step.

The compatible sequence of  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$  of isomorphisms induces an isomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ . ■

1.2 NOTATION: For a positive integer  $e$  let  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_e$  be isomorphic copies of  $\Gamma$ . Consider the free products (in the category of profinite groups)  $D_e = \Gamma_1 * \dots * \Gamma_e$  and  $D_{e,m} = D_e * \widehat{F}_m$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  fix an isomorphism  $\psi_i: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ .

Our next goal is the embedding of a  $\Gamma$ -universal group in  $D_{e,m}$  for  $e \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 2$  (Proposition 1.8).

LEMMA 1.3 (Binz–Neukirch–Wenzel [BNW, p. 105]): *Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$  be the free product of profinite groups  $G_i$  over a finite index set  $I$ . Let  $H$  be an open subgroup of  $G$ . For each  $i \in I$  consider the double class decomposition of  $G$ :*

$$G = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} G_i x_{ij} H.$$

Then

$$H \cong \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J_i} (G_i^{x_{ij}} \cap H) * \widehat{F}_m,$$

where

$$m = \sum_{i \in I} [(G : H) - |J_i|] - (G : H) + 1.$$

LEMMA 1.4: *For  $e, m \geq 1$  let  $D = D_e$ ,  $F = \widehat{F}_m$ , and  $G = D_{e,m}$ . Also, let  $H$  be an open normal subgroup of  $G$  of index  $n$  that contains  $D$ . Then  $H \cong D_{en, 1+n(m-1)}$ .*

*Proof:* If  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H z_i$ , then  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D z_i H$  and  $D^{z_i} \cap H = D^{z_i} \cong D_e$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $FH = G$  and  $(F : F \cap H) = (G : H) = n$ , the Nielsen–Schreier formula [FJ, Prop. 15.27] implies that  $F \cap H \cong \widehat{F}_{1+n(m-1)}$ . As  $[(G : H) - n] + [(G : H) - 1] - (G : H) + 1 = 0$ , Lemma 1.3 implies that

$$H \cong \prod_{i=1}^n (D^{z_i} \cap H) * (F \cap H) \cong D_{en} * \widehat{F}_{1+n(m-1)} = D_{en, 1+n(m-1)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

From now on we make the following assumption:

ASSUMPTION 1.5: *The profinite group  $\Gamma$  satisfies the following conditions.*

- (a)  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated and nontrivial, and
- (b) for each  $e$  and  $m$ , if a subgroup  $H$  of  $D_{e,m}$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ , then  $H$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_i$  for some  $i$  between 1 and  $e$ .
- (c) the center of  $\Gamma$  is trivial, and
- (d)  $\Gamma$  has a finite quotient  $\bar{\Gamma}$  such that for each  $e$  and  $m$  and for each closed subgroup  $H$  of  $D_{e,m}$ , if  $H$  is a quotient of  $\Gamma$  and if  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is a quotient of  $H$ , then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . We refer to each quotient of  $\Gamma$  which has  $\bar{\Gamma}$  as a quotient as a **large quotient**.

REMARK 1.6: Assumption 1.5 is satisfied if  $\Gamma$  is a finite group with a trivial center [HR, Thm. 1] or if  $\Gamma \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  [HJ4, Prop. 12.10]. Part (c) of the assumption is not used until Section 3. As this assumption excludes the case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , these results are not a strict generalization of the real case. It might be possible to develop the theory under the hypothesis that the center of  $\Gamma$  is finite, but such a theory could have no further field theoretic applications.

The first result that uses Part (d) of the Assumption 1.5 is Lemma 2.5.

LEMMA 1.7: *Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $D_{e,m}$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ .*

- (a) *If  $H^x = H$  for some  $x \in D_{e,m}$ , then  $x \in H$ .*
- (b) *Let  $d$  be an integer between 1 and  $e$  and let  $A = \Gamma_1 * \cdots * \Gamma_d * \widehat{F}_m$ . If  $H \cap A \neq 1$ , then  $H \leq A$ .*
- (c) *If  $H' \neq H$  is another closed subgroup of  $D_{e,m}$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ , then  $H \cap H' = 1$ .*
- (d) *In the notation of 1.2,  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_e$  represent the equivalence classes of  $\text{Emb}(D_{e,m})$ .* ■

*Proof:* By Assumption 1.5 each of the groups  $H$  and  $H'$  is conjugate to some  $\Gamma_i$ . Assertion (a) therefore follows from [HR, Thm. B'].

To prove assertion (b), note that  $D_{e,m} = A * B$  where  $B = \Gamma_{d+1} * \cdots * \Gamma_e$ . We know that  $H = \Gamma_i^x$  for some  $i$  between 1 and  $e$ . If  $i > d$ , let  $\alpha: D_{e,m} \rightarrow B$  be the homomorphism which maps  $A$  onto 1 and  $B$  identically onto itself. By assumption, there exists  $c \in \Gamma_i$ ,  $c \neq 1$ , such that  $c^x \in A$ . Then  $c^{\alpha(x)} = \alpha(c^x) = 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $i \leq d$ . But then  $A^x \cap A \neq 1$ . Conclude from [HR, Thm. B'] that  $x \in A$

and therefore  $H \leq A$ .

To prove (c) note, as before, that  $H' = \Gamma_j^y$  for some  $y \in D_{e,m}$ . Assume that  $H \cap H' \neq 1$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then map  $\Gamma_i$  onto 1 and all the other components identically onto themselves to draw a contradiction. If  $i = j$ , then  $xy^{-1} \in \Gamma_i$  (by (a)) and  $H = H'$ , a contradiction.

Next consider the obvious map  $D_{e,m} \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_e$  to conclude that  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_e$  are mutually nonconjugate in  $D_{e,m}$ . In particular,  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_e$  are nonequivalent.

Finally let  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow D_{e,m}$  be an embedding. By Assumption 1.5, there exists  $x \in D_{e,m}$  such that  $\psi(\Gamma)^x = \Gamma_i$ . Thus conjugation by  $x$  gives an isomorphism  $[x]$  of  $\psi(\Gamma)$  onto  $\Gamma_i$ . Then  $\omega = \psi^{-1} \circ [x^{-1}] \circ \psi_i \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and  $\psi^{\omega x} = \psi_i$ . This means that  $\psi$  is equivalent to  $\psi_i$ . ■

PROPOSITION 1.8: *For  $e \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 2$  let  $D = D_e$ ,  $G = D_{e,m}$ , and let  $K$  be an open subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G$  contains a closed normal subgroup  $H$  of countable rank which is  $\Gamma$ -universal such that  $D \leq H$  and  $KH = G$ .*

*Proof:* Choose a prime  $p$  which does not divide  $(G:K)$ . Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  be an epimorphism such that  $\rho(D) = 1$ . We will show that  $H = \text{Ker}(\rho)$  will do.

Note first that  $H$  contains  $D$  and  $(G:KH)$  is a power of  $p$  which divides  $(G:K)$ , so  $G = KH$ . Also, for each nonnegative integer  $i$ ,  $G$  has a unique open normal subgroup  $G_i$  of index  $p^i$  which contains  $H$ . Since  $G$  is finitely generated,  $H$  is countably generated. By Assumption 1.5(b),

$$\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G_i) = \text{Embd}(\Gamma, H).$$

In particular, since  $e \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, H)$  is nonempty. The proof that each finite proper  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem for  $H$  is properly solvable has three parts.

PART A: *Embedding problem.* Let  $(\varphi: H \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A, I)$  be a finite proper embedding problem for  $H$ . In particular  $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$  is a normal open subgroup of  $H$ . Let  $N$  be an open normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H \cap N = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ . Then  $HN = G_k$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Extend  $\varphi$  to an epimorphism  $\varphi: G_k \rightarrow A$  with kernel  $N$ . Choose a positive integer  $r_0$  such that  $p^{r_0} - r_0 > k$ , let  $r = \max\{p|B|, r_0, |I|\}$ , and let

$n = r + k$ . Then  $p^r - r > k$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $G_n = D' * F'$  where  $D' \cong D_{e'}$ ,  $F' \cong \widehat{F}_{m'}$ ,  $e' = ep^n$  and  $m' = 1 + p^n(m - 1)$ . Thus

$$(1) \quad m' > 2n.$$

CLAIM 2: For each  $\alpha \in \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$  there exist at least  $n$  nonequivalent elements  $\zeta$  of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$  such that  $\varphi \circ \zeta$  is  $(A, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent to  $\alpha$ .

Indeed, let  $\zeta$  be an element of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$  and let  $\alpha = \varphi \circ \zeta$ . For each  $x \in G_k$  the homomorphism  $\varphi \circ \zeta^x = \alpha^{\varphi(x)}$  is  $(A, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent to  $\alpha$ . If  $y \in G_k$  and  $\zeta^x$  is  $(G_n, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent to  $\zeta^y$ , then there exist  $b \in G_n$  and  $\omega \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\zeta^x = \zeta^{yb\omega}$ . Hence  $\zeta(\Gamma)^x = \zeta(\Gamma)^{yb}$ . As  $\zeta(\Gamma) \subseteq G_n$ , Lemma 1.7(a) gives  $a \in \zeta(\Gamma)$  such that  $x = yba$ . Hence  $x \cong y \pmod{G_n}$ . Conclude for representatives  $g_1, \dots, g_{p^r}$  of  $G_k$  modulo  $G_n$ , that  $\zeta^{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p^r$  are  $(G_n, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -nonequivalent elements of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$  which are mapped by  $\varphi$  onto an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$  which is  $(A, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent to  $\alpha$ . As  $p^r > n$ , the claim follows.

PART B: *Generators of  $G_n$ .* Let  $A_0$  be the smallest normal subgroup of  $A$  that contains  $\alpha(\Gamma)$  for each  $\alpha \in \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)$ . Let  $B_0$  be the smallest normal subgroup of  $B$  which contains  $\beta(\Gamma)$  for each  $\beta \in I$ . Let  $H_0$  be the smallest closed normal subgroup of  $H$  that contains  $\eta(\Gamma)$  for each  $\eta \in \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)$ . Deduce from

$$\varphi\left(\bigcup_{\eta \in \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)} \eta(\Gamma)\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)} \alpha(\Gamma) = \pi\left(\bigcup_{\beta \in I} \beta(\Gamma)\right)$$

that

$$(3) \quad \varphi(H_0) = A_0 = \pi(B_0)$$

(Lemma 4.2 of [HJ3]). Also,  $D \leq H_0 \leq H$ .

Let now  $N_n = G_n \cap N$  and choose  $x \in N_n - G_{n+1}$ . As  $H_0$  contains  $D'$ , we have  $G_n = H_0 F'$ . Let therefore  $x = h_0 f$  with  $h_0 \in H_0$  and  $f \in F'$ . Since  $H_0 \leq G_{n+1}$  we have

$$(4) \quad f \in (F' \cap H_0 N_n) - G_{n+1}.$$

Denote the image of  $z \in G_n$  under the canonical map  $G_n \rightarrow G_n/N_{n+1} = \overline{G}_n$  by  $\bar{z}$ . Since  $G_n = G_{n+1}N_n$

$$\overline{G}_n = G_n/G_{n+1} \times G_n/N_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times A.$$

In particular  $|\overline{F}'| \leq |\overline{G}_n| = p|A| \leq n$ . Use (4) to find generators  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  of the subgroup  $\overline{F}'$  of  $\overline{G}_n$  such that  $c_1 = \bar{f} \notin \overline{G}_{n+1}$ . Let  $c_{n+1} = \dots = c_{m'} = 1$ . By Gaschütz Lemma,  $F'$  has generators  $x_1, \dots, x_{m'}$  such that  $\bar{x}_i = c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m'$  [FJ, Lemma 15.30]. In particular

$$(5) \quad x_1 \notin G_{n+1}$$

and, by (3) and (4),

$$(6) \quad \varphi(x_1) = \varphi(f) \in \varphi(H_0N_n) = \varphi(H_0) = \pi(B_0).$$

Also

$$(7) \quad \varphi(x_{n+1}) = \dots = \varphi(x_{m'}) = 1.$$

Finally choose for each  $i$  between 1 and  $e'$  a closed subgroup  $\Gamma_i$  of  $D'$  isomorphic to  $\Gamma$  and an isomorphism  $\psi'_i: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  such that  $D' = \Gamma_1 * \dots * \Gamma_{e'}$ .

PART C: *Solution of the embedding problem.* Define a map

$$\gamma: \bigcup_{i=1}^{e'} \Gamma_i \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_{m'}\} \longrightarrow B$$

in the following way: First use (6) to choose  $\gamma(x_1), \dots, \gamma(x_n) \in B$  such that  $\pi(\gamma(x_j)) = \varphi(x_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$(8) \quad \gamma(x_1) \in B_0.$$

By (1),  $|\text{Ker}(\pi)| \leq |B| \leq r < n < m' - n$ . Hence we can choose  $\gamma(x_{n+1}), \dots, \gamma(x_{m'})$  as a system of generators for  $\text{Ker}(\pi)$ .

Finally let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  be representative of the  $(B, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalence classes of  $I$ . Then  $s \leq |I| \leq n$ . By Lemma 1.7(d),  $\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_{e'}$  represent the equivalence classes of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$ . Hence, by Claim (2), each  $\alpha \in \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$  is equivalent to at least  $n$  homomorphisms  $\varphi \circ \psi'_1, \dots, \varphi \circ \psi'_{e'}$ . By assumption  $\pi \circ I = \varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n)$ . Since there are at most  $n$  of the homomorphisms  $\pi \circ \beta_i$ , we may reenumerate  $\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_{e'}$  such that  $\pi \circ \beta_i$  is  $(A, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent to  $\varphi \circ \psi'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Use the surjectivity of  $\pi$  to replace  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$  if necessary by equivalent embeddings and assume that  $\pi \circ \beta_i = \varphi \circ \psi'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then choose  $\beta_{s+1}, \dots, \beta_{e'} \in I$  such that  $\pi \circ \beta_i = \varphi \circ \psi'_i$  for  $i = s+1, \dots, e'$ . Define  $\gamma$  on  $\Gamma_i$  as  $\beta_i \circ (\psi'_i)^{-1}$ .

The map  $\gamma$  extends to a homomorphism  $\gamma: G_n \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(\pi) \leq \gamma(G_n)$  and  $\varphi(G_n) = A$  we have  $\gamma(G_n) = B$ . Also,  $\gamma \circ \psi'_i = \beta_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, e'$ . Hence  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H) = \gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G_n) = I$ . In particular

$$(9) \quad \gamma\left(\bigcup_{\eta \in \text{Emb}(\Gamma, H)} \eta(\Gamma)\right) = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} \beta(\Gamma).$$

Finally, as  $G_n/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , (5) implies that  $\langle x_1 \rangle H = G_n$ . By (9) and [HJ3, Lemma 4.2],  $\gamma(H_0) = B_0$ . Hence, by (8),  $\gamma(x_1) \in B_0 = \gamma(H_0) \subseteq \gamma(H)$ . Conclude that  $\gamma(H) = \gamma(\langle x_1 \rangle H) = \gamma(G_n) = B$ .

The restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $H$  properly solves the embedding problem of Part A. ■

## 2. The group $\Delta_\omega$ .

We now give an explicit construction of the  $\Gamma$ -universal group of rank  $\aleph_0$  which we call  $\Delta_\omega$ . It will allow us to deduce properties of  $\Delta_\omega$  which are not immediate from the definition.

For each ordinal number between 1 and  $\omega$  let  $E_n$  be the set of all  $n$ -tuples of 0 and 1. The projection maps  $\pi_{n,m}: E_n \rightarrow E_m$ , for  $n \geq m$  given by  $\pi_{n,m}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$  are compatible with each other and  $E_\omega = \varprojlim E_n$ . The first three properties of  $E_\omega$  that we list below are included in [HJ3, Lemma 1.2].

LEMMA 2.1: (a) *Every nonempty open-closed subset of  $E_\omega$  is homeomorphic to  $E_\omega$ .*

(b) *Let  $X$  be an inverse limit of a sequence of finite discrete spaces. Let  $X_0$  be a finite discrete space and let  $\varphi: E_\omega \rightarrow X_0$  and  $\alpha: X \rightarrow X_0$  be continuous maps. If  $\alpha(X) \subseteq \varphi(E_\omega)$ , then there exists a continuous injection  $\gamma: X \rightarrow E_\omega$  such that  $\varphi \circ \gamma = \alpha$ .*

(c) *Let  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha$  be as in (b). If  $\alpha(X) = \varphi(E_\omega)$ , then there exists a continuous surjection  $\gamma: E_\omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma = \varphi$ .*

In the rest of this section we construct the  $\Gamma$ -universal group as a free product of the free profinite group  $\widehat{F}_\omega$  of rank  $\aleph_0$  with a free product over  $E_\omega$  of isomorphic copies of  $\Gamma$ . The free product  $\Delta_\omega$  we obtain generalizes that of [HJ3] where  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  but is a special case of those of Gildenhuys and Ribes [GR]. The proof that  $\Delta_\omega$  is indeed  $\Gamma$ -universal is given in section 4.

Consider the free profinite group  $\widehat{F}_\omega$  with a basis  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots\}$  converging to 1 and let  $Y_\omega = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots\}$  with  $y_0 = 1$ . Every continuous map of  $Y_\omega$  into a profinite group  $G$  that maps 1 onto 1 uniquely extends to a homomorphism of  $\widehat{F}_\omega$  into  $G$ . For each  $n < \omega$  let  $\widehat{F}_n$  be the free profinite group with the basis  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ .

LEMMA 2.2: *Let  $\rho: Y_\omega \rightarrow A$  be a continuous map into a finite group  $A$  such that  $A = \langle \rho(Y_\omega) \rangle$  and  $\rho(1) = 1$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism from a profinite group of rank  $\leq \aleph_0$ . Then there exists a continuous map  $\gamma: Y_\omega \rightarrow G$  such that  $\rho = \pi \circ \gamma$ ,  $G = \langle \gamma(Y_\omega) \rangle$  and  $\gamma(1) = 1$ .*

*Proof:* (Iwasawa) There exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $A = \langle \rho(y_1), \dots, \rho(y_k) \rangle$  and



$\rho(y_i) = 1$  for each  $i \geq k+1$ . Let  $g_0 = 1$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $k$  choose  $g_i \in G$  such that  $\pi(g_i) = \rho(y_i)$ . Also choose a sequence of generators  $g_{k+1}, g_{k+2}, \dots$  for  $\text{Ker}(\pi)$  that converges to 1. Then the sequence  $\{g_1, g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  converges to 1 and generates  $G$ . The map  $\gamma: Y_\omega \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\rho(y_i) = g_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is continuous,  $\langle \rho(Y) \rangle = G$  and we have  $\pi \circ \gamma = \rho$ . ■

Let now  $\Gamma$  be a profinite group. For each  $n \leq \omega$  and for each  $e \in E_n$  take an isomorphic copy  $\Gamma_e$  of  $\Gamma$  and fix an isomorphism  $\psi_e: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_e$ . Form the free product

$$\Delta_n = \prod_{e \in E_n}^* \Gamma_e * \widehat{F}_n, \quad 0 \leq n < \omega$$

(in the notation of section 1, this is the group  $D_{2^n, n}$ .) For  $m \leq n$  let  $\pi_{n,m}$  also denote the epimorphism  $\pi_{n,m}: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_m$  defined by

$$\pi_{n,m}(y_1) = y_1, \dots, \pi_{n,m}(y_m) = y_m, \pi_{n,m}(y_{m+1}) = 1, \dots, \pi_{n,m}(y_n) = 1,$$

and such that for each  $e_n \in E_n$  and with  $e_m = \pi_{n,m}(e_n)$  the restriction of  $\pi_{n,m}$  to  $\Gamma_{e_n}$  coincides with  $\psi_{e_m} \circ \psi_{e_n}^{-1}$ . Now take the inverse limit:

$$\Delta_\omega = \varprojlim \Delta_n \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_m = \varprojlim \pi_{n,m}.$$

If  $e \in E_\omega$  and  $e_n = \pi_n(e)$ , then  $\Gamma_e = \varprojlim \Gamma_{e_n}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  and  $\psi_e = \varprojlim \psi_{e_n}$ . Similarly  $\widehat{F}_\omega = \varprojlim \widehat{F}_n$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  and  $\Delta_\omega = \langle \Gamma_e, \widehat{F}_\omega \rangle_{e \in E_\omega}$ . In particular every homomorphism of  $\Delta_\omega$  into a profinite group is determined by its restriction to the set

$$(1) \quad Z_\omega = \bigcup_{e \in E_\omega} \Gamma_e \cup Y_\omega.$$

**LEMMA 2.3:** *Every continuous map  $\varphi$  of  $Z_\omega$  into a profinite group  $G$  such that  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and for each  $e \in E_\omega$  the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is a homomorphism uniquely extends to a homomorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow G$ .*

*Proof:* Going to the limit reduces the lemma to the case where  $G$  is finite. In this case  $\Delta_\omega$  has an open normal subgroup  $K$  such that if  $z, z' \in Z_\omega$  and  $zK = z'K$ , then

$\varphi(z) = \varphi(z')$ . Choose a positive integer  $m$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\pi_m) \leq K$ . For each  $n \geq m$  let  $Z_n = \bigcup_{e \in E_n} \Gamma_e \cup \{1, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . Define a map  $\varphi_n: Z_n \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi_n \circ \pi_n = \varphi$  on  $Z_\omega$  in the following way: First let  $\varphi_n(y_i) = \varphi(y_i)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . For  $e_n \in E_n$  choose  $e \in E_\omega$  such that  $\pi_n(e) = e_n$ . Denote the restriction of  $\pi_n$  to  $\Gamma_e$  by  $\pi$ . As  $\pi$  is an isomorphism define  $\varphi_n$  on  $\Gamma_{e_n}$  as  $\varphi \circ \pi^{-1}$ . If  $e'$  is another element of  $E_\omega$  such that  $\pi_n(e') = e_n$  and the restriction of  $\pi_n$  to  $\Gamma_{e'}$  is  $\pi'$ , then  $\varphi \circ (\pi')^{-1} = \varphi \circ \pi^{-1}$ . Indeed, for  $\bar{z} \in \Gamma_{e_n}$  let  $z = \pi^{-1}(\bar{z})$  and  $z' = (\pi')^{-1}(\bar{z})$ . Then  $\pi_n(z) = \pi_n(z')$  and therefore  $\varphi(z) = \varphi(z')$ .

We have proved that  $\varphi$  uniquely determines  $\varphi_n$ . The latter map uniquely extends to a homomorphism  $\varphi_n: \Delta_n \rightarrow G$ . The compatible collection  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq m}$  defines an extension of  $\varphi$  to a homomorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow G$ . ■

The following Lemma allows little changes in  $Y_\omega$  while keeping Lemma 2.3 valid.

LEMMA 2.4: *Let  $\rho$  be an epimorphism of  $\Delta_\omega$  onto a finite group  $A$ . Then  $\Delta_\omega$  has an automorphism  $\varphi$  whose restriction to each  $\Gamma_e$  is the identity map and such that  $\langle \rho(\varphi(Y_\omega)) \rangle = A$ .*

*Proof:* Since the map  $\rho: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow A$  is continuous, there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\rho(y_i) = 1$  for each  $i > k$ . As  $\rho$  is surjective there exist  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \bigcup_{e \in E_\omega} \Gamma_e$  such that  $A = \langle \rho(y_1), \dots, \rho(y_k), \rho(z_1), \dots, \rho(z_m) \rangle$ . Define  $y'_i = y_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ,  $y'_{k+j} = y_{k+j} z_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $y'_i = y_i$  for each  $i > k + m$ . Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y'_i = 1$  and therefore the map  $y_i \mapsto y'_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  extends to a homomorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow \Delta_\omega$  whose restriction to  $\bigcup_{e \in E_\omega} \Gamma_e$  is the identity map (Lemma 2.3). Clearly  $\varphi$  is surjective.

To prove that  $\varphi$  is also injective define for each  $n$  a homomorphism  $\varphi_n: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_n$  such that  $\varphi_n(y_i) = \pi_n(y'_i)$  and whose restriction to  $\Gamma_e$  is the identity map for each  $e \in E_n$ . Then  $\varphi_n$  is surjective. Since  $\Delta_n$  is finitely generated,  $\varphi_n$  is an isomorphism [FJ, Prop. 15.3]. Conclude that  $\varphi = \varprojlim \varphi_n$  is an automorphism.

Obviously, Lemma 2.3 holds for  $Z'_\omega = \bigcup_{e \in E_\omega} \Gamma_e \cup \{y'_0, y'_1, y'_2, \dots\}$  and we have

$$\langle \rho(y'_0), \rho(y'_1), \rho(y'_2), \dots \rangle = \langle \rho(y_1), \dots, \rho(y_k), \rho(z_1), \dots, \rho(z_m) \rangle = A. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.5: *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  satisfies Assumption 1.5. Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . Then*

- (a) *there exists  $e \in E_\omega$  such that  $H$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_e$ ,*
- (b) *if  $H^x = H$  for some  $x \in \Delta_\omega$ , then  $x \in H$ , and*
- (c) *For a closed subset  $E_0$  of  $E_\omega$  let  $\Delta_0$  be the closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  generated by  $Y_\omega$  and by  $\Gamma_e$ ,  $e \in E_0$ . If  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$  and  $H \cap \Delta_0 \neq 1$ , then  $H \leq \Delta_0$ .*
- (d) *if  $H' \neq H$  is another closed subgroup of  $\Delta_\omega$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ , then  $H \cap H' = 1$ .*

*Proof:* In the notation of Assumption 1.5(d) there exists  $n_0$  such that for each  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is a quotient of  $\varphi_n(H)$ . Hence, by Assumption 1.5(d),  $\varphi_n(H)$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{e_n}$  for some  $e_n \in E_n$ . Now use standard limit arguments to find  $e \in E_\omega$  such that  $H$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_e$ . Parts (b), (c), and (d) follow now also by standard limit arguments from parts (a), (b), and (c), respectively, of Lemma 1.7. ■

For each positive integer  $n$  the map  $e \mapsto \psi_e$  maps the finite set  $E_n$  injectively into  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \Delta_n)$ . For various  $n$  these maps are compatible with the maps  $\pi_{n,m}$ . Hence, taking the inverse limit, the map  $e \mapsto \psi_e$  maps  $E_\omega$  homeomorphically onto the closed subset  $\Psi_\omega = \{\psi_e \mid e \in E_\omega\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 1.7(d) the first statement of the following Lemma is a reinterpretation of Lemma 2.5:

LEMMA 2.6: *The set  $\Psi_\omega$  is a closed system of representatives of the  $(\Delta_\omega, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent classes of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ , and  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ .*

*Proof:* The map  $(e, z, \mu) \mapsto \psi_e^{z\mu}$  maps the compact space  $E_\omega \times \Delta_\omega \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  continuously onto  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ . Hence  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$  is closed. ■

### 3. The $\Gamma$ -structure $\Delta_\omega$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated profinite group. Recall that a **weak  $\Gamma$ -structure** is a system  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, d \rangle$  where  $G$  is a profinite group,  $X$  is a Boolean space on which  $G$  continuously acts, and  $d: X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  is a continuous map such that  $d(x^g) = d(x)^g$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$  [HJ4, Definition 1.1]. Sometimes we denote  $X$  by  $X(\mathbf{G})$ . The system  $\mathbf{G}$  is a  **$\Gamma$ -structure** if in addition, for each  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $x^g = x$  implies  $g = 1$  (i.e., the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is **regular**.)

A weak  $\Gamma$ -structure  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, d \rangle$  is said to be **finite** if both  $G$  and  $X$  are finite. Let  $\mathbf{H} = \langle H, Y, d \rangle$  be another weak  $\Gamma$ -structure. A **morphism**  $\varphi: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is a pair consisting of a homomorphism  $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$  and a continuous map  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi(y^h) = \varphi(y)^{\varphi(h)}$  and  $d(\varphi(y)) = \varphi \circ d(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$  and  $h \in H$ . We call  $\varphi$  an **epimorphism** if both  $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$  and  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  are surjective.

Consider now the closed subset  $X_\omega = \{\psi_e^z \mid e \in E_\omega, z \in \Delta_\omega\}$  of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ . The action of  $\Delta_\omega$  on  $X_\omega$  is regular: If  $\psi^z = \psi$  for  $\psi \in X_\omega$  and  $z \in \Delta_\omega$ , then  $z$  belongs to the centralizer of  $\psi(\Gamma)$  in  $\Delta_\omega$ . By Lemma 2.5(b),  $z$  belongs to the center of  $\psi(\Gamma)$ , hence, by Assumption 1.5(c),  $z = 1$ . Thus  $\Delta_\omega = \langle \Delta_\omega, X_\omega, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a  $\Gamma$ -structure. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6,  $\Psi_\omega$  is a closed system of representatives for the  $\Delta_\omega$ -classes of  $X_\omega$ . We show that  $\Delta_\omega$  is **free** on  $\Psi_\omega \cup Y_\omega$ .

**LEMMA 3.1:** *Let  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, d \rangle$  be a weak  $\Gamma$ -structure. Let  $f_0: Y_\omega \rightarrow G$  and  $f_1: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow X$  be continuous maps such that  $f_0(1) = 1$ . Then there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  which coincides with  $f_0$  on  $Y_\omega$  and with  $f_1$  on  $\Psi_\omega$  and such that  $\varphi \circ \psi_e = d(f_1(\psi_e))$  for each  $e \in E_\omega$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose that  $\varphi$  exists. Then its value at each element of  $Z_\omega$  ((1) of Section 2) is uniquely determined. Hence the homomorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow G$  is uniquely determined. Since  $\Psi_\omega$  represents the  $\Delta_\omega$ -classes of  $X_\omega$  the map  $\varphi: X_\omega \rightarrow X$  is uniquely determined by its values on  $\Psi_\omega$  and by the homomorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow G$ . Conclude that  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is uniquely determined by  $(f_0, f_1)$ .

To prove the existence of  $\varphi$  define a map  $\varphi_0: Z_\omega \rightarrow G$  that coincides with  $f_0$  on  $Y_\omega$  and for each  $e \in E_\omega$  the restriction of  $\varphi_0$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is the homomorphism  $d(f_1(\psi_e)) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ .

To prove that  $\varphi_0$  is continuous it suffices to prove that  $\varphi_0$  is continuous on  $\bigcup_{e \in E_\omega} \Gamma_e$ .

Indeed, let  $N$  be an open normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $d \circ f_1: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  is a continuous map there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that for each  $e, e' \in E_\omega$

$$(1) \quad \psi_{\pi_n(e)} = \psi_{\pi_n(e')} \text{ implies } d(f_1(\psi_e)) \cong d(f_1(\psi_{e'})) \text{ mod } N.$$

It suffices to prove for this  $n$  and for  $e, e' \in E_\omega$  with  $e' \neq 1$  that

$$(2) \quad z \in \Gamma_e, z' \in \Gamma_{e'} \text{ and } \pi_n(z) = \pi_n(z') \neq 1 \text{ imply } \varphi_0(z) \cong \varphi_0(z') \text{ mod } N.$$

Indeed, the assumption of (2) implies that  $\Gamma_{\pi_n(e)} \cap \Gamma_{\pi_n(e')} \neq 1$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.7(d),  $\pi_n(e) = \pi_n(e')$ , and therefore  $\psi_{\pi_n(e)} = \psi_{\pi_n(e')}$ . Thus

$$\psi_e^{-1}(z) = \psi_{\pi_n(e)}^{-1}(\pi_n(z)) = \psi_{\pi_n(e')}^{-1}(\pi_n(z')) = \psi_{e'}^{-1}(z').$$

Conclude from (1) that

$$\varphi_0(z) = d(f_1(\psi_e))(\psi_e^{-1}(z)) = d(f_1(\psi_e))(\psi_{e'}^{-1}(z')) \cong d(f_1(\psi_{e'}))(\psi_{e'}^{-1}(z')) \cong \varphi_0(z') \text{ mod } N, \blacksquare$$

as desired.

By Lemma 2.3,  $\varphi_0$  extends to a homomorphism  $\varphi_0: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow G$ . Since  $d(f_1(\psi_e)) = \varphi_0 \circ \psi_e$  for each  $e \in E_\omega$  conclude from [HJ4, Lemma 2.7] that the pair  $(\varphi_0, f_1)$  extends to a morphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{\Delta}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  of weak  $\Gamma$ -structures.  $\blacksquare$

LEMMA 3.2 ([H, Lemma 1.9]): *Let  $f_0$  be a continuous map from a closed subset  $C$  of a Boolean space  $E$  into a finite discrete space  $X$ . Then  $f_0$  extends to a continuous map  $f: E \rightarrow X$ .*

NOTATION 3.3: Consider a closed subset  $E_0$  of  $E_\omega$  and a closed subset  $Y_0$  of  $Y_\omega$  that contains 1. Let  $\Psi_0 = \{\psi_e \mid e \in E_0\}$ ,  $\Delta_0 = \langle \Gamma_e, y \mid e \in E_0, y \in Y_0 \rangle$  and  $X_0 = \{\psi_e^z \mid e \in E_0, z \in \Delta_0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0 = \langle \Delta_0, X_0, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -structure of  $\mathbf{\Delta}$  and  $\Psi_0$  is a closed system of representatives for the  $\Delta_0$ -classes of  $X_0$ .

The following generalization of Lemma 3.2 may be interpreted as saying that the sub- $\Gamma$ -structure  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$  is free on  $\Psi_0 \cup Y_0$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4: *In notation 3.3 let  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, d \rangle$  be a weak  $\Gamma$ -structure. Suppose that  $f_0: Y_0 \rightarrow G$  and  $f_1: \Psi_0 \rightarrow X$  are continuous maps such that  $f_0(1) = 1$ . Then there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  that coincides with  $f_0$  on  $Y_0$  and with  $f_1$  on  $\Psi_0$ , and  $\varphi \circ \psi_e = d(f_1(\psi_e))$  for each  $e \in E_0$ .*

*Proof:* The uniqueness of  $\varphi$  is proved exactly as in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 3.1.

We prove the existence of  $\varphi$  first for finite  $X$ . In this case  $f_0$  extends to a continuous map  $f_{0\omega}: Y_\omega \rightarrow G$  and  $f_1$  extends to a continuous map  $f_{1\omega}: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow X$  (Lemma 3.2). Then Lemma 3.1 gives a morphism  $\varphi_\omega: \mathbf{\Delta}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  that coincides with  $f_{0\omega}$  on  $Y_\omega$  and with  $f_{1\omega}$  on  $\Psi_\omega$ , and for each  $e \in E_\omega$  the restriction of  $\varphi_\omega$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is  $d(f_{1\omega}(\psi_e)) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ . The restriction of  $\varphi_\omega$  to  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$  is the desired morphism  $\varphi$ .

In the general case present  $\mathbf{G}$  as the inverse limit of finite weak  $\Gamma$ -structures:  $\mathbf{G} = \varprojlim G_i$  with  $G_i = \langle G_i, X_i, d_i \rangle$ ,  $i \in I$  [HJ4, Lemma 1.3]. For each  $i \in I$  let  $\rho_i \in \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_i$  be the associated morphism and let  $f_{ti} = \rho_i \circ f_t$ ,  $t = 0, 1$ . By the preceding paragraph there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi_i: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_i$  that coincides with  $f_{0i}$  on  $Y_0$  and with  $f_{1i}$  on  $\Psi_0$ , and for each  $e \in \Psi_0$  the restriction of  $\varphi_i$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is  $d(f_{1i}(\psi_e)) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ . If  $j \in I$  is greater than  $i$ , then the uniqueness of  $\varphi_i$  implies that  $\varphi_i = \rho_{ji} \circ \varphi_j$ , where  $\rho_{ji}: \mathbf{G}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_i$  is the associated morphism. Therefore, the  $\varphi_i$ 's define a morphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ , as stated in the proposition.  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. $\Gamma$ -Projective groups.

The main result of this section is Proposition 4.4, which constitutes the third step in the proof of the Realization Theorem.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a profinite group that satisfies Assumption 1.5. A  **$\Gamma$ -embedding problem** for a profinite group  $G$  is a pair  $(\varphi: G \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A)$ , where  $\pi$  is an epimorphism of profinite groups and  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism such that  $\varphi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \pi \circ \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$ . The problem is **finite** if  $B$  is finite. A **solution** to the problem is a homomorphism  $\gamma: G \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ . We call  $G$   **$\Gamma$ -projective** if  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  and if each finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem for  $G$  is solvable. By the second paragraph of Section 1, this definition is equivalent to the one given in [HJ4, §4]. In the case  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  we follow the convention of [HJ4] and refer to “ $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -projective” as  **$p$ -adically projective**.

An **embedding problem** for a  $\Gamma$ -structure  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, d \rangle$  is a pair  $(\varphi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}, \pi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A})$  of morphisms of weak  $\Gamma$ -structures such that  $\pi$  is a **cover** (i.e.,  $\pi: B \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism,  $\pi: X(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A})$  is a surjective map and for each  $x, x' \in X(\mathbf{B})$  that satisfy  $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$  there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $x^b = x'$ .) The problem is **finite** if  $\mathbf{B}$  is a finite structure. A **solution** to the problem is a morphism  $\gamma: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ . Finally,  $\mathbf{G}$  is said to be **projective** if each finite embedding problem for  $\mathbf{G}$  is solvable.

**LEMMA 4.1:** *Let  $\pi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  be an epimorphism of weak finite  $\Gamma$ -structures. In Notation 3.3, let  $\varphi: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  be a morphism. Then there exists a morphism  $\gamma: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ . In particular  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$  is a projective  $\Gamma$ -structure and  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$  is a  $\Gamma$ -projective group.*

*Proof:* The second part of the last statement follows from the first one by Proposition 5.4(a) of [HJ4]. To prove the existence of  $\gamma$  in the first statement extend  $\varphi$  first, as in the second part of the proof of Proposition 3.4, to a morphism  $\varphi_\omega: \mathbf{\Delta}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exists a continuous map  $\gamma_0: Y_\omega \rightarrow B$  such that  $\gamma_0(1) = 1$  and  $\pi \circ \gamma_0 = \varphi_\omega$  on  $Y_\omega$ . By Lemma 2.1(c) there exists a continuous map  $\gamma_1: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow X(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma_1 = \varphi_\omega$ . Extend  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$  to a morphism  $\gamma_\omega: \mathbf{\Delta}_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  whose restriction to  $\Gamma_e$  is

$\gamma_1(\psi_e) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ , for each  $e \in E_\omega$  (Lemma 3.1). The uniqueness part of Lemma 3.1 applied to the morphisms from  $\Delta_\omega$  to  $\mathbf{A}$  assures that  $\pi \circ \gamma_\omega = \varphi_\omega$ . The restriction  $\gamma$  of  $\gamma_\omega$  to  $\Delta_0$  is a morphism which satisfies  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ , as desired. ■

At this point we tie up the discussion that started in Section 2 with the universal  $\Gamma$ -groups of Section 1.

**PROPOSITION 4.2:** *The group  $\Delta_\omega$  is  $\Gamma$ -universal. If  $G$  is a  $\Gamma$ -universal group of rank  $\aleph_0$ , then  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ .*

*Proof:* The second statement follows from the first one by Lemma 1.1. So, we have only to prove that every proper  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem for  $\Delta_\omega$  is properly solvable. Let  $\pi: B \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism of finite groups. Let  $I$  be a  $(B, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -subdomain of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$  and let  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism such that  $\pi \circ I = \varphi \circ \text{Embd}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega)$ . Denote the subset of all  $\beta \in I$  such that  $\pi \circ \beta \in \varphi \circ \Psi_\omega$  by  $I_0$ . Let  $I_1 = \{\beta^b \mid \beta \in I_0, b \in B\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{B} = \langle B, I_1, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \pi \circ I_1, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  are finite weak structures. Also  $\pi$  naturally extends to an epimorphism  $\pi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  and  $\varphi$  naturally extends to an epimorphism  $\varphi: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ .

Change  $Y_\omega$  if necessary to assume that  $\langle \varphi(Y_\omega) \rangle = A$  (Lemma 2.4). By Lemma 2.2 there exists a continuous map  $\gamma_0: Y_\omega \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma_0 = \varphi$  on  $Y_\omega$ ,  $B = \langle \gamma_0(Y_\omega) \rangle$  and  $\gamma_0(1) = 1$ . As  $\pi \circ I_0 = \varphi \circ \Psi_\omega$ , Lemma 2.1(c) gives a continuous surjection  $\gamma_1: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow I_0$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma_1 = \varphi$  on  $\Psi_\omega$ .

By Lemma 3.1 there exists a morphism  $\gamma: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  which coincides with  $\gamma_0$  on  $Y_\omega$  and with  $\gamma_1$  on  $\Psi_\omega$  and such that for each  $e \in E_\omega$  the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is  $\gamma_1(\psi_e) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ .

The homomorphism  $\gamma: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow B$  is surjective. Obviously  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  on  $Y_\omega$ . Also, for  $e \in E_\omega$  we have  $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi \circ \gamma_1(\psi_e) \circ \psi_e^{-1} = \varphi \circ \psi_e \circ \psi_e^{-1} = \varphi$  on  $\Gamma_e$ . Hence  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  on  $\Delta_\omega$ .

Use Lemma 2.6 to check that  $I_0 = \gamma_1(\Psi_\omega) \subseteq \gamma \circ \text{Embd}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega) \subseteq I$ . By construction,  $I_0$  contains representatives for the  $(B, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalence classes of  $I$ . Conclude that  $\gamma \circ \text{Embd}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega) = I$ . It follows that  $\Delta_\omega$  is  $\Gamma$ -universal. ■

**LEMMA 4.3:** *Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group. Then  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$  has a closed system*



$Z$  of representatives to its  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -classes. Also, for each such  $Z$ , and with  $X = \{\zeta^g \mid \zeta \in Z, g \in G\}$ ,  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a projective  $\Gamma$ -structure and  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G) = \{\xi(\Gamma) \mid \xi \in X\}$ .

*Proof:* By [HJ4, Lemma 5.4(b)],  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  has a closed subset  $X$  which is closed under the action of  $G$  such that  $\{\psi(\Gamma) \mid \psi \in X\} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . Moreover, for each  $\psi, \psi' \in X$ ,  $\psi(\Gamma) = \psi'(\Gamma)$  if and only if there exists  $g \in \psi(\Gamma)$  such that  $\psi^g = \psi'$ . By Assumption 1.5(c), the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is regular. Hence  $X$  has a closed system  $Z$  of representatives for its  $G$ -classes [HJ4, Lemma 2.4]. The system  $Z$  represents the  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -classes of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ .

Conversely, if we start from  $Z$  and define  $X$  as in the Lemma, then [HJ4, Lemma 5.4(b)] states that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a projective  $\Gamma$ -structure.  $\blacksquare$

**PROPOSITION 4.4:** *Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group of rank at most  $\aleph_0$ . Let  $A$  be a finite group. Suppose that  $\pi: G \rightarrow A$  and  $\rho: \Delta_\omega \rightarrow A$  are epimorphisms such that*

$$(1) \quad \pi \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \rho \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega).$$

*Then there exists an embedding  $\gamma: G \rightarrow \Delta_\omega$  such that  $\rho \circ \gamma = \pi$ .*

*Moreover,  $E_\omega$  has a closed subset  $E_0$  such that  $\gamma(G)$  is contained in the closed subgroup  $\Delta_0$  generated by  $Y_\omega$  and by the groups  $\Gamma_e$  with  $e \in E_0$ . Also,  $\gamma(G)$  has a normal complement  $N$  in  $\Delta_0$  such that for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Delta_0)$  there exists  $H' \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \gamma(G))$  with  $NH = NH'$ .*

*Proof:* Change  $Y_\omega$  if necessary to assume that

$$(2) \quad \langle \rho(Y_\omega) \rangle = A$$

(Lemma 2.4). Next choose a closed system  $Z$  of representatives of the  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -equivalent classes of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  (Lemma 4.3). The rest of the proof brakes into two parts.

**PART A: Replacing  $Z$ .** Let  $\alpha \in \pi \circ Z$ . By (1) and by Lemma 2.6 there exist  $\psi_\alpha \in \Psi_\omega$ ,  $d_\alpha \in \Delta_\omega$  and  $\mu_\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\alpha = \rho \circ \psi_\alpha^{d_\alpha \mu_\alpha^{-1}} = (\rho \circ \psi_\alpha)^{\rho(d_\alpha) \mu_\alpha^{-1}}$ . Choose  $g_\alpha \in G$

such that  $\pi(g_\alpha) = \rho(d_\alpha)^{-1}$ . Then

$$(3) \quad \alpha^{\pi(g_\alpha)\mu_\alpha} \in \rho \circ \Psi_\omega.$$

As  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$  is a discrete space, the set  $Z_\alpha = \{\zeta \in Z \mid \pi \circ \zeta = \alpha\}$  is open-closed in  $Z$ . The map  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{g_\alpha\mu_\alpha}$  maps  $Z_\alpha$  homeomorphically onto  $Z'_\alpha = Z_\alpha^{g_\alpha\mu_\alpha}$ . Hence  $Z = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \pi \circ Z} Z_\alpha$  is homeomorphic to  $Z' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \pi \circ Z} Z'_\alpha$ . In particular  $Z'$  is a closed system of representatives for the  $(G, \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ -classes of  $\text{Embd}(\Gamma, G)$ . Moreover, if  $\zeta \in Z_\alpha$ , then by (3),  $\pi \circ \zeta^{g_\alpha\mu_\alpha} = \alpha^{\pi(g_\alpha)\mu_\alpha} \in \rho \circ \Psi_\omega$ . It follows that  $\pi \circ Z' \subseteq \rho \circ \Psi_\omega$ . Replace therefore  $Z$  by  $Z'$  if necessary to assume

$$(4) \quad \pi \circ Z \subseteq \rho \circ \Psi_\omega.$$

Let now  $X = \{\zeta^g \mid \zeta \in Z, g \in G\}$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a projective  $\Gamma$ -structure and  $Z$  is a closed system of representatives for the  $G$ -classes of  $X$ .

**PART B: Construction of  $\gamma$ .** Use (2) and apply Lemma 2.2 to construct a continuous map  $\theta_0: Y_\omega \rightarrow G$  such that  $\pi \circ \theta_0 = \rho$  on  $Y_\omega$ ,  $\theta_0(1) = 1$  and  $\langle \theta_0(Y_\omega) \rangle = G$ .

Since  $\text{rank}(G) \leq \aleph_0$ , the space  $Z$  is an inverse limit of a sequence of finite discrete spaces. Apply Lemma 2.1(b) on the maps  $\pi: Z \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$  and  $\rho: \Psi_\omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$ . By (4) there exists a continuous injective map  $\theta'_1: Z \rightarrow \Psi_\omega$  such that  $\rho \circ \theta'_1 = \pi$  on  $Z$ . In particular  $\Psi_0 = \theta'_1(Z)$  is a closed subset of  $\Psi_\omega$ . Let  $\theta_1: \Psi_0 \rightarrow Z$  be the inverse homeomorphism to  $\theta'_1$ . Now consider the sets  $E_0 = \{e \in E_\omega \mid \psi_e \in \Psi_0\}$ ,  $\Delta_0 = \langle \Gamma_e, y \mid e \in E_0, y \in Y_\omega \rangle$ , and  $X_0 = \{\psi_e^z \mid e \in E_0, z \in \Delta_0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0 = \langle \Delta_0, X_0, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a  $\Gamma$ -structure and  $\Psi_0$  is a closed system of representatives for the  $\Delta_0$ -classes of  $X_0$ . Apply Proposition 3.4 to extend the pair  $(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  to a morphism  $\theta: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  such that for each  $e \in E_0$  the restriction of  $\theta: \Delta_0 \rightarrow G$  to  $\Gamma_e$  is  $\theta_1(\psi_e) \circ \psi_e^{-1}$ . It satisfies  $\pi \circ \theta = \rho$  on  $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$ . Also,  $\theta: \Delta_0 \rightarrow G$  is an epimorphism and  $\theta: \Psi_0 \rightarrow Z$  is bijective. So,  $\theta$  is a cover. In particular, the homomorphism  $\theta: \Delta_0 \rightarrow G$  maps each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Delta_0)$  isomorphically onto some  $\bar{H} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ .

Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is projective  $\theta: \mathbf{\Delta}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  has a section  $\gamma$  [HJ4, Lemma 5.2]. It satisfies  $\rho \circ \gamma = \pi$ . In particular  $\gamma(G) \leq \Delta_0$  and  $N = \text{Ker}(\theta)$  is a normal complement of  $\gamma(G)$

in  $\Delta_0$ . If  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \Delta_0)$  and  $\overline{H} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  are as before, then  $\gamma(\overline{H}) \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \gamma(G))$  and  $N\gamma(\overline{H}) = N\Gamma_e$ . The embedding  $\gamma: G \rightarrow \Delta_\omega$  satisfies the requirements of the proposition. ■

**COROLLARY 4.5:** *let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group of rank at most  $\aleph_0$ . Then  $G$  can be embedded in each of the groups  $D_{e,m}$  with  $e \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ .*

*Proof:* Take  $A = 1$  in Proposition 4.4 and observe that since  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, \Delta_\omega) \neq \emptyset$ , condition (1) holds. Hence  $G$  can be embedded in  $\Delta_\omega$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $\Delta_\omega$  is  $\Gamma$ -universal. As there is a unique  $\Gamma$ -universal group of rank  $\aleph_0$  (Lemma 1.1),  $\Delta_\omega$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $D_{e,m}$  (Proposition 1.8). Hence, so is  $G$ . ■

## 5. Subgroups of $\Gamma$ -projective groups.

Recall that each closed subgroup of a projective group is projective [FJ, Cor. 20.14]. The same statement holds for real projective groups [HJ1, Cor. 10.5]. However, as  $\Gamma$  is not isomorphic to any of its proper closed subgroup (Assumption 1.5(b)) a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective if and only if it is projective. The goal of this section is to generalize this observation to arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -projective groups by giving the exact condition for a closed subgroup of a  $\Gamma$ -projective group to be  $\Gamma$ -projective.

To this end we recall some definitions of Haran. In [H, Def. 3.1] he calls a family  $\mathcal{X}$  of closed subgroups of a profinite group  $G$  **separated** if for all distinct  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{X}$

(1a)  $H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$ , and

(1b) there exist subfamilies  $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2$ ,  $H_i \in \mathcal{X}_2$ , and  $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{X}_i} H$  is closed in  $G$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**REMARK 5.1:** *If  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed in  $\text{Sub}(G)$ , then condition (1b) is automatically satisfied. Indeed, since  $\mathcal{X}$  is Boolean there exist disjoint closed subsets  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $H_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . So, all we have to prove is that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed, then  $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{X}} H$  is closed in  $G$ .*

Indeed, let  $g$  be in the closure of the latter set. For each open normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  let  $\mathcal{X}_N$  be the set of all  $H \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $gN \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . The set  $\mathcal{X}_N$  is open and closed in  $\mathcal{X}$  and by assumption it is nonempty. If  $N' \leq N$  is another open normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{X}'_{N'} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_N$ . So, by compactness of  $\mathcal{X}$  there exists  $H \in \mathcal{X}$  whose

intersection with  $gN$  is nonempty for each open normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ . Conclude that  $g \in H$ . ■

Haran continues in [H, Def. 4.1] to consider an arbitrary family  $\mathcal{X}$  of closed subgroups of a profinite group  $G$  and call a triple

$$(2) \quad (\varphi: G \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A, \text{Con}(B))$$

a **finite  $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem** if

(3a)  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism of finite groups,

(3b)  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism,

(3c)  $\text{Con}(B)$  is a family of closed subgroups of  $B$  closed under inclusion and under conjugation such that

(3d) for each  $H \in \mathcal{X}$  there is a continuous homomorphism  $\gamma: H \rightarrow B$  that satisfies  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  on  $H$  and  $\gamma(H) \in \text{Con}(B)$ .

A **solution** of this problem is a homomorphism  $\gamma: G \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  and  $\gamma(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \text{Con}(B)$ .

Finally if  $G$  is a profinite group and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a separated family of subgroups of  $G$  closed under conjugation, then  $G$  is **projective relative to  $\mathcal{X}$**  if every finite  $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem for  $G$  has a solution [H, Def. 4.2].

LEMMA 5.2: *If  $G$  is a  $\Gamma$ -projective group, then  $G$  is projective with respect to the separated family  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ .*

*Proof:* Condition (1a) is satisfied by [HJ4, Lemma 4.5(a)] and condition (1b) is satisfied by Remark 5.1 since  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Subg}(G)$ . So, all we have to prove is that with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  the  $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem (2) has a solution.

Indeed, let  $X$  be a closed subset of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$ , which is closed under the action of  $G$  such that  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, X, \text{inclusion} \rangle$  is a projective  $\Gamma$ -structure and such that  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G) = \{\xi(\Gamma) \mid \xi \in X\}$  (Lemma 4.3). Choose  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_e \in X$  such that  $\varphi \circ \xi_1, \dots, \varphi \circ \xi_e$  is a system of representatives for the  $A$ -equivalence classes of the finite set  $X(A) = \varphi \circ X$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  choose  $\beta_i \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  such that  $\varphi \circ \xi_i = \pi \circ \beta_i$  and  $\beta_i(\Gamma) \in \text{Con}(B)$ . Then  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_e$  is a set of representative for the  $B$ -equivalence of  $X(B) = \{\beta_i^b \mid i =$

$1, \dots, e; b \in B\}$  and  $\pi$  maps  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_e\}$  bijectively onto  $\{\pi \circ \xi_1, \dots, \varphi \circ \xi_e\}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, X(A), \text{inclusion} \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \langle B, X(B), \text{inclusion} \rangle$  are finite weak  $\Gamma$ -structures and  $\pi: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a cover. Also,  $\varphi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a morphism of weak  $\Gamma$ -structures. Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is projective there exists a morphism  $\gamma: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ . In particular  $\varphi: G \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism that satisfies  $\gamma \circ \xi(\Gamma) \in \text{Con}(B)$  for each  $\xi \in X$ . It therefore solves the given  $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem. ■

**THEOREM 5.3:** *Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group. Then a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective if and only if for each  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  either  $\overline{G} \leq H$  or  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective.*

*Proof:* Suppose first that the condition is satisfied. Note that the topology of  $\text{Subg}(H)$  coincides with the topology induced by that of  $\text{Subg}(G)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, H) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G) \cap \text{Subg}(H)$  is closed in  $\text{Subg}(H)$ .

By Lemma 5.2,  $G$  is projective with respect to the family  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . By a theorem of Haran [H, Thm. 5.1],  $H$  is projective with respect to the family  $\mathcal{H} = \{\overline{G} \cap H \mid \overline{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)\}$ . To prove that  $H$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective consider a finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem,  $(\varphi: H \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A)$ , for  $H$ . It induces an  $\mathcal{H}$ -embedding problem  $(\varphi: G \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A, \text{Subg}(B))$ . Indeed, let  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . If  $\overline{G} \leq H$ , then, by assumption, there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma: H \rightarrow B$  that satisfies  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$  on  $\overline{G}$ . Otherwise  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective and the existence of  $\gamma$  as above is also guaranteed. Conclude that the embedding problem has a solution and that therefore  $H$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective.

Conversely, suppose that  $H$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective. Let  $\overline{G}$  be a group in  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  which is not contained in  $H$ . We have to prove that  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective.

Indeed, by Lemma 5.2 and by Haran's theorem,  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective with respect to the family  $\mathcal{Y} = \{G' \cap \overline{G} \cap H \mid G' \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, H)\}$ . Observe that if  $G' \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, H)$ , then  $G' \neq \overline{G}$  and therefore  $G' \cap \overline{G} = 1$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1\}$ . Conclude that  $\overline{G} \cap H$  is projective. ■

## 6. The cohomological dimension of $\Gamma$ -projective groups.

We continue in this section to consider a profinite group  $\Gamma$  that satisfies Assumption 1.5. Using Corollary 4.5, we prove that the cohomological dimension of each  $\Gamma$ -projective group is equal to that of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, for  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  we obtain that the cohomological dimension of every  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -projective group is 2. We deduce that  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p(t))$  is not  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -projective.

In order to prove these results we need an analogue of the Skolem-Löwenheim theorem for several properties of profinite groups. We say that a closed subgroup  $H$  of a profinite group  $G$  has **at most countable corank** if  $H$  is the intersection of countably many open subgroups of  $G$ . If  $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$  is a homomorphism of profinite groups and  $A$  is a  $G$ -module, then  $A$  is an  $H$ -module and we denote the inflation map of  $H^q(G, A)$  into  $H^q(H, A)$  by  $\text{Inf}_H^G$ .

Recall that for a prime  $l$ ,  $\text{cd}_l(G) \leq n$  if  $H^q(G, A) = 0$  for each  $q > n$  and each  $l$ -primary  $G$ -module  $A$  [R, p. 200]. Since  $A$  is the direct limit of finite  $l$ -primary modules  $A_i$  [R, p. 202] and since  $H^q(G, A) = \varinjlim H^q(G, A_i)$  [R, p. 114], it suffices to consider only finite  $l$ -primary  $G$  modules. Each finite  $l$ -primary module  $A$  can be embedded in the induced module  $\text{Ind}_1^G A$  which has trivial cohomology [R, p. 146]. Using the method of dimension shifting one can then prove that for  $\text{cd}_l(G) \leq n$  to hold it suffices that  $H^{n+1}(G, A) = 0$  for each finite  $l$ -primary  $G$ -module  $A$ .

**LEMMA 6.1:** *Let  $l$  be a prime,  $G$  a profinite group, and  $K$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  of at most countable corank. Then  $G$  has a closed normal subgroup  $N$  of at most countable corank which is contained in  $K$  such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) \leq \text{cd}_l(G)$ .*

*Proof:* If  $\text{cd}_l(G) = \infty$  take  $N = K$ . So, suppose that  $\text{cd}_l(G) = q - 1$  for some positive integer  $q$ . Present  $K$  as an intersection  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  of open subgroups of  $G$ . Inductively define a descending sequence  $G \geq N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots$  of open normal subgroups of  $G$  and for each  $n$  order the finite  $l$ -primary  $G/N_n$ -modules in a sequence  $A_{n1}, A_{n2}, \dots$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $N_n \leq K_n$ , and the module  $A_n = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} A_{ij}$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad \text{Inf}_{G/N_{n+1}}^{G/N_n} H^q(G/N_n, A_n) = 0.$$

Indeed, suppose that  $N_i$  and  $A_{ij}$  has already been constructed for each  $i \leq n$  and each  $j$ . Then  $\varinjlim H^q(G/M, A_n) = H^q(G, A_n) = 0$ , where  $M$  ranges over all normal subgroups which are contained in  $N_n$  and the maps between the cohomology groups are the corresponding inflations [R, p. 114]. As  $H^q(G/N_n, A_n)$  is a finite group,  $G$  has an open normal subgroup  $N_{n+1} \leq K_{n+1} \cap N_n$  such that  $\text{Inf}_{G/N_{n+1}}^{G/N_n} x = 0$  for each  $x \in H^q(G/N_n, A_n)$ . Now order the countably many finite  $l$ -primary modules of  $G/N_{n+1}$  in a sequence  $A_{n+1,1}, A_{n+2,2}, \dots$ .

We have to prove that the closed normal subgroup  $N = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n$  of  $G$  satisfies  $H^q(G/N, A) = 0$  for each finite  $l$ -primary  $G/N$ -module  $A$ . Indeed, since the action of  $G/N$  on  $A$  is continuous there exists a positive integer  $i$  such that the action of  $N_i/N$  on  $A$  is trivial. Thus,  $A$  is a  $G/N_i$ -module and therefore there is  $j$  such that  $A = A_{i,j}$ . Let  $n = \max\{i, j\}$ . Then  $A$  is a direct summand of  $A_n$ . Since  $H^q(G/N_n, \cdot)$  is an additive functor [R, p. 118], (1) implies that  $\text{Inf}_{G/N_{n+1}}^{G/N_n} H^q(G/N_n, A) = 0$ . Conclude that  $H^q(G/N, A) = 0$ . ■

LEMMA 6.2: *Let  $G$  be a profinite group and let  $l$  be a prime. Then  $G$  has a closed normal subgroup  $N_0$  of at most countable corank such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) \geq \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each closed normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  contained in  $N_0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $S$  be the set of all positive integers  $q$  such that  $\text{cd}_l(G) \geq q$ . For each  $q \in S$  there exists a finite  $l$ -primary module  $A_q$  such that  $H^q(G, A_q) \neq 0$ . Choose a closed normal subgroup  $N_q$  of  $G$  which acts on  $A_q$  trivially. Since  $\varinjlim H^q(G/M, A_q) = H^q(G, A_q) \neq 0$ , where  $M$  ranges over all open normal subgroups of  $G$  which are contained in  $N_q$ , there exists an open normal subgroup  $M_q$  contained in  $N_q$  such that  $H^q(G/M, A_q) \neq 0$  for each closed normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  which is contained in  $M_q$ . The closed normal subgroup  $N_0 = \bigcap_{q \in S} M_q$  satisfies  $H^q(G/N, A_q) \neq 0$  and therefore  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) \geq q$  for each closed normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  contained in  $N_0$  and for each  $q \in S$ . Conclude that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) \geq \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each closed normal subgroup  $N \leq N_0$ . ■

PROPOSITION 6.3: *Let  $G$  be a profinite group. Let  $K$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  of at most countable corank. Then  $G$  has a closed normal subgroup  $N$  contained in  $K$*

of at most countable corank such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) = \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each prime  $l$ . Moreover, if  $N \geq M_1 \geq M_2 \geq \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of closed normal subgroups such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/M_i) = \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each  $l$  and  $i$ , then their intersection  $M$  has the same property.

*Proof:* List the set of primes in a sequence  $l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots$ . Combine lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 to inductively produce a descending sequence  $K \geq N_{1,1} \geq N_{1,2} \geq \dots$ , of closed normal subgroups of at most countable corank such that  $\text{cd}_{l_j}(G/N_{1j}) \leq \text{cd}_{l_j}(G)$  and such that  $\text{cd}_{l_j}(G/N) \geq \text{cd}_{l_j}(G)$  for each closed normal subgroup  $N \leq N_{1j}$  and for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

Let  $N_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} N_{1j}$ . Use Lemma 6.1 to inductively construct a descending sequence  $N_1 \geq N_{2,1} \geq N_{2,2} \geq \dots$  of closed normal subgroups of at most countable corank such that  $\text{cd}_{l_j}(G/N_{2j}) \leq \text{cd}_{l_j}(G)$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

Let  $N_2 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} N_{2j}$  and repeat this construction for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . In particular,  $\text{cd}_{l_j}(G/N_{ij}) \leq \text{cd}_{l_j}(G)$ . Take  $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ . Then  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) \geq \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each prime  $l$ . Also, if  $l = l_j$ , then  $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} N_{ij}$ . Hence, if  $A$  is a finite  $l$ -primary  $G/N$ -module, then it is a  $G/N_{ij}$ -module for all large  $i$ . Thus, if  $q > \text{cd}_l(G)$ , then  $H^q(G/N) = \varinjlim H^q(G/N_{ij}) = 0$ . Conclude that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) = \text{cd}_l(G)$ .

A similar argument proves the last statement of the proposition. ■

It is a consequence of Krasner's lemma that  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p = \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . The following lemma gives an analogue of these statement for arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -projective groups.

**LEMMA 6.4:** *For each  $\Gamma$ -projective group  $G$  there exists a closed normal subgroup  $N$  of countable rank such that for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  we have  $H \cap N = 1$ .*

*Proof:* For a positive integer  $n$  let  $\Gamma_n$  be the intersection of all open subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of index at most  $n$ . Each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  has a unique open normal subgroup  $H_n$  such that  $H/H_n \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ . Take an open normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $M \cap H = H_n$ . If  $H' \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  satisfies  $MH' = MH$ , then  $H'/M \cap H' \cong MH'/M = MH/M \cong H/H_n \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_n$  and therefore  $M \cap H' = H'_n$ . Use the compactness of  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  to conclude that there are finitely many open normal subgroups  $M_1, \dots, M_m$  of  $G$  such that for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  there exists  $i$  between 1 and  $m$  such that  $M_i \cap H = H_n$ . The open normal subgroup  $N_n = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_m$  satisfies  $N_n \cap H \leq H_n$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . Let



$N = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n$ . As  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n = 1$ , also  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n = 1$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . Conclude that  $N \cap H = 1$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . ■

We say that a finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem  $(\hat{\varphi}: G \rightarrow \hat{A}, \hat{\pi}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{A})$  of a profinite group  $G$  **dominates** another finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem  $(\varphi: G \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A)$  if there exist homomorphisms  $\alpha: \hat{A} \rightarrow A$  and  $\beta: \hat{B} \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \beta = \alpha \circ \hat{\pi}$  and  $\varphi = \alpha \circ \hat{\varphi}$ . Then every solution  $\hat{\gamma}$  of the former embedding problem gives rise to a solution  $\beta \circ \hat{\gamma}$  of the latter one.

LEMMA 6.5: *Let  $(\varphi_i: G \rightarrow A_i, \pi_i: B_i \rightarrow A_i)$  be a finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\alpha: A_2 \rightarrow A_1$  be a homomorphism such that  $\alpha \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_1$ . Then there exists a finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem  $(\varphi_2: G \rightarrow A_2, \pi: B \rightarrow A_2)$  which dominates the given embedding problems.*

*Proof:* Let  $B = B_1 \times_{A_1} B_2$  be the fibred product of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  over  $A_1$  [FJ, Section 20.2]. Denote the projection of  $B$  onto  $B_i$  by  $\rho_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We prove that  $(\varphi_2: G \rightarrow A_2, \pi_2 \circ \rho_2: B \rightarrow A_2)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem, which obviously dominates the two given ones.

Indeed, for  $\zeta \in \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  there exists  $\beta_i \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B_i)$  such that  $\pi_i \circ \beta_i = \varphi_i \circ \zeta$ . By [FJ, Prop. 20.6(b)] there exists  $\beta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$  such that  $\rho_2 \circ \beta = \beta_2$  and therefore  $\pi_2 \circ \rho_2 \circ \beta = \varphi_2 \circ \zeta$ . ■

LEMMA 6.6: *Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group and let  $K$  be a closed subgroup of at most countable corank. Then  $G$  has a closed normal subgroup of at most countable corank  $N$  contained in  $K$  such that  $G/N$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective and  $\nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N)$ , where  $\nu: G \rightarrow G/N$  is the canonical epimorphism.*

*Proof:* Apply Lemma 6.4 to assume without loss that  $K$  is normal and that

$$(2) \quad H \cap K = 1 \text{ for each } H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G).$$

Let  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots$  be a sequence of open normal subgroups whose intersection is  $K$ . We construct by induction a descending sequence,  $G \geq N_1 \geq N_2 \geq N_3 \geq \dots$ , of open normal subgroups such that  $N_n \leq K_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and for each  $i$  we order the finite

$\Gamma$ -embedding problems of the form  $(G \rightarrow G/N_i, \pi: B \rightarrow G/N_i)$  in a sequence

$$(3) \quad (G \rightarrow G/N_i, \pi_{ij}: B_{ij} \rightarrow G/N_i),$$

$j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , such that for each  $n$  and for each  $i, j \leq n$  there is a solution of the  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem (3) which factors through  $G/N_{n+1}$ .

Indeed, suppose that  $N_i, B_{ij}$ , and  $\pi_{ij}$  have already been constructed for  $i \leq n$  and for each  $j$ . Choose by Lemma 6.5 a finite  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem  $(G \rightarrow G/N_n, \pi: B \rightarrow G/N_n)$  which dominates (3) for each  $i, j \leq n$ . As  $G$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective, this problem has a solution  $\gamma$ . Then  $N_{n+1} = \text{Ker}(\gamma) \cap K_{n+1}$  satisfies the requirements of the induction.

Let  $N = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n$ . To prove that  $G/N$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective note first by (2) that  $\nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N)$ . Let  $\pi: B \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism of finite groups and let  $\varphi: G/N \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism such that  $\varphi \circ \nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$ . We prove that there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma: G/N \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = \varphi$ .

As the kernel of  $\varphi$  contains  $N_i/N$  for some  $i$ , we may take the corresponding fibred product as in the proof of Lemma 6.5 and assume that  $A = G/N_i$  and that  $\varphi$  is the canonical map. Then  $(\varphi \circ \nu: G \rightarrow G/N_i, \pi: B \rightarrow G/N_i)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem for  $G$ . Therefore, in the above notation,  $B = B_{ij}$  and  $\pi = \pi_{ij}$  for some  $j$ . For  $n = \max\{i, j\}$  the solution of this problems factors through  $G/N_{n+1}$  and therefore also through  $G/N$ .

Consider the closed subset  $\mathcal{D} = \{\nu(\zeta(\Gamma)) \mid \zeta \in \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G/N)$ . We have proved, in the notation of [HJ4], that each finite  $\mathcal{D}$ -embedding problem for  $G/N$  is solvable. By [HJ4, Lemma 4.5],  $G/N$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ , and therefore  $\nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N)$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 6.7:** *Let  $G$  be a  $\Gamma$ -projective group and let  $K$  be a closed subgroup of at most countable corank. Then  $G$  has a closed normal projective subgroup  $N$  contained in  $K$  such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) = \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each prime  $l$ ,  $G/N$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective,  $H \cap N = 1$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ , and  $\nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N)$ , where  $\nu: G \rightarrow G/N$  is the canonical epimorphism.*

*Proof:* Apply Lemma 6.4 to assume that  $K$  is normal and that  $H \cap K = 1$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$ . Then the same statement holds for every closed subgroup of  $K$ . Now use

Proposition 6.3 and Lemma 6.6 to inductively construct a descending double sequence  $K \geq M_1 \geq N_1 \geq M_2 \geq N_2 \geq \dots$  of closed normal subgroups of  $G$  of at most countable coranks such that  $\text{cd}_l(G/M_i) = \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each  $i$  and each prime  $l$ , the group  $G/N_i$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective and the canonical homomorphism  $\nu_i: G \rightarrow G/N_i$  maps  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  bijectively onto  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N_i)$ .

Let  $N = \bigcap M_i = \bigcap N_i$ . Proposition 6.3 states that  $M_1$  can be chosen in such a way that  $\text{cd}_l(G/N) = \text{cd}_l(G)$  for each prime  $l$

Now consider the closed subset  $\nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  of  $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N)$ . To prove the last two statements of the proposition it suffices by [HJ4, Lemma 4.5(a)] to prove that each finite embedding problem  $(\varphi: G/N \rightarrow A, \pi: B \rightarrow A)$  for which  $\varphi \circ \nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \pi \circ \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$  has a solution.

Indeed, choose  $i$  such that  $N_i \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ . Then  $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\nu}_i$ , where  $\bar{\varphi}: G/N_i \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism and  $\bar{\nu}_i: G/N \rightarrow G/N_i$  is the canonical epimorphism. By assumption  $\bar{\varphi} \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G/N_i) = \bar{\varphi} \circ \nu_i \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) = \varphi \circ \nu \circ \text{Emb}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq \pi \circ \text{Hom}(\Gamma, B)$ . Since  $G/N_i$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma_i: G/N_i \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma_i = \bar{\varphi}$ . Hence  $\gamma_i \circ \bar{\nu}_i$  solves the above embedding problem.

Finally observe by Theorem 5.3 that  $N$  is  $\Gamma$ -projective. Since  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, N)$  is empty,  $N$  is projective. ■

Our main result of this section answers a questions of Gregory Cherlin.

**THEOREM 6.8:** *If  $G$  is a  $\Gamma$ -projective group and  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{cd}_l(G) = \text{cd}_l(\Gamma)$  for each prime  $l$  that divides the order of  $\Gamma$ . If  $l$  does not divide the order of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\text{cd}_l(G) \leq 1$ . In particular, if  $G$  is a  $p$ -adically projective group and  $\mathcal{D}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{cd}_l(G) = 2$  for each prime  $l$ .*

*Proof:* Assume, by Proposition 6.7, that  $\text{rank}(G) \leq \aleph_0$ . By assumption  $G$  has a closed subgroup  $H$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . By Corollary 4.5,  $G$  is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $D_{1,2}$ . Hence, by [R, p. 204],  $\text{cd}_l(\Gamma) \leq \text{cd}_l(G) \leq \text{cd}_l(D_{1,2})$ . Thus, it suffices to prove that if  $q = \max\{2, 1 + \text{cd}_l(\Gamma)\}$ , then  $H^q(D_{1,2}, A) = 0$  for each finite  $l$ -primary  $D_{1,2}$ -module  $A$ .

But, as  $D_{1,2} \cong \Gamma * \widehat{F}_2$  and since  $\text{cd}_l(\widehat{F}_2) = 1$  a theorem of Neukirch [N2, Satz 4.2]

states that

$$H^q(D_{1,2}, A) \cong H^q(\Gamma, A) \oplus H^q(\widehat{F}_2, A) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let  $C$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let  $t$  be a transcendental element over  $C$ . It is a well known consequence of Riemann existence theorem that  $G(C(t))$  is a free profinite group [R, p. 80]. In particular  $G(C(t))$  is projective [FJ, Example 20.13]. Krull and Neukirch [KR] have examined the action of the complex conjugate on  $G(\mathbb{C}(t))$ . Their results have been generalized to an arbitrary real closed field  $R$  by Schuppar [Sp] and by [DR]. As a result [HJ1, Thm. 4.1] proves that  $G(R(t))$  is a real free profinite group and in particular  $G(R(t))$  is real projective [HJ1, Cor. 3.3].

The analogy between the real and the  $p$ -adic case has gone a long way. Surprisingly enough Theorem 6.8 obstructs it to extend to the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(t)$ :

**THEOREM 6.9:** *Let  $K$  be a formally  $p$ -adic  $p$ -adically closed field and let  $t$  be a transcendental element over  $K$ . Then  $G(K(t))$  is not  $p$ -adically projective. In particular the group  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p(t))$  is not  $p$ -adically projective.*

*Proof:* The group  $G(K)$  is  $p$ -adically projective [HJ4, Thm. 15.1]. For each prime  $l$  Theorem 6.8 implies that  $\text{cd}_l(G(K)) = 2$ . Hence  $\text{cd}_l(G(K(t))) = 3$  [R, p. 272]. Conclude from Theorem 6.8 that  $G(K(t))$  is not  $p$ -adically projective.  $\blacksquare$

## 7. Algebraic extensions of pseudo closed fields.

Weil descent has been used to prove that algebraic extensions of PAC or PRC are again PAC or PRC, respectively [FJ, Cor. 10.7, and P, p. 148]. This principle fails in the PpC case. The difficulty is caused by the following situation:  $L/K$  algebraic,  $\bar{K}$  a  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$ , and  $L \not\subseteq \bar{K}$ ,  $L\bar{K} \neq \tilde{K}$ . Obviously this will not occur when  $\bar{K}$  is the algebraic closure or a real closure of  $K$ . However, the method used in a different case by Heinemann and Prestel [HP, §2] can be extended to a general result which contains the correct version of this principle in the PpC case as well.

We take as our setting a field  $K$  with a distinguished family  $\mathcal{K}$  of separable algebraic extensions of  $K$ , playing the role of all admissible “closures” of  $K$ . We will always tacitly assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under the action of  $G(K)$ . We say that  $K$  is **pseudo  $\mathcal{K}$ -closed** (PKC) if every nonempty variety  $V$  defined over  $K$  with simple point over each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  has a simple  $K$ -rational point. Here and in the sequel we use the term “variety” to mean that  $V$  is absolutely irreducible.

If for each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  and each variety  $V$  defined over  $\bar{K}$  the existence of a simple  $\bar{K}$ -point of  $V$  implies that  $V(\bar{K})$  is Zariski dense in  $V$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $K$  to be PKC is:

*Every nonempty variety  $V$  defined over  $K$  with a  $\bar{K}$ -simple rational point for each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  has a  $K$ -rational point.*

In fact, if the latter condition is satisfied and  $V$  is a nonempty variety defined over  $K$ , then  $V(K)$  is Zariski-dense in  $V$ , in particular  $V_{\text{sim}}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $U$  is a Zariski open nonempty set of  $V$ , then we may replace it, if necessary, by a complement of a hypersurface defined over  $K$ . Then  $U$  is isomorphic to a variety (even affine) defined over  $K$ . By assumption, for each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $U_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $U(K) \neq \emptyset$ .

The assumption made in the last paragraph about  $\bar{K}$  holds if  $\bar{K}$  is real closed (a standard consequence of [L, p. 282]) or if  $\bar{K}$  is  $p$ -adically closed [PR, p. 145]. This gives the following examples of PKC fields.

EXAMPLES 7.1: (a) If  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \{K_s\}$ , then  $K$  is PAC.

(b) If  $\mathcal{K}$  is the family of all real closures of  $K$ , then  $K$  is PRC.

(c) If  $\mathcal{K}$  is the family of all  $p$ -adic closures of  $K$ , then  $K$  is PpC.

Given a finite separable extension  $E$  of a field  $K$ , Weil's descent method uniformly associates with each variety  $V$  defined over  $E$  a variety  $W$  defined over  $K$ : Suppose that  $[E : K] = d$  and denote the  $d$  distinct  $K$ -embeddings of  $E$  into  $\tilde{K}$  by  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ . Choose a basis  $w_1, \dots, w_d$  for  $E/K$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $d$  define a map  $\lambda_i: \mathbb{A}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  at a point  $\mathbf{y} = (y_{jk} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq n)$  by  $\lambda_i(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}_i$ , with

$$(1) \quad x_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^d (\sigma_i w_j) y_{jk}.$$

The map  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$  from  $\mathbb{A}^{nd}$  into  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{A}^n$  ( $d$  factors) is a linear isomorphism. Moreover, for each variety  $V$  defined over  $E$  in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  there exists a variety  $W$  defined over  $K$  in  $\mathbb{A}^{nd}$  such that  $\Lambda(W) = \sigma_1 V \times \dots \times \sigma_d V$  [FJ, Prop. 9.34]. Assume without loss that  $\sigma_1 = 1$ . Then  $\lambda_1$  maps  $W(K)$  into  $V(E)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{y} \in W_{\text{sim}}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = \Lambda(\mathbf{y})$  is simple on  $\sigma_1 V \times \dots \times \sigma_d V$  and therefore  $\mathbf{x}_1 \in V_{\text{sim}}$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad W_{\text{sim}}(K) \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } V_{\text{sim}}(E) \neq \emptyset.$$

Consider the family  $\mathcal{K}(E) = \{\overline{K}E \mid \overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}\}$  of separable algebraic extensions of  $E$ . It is closed under the action of  $G(E)$ .

LEMMA 7.2: *In the above notation suppose that  $K$  is pseudo closed with respect to a family  $\mathcal{K}$  of separable algebraic extensions. Then  $E$  is pseudo closed with respect to  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $V$  be a variety defined over  $E$  such that  $V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{E}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\overline{E} \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ . Consider  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ . We prove that  $W_{\text{sim}}(\overline{K}) \neq \emptyset$ .

To do so choose a primitive element  $z$  for  $E/K$  and let  $f = \text{irr}(z, K)$ . Decompose  $f$  into irreducible factors over  $\overline{K}$ :  $f = f_1 \cdots f_m$  and let  $d_r = \deg(f_r)$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, m$ . For each  $r$  between 1 and  $m$  choose  $\tau_r \in G(K)$  such that  $f_r(\tau_r z) = 0$ . Then choose  $\rho_{r1}, \dots, \rho_{r, d_r} \in G(\overline{K})$  such that  $\rho_{r1} \tau_r z, \dots, \rho_{r, d_r} \tau_r z$  are the roots of  $f_r$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under the action of  $G(K)$ ,  $\overline{E}_r = \tau_r^{-1}(\overline{K})E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  and therefore there exists  $\mathbf{a}_r \in V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{E}_r)$ . Also,  $\tau_r(\overline{E}_r) = \overline{K} \cdot \tau_r E$ .

The restriction of the set  $\{\rho_{rs}\tau_r \mid r = 1, \dots, m, s = 1, \dots, d_r\}$  to  $E$  coincides with  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$ . Hence, the simple point  $(\rho_{rs}\tau_r \mathbf{a}_r)_{r,s}$  of  $\sigma_1 V \times \dots \times \sigma_d V$  uniquely corresponds to a simple point  $\mathbf{b}$  of  $W$  such that for  $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$(3) \quad \rho_{rs}\tau_r a_{rk} = \sum_{j=1}^d (\rho_{rs}\tau_r w_j) b_{jk}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m; s = 1, \dots, d_r.$$

To prove that  $\mathbf{b}$  is  $\overline{K}$ -rational apply  $\rho \in G(\overline{K})$  on (3):

$$(4) \quad \rho \rho_{rs}\tau_r a_{rk} = \sum_{j=1}^d (\rho \rho_{rs}\tau_r w_j) \rho b_{jk}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m; s = 1, \dots, d_r.$$

Observe that  $\tau_r a_{rk}, \tau_r w_j \in \overline{K} \cdot \tau_r E = \overline{K}(\tau_r z)$  and  $\rho_{r1}, \dots, \rho_{r,d_r}$  are the distinct  $\overline{K}$ -embeddings of  $\overline{K}(\tau_r z)$  into  $\tilde{K}$ . Hence for each  $k$  and  $r$ , the set of  $(d+1)$ -tuples

$$(\rho \rho_{rs}\tau_r a_{rk}, \rho \rho_{rs}\tau_r w_1, \dots, \rho \rho_{rs}\tau_r w_d), \quad s = 1, \dots, d_r$$

is a permutation of the set

$$(\rho_{rs}\tau_r a_{rk}, \rho_{rs}\tau_r w_1, \dots, \rho_{rs}\tau_r w_d), \quad s = 1, \dots, d_r.$$

It follows that the unique solution  $(b_{1k}, \dots, b_{dk})$  of the linear system (3) coincides with that of (4). So  $\rho \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is  $\overline{K}$ -rational.

By assumption  $W$  has a simple  $K$ -rational point. By (2),  $V_{\text{sim}}(E) \neq \emptyset$ . Conclude that  $E$  is  $\text{PK}(E)\text{C}$ . ■

To generalize Lemma 7.2 to infinite extensions we have to introduce a topology on the family of all separable algebraic extensions of  $K$ . The topology of the latter space is dual to that of all closed subgroups of  $G(K)$ . Thus, a basic open neighborhood of a separable algebraic extension  $L$  of  $K$  is determined by a finite Galois extension  $N$  of  $K$ . It is the set of all separable algebraic extensions whose intersections with  $N$  is  $L \cap N$ . In particular the topology is compact. For the rest of this section we make the following assumption:

**ASSUMPTION 7.3:** The family  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed in the space of all separable algebraic extensions of  $K$ .

Consider also a separable algebraic extension  $L$  of  $K$ .

LEMMA 7.4: *The field  $L$  is pseudo- $\mathcal{K}(L)$ -closed.*

*Proof:* Assume that  $L$  is not pseudo closed with respect to  $\mathcal{K}(L)$ . Then there exists a variety  $V$  defined over  $L$  which has a simple  $\bar{L}$ -rational point for each  $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{K}(L)$  but has no simple  $L$ -rational point. Let  $K'$  be a finite extension of  $K$  contained in  $L$  over which  $V$  is defined. Then  $V_{\text{sim}}(E) = \emptyset$  for each finite extension  $E$  of  $K'$  contained in  $L$ . Let  $S(E) = \{\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K} \mid V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}E) \neq \emptyset\}$ . By Lemma 7.2,  $S(E)$  is nonempty.

Suppose that  $M$  belongs to the closure of  $S(E)$  but not to  $S(E)$ . Then  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and therefore  $V_{\text{sim}}(ME) \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise  $M \in S(E)$ ). Take a finite extension  $M_0$  of  $K$  contained in  $M$  such that  $V_{\text{sim}}(M_0E) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $N$  be a finite Galois extension of  $K$  that contains  $M_0E$ . Then there exists  $\bar{K} \in S(E)$  such that  $\bar{K} \cap N = M \cap N$ . In particular  $M_0E \subseteq \bar{K}E$  and therefore  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}E) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Conclude that  $S(E)$  is closed.

If  $F$  is a finite extension of  $E$  contained in  $L$ , then  $S(F) \subseteq S(E)$ . By compactness there exists  $\bar{K}$  which belongs to  $S(E)$  for all  $E$ . Then  $\bar{K}L \in \mathcal{K}(L)$  but  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}L) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Conclude that  $L$  is pseudo- $\mathcal{K}(L)$ -closed. ■

Consider now the family  $\mathcal{L} = \{\bar{L} \in \mathcal{K} \mid L \subseteq \bar{L}\}$ . It is closed under the action of  $G(L)$  and closed in the space of all separable algebraic extensions of  $L$ . We give some conditions for  $L$  to be  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ .

LEMMA 7.5:  *$L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\bar{K}L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}(\bar{K})\mathcal{C}$  for each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ .\**

*Proof:* Suppose first that  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ . Consider  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  and let  $V$  be a variety defined over  $\bar{K}L$  such that  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{L}\bar{K}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ . As  $\bar{L}\bar{K}L = \bar{L}\bar{K}$  and since by, Lemma 7.4,  $\bar{K}L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}(\bar{K})\mathcal{C}$ , this implies that  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}L) \neq \emptyset$ . Conclude that  $\bar{K}L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}(\bar{K})\mathcal{C}$ .

Conversely, suppose that

(5)  $\bar{K}L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}(\bar{K})\mathcal{C}$  for each  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $V$  be a variety defined over  $L$  such that  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{L}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Given  $\bar{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ , this implies that  $V_{\text{sim}}\bar{L}\bar{K} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Hence, by (5),  $V_{\text{sim}}(\bar{K}L) \neq \emptyset$ . As,  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{K}(L)\mathcal{C}$  (Lemma 7.4), this implies that  $V_{\text{sim}}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Conclude that  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ .

---

\* D. Haran called my attention to this lemma.



COROLLARY 7.6: *Each of the following conditions suffices for  $L$  to be  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}C$ .*

- (a)  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  implies  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$  or  $\overline{K}L = K_s$ ,
- (b)  $\overline{K}_1\overline{K}_2 = K_s$  for each  $\overline{K}_1, \overline{K}_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\overline{K}_1 \neq \overline{K}_2$ , and  $K$  has a Galois extension  $N$  such that  $N \cap L = K$ ,  $NL = K_s$  and for each  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  there exists  $\overline{L} \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $L \subseteq \overline{L}$  and  $N \cap \overline{K} = N \cap \overline{L}$ .

*Proof:* Assume first that condition (a) holds. Consider  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$ , then  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $\overline{K}L \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{K})$  and therefore, by definition,  $\overline{K}L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}(\overline{K})C$ . If  $L \not\subseteq \overline{K}$ , then  $\overline{K}L = K_s$  is a PAC field [L, p. 76]. Conclude from Lemma 7.5 that  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}C$ .

Now assume that condition (b) holds. We prove condition (a):

Let  $\overline{K}$  be a field in  $\mathcal{K}$  that does not contain  $L$ . Then  $N \cap \overline{K} = N \cap \overline{L}$  for some  $\overline{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ . In particular  $\overline{K} \neq \overline{L}$ . By Galois theory  $\overline{K}L = \overline{K} \cdot (N \cap \overline{K})L = \overline{K} \cdot (N \cap \overline{L})L = \overline{K} \cdot \overline{L} = K_s$ .

Conclude from the first paragraph,  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}C$ . ■

The converse of Corollary 7.6(a) is true under certain conditions.

COROLLARY 7.7: *Suppose that  $L$  is  $\text{P}\mathcal{L}C$  and satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a)  $\overline{K}_1, \overline{K}_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\overline{K}_1 \neq \overline{K}_2$  implies that  $\overline{K}_1\overline{K}_2 = K_s$ .
  - (b) No proper separable algebraic extension  $E$  of a field  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  is PAC unless  $E = K_s$ .
- Then, for each  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$ , either  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$  or  $\overline{K}L = K_s$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\overline{K}$  be a field in  $\mathcal{K}$  that does not contain  $L$ . Then  $\overline{K}L$  is a proper separable algebraic extension of  $\overline{K}$ . By Lemma 7.4,  $\overline{K}L$  is pseudo- $\mathcal{L}(\overline{K}L)$ -closed. If  $\overline{L} \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $L \subseteq \overline{L}$  and therefore  $\overline{L} \neq \overline{K}$ . By (a),  $\overline{L}\overline{K} = K_s$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}(\overline{K}L) = \{\overline{L}\overline{K}L \mid L \subseteq \overline{L}, \overline{L} \in \mathcal{K}\} \subseteq \{K_s\}$ . By Example 7.1(a),  $\overline{K}L$  is PAC. Conclude from (b) that  $\overline{K}L = K_s$ . ■

## 8. Algebraic extensions of PpC fields.

To apply the results of Section 7 to  $p$ -adic fields we need a special case of a theorem of Pop [Po].

LEMMA 8.1: *Let  $E$  be a formally  $p$ -adic field. If  $G(E) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then  $E$  is  $p$ -adically closed.*

*Proof:* Note first that  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is isomorphic to no proper closed subgroup of itself. Otherwise  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}}$  would have a proper algebraic extension  $L$  such that  $G(L) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . By a theorem of Neukirch [N1],  $L$  is  $p$ -adically closed. Hence  $L$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}}$ . By [FJ, Lemma 18.19],  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}} = L$ , a contradiction.

By assumption  $E$  has a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{E}$ . Since  $G(\overline{E}) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  the first paragraph implies that  $E = \overline{E}$ . Thus  $E$  is  $p$ -adically closed. ■

The following Lemma is implicit in [HJ4] (especially [HJ4, Lemma 10.3(a)]). We give here a direct proof based on Krasner's lemma and on Lemma 8.1.

LEMMA 8.2: *For a field  $K$ , the set  $\mathcal{K}$  of all  $p$ -adic closures of  $K$  is closed in the space of all algebraic extensions of  $K$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose that a field  $E$  belongs to the closure of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We show first that it is formally  $p$ -adic. Otherwise there would exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ , a polynomial  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with integral coefficients, and a positive integer  $a$  which is relatively prime to  $p$  such that  $pf(\gamma(x_1), \dots, \gamma(x_n)) = a$ , where  $\gamma(X)$  is the Kochen operator [PR, p. 99, with  $O$  being the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $p$ ]. Take a finite Galois extension  $N$  of  $K$  that contains  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . By assumption, there exists  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\overline{K} \cap N = E \cap N$ . In particular  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \overline{K}$  and therefore  $\overline{K}$  is not formally  $p$ -adic. This contradiction shows that  $E$  is formally  $p$ -adic.

Now we show that  $G(E)$  and  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  have the same finite quotients. Consider a finite Galois extension  $F$  of  $E$ . Take a finite Galois extension  $N$  of  $K$  such that  $F_0 = F \cap N$  is a Galois extension of  $E_0 = E \cap N$  and  $F = EF_0$ . Take  $\overline{K} \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $K \cap N = E_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{K}F_0/\overline{K}) \cong \mathcal{G}(F_0/E_0) \cong \mathcal{G}(F/E)$ . But  $G(\overline{K}) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  [HJ4, Corollary 8.6]. So, each finite quotient of  $G(E)$  is a finite quotient of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Conversely, let  $\overline{G}$  be a finite quotient of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . By Krasner's Lemma [Ri, p. 197], there exists a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose Galois group over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}}$  and therefore over every  $p$ -adically closed field is isomorphic to  $\overline{G}$ . (The intersection of each  $p$ -adically closed field with  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{alg}}$  [PR, Thm. 3.2].) Let  $N$  be the splitting field of  $g$  over  $K$  and take  $\overline{K}$  as before. Then  $\mathcal{G}(NE/E) \cong \mathcal{G}(N\overline{K}/\overline{K}) \cong \overline{G}$ . So  $G(E)$  and  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  have the same finite quotients.

As  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is finitely generated [S, p. III-30],  $G(E) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  [FJ, Prop. 15.4]. Conclude from Lemma 8.1 that  $E$  is  $p$ -adically closed. ■

**PROPOSITION 8.3:** *Let  $L$  be an algebraic extension of a PpC field  $K$ . Then  $L$  is PpC if and only if for each  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$  we have:  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$  or  $\overline{K}L = \tilde{K}$ .*

*Proof:* Use Lemma 8.2 and apply Lemma 7.6(a) to the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of all  $p$ -adic closures of  $K$  to prove the “if” part of the proposition.

To prove the “only if” part we have to verify conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 7.7.

By [HJ4, Thm. 15.1(a)],  $G(K)$  is a  $p$ -adically projective group. Therefore condition (a) of Lemma 7.5 follows from [HJ4, Lemma 4.5(b)]. Finally, since each  $p$ -adically closed field is Henselian [PR, Thm. 3.1], condition (b) of Lemma 7.7 is a special case of a theorem of Frey and Prestel [FJ, Thm. 10.14]. ■

An algebraic extension  $L/K$  is **totally  $p$ -adic** if  $L$  can be embedded over  $K$  in each  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$  (Since there is a bijective correspondence between  $\Theta$ -sites of a field and the isomorphism classes of its  $p$ -adic closures this definition coincides with the one given in [HJ4, Section 12].)

**COROLLARY 8.4:** *Let  $L$  be an algebraic extension of a PpC field  $K$ . Then  $L$  is PpC if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (a)  $L$  is a totally  $p$ -adic Galois extension of  $K$ , or
- (b)  $K$  has a Galois extension  $N$  such that  $N \cap L = K$ ,  $NL = \tilde{K}$  and for every  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$  there exists a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{L}$  of  $L$  such that  $N \cap \overline{K} = N \cap \overline{L}$ .

*Proof:* If (a) holds, then every  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$  contains  $L$ , and we may apply Proposition 8.3. As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 8.3, condition (c) of Lemma 7.7

holds for the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $p$ -adic closures of  $K$ . Hence, if (b) holds, then  $L$  is PpC by Lemma 7.6(b). ■

**COROLLARY 8.5:** *Let  $L$  be a finite extension of a PpC field  $K$ . If  $L$  is PpC, then  $L$  is contained in every  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$*

*Proof:* Let  $\overline{K}$  be a  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$ . Then  $\overline{K}L$  is a finite extension of  $\overline{K}$ . Since  $\tilde{K}$  is an infinite extension of  $\overline{K}$  it follows from Proposition 8.3 that  $L \subseteq \overline{K}$ . ■

**EXAMPLE 8.6:** *An algebraic extension of a PpC field with  $p$ -adically projective absolute Galois group which is not PpC.* Let  $E$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $l^\infty[[E : \mathbb{Q}_p]]$  for each prime  $l$ . Hence  $H = G(E)$  is a projective group [R, p. 291] and therefore  $p$ -adically projective. However, as  $E$  is not algebraically closed the “only if” part of Proposition 8.3 implies that  $E$  is not PpC. ■

**REMARK 8.7:** It follows easily from either Proposition 8.3 or Theorem 5.3 that a closed subgroup  $H$  of a  $p$ -adically projective group  $G$  which satisfies  $\overline{G} \leq H$  or  $\overline{G} \cap H = 1$  for all  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  is again  $p$ -adically projective. Proposition 8.3 and Theorem 5.3 strengthen this result in two distinct ways; the field theoretic and the group theoretic results are not strictly comparable. The descent argument on which the proof of Proposition 8.3 is based has a parallel in the group theoretic technique introduced in [H], on which the proof of Theorem 5.3 is based. ■

**REMARK 8.8:** It is a simple observation that the family of real closures of a field is closed. Therefore, Lemma 7.6(a) gives a proof of Prestel’s extension theorem for PRC fields which does not use elimination of quantifiers for real closed fields. ■

## 9. The Realization Theorem.

From now on we let the group  $\Gamma$  be  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Proposition 12.10 of [HJ4] states that this group satisfies Assumption 1.5. For a field  $K$  denote the set of all embeddings  $\zeta: G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G(K)$  such that the fixed field of  $\zeta(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  in  $\tilde{K}$  is  $p$ -adically closed by  $\text{Emb}_p(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$ . It is still an open question whether  $\text{Emb}_p(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K)) = \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  (see [Po]). However, if  $K$  is PpC, this is the case [HJ4, Cor. 15.2].

The first step toward the Realization Theorem is recorded as the main result of [EJ]:

**PROPOSITION 9.1:** *Let  $K$  be a countable Hilbertian field having  $e$   $p$ -adic closures  $\overline{K}_1, \dots, \overline{K}_e$  (not necessarily distinct). Then for almost all  $\sigma \in G(K)^{e+m}$  (in the sense of the Haar measure) the field*

$$K_\sigma = \overline{K}_1^{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap \overline{K}_e^{\sigma_e} \cap \tilde{K}(\sigma_{e+1}, \dots, \sigma_{e+m})$$

is PpC with  $e$  nonequivalent  $p$ -adic valuations which are induced by  $\overline{K}^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \overline{K}^{\sigma_e}$  and  $G(K_\sigma) \cong D_{e,m}$ .

**THEOREM 9.2:** *Let  $L$  be a finite Galois extension of a countable Hilbertian field  $K$ . Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adically projective group of at most countable rank. Suppose that  $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(L/K)$  is an epimorphism such that  $\pi \circ \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) \subseteq \text{res}_L \circ \text{Emb}_p(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$ . Then there exists a PpC field  $E$ , algebraic over  $K$  and there exists an isomorphism  $\gamma: G \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\text{res}_L \circ \gamma = \pi$ .*

*Proof:* If  $\text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G)$  is empty, then  $G$  is a projective group. In this case the theorem reduces to [FJ, Thm. 20.22]. So, assume that  $\text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_e$  be elements of  $\text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G)$  such that  $\pi \circ \zeta_1, \dots, \pi \circ \zeta_e$  represent the  $(\mathcal{G}(L/K), \text{Aut}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p)))$ -classes of  $\pi \circ \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G)$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  there is, by assumption,  $\eta_i \in \text{Emb}_p(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  such that  $\text{res}_L \circ \eta_i = \pi \circ \zeta_i$ . Denote the fixed field of  $\eta_i(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  in  $\tilde{K}$  by  $\overline{K}_i$ . It is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $K$ . Choose generators  $\bar{\sigma}_{e+1}, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_{e+m}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L/K)$  such that  $m \geq 2$ .

By Proposition 9.1, and in the notation of 9.2, there exists  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{e+m} \in G(K)$  such that  $\text{res}_L \sigma_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, e$  and  $\text{res}_L \sigma_i = \bar{\sigma}_i$  for  $i = e + 1, \dots, e + m$ , the

field  $K_\sigma$  is PpC with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations which are induced by  $\overline{K}_i^{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, e$  and  $G(K_\sigma) \cong D_{e,m}$ . In particular  $K_\sigma \cap L = K$ . Rename  $\overline{K}_i^{\sigma_i}$  as  $\overline{K}_i$ , if necessary, to assume that  $\sigma_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, e$ .

By Lemma 1.1 and Propositions 1.8 and 4.2,  $K_\sigma$  has a Galois extension  $M_\omega$  such that  $G(M_\omega) \cong \Delta_\omega$ ,  $LK_\sigma \cap M_\omega = K_\sigma$ , and  $M_\omega \subseteq \overline{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, e$ . It follows from Corollary 8.4(a) that  $M_\omega$  is PpC. Also,  $\text{res}_L: G(M_\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(L/K)$  is an epimorphism and  $\pi \circ \text{Emb}_d(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) \subseteq \text{res}_L \circ \text{Emb}_d(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(M_\omega))$ .

By Proposition 4.4 there is an embedding  $\gamma: G \rightarrow G(M_\omega)$  such that  $\text{res}_L \circ \gamma = \pi$ . All we still have to prove is that the fixed field  $E$  of  $\gamma(G)$  in  $\tilde{K}$  is PpC.

Indeed, Proposition 4.4 also states that  $E_\omega$  has a closed subset  $E_0$  such that  $E$  contains the fixed field (in  $\tilde{K}$ )  $M_0$  of the closed subgroup generated by  $Y_\omega$  and by  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{e_0}$  for all  $e_0 \in E_0$ . Moreover,  $M_0$  has a Galois extension  $N$  such that  $N \cap E = M_0$ ,  $NE = \tilde{K}$ , and for each  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{M}_0$  of  $M_0$  there exists a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{E}$  of  $E$  such that  $N \cap \overline{M}_0 = N \cap \overline{E}$ .

Let  $\overline{M}_\omega$  be a  $p$ -adic closure of  $M_\omega$ . By Lemma 2.6(c), either  $M_0 \subseteq \overline{M}_\omega$  or  $\overline{M}_\omega M_0 = \tilde{K}$ . Hence, by Proposition 8.3,  $M_0$  is PpC. Conclude from Corollary 8.4(b) applied to  $M_0$  and  $M_\omega$  instead of  $K$  and  $L$  that  $E$  is PpC.  $\blacksquare$

**COROLLARY 9.3:** *Let  $L$  be a finite Galois extension of a countable Hilbertian field  $K$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a countable PpC field that contains  $K$ . Then  $K$  has an algebraic extension  $E$  which is PpC and there exists an isomorphism  $\gamma: G(F) \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\text{res}_{E/L}^\sim \circ \gamma = \text{res}_{F/L}^\sim$ .*

*Proof:* The group  $G(F)$  is  $p$ -adically projective [HJ4, Prop. 15.1] and countably generated. Let  $K' = L \cap F$ . Then the map  $\text{res}_L: G(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(L/K')$  is surjective. In order to apply Theorem 9.2 (replacing  $K$  by  $K'$  and  $\pi$  by  $\text{res}_L$ ) we have only to prove that  $\text{res}_L \circ \text{Emb}_d(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(F)) \subseteq \text{res}_L \circ \text{Emb}_d(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K'))$ .

Indeed, let  $\zeta: G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G(F)$  be an embedding. Then the fixed field  $\overline{F}$  of  $\zeta(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  in  $\tilde{F}$  is  $p$ -adically closed [HJ4, Cor. 15.2]. Hence,  $\overline{K} = \tilde{K} \cap \overline{F}$  is also  $p$ -adically closed and  $\tilde{K}\overline{F} = \tilde{F}$  [HJ4, Prop. 6.4 and Cor. 6.6]. In particular the map  $\text{res}_{\tilde{K}}: G(\overline{F}) \rightarrow G(\overline{K})$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\eta = \text{res}_{\tilde{K}} \circ \zeta$ . Then  $\eta \in \text{Emb}_d(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K'))$  and  $\text{res}_L \circ \zeta = \text{res}_L \circ \eta$ .

■

COROLLARY 9.4: *Let  $K$  be a countable formally  $p$ -adic Hilbertian field. Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adically projective group of at most countable rank. Then there exists a PpC field  $E$ , algebraic over  $K$ , such that  $G(E) \cong K$ .*

*Proof:* Take  $K = L$  in Theorem 9.2 and observe that  $\text{Emb}_p(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  is nonempty. Hence, the assumption  $\pi \circ \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G) \subseteq \text{res}_K \circ \text{Emb}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  of that theorem is satisfied. ■

REMARK 9.5: *Covers of  $p$ -adic Galois structures.* Let  $F$  be a Galois extension of a field  $E$  such that  $\mathbf{G}(F/E)$  is a projective  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -structure. Let  $\gamma: \mathbf{G}(F/E) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(E)$  be a section to the cover  $\text{res}: \mathbf{G}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(F/E)$  [HJ4, Lemma 5.2] and let  $L$  be the fixed field of  $\gamma(\mathcal{G}(F/E))$  in  $\tilde{E}$ . Then the conditions of Corollary 8.4(b) are satisfied (with  $E$  replacing  $K$ ). Hence, if  $E$  is PpC, then so is  $L$ .

This is actually the situation in the proof of Theorem 9.2, with  $M_0$ ,  $N$  and  $E$  replacing  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $L$ , respectively. The same situation occurs in the proof of [HJ4, Thm. 15.3] with  $E_1$ ,  $F_1$  and  $K_1$  replacing  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $L$ . So, we can deduce now that  $K_1$  is PpC and spare the additional transcendental construction done in that proof. ■

We use the notation of [HJ4, Remark 10.5] and denote the space of all  $\Theta$ -sites of a field  $M$  by  $X(M)$ .

COROLLARY 9.6: *Let  $K$  be a formally  $p$ -adic countable Hilbertian field. Let  $X$  be a Boolean space of at most countable weight. Then  $K$  has a PpC algebraic extension  $M$  such that  $X(M)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .*

*Proof:* By Lemma 2.1(b),  $X$  is homeomorphic to a closed subset  $E_0$  of  $E_\omega$ . In notation 3.3 take  $Y_0$  to be any subset of  $Y_\omega$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $\Delta_0$  is a  $\Gamma$ -projective group. Hence, by Corollary 9.4 there exists an algebraic extension  $M$  of  $K$  such that  $\mathbf{G}(M) \cong \Delta_0$ . As  $E_0$  is a closed set of representatives of  $\Delta_0$  it is homeomorphic to  $X(M)$ . ■

EXAMPLE 9.7: *A generalization of Example 8.6.* Take  $e$   $p$ -adically closed fields  $K_1, \dots, K_e$ , algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $K = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_e$  is PpC and  $G(K) = D_e$  (Proposition 9.1). Let  $L_1$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $K_1$ . By Example 8.6,  $G(L_1)$  is

projective but  $L_1$  is not PpC. By Proposition 8.3,  $L = L_1 \cap K_2 \cap \cdots \cap K_e$  is not PpC. On the other hand a theorem of Haran and Lubotzky [HL, Prop. 4] implies that  $G(L)$  is isomorphic to the free product  $G(L) * D_{e-1}$ . Hence  $G(L)$  is  $p$ -adically projective. ■

## 10. The Lefschetz principle for PpC fields.

Recall that a family of fields is **elementary** if it can be axiomatized by sentences of the first order language of fields. Condition (1) below is a convenient way to prove the existence of a set of axioms for the theory of PpC fields without writing them down explicitly\*.

LEMMA 10.1: *The family of PpC fields is elementary.*

*Proof:* By [BS, p. 151] it suffices to prove that

- (1a) The family of PpC fields is closed under the formation of ultraproducts and
- (1b) under elementary equivalence.

However, by Frayne's Lemma [BS, p. 161], (1b) follows from (1a) and from these statements:

- (2b) If a field  $E$  is an elementary subfield of a PpC field  $F$ , then  $E$  is also PpC .
- (2c) The family of PpC fields is closed under isomorphisms.

So, it suffices to prove (1a) and (2b).

*Proof of (1a):* Suppose that  $F_i$  is a PpC field for each  $i$  in a set  $I$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an ultrafilter of  $I$  and let  $F = \prod_{i \in I} F_i / \mathcal{D}$ . To prove that  $F$  is PpC consider a variety  $V$  defined over  $F$  and which has a simple  $\overline{F}$ -rational point for each  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{F}$  of  $F$ . We have to prove that  $V$  has an  $F$ -rational point.

Indeed, we may present  $V$  as an ultraproduct  $V = \prod V_i / \mathcal{D}$ , where  $V_i$  is a variety defined over  $F_i$  for each  $i$  that belongs to a subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $J$  be the set of all  $i \in I_0$  for which  $F_i$  has a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{F}_i$  such that  $V_{i,\text{sim}}(\overline{F}_i) = \emptyset$ . If  $J \in \mathcal{D}$  choose for each  $i \in J$  such an  $\overline{F}_i$  and consider the ultraproduct  $F' = \prod \overline{F}_i / \mathcal{D}$ . As the family of  $p$ -adically closed fields is axiomatizable in the language of ordered fields [PR, p. 85]  $F'$  is a  $p$ -adically closed field that contains  $F$  and  $V_{\text{sim}}(F') = \emptyset$ . But then

---

\* Grob gives an explicit set of axioms for the theory of PpC fields [Gr, p. 45]



$\overline{F} = \widetilde{F} \cap F'$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $F$  [PR, Thm. 3.4] and  $V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{F}) = \emptyset$ . This contradiction proves that  $I - J \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $F_i$  is PpC the variety  $V_i$  has an  $F_i$ -rational point for each  $i \in I - J$ . Conclude that  $V(F) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof of (2b):* Let  $V$  be a variety defined over  $E$  such that  $V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{E}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{E}$  of  $E$ . Since  $F$  is an elementary extension of  $E$ , the variety  $V$  is also defined over  $F$ . If  $\overline{F}$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $F$ , then  $\overline{E} = \widetilde{E} \cap \overline{F}$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $E$ . Hence  $V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{E}) \neq \emptyset$  and therefore  $V_{\text{sim}}(\overline{F}) \neq \emptyset$ . As  $F$  is PpC,  $V$  has an  $F$ -rational point. Hence  $V$  has also an  $E$ -rational point. Conclude that  $E$  is PpC. ■

The following embedding lemma is a special case of [Po, Lemma 5.5].

LEMMA 10.2: *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be field extensions of a common field  $L$ . Suppose that  $E$  is countable and that  $F$  is PpC and  $\aleph_1$ -saturated. Suppose further that there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi: G(F) \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\text{res}_{\widetilde{L}}\varphi(\sigma) = \text{res}_{\widetilde{L}}\sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in G(F)$ . Then there exists an  $\widetilde{L}$ -embedding  $\Phi: \widetilde{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{F}$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \Phi(\varphi(\sigma)x) = \sigma\Phi(x), \text{ for each } x \in \widetilde{E} \text{ and each } \sigma \in G(F).$$

REMARK 10.3: Pop's proof is modeled on the proof of [FJ, Lemma 18.2]. The main new ingredient is the observation that if  $\overline{F}$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $F$  and  $\varphi(G(\overline{F})) = G(\overline{E})$ , then  $\overline{E}$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $E$ . Indeed,  $\overline{L} = \widetilde{L} \cap \overline{F}$  is a  $p$ -adically closed field and  $\text{res}_{\widetilde{L}}(G(\overline{E})) = G(\overline{L}) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . As  $G(\overline{E}) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is finitely generated, the map  $\text{res}_{\widetilde{L}}: G(\overline{E}) \rightarrow G(\overline{L})$  is an isomorphism. So, Pop's theorem [Po, Thm. 4.2] applies and  $\overline{E}$  is a  $p$ -adically closed field. Note that if  $E$  is PpC (the only case we need for the elementary equivalence theorem), then we may as well apply [HJ4, Cor. 15.2]. ■

Denote the first order language of fields with  $e$  valuations and with a constant symbol for each element of a field  $L$  by  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field}, L)$ .

Suppose that  $w_1, \dots, w_e$  are  $p$ -adic valuations of  $F$ . For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  choose a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{F}_i$  of  $F$  with respect to  $w_i$ . Let  $\overline{E}_i$  be the  $p$ -adic closure of  $E$  such that  $\varphi(G(\overline{F}_i)) = G(\overline{E}_i)$  and let  $v_i$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $E$  induced by  $\overline{E}_i$ . Then  $\Phi$  maps the structure  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  onto a substructure of  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(\overline{E}_i) = \widetilde{\Phi(E)} \cap \overline{F}_i$  is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $\Phi(E)$  with respect to the restriction of  $w_i$  to  $\Phi(E)$ .

PROPOSITION 10.4 (the elementary equivalence theorem): *Let  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  and  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  be PpC fields with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations. Let  $L$  be a common subfield of  $E$  and  $F$ . Suppose that there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi: G(F) \rightarrow G(E)$  such that  $\text{res}_{\tilde{L}} \varphi(\sigma) = \text{res}_{\tilde{L}} \sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in G(F)$ . Suppose further that  $\overline{E}_i$  (resp.,  $\overline{F}_i$ ) is a  $p$ -adic closure of  $E$  (resp.,  $F$ ) with respect to  $v_i$  (resp.,  $w_i$ ) such that  $\varphi(G(\overline{F}_i)) = G(\overline{E}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, e$ . Then  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  is elementarily equivalent to  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  over  $L$ .*

*Proof:* Only finitely many elements of  $L$  are involved in each sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field}, L)$ . We may therefore suppose that  $L$  is a countable field. Further, replace  $E$  and  $F$  by ultrapowers  ${}^*E = E^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$  and  ${}^*F = F^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$ . By [FJ, Lemma 18.4],  $\varphi^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$  induces an isomorphism  ${}^*\varphi: G({}^*F) \rightarrow G({}^*E)$  such that  $\text{res}_{\tilde{L}} {}^*\varphi(\sigma) = \text{Res}_{\tilde{L}} \sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in G({}^*F)$ . Moreover, for each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$ ,  $\varphi^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$  maps  $G(\overline{F}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D})$  isomorphically onto  $G(\overline{E}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D})$ . Let  $\overline{{}^*F}_i = \widetilde{{}^*F} \cap {}^*\prod \overline{F}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\overline{{}^*E}_i = \widetilde{{}^*E} \cap \prod \overline{E}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$ . Then restriction maps  $G(\overline{E}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D})$  isomorphically onto  $G(\overline{{}^*E}_i)$  and maps  $G(\prod \overline{F}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D})$  isomorphically onto  $G(\overline{{}^*F}_i)$ . Hence  ${}^*\varphi$  maps  $G(\overline{{}^*F}_i)$  isomorphically onto  $G(\overline{{}^*E}_i)$ . So, without loss assume that  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  and  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  are  $\aleph_1$ -saturated [FJ, Lemma 6.14].

Use the Skolem-Löwenheim theorem [FJ, Prop. 6.4] to construct a countable elementary substructure  $(E_1, v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,e})$  of  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  such that  $L \subseteq E_1$ . Let  $\overline{E}_{1j} = \widetilde{E}_1 \cap \overline{E}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, e$ . By Lemma 10.2, there exists an  $\tilde{L}$ -embedding  $\Phi_1: \widetilde{E}_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{F}$  such that  $\Phi_1(\varphi(\sigma)x) = \sigma\Phi_1(x)$  for each  $x \in \widetilde{E}_1$  and  $\sigma \in G(F)$ . In particular  $E'_1 = \Phi_1(E_1) \subseteq F$  and  $\Phi_1(\overline{E}_{1j}) = \widetilde{E}'_1 \cap \overline{F}_j$ . So  $\Phi_1$  maps  $v_{1,j}$  onto the restriction of  $w_{1,j}$  to  $E'_1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, e$ .

Let  $\varphi_1: G(E'_1) \rightarrow G(E_1)$  be the isomorphism induced by  $\Phi_1$ . It satisfies  $\Phi_1(\varphi_1(\bar{\sigma})x) = \bar{\sigma}\Phi_1(x)$  for each  $\bar{\sigma} \in G(E'_1)$  and  $x \in \widetilde{E}_1$ . In particular, for  $\sigma \in G(F)$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \text{res}_{\widetilde{E}'_1} \sigma$  and  $x \in \widetilde{E}_1$  we have  $\Phi_1(\varphi(\sigma)x) = \sigma\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_1(\varphi_1(\bar{\sigma})x)$ . Hence  $\text{res}_{\widetilde{E}_1} \varphi(\sigma) = \varphi_1(\sigma)$ .

This means that we can now change the roles of  $E$  and  $F$ . Use the back and forth method and induction to construct two towers of structures of corresponding  $p$ -adic closures. The union of these towers will give an elementary substructure  $(E_\omega, v_{\omega 1}, \dots, v_{\omega e})$  of  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  which is isomorphic over  $L$  to an elementary substructure  $(F_\omega, w_{\omega 1}, \dots, w_{\omega e})$  of  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  [FJ, Lemma 6.3]. Conclude that  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$

is elementarily equivalent to  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  over  $L$ .  $\blacksquare$

PROPOSITION 10.5: *Let  $K$  be a countable Hilbertian field. Let  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  be a countable PpC extension of  $K$  with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations. Then  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  is  $K$ -elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n, v_{n1}, \dots, v_{ne})/\mathcal{D}$  of PpC fields with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations where  $E_n$  is perfect and algebraic over  $K$  and  $G(E_n) \cong G(F)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .*

*Proof:* If  $F$  is not formally  $p$ -adic, then it is PAC. In this case the proposition reduces to [FJ, Prop. 20.23]. So, assume that  $F$  is formally  $p$ -adic. For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  choose a  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{F}_i$  of  $F$  with respect to  $w_i$ .

Let  $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq L_3 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending sequence of finite Galois extensions of  $K$  whose union is  $\tilde{K}$ . For each  $n$  the intersection  $K_n = L_n \cap F$  is a countable formally  $p$ -adic Hilbertian field. Apply Corollary 9.3 with  $L_n/K_n$  replacing  $L/K$  to find a PpC field  $E_n$  and an isomorphism  $\varphi_n$  which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G(E_n) & \\ & \swarrow \varphi & \downarrow \text{res} \\ G(F) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & G(L_n/K_n) \end{array}$$

For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  let  $\overline{E}_{ni}$  be the  $p$ -adic closure of  $E_n$  such that  $\varphi_n(G(\overline{F}_i)) = G(\overline{E}_{ni})$ . Denote the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $E_n$  that  $\overline{E}_{ni}$  induces by  $v_{ni}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonprincipal ultraproduct of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let

$$(*E, v_1, \dots, v_e) = \prod (E_n, v_{n1}, \dots, v_{ne})/\mathcal{D}$$

and  $(*F, *w_1, \dots, *w_e) = (F, w_1, \dots, w_e)^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$ . By [FJ, Lemma 18.4],

$$\prod \varphi_n/\mathcal{D}: \prod G(F)^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D} \rightarrow G(E_n)/\mathcal{D}$$

induces, by restriction, an isomorphism  $\varphi$  that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G(*E) & \\ & \swarrow \varphi & \downarrow \text{res} \\ G(*F) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & G(K) \end{array}$$

For each  $i$  between 1 and  $e$  let  ${}^*(\overline{E}_i) = \prod \overline{E}_{ni}/\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\overline{{}^*E}_i$  be the algebraic closure of  ${}^*E$  in  ${}^*(\overline{E}_i)$ . It is the  $p$ -adic closure of  ${}^*E$  with respect to  $v_i$ . Also, let  ${}^*(\overline{F}_i) = \overline{F}_i^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\overline{{}^*F}_i$  be the algebraic closure of  ${}^*F$  in  ${}^*(\overline{F}_i)$ . It is the  $p$ -adic closure of  ${}^*F$  with respect to  ${}^*w_i$ . Then  $\prod \varphi_n/\mathcal{D}$  maps  $G({}^*(\overline{F}_i))$  onto  $G({}^*(\overline{E}_i))$  and therefore  $\varphi(G({}^*(\overline{F}_i))) = G(\overline{{}^*E}_i)$ . By Lemma 10.1,  ${}^*E$  and  ${}^*F$  are PpC fields. Hence, by Proposition 10.4,  $({}^*E, v_1, \dots, v_e) \equiv_K ({}^*F, {}^*w_1, \dots, {}^*w_e)$ . Conclude that  $({}^*E, v_1, \dots, v_e) \equiv_K (F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 10.6: *Let  $K$  be a countable Hilbertian field. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of  $p$ -adically projective groups with this property: If  $E$  and  $F$  are two elementarily equivalent PpC fields and if  $G(F) \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $G(E) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then a sentence  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field}, K)$  is true in all PpC fields  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations such that  $K \subseteq F$  and  $G(F) \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $\theta$  is true in all PpC fields  $(E, v_1, \dots, v_e)$  with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations such that  $E$  is algebraic over  $K$  and  $G(E) \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose that the latter condition holds. Let  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  be a PpC field containing  $K$  with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations such that  $G(F) \in \mathcal{P}$ . By the Skolem-Löwenheim theorem,  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$  has a countable elementary substructure  $(F_0, w_{01}, \dots, w_{0e})$  that contains  $K$ . By Proposition 10.5,  $(F_0, w_{01}, \dots, w_{0e}) \equiv_K \prod (E_n, v_{n1}, \dots, v_{ne})/\mathcal{D}$  with  $E_n$  a perfect PpC field, algebraic over  $K$ , and  $G(E_n) \cong G(F_0)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By assumption  $G(E_n) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Hence  $\theta$  is true in  $(E_n, v_{n1}, \dots, v_{ne})$  for each  $n$ , and therefore  $\theta$  is true in  $(F, w_1, \dots, w_e)$ . ■

Apply Proposition 10.6 to the family of all  $p$ -adically projective groups:

THEOREM 10.7: *A sentence  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field})$  is true in each PpC field of characteristic 0 with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations if and only if  $\theta$  is true in each PpC field with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuation which is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

Here is an algebraic application of Theorem 10.7.

THEOREM 10.8: *Let  $F$  be a PpC field and let  $v, v_1, \dots, v_e$  be distinct  $p$ -adic valuations of  $F$ . Then*

- (a)  $v(F^\times)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group,

- (b) the Henselization of  $F$  with respect to  $v$  is  $p$ -adically closed, in particular all  $p$ -adic closures of  $F$  with respect to  $v$  are  $F$ -isomorphic,
- (c)  $F$  is dense in the  $p$ -adic closure  $\overline{F}$  with respect to  $v$ , and
- (d)  $v_1, \dots, v_e$  are independent.

*Proof:* Each of the statements (a) and (d) is equivalent to a conjunction of sentences in the language  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field})$ . As each  $p$ -adic valuation of an algebraic field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is discrete those statements hold for every algebraic PpC field with  $e$   $p$ -adic valuations. Conclude from Theorem 10.7 that they also hold for  $F$ .

Statement (b) follows from (a) by [PR, Thm. 3.2].

As  $\overline{F}$  is the Henselization of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ , statement (c) is equivalent to the conjunction of countably many sentences in  $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field})$ . The  $n$ th statement says that for every polynomial  $f$  of degree at most  $n$  and for every  $a$  such that  $v(a) \geq 0$ ,  $v(f(a)) > 0$  and  $v(f'(a)) = 0$ , and for every nonzero  $b$  there exists  $c$  such that  $v(c) > 0$  and  $v(f(a+c)) \geq v(b)$  [D, p. 108]. Since each of these sentences is true for every algebraic field, Theorem 10.7 implies that it also holds for  $F$ . Conclude that  $F$  is  $v$ -dense in  $\overline{F}$ .

■

REMARK 10.9: (a) Parts (b) and (c) of Theorems 10.8 have been proved by Grob [Gr, pp. 38 and 34, respectively] by different methods.

(b) A formally  $p$ -adic field  $K$  is said to be **maximal** if it has no proper algebraic totally  $p$ -adic extension. If  $K$  is PpC, then this condition can be reformulated in terms of  $G(K)$ : “For each proper open subgroups  $U$  of  $G(K)$  there exists  $H \in \mathcal{D}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), G(K))$  which is conjugate to no subgroup of  $U$ .” As the theory of maximal PpC is elementary [Gr, p. 40], Proposition 10.6 implies that Theorem 10.7 holds for maximal PpC field with  $e$  valuations. Again, this is a result of Grob [Gr. p. 92] (However, Grob does not include predicates for valuations in her language.)

## Index of definitions and notation

- at most countable corank 23
- basis (of  $\Delta_\omega$ ) 13
- $D_e$  6
- $D_{e,m}$  6
- $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, G)$  5
- $\Delta_n$  12
- dominate ( $\Gamma$ -embedding problem) 25
- $\Delta_\omega$  12
- domain (of equivalent homomorphisms) 5
- elementary (family of fields) 37
- $\text{Emb}(\Gamma, G)$  5
- $E_n$  11
- $E_\omega$  11
- embedding problem (for a  $\Gamma$ -structure) 17
- epimorphism (of weak  $\Gamma$ -structures) 15
- equivalent (homomorphisms) 5
- finite (embedding problem) 6, 17
- finite ( $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem) 21
- finite (weak  $\Gamma$ -structure) 15
- $\Gamma$ -embedding problem 17
- $\Gamma$ -projective (group) 17
- $\Gamma$ -structure 15
- $\Gamma$ -universal (group) 6
- $K_\sigma$  35
- large quotient 7
- $\mathcal{L}_e(\text{field}, L)$  38
- morphism (of weak  $\Gamma$ -structures) 15
- $\pi_m$  12
- $\pi_{n,m}$  11
- PAC 4, 29
- $p$ -adically closed 4
- $p$ -adic closure 4
- $p$ -adically projective (group) 17
- PpC 4, 29
- PRC 4, 29
- proper  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem 5
- proper solution 6
- projective (structure) 17
- projective (group) relative to  $\mathcal{X}$  22
- pseudo- $\mathcal{K}$ -closed 29
- regular (action of a profinite group) 15
- separated (family of subgroups) 21
- solution (to a  $\Gamma$ -embedding problem) 17
- solution (to a finite  $\mathcal{X}$ -embedding problem) 21
- $\text{Subg}(G)$  5
- totally  $p$ -adic (extension of fields) 33
- weak  $\Gamma$ -structure 15
- $Y_\omega$  12
- $Z_\omega$  12

## References

- [A] J. Ax, *The elementary theory of finite fields*, Annals of Mathematics **88** (1968), 239–271.
- [BNW] E. Binz, J. Neukirch and G. H. Wenzel, *A subgroup theorem for free products of profinite groups*, Journal of Algebra **19** (1971), 104–109.
- [BS] J.L. Bell and A.B. Slomson, *Models and Ultraproducts*, North–Holland / American Elsevier, Amsterdam / New York, 1974.
- [D] L.P.D. v.d. Dries, *Model theory of fields*, Thesis, Utrecht, 1978.
- [DR] L.v.d. Dries and P. Ribenboim, *An application of Tarski’s principle to absolute Galois groups of function fields*, Queen’s Mathematical Preprint No. 1984-8.
- [EJ] I. Efrat and M. Jarden, *Free pseudo  $p$ -adically closed field of finite corank*, Journal of Algebra **133** (1990), 132–150.
- [F] M. Fried, *Irreducibility results for separated variables equations*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **48** (1987), 9–22.
- [FJ] M.D. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik III **11**, Springer, Heidelberg, 1986.
- [G] W.-D. Geyer, *Galois groups of intersections of local fields*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **30** (1978), 382–396.
- [GR] D. Gildenhuys and L. Ribes, *A Kurosh subgroup theorem for free pro- $\mathcal{C}$  products of pro- $\mathcal{C}$ -groups*, Transactions of AMS **186** (1973), 309–329.
- [Gr] C. Grob, *Die Entscheidbarkeit der Theorie der maximalen pseudo  $p$ -adisch abgeschlossenen Körper*, Dissertation, Konstanz, 1988.
- [H] D. Haran, *On closed subgroups of free products of profinite groups*, Proceeding of the London Mathematical Society (3) **55** (1987), 266–298.
- [HJ1] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *The absolute Galois group of a pseudo real closed field*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore — Pisa, Serie IV, **12** (1985), 449–489.
- [HJ2] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *Real free groups and the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$* , Journal of Pure and Applied algebra **37** (1985), 155–165.
- [HJ3] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *The absolute Galois group of a pseudo real closed algebraic field*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **123** (1986), 55–69.
- [HJ4] D. Haran and M. Jarden, *The absolute Galois group of a pseudo  $p$ -adically closed field*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **383** (1988), 147–206.
- [HL] D. Haran and A. Lubotzky, *Maximal abelian subgroups of free profinite groups*, Mathematical proceeding of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **97** (1985), 51–55.
- [HP] B. Heinemann and A. Prestel, *Fields regularly closed with respect to finitely many valuations and orderings*, Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceedings **4** (1984), 297–336.

- [HR] W. Herfort and L. Ribes, *Torsion elements and centralizers in free products of profinite groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **358** (1985), 155-161.
- [J] M. Jarden, *The algebraic nature of the elementary theory of PRC fields*, manuscripta mathematicae **60** (1988), 463–475.
- [JW] U. Jannsen und K. Wingberg, *Die Struktur der absoluten Galoisgruppe  $p$ -adischer Zahlkörper*, Inventiones mathematicae **70** (1982), 70-98.
- [KN] W. Krull and J. Neukirch, *Die Struktur der absoluten Galoisgruppe über dem Körper  $\mathbb{R}(t)$* , Mathematische Annalen **193** (1971), 197–209.
- [L] S. Lang, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [N1] J. Neukirch, *Kennzeichnung der  $p$ -adischen und der endlichen algebraischen Zahlkörper*, Inventiones mathematicae **6** (1969), 296-314.
- [N2] J. Neukirch, *Freie Produkte pro-enlicher Gruppen und ihre Kohomologie*, Archiv der Mathematik **22** (1971), 337–357.
- [P] A. Prestel, *Pseudo real closed fields*, Set theory and Model theory, Springer's Lecture Notes **872** (1981), 127–156, Springer.
- [Po] F. Pop, *Galoissche Kennzeichnung  $p$ -adisch abgeschlossener Körper*, Dissertation, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [PR] A. Prestel and P. Roquette, *Formally  $p$ -adic fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1050, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [PZ] A. Prestel and M. Ziegler, *Model theoretic methods in the theory of topological fields*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **299/300** (1978), 318–341.
- [R] L. Ribes, *Introduction to profinite groups and Galois Cohomology*, Queen's papers in pure and applied Mathematics 24, Queen's University, Kingston, 1970.
- [Ri] P. Ribenboim, *Théorie des valuations*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1964.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **5**, Springer, Heidelberg, 1965.
- [Sp] B. Schuppar, *Elementare Aususagen zur Arithmetik und Galoistheorie von Funktionenkörpern*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **313** (1980), 59–71.

Moshe Jarden  
 School of Mathematical Sciences  
 Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences  
 Tel Aviv University  
 Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978  
 ISRAEL