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#### Abstract

Continuing [1], we develop a version of Extender-based MagidorRadin forcing where there is no extender on the top ordinal. As an application, we provide another approach to obtain a failure of SCH on a club subset of an inaccessible cardinal, and a model where the cardinal arithmetic behaviors are different on stationary classes whose union is a club.


## 1. Introduction

In [1], we developed a Prikry-type forcing which shoots a club subset of $\kappa$ containing all former regular cardinals from the optimal assumption. Unlike [2], the regular cardinals outside the club remain regular. The forcing in [1] can be viewed as the Magidor-Radin forcing with interleaving quotients, and there were no ultrafilters on the top cardinal required in the forcing construction. In this work, we develop a forcing with the same style, but use Extender based Magidor-Radin forcing instead.

In [3], they provided a consistency results where there are models of ZFC such that there are stationary classes in which the cardinal arithmetic behaves differently with the optimal assumptions. As an application, we provide a ZFC model where GCH fails on a club, and a ZFC model where there are stationary classes in which cardinal arithmetic behaves differently, as stated in Theorem 9.1.

The organization of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we introduce all basic ingredients we need to develop the forcing. From Section 3 to Section 8, we develop the forcing in which a club class of cardinals $\alpha$ with $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{++}$. The forcing for building a club class of cardinals is built from approximated forcings, which will be built by recursion. The basic cases are constructed in Section 3. In Section 4 we state all the properties we need to be true, and show that the forcings in the first few levels satisfies the properties. Then the construction proceeds in Section 5, Section 6, and Section 7. The main forcing will then be introduced in 8. Lastly, in Section 9, we sketch a generalization of the forcing to get different cardinal behaviors on different stationary classes.

Although a version of Extender-based forcing and the Extender-based MagidorRadin forcing looks slightly different from [4], I assume that the readers are familiar with the Extender-Based Magidor-Radin forcing.

Conventions: Without mentioning, we assume that every forcing has the weakest element 1. $p \leq q$ means $p$ is stronger than $q$. When possible, every name in this paper will be in the simplest form. For sets $A$ and $B, A \sqcup B$ just means $A \cup B$ where $A \cap B=\emptyset$. If $f$ is a function and $d$ is a set, define $f \upharpoonright d$ as $f \upharpoonright[d \cap \operatorname{dom}(f)]$.

[^0]Throughout the paper, the forcing at level $\rho$, denoted by $P_{\rho}$ will be defined. We often abbreviate the $\Vdash_{P_{\rho}}$ by $\Vdash_{\rho}$. If $\vec{x}=\left\langle x_{\alpha, \beta}\right\rangle$ is a sequence indexed by pairs of ordinals, we define

$$
\left.\vec{x} \upharpoonright(\alpha, \beta)=\left\langle x_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right| \alpha^{\prime}<\alpha \text { or }\left(\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha \text { and } \beta^{\prime}<\beta\right)\right\rangle,
$$

and

$$
\vec{x} \upharpoonright \alpha=\vec{x} \upharpoonright(\alpha, 0) .
$$

## 2. BASIC PREPARATION

From now until Section 8, we have the following hypotheses.
Assumption 2.1. $G C H$ holds. $\kappa$ is a strongly inaccessible cardinal. There is a function $\circ: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ and $\vec{E}=\langle E(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha<\kappa, \beta<\circ(\alpha)\rangle$ such that
(1) $E(\alpha, \beta)$ is an $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{++}\right)$-extender, which means that if

$$
j_{\alpha, \beta}: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Ult}(V, E(\alpha, \beta))=: M_{\alpha, \beta}
$$

is the ultrapower map, then $\operatorname{crit}\left(j_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\alpha$, and $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ computes cardinals correctly up to an including $\alpha^{++}$.
(2) $\vec{E}$ is coherent, namely

$$
j_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{E}) \upharpoonright(\alpha+1)=\vec{E} \upharpoonright(\alpha, \beta)
$$

(3) for all $\alpha$, $\circ(\alpha)<\alpha$.
(4) For every $\gamma<\kappa$, the collection

$$
\{\alpha<\kappa \mid \circ(\alpha) \geq \gamma\}
$$

is stationary.
Definition 2.2. Let $\alpha<\kappa$. We say that $d$ is a $\alpha$-domain if $d \in\left[\alpha^{++} \backslash \alpha\right]^{\leq \alpha}$ and $\alpha \in d$. Define $C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$as the collection of functions $f$ such that $\operatorname{dom}(f)$ is a $\alpha$-domain $d$, and $\operatorname{rng}(f) \subseteq \alpha$. Define the ordering in $C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$by $f \leq g$ iff $f \supseteq g$.

Note that $C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Add}\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$, the forcing adding $\alpha^{++}$ subsets of $\alpha^{+}$.

Remark 2.3. If $|P| \leq \alpha$ and $\dot{C}\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$is a $P$-name of the forcing interpreted in the extension, then

$$
\Vdash_{P} "\left\{\dot{f} \in \dot{C}\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right) \mid \operatorname{dom}(\dot{f})=\check{d}, d \in V\right\} \text { is dense" } .
$$

We identify such and $\dot{f}$ by $f$ with $\operatorname{dom}(f)=d$, and for $\alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(f), f(\alpha)$ is a $P$-name of an element below $\alpha$.

Until the end of this section, fix $\alpha$ with $\circ(\alpha)>0$ and $\beta<\circ(\alpha)$. We introduce some definitions and facts which will be used since Section 7. Fix a $\alpha$-domain $d$.

- Define $\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)=\left\{\left(j_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi), \xi\right) \mid \xi \in d\right\}$.
- Define $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ by $X \in E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ iff $\operatorname{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d) \in j_{\alpha, \beta}(X)$. Then $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ concentrates on the collection $\mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ of $(\alpha, \beta)$-d-objects, which are functions $\mu$ such that
$-\alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu) \subseteq d, \operatorname{rng}(\mu) \subseteq \alpha$ (in fact, we can assume that $\operatorname{rng}(\mu) \subseteq$ $\left.\mu(\alpha)^{++}\right)$.
(The reason is that $\operatorname{dom}\left(\operatorname{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)=j_{\alpha, \beta}[d] \subseteq j_{\alpha, \beta}(d), j_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha) \in$ $\left.j_{\alpha, \beta}[d], \operatorname{rng}\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)=d \subseteq \alpha^{++}=\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\left(j_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha)\right)^{++}\right)$.
$-\circ(\mu(\alpha))=\beta$, in particular, $\mu(\alpha)$ is strongly inaccessible, $|\operatorname{dom}(\mu)| \leq$ $\mu(\alpha)^{++}$, and $\mu$ is order-preserving.
(The reason is that $j_{\alpha, \beta}(\circ)(\alpha)^{M_{\alpha, \beta}}=\beta, \alpha$ is inaccessible, $\left|\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)\right|=$ $|d| \leq \alpha^{++}$, and $\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is order-preserving.)
- Let $X_{\nu} \in E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ for $\nu<\alpha$. Define the diagonal intersection

$$
\Delta_{\nu<\alpha} X_{\nu}=\left\{\mu \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}(d) \mid \forall \nu<\mu(\alpha)\left(\mu \in X_{\nu}\right)\right\}
$$

Then $\Delta_{\nu<\alpha} X_{\nu} \in E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$.

- The measure $E_{\alpha, \beta}(\{\alpha\})$ is normal, and is isomorphic to $E_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha)$, which is defined by $X \in E_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha)$ iff $\alpha \in j_{\alpha, \beta}(X)$.
- if $d^{\prime} \supseteq d$ is an $\alpha$-domain, there is an associated projection from $E_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ to $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ induced by the map $\pi_{d^{\prime}, d}: \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ defined by $\pi_{d^{\prime}, d}(\mu)=\mu \upharpoonright d$ (i.e. $\mu \upharpoonright(d \cap \operatorname{dom}(\mu))$. In particular, there is a projection from $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ to $E_{\alpha, \beta}(\{\alpha\})$.
- Similar as in the proof of Lemma 2 [5], there is a measure-one set $B_{d} \in$ $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$ such that for every $\nu<\alpha,\left\{\mu \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}(d) \mid \mu(\alpha)=\nu\right\} \leq \nu^{++}$. We will assume that for every $A \in E_{\alpha, \beta}(d), A \subseteq B_{d}$.
We now no longer fix $\beta$, but still fix $\alpha$ and $d$.
- $\mu$ is an $\alpha$ - $d$-object if $\mu$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$ - $d$-object for some $\beta<\circ(\alpha)$. Denote the collection of $\alpha$ - $d$-object by $\mathrm{OB}_{\alpha}(d)$. For each pair of $\alpha$ - $d$-objects $\mu$ and $\tau$, define $\mu<\tau$ if $\operatorname{dom}(\mu) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\tau)$ and $\mu(\alpha)<\tau(\alpha)$. Equivalently, $\mu<\tau$ iff $\operatorname{dom}(\mu) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\tau)$ and for $\gamma \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu), \mu(\gamma)<\tau(\gamma)$.
- Define $X \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ iff $X$ can be written as $X=\cup_{\beta<\circ(\alpha)} X_{\beta}$ where $X_{\beta} \in$ $E_{\alpha, \beta}(d)$. Note that for each $\alpha$-d-object $\mu,\left\{\tau \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha}(d) \mid \mu<\tau\right\} \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$.
- Let $X_{\nu} \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ for $\nu<\alpha$. The diagonal intersection

$$
\Delta_{\nu<\alpha} X_{\nu}=\left\{\mu \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha}(d) \mid \forall \nu<\mu(\alpha)\left(\mu \in X_{\nu}\right)\right\}
$$

is in $\vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$.

- If $\mu<\tau$, we define $\mu \downarrow \tau=\mu \circ \tau^{-1}$, which is the function whose domain is $\tau[\operatorname{dom}(\mu)]$ and for $\gamma \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu),(\mu \downarrow \tau)(\tau(\gamma))=\mu(\gamma)$. Since $\tau$ is orderpreserving, we have that $\mu \downarrow \tau$ is well-defined.
- If $X$ is a set of $\alpha$ - $d$-object and $\tau \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha}(d)$, define $X \downarrow \tau=\{\mu \downarrow \tau \mid$ $\mu<\tau, \circ(\mu(\alpha))<\circ(\tau(\alpha))\}$. By the coherence of the extenders, we also assume that every $X \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ is coherent, i.e. for every $\tau \in X, X \downarrow \tau \in$ $\vec{E}_{\tau(\alpha)}(\tau[d \cap \operatorname{dom}(\tau)])$.
- Let $\vec{\mu}=\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle$ be an increasing sequence of $\alpha$ - $d$-objects, define $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\mu_{n-1}(\alpha)$, which is just an inaccessible cardinal below $\alpha$. Also write $\operatorname{dom}(\vec{\mu})=\operatorname{dom}\left(\mu_{n-1}\right)$. Also, if $\mu_{n-1}<\tau$, we define $\vec{\mu} \downarrow \tau=\left\langle\mu_{0} \downarrow\right.$ $\left.\tau, \cdots, \mu_{n-1} \downarrow \tau\right\rangle$.
- $A$ is an $\alpha$ - $d$-tree if $A$ consists of nonempty finite increasing sequences of $\alpha$ - $d$-objects, and $A$ has the following descriptions:
$-\vec{\mu} \leq_{A} \vec{\tau}$ iff $\vec{\mu} \sqsubseteq \vec{\tau}(\vec{\mu}$ is an initial segment of $\vec{\tau})$.
- $\operatorname{Lev}_{n}(A)$ is the collection of $\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right\rangle$ in $A$, so they have lengths $n+1$.
- We require that $\operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A) \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$.
- For $\vec{\mu} \in A$, define $\operatorname{Succ}_{A}(\vec{\mu})=\{\tau \mid \vec{\mu} \subset\langle\tau\rangle \in A\}$. We require that $\operatorname{Succ}_{A}(\vec{\mu}) \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$.
- If $A$ is an $\alpha-d$-tree and $\mu \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A)$, define $A_{\langle\mu\rangle}=\{\vec{\tau} \mid\langle\mu\rangle \subset \vec{\tau} \in A\}$, and we recursively define $A_{\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right\rangle}=\left(A_{\left\langle\mu_{0} \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle}\right)_{\left\langle\mu_{n}\right\rangle}$.
- Fix $d^{\prime} \subseteq d$ an $\alpha$-domain and $\vec{\mu}=\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle$ is a finite increasing sequence of $\alpha$ - $d$-objects, define $\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright d^{\prime}=\left\langle\mu_{0} \upharpoonright d, \cdots, \mu_{n-1} \upharpoonright d^{\prime}\right\rangle$. If we assume that $A$ is an $\alpha$ - $d$-tree, define $A \upharpoonright d^{\prime}=\left\{\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright d^{\prime} \mid \vec{\mu} \in A\right\}$. Then $A \upharpoonright d^{\prime}$ is an $\alpha-d^{\prime}$-tree.
- If $d^{\prime} \supseteq d$ is an $\alpha$-domain, and $A$ is an $\alpha$ - $d$-tree, the pullback of $A$ to $d^{\prime}$, is $\left\{\vec{\mu} \in\left[\mathrm{OB}_{\alpha}\left(d^{\prime}\right)\right]^{<\omega} \mid \vec{\mu}\right.$ is increasing and $\left.\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright d \in A\right\}$. Note that the pullback is an $\alpha-d^{\prime}$-tree.
- A tree $A$ is generated by $B \in \vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ if $\operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A)=B$, and for $\vec{\mu}=\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle \in$ $A, \operatorname{Succ}_{A}(\vec{\mu})=\left\{\tau \in B \mid \mu_{n-1}<\tau\right\}$. Such a tree is an $\alpha$ - $d$-tree. Furthermore, every $\alpha-d$-tree $A$ has a sub $\alpha$ - $d$-tree which is generated by some $B \in$ $\vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ : for each $\nu<\alpha$, let $X_{\nu}=\cap_{\vec{\mu} \in T, \vec{\mu}(\alpha) \leq \nu} \operatorname{Succ}_{A}(\vec{\mu})$, and $B=\Delta_{\nu} X_{\nu}$. We assume that every $d$-tree $A$ is generated by some $B \subseteq B_{d}$.
- We write $A(\alpha)=\{\vec{\mu}(\alpha) \mid \vec{\mu} \in A\}$. If $A$ is generated by $B$, then $A(\alpha)=$ $B(\alpha)=\{\mu(\alpha) \mid \mu \in B\}$.
- If $A$ is an $\alpha$ - $d$-tree and $\tau$ is an object, define $A \downarrow \tau=\left\{\vec{\mu} \downarrow \tau \mid \forall i\left(\mu_{i}<\right.\right.$ $\tau$ and $\left.\left.\circ\left(\mu_{i}(\alpha)\right)<\circ(\tau(\alpha))\right)\right\}$. By the coherence, assume that for each $\tau$, $A \downarrow \tau$ is an $\tau(\alpha)-\tau[d \cap \operatorname{dom}(\tau)]$-tree, with respects to $\vec{E}_{\tau(\alpha)}(\tau[d \cap \operatorname{dom}(\tau)])$.
Remark 2.4. For every $d$-tree $A$ and $\nu$, we assume that $\left\{\vec{\mu} \in A \mid \vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\mu_{|\vec{\mu}|-1}(\alpha)=\right.$ $\nu\}$ has size at most $\nu^{++}$.


## 3. The first few levels

We consider the forcings at the first $\omega$ inaccessible cardinals, so, the extenders are not involved. We first analyze just for the first few inaccessible cardinals concretely, which will be served as the first few basic cases for our induction scheme for the forcings in the general levels, which will be listed later in Section 4.1.
3.1. The first inaccessible cardinal. Let $\alpha_{0}$ be the least inaccessible cardinal. The following describe the scenario at the level $\alpha_{0}$.

- The forcing $P_{\alpha_{0}}$ consists of $\langle f\rangle$ where $f \in C\left(\alpha_{0}^{+}, \alpha_{0}^{++}\right)$. For $\langle f\rangle,\langle g\rangle \in P_{\alpha_{0}}$, define $\langle f\rangle \leq_{\alpha_{0}}\langle g\rangle$ iff $f \leq_{\alpha_{0}}^{*} g$ iff $f \supseteq g$.
- Let $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{0}}$ be a $P_{\alpha_{0}}$-name for the set $\left\{\alpha_{0}\right\}$.
- Let $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ be a $P_{\alpha_{0}}$-name of the trivial forcing, with the obvious extension and the obvious direct extension.
- In $V^{P_{\alpha_{0}}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ be a $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$-name of the empty set.

The forcing at the first inaccessible cardinal has nothing particularly interesting. The name $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{0}}$ will be served as the initial approximation of the final club where GCH fails at its limit points. The quotient forcing like $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ will show its importance later. $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ will also be considered for an approximation of the final club. It will be more meaningful to write $\dot{P}_{\tilde{\alpha}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ since in general, the ordinal which appears for the numerator, like $\check{\alpha}_{0}$, may be a non-trivial name of an ordinal. Since this is a check name, we omit the check symbol. A trivial remark is that forcing $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$ is equivalent to $P_{\alpha_{0}}$.
3.2. The second inaccessible cardinal. Let $\alpha_{0}<\alpha_{1}$ be the first two inaccessible cardinals.

Definition 3.1. The forcing $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ consists of two kinds of conditions (apart from the weakest condition). Conditions of different kinds are not compatible.
(1) The first kind consists of $\langle f\rangle$ in $C\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right)$. For $\langle f\rangle$ and $\langle g\rangle$ which are of first kind, define $\langle f\rangle \leq_{\alpha_{1}}\langle g\rangle$ iff $\langle f\rangle \leq_{\alpha_{1}}^{*}\langle g\rangle$ iff $f \supseteq g$.
(2) The second kind consists of $p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$, where

- $f_{0} \in C\left(\alpha_{0}^{+}, \alpha_{0}^{++}\right)$.
- $\Vdash_{\alpha_{0}}$ " $\leq \alpha_{0} \leq \dot{\xi}<\alpha_{1}$ is strongly inaccessible" (in this case, we can assume that $\dot{\xi}$ is $\alpha_{0}$, or more formally, $\check{\alpha}_{0}$ ).
- $\Vdash_{\alpha_{0}}{ }^{\prime} \dot{q}_{0} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}$ ".
- $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{1}\right)$ is an $\alpha_{1}$-domain, and for $\gamma \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{1}\right), f_{1}(\gamma)$ is a $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}$ name, $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}} " f_{1}(\gamma)<\alpha_{1}$ ".
- For such a condition, define $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{0}}=\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle$.

From now, we replace $\dot{\xi}$ by $\alpha_{0}$. We say that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \leq \alpha_{1}\left(\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{r}_{0}\right\rangle\right)^{\frown}\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \text { iff } \\
& \left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \leq_{\alpha_{1}}^{*}\left(\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{r}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \text { iff } \\
& f_{0} \supseteq g_{0}, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{1}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{1}\right), \text { and for } \gamma \in \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{1}\right),\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle, \dot{q}_{0}\right) \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}} \\
& " f_{1}(\gamma)=g_{1}(\gamma) " .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{1}}$ be a $P_{\alpha_{1}}$-name such that for $p$ of the first kind, $p \Vdash_{\alpha_{1}} \dot{C}_{\alpha_{1}}=\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\}$, and for $p$ of the second kind, $p \Vdash_{\alpha_{1}}$ " $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{1}}=\left\{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right\}$ ". We now define different types of quotients.

- $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}$ is a $P_{\alpha_{1}}$-name of the trivial forcing, with the obvious extension and the obvious direct extension. In $V^{P_{\alpha_{1}}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}$ be a $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}$-name of the empty set.
- The quotient $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ is a $P_{\alpha_{0}}$-name of the following forcing notion. Let $G$ be $P_{\alpha_{0}}$-generic. The forcing $P_{\alpha_{1}}[G]:=\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}[G]$ consists of $(\langle\emptyset\rangle) \frown\langle f\rangle$ where $\Vdash_{\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G]} " f \in C\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right) "\left(C\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right)\right.$is considered in $\left.(V[G])^{P_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G]}\right)$, and $\operatorname{dom}(f) \in V$. The extension and the direct extension are the natural ones. Back to the ground model, in $V^{P_{\alpha_{0}}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ be the $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$-name for $\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\}$. The point of having an empty set in the condition because it is more natural to translate a condition in $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ of the second kind to a condition in $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$, namely, for each $p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$ in $P_{\alpha_{1}}$, we have that $\Vdash_{\alpha_{0}} "(\langle\dot{q}\rangle) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \in \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ ". This is because $\dot{q}$ is always interpreted as the empty set in $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$, and $f_{1}$ is a function whose range contains names of ordinals in with respect to the correct forcing. Note that $\left\{p \in P_{\alpha_{1}} \mid p\right.$ is of the second kind $\}$ can be densely embedding in $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ in the sense of $\leq$ and $\leq^{*}$.
The subforcing of $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ containing conditions of second kinds is nothing but a two-step iteration of the Cohen forcings, except that the domains can always be decided by the weakest element to be in the ground model.


## 4. The induction scheme

We are now stating the induction scheme, and point out that it holds for the basic case.

Proposition 4.1 (The induction scheme). Let $\alpha$ be an inaccessible cardinal.
(1) The basic properties of the forcing $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$.

- $\left|P_{\alpha}\right|=\alpha^{++}$.
- $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq\right)$ is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c.
- $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property.
(2) The $P_{\alpha}$-name of the set $\dot{C}_{\alpha}$. Let $C_{\alpha}=\dot{C}_{\alpha}[G]$ where $G$ is generic over $P_{\alpha}$.
- $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha+1, \max \left(C_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha$.
- If $\circ(\alpha)=0$, then $C_{\alpha} \cap \alpha$ is a bounded subset of $\alpha$.
- If $\circ(\alpha)>0$, then $C_{\alpha} \cap \alpha$ is a club subset of $\alpha$.
- $C_{\alpha}$ contains only former inaccessible cardinals.
(3) Cardinals and cofinalities in the extension.
- If $\circ(\alpha)=0$, then $\alpha$ remains regular in the extension over $P_{\alpha}$.
- If $\circ(\alpha)>0$, then when we force over $P_{\alpha}, \alpha$ is singularized and $\operatorname{cf}(\alpha)=$ $\operatorname{cf}\left(\omega^{\circ}(\alpha)\right)$ (the ordinal exponentiation).
- In the extension, for every cardinal $\beta \leq \alpha, 2^{\beta}=\beta^{+}$or $2^{\beta}=\beta^{++}$, and $2^{\beta}=\beta^{++}$iff $\beta \in \lim \left(C_{\alpha}\right)$.
- For each $V$-regular $\beta \leq \alpha, \beta$ is singularized iff $\beta \in \lim \left(C_{\alpha}\right)$.
(4) $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ is always a $P_{\alpha}$-name of the trivial forcing $\left(\{\emptyset\}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$.
(5) The factor $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ for $\beta<\alpha$.
- $\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists $\}$ densely embeds into $P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$.
- $\vdash^{\beta}$ " $\left|\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}\right|=\alpha^{++},\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq\right)$ is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c.".
- $\Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\beta^{*}$-closed", where $\beta^{*}=\min \{\xi>\beta \mid \xi$ is strongly inaccessible $\}$.
- $\vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property".
(6) The quotient set $C_{\alpha / \beta}$ : In $V^{P_{\beta}}$, consider the properties of $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$-name of the set $\dot{C}_{\alpha}$. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic over $V$ and $H$ be $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$-generic over $V[G]$. Let $C_{\alpha / \beta}=\dot{C}_{\alpha / \beta}[G][H]$.
- If $\beta=\alpha$, then $C_{\alpha / \beta}=\emptyset$.
- Suppose $\beta<\alpha$. Then $I=G * H$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic, which introduces the set $C_{\alpha}$. Also, $G$ introduces the set $C_{\beta}$. Then $C_{\alpha / \beta} \subseteq(\beta, \alpha]$, and $C_{\alpha}=C_{\beta} \sqcup C_{\alpha / \beta}$.
(7) Double quotients: Let $\gamma \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ and $G$ is $P_{\gamma}$-generic. Then $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$ is defined as

$$
\vdash_{P_{\beta}[G]} \text { " } p \in \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G] \text { iff } p \in P_{\alpha}[G * \dot{H}] \text { ", }
$$

where $\dot{H}$ is the canonical $P_{\beta}[G]$-generic.
For a non-triviality, we now show that the forcing $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ as described in Definition 3.1 satisfies the induction scheme.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\alpha_{0}<\alpha_{1}$ be the first two inaccessible cardinals. Then $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ satisfies the induction scheme

Proof. (1) - The set of conditions in $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ of the first kind is essentially $C\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right)$, whose size is $\left(\alpha^{++}\right) \leq \alpha=\alpha^{++}$. Conditions of the second kind are of the form $\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$. We assume that the names are in their simplest form in the sense that $\dot{\xi}=\check{\alpha}_{0}, \dot{q}_{0}=\check{\emptyset}$. The $\operatorname{part}\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ is in $V_{\alpha_{1}}$. Then for each $\gamma \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{1}\right), f_{1}(\gamma)$ is
a $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}$-name of an ordinal below $\alpha$. By replacing $f_{1}(\gamma)$ with its nice name, assume that $f_{1}(\gamma) \in V_{\alpha_{1}}$. Hence, the number of such $f_{1}^{\prime}$ 's is $\left(\alpha_{1}^{++}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}=\alpha_{1}^{++}$. Hence, $\left|P_{\alpha_{1}}\right|=\alpha_{1}^{++}$.

- Suppose that $X=\left\{p^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha_{1}^{++}\right\}$is an antichain of conditions in $P_{\alpha_{1}}$. By shrinking $X$, we may assume that $X$ contains conditions of the same kind. If it contains conditions of the first kind, then the standard $\Delta$-system applies. Suppose $X$ contains conditions of the second kind. By shrinking further, assume there is $p_{0}$ such that for every $\gamma, p^{\gamma}=p_{0} \frown\left\langle f_{1}^{\gamma}\right\rangle$. Then we can apply a standard $\Delta$-system argument on $\left\{f_{1}^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha_{1}^{++}\right\}$, and we are done.
- Obvious, since $\leq$ and $\leq^{*}$ on $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ are the same.
(2) Note that $\circ\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0$. If $G$ contains conditions of the first kind, then $C_{\alpha_{1}}=$ $\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\}$, and if $G$ contains conditions of the second kind, then $C_{\alpha_{1}}=\left\{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right\}$. In both cases, it is a subset of $\alpha_{1}+1$ whose maximum is $\alpha_{1}$. Also, $C_{\alpha_{1}} \cap \alpha_{1}$ is either $\emptyset$ or $\left\{\alpha_{0}\right\}$ which is bounded in $\alpha_{1}$, and $C_{\alpha_{1}}$ contains only former inaccessible cardinals.
(3) $\circ\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0$, and the forcing $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ is equivalent to either a Cohen forcing $\operatorname{Add}\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right)$, or a two-step iteration of Cohen forcings $\operatorname{Add}\left(\alpha_{0}^{+}, \alpha_{0}^{++}\right) *$ $\operatorname{Add}\left(\alpha_{1}^{+}, \alpha_{1}^{++}\right)$. In both cases, $\alpha_{1}$ remains regular, GCH still holds, and $\lim \left(C_{\alpha}\right)=\{\emptyset\}$.
(4) $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}$ is a $P_{\alpha_{1}}$-name of the trivial forcing.
(5) Consider $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$.
- For each $p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$, consider the map $\left.\pi(p)=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,(\langle\dot{q}\rangle) \frown f_{1}\right\rangle\right)$. Clearly, this map is a dense embedding from $\left\{p \in P_{\alpha_{1}} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{0}}\right\}$ to $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$.
- Since $P_{\alpha_{0}}$ forces GCH, a similar argument as in (1) shows that $\Vdash_{\alpha_{0}}$ " $\left|\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}\right|=\alpha_{1}^{++},\left(P_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}, \leq\right)$ is $\alpha_{1}^{++}$-c.c., "
- Let $G$ be $P_{\alpha_{0}}$-generic. Conditions in $P_{\alpha_{1}}[G]$ are of the form $(\langle\emptyset\rangle) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$. We ignore the empty set's part. Note that since $P_{\alpha_{0}}[G]:=\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G]$ is trivial, so $f_{1}$ is just a Cohen condition in $V[G]$. We now assume that a condition in $P_{\alpha_{1}}[G]$ is $\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $\left\langle f_{1}^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\gamma^{*}\right\rangle$ be a decreasing sequence of conditions, where $\gamma^{*}<\alpha_{1}$. In $V$, let $d *=\cup_{\gamma<\gamma^{*}}\left\{d \mid \exists p \in P_{\alpha_{0}}\right.$. Then $d^{*} \in V$, and let $f^{*}$ be such that $\operatorname{dom}\left(f^{*}\right)=d^{*}$, and in $V[G]$, $f^{*} \leq f_{1}^{\gamma}$ for all $\gamma$. Then $f^{*}$ is as required.
- $\vdash_{\alpha_{0}}$ " $\leq, \leq^{*}$ are the same in $\dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$, hence has the Prikry property".
(6) In $V^{P_{\alpha_{1}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}}, C_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{1}}$ is the empty set. In $V^{P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}}, C_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}=\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\} \subseteq$ ( $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ ], and in this model, $C_{\alpha-0} \sqcup C_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}=C_{\alpha_{1}}$, since it is the same model with the extension $V^{P_{\alpha_{1}}}$ using conditions of the second kind.
(7) Trivial since the definition is given.

Remark 4.3. (1) $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ is equivalent to the subforcing $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ containing conditions of the second kind, and there is a natural translation from one generic to another. Namely, suppose that $G * H$ is such a generic object. Define $I=\left\{\left(p_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}\right\rangle\right) \frown p_{1} \mid p_{0} \in G, \Vdash_{\alpha_{0}} "(\langle\dot{q}\rangle) \frown p_{1} \in \dot{H} "\right\}$. Then $V[I]=V[G * H]$.
(2) If we force with conditions in $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ of the second kind, we can obtain an equivalent generic object from $P_{\alpha_{0}} * \dot{P}_{\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{0}}$ naturally. Namely, if $I$ is $P_{\alpha_{1}}$ generic containing conditions of the second kind, let

$$
G=\left\{\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \mid \exists \dot{q}, f_{1}\left(\left\langle f_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \in I\right\}\right.
$$

and

$$
H=\left\{(\langle\emptyset\rangle)^{\frown}\left\langle f_{1}[G]\right\rangle \mid \exists f_{0}, \dot{q}\left(\left\langle f_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\alpha_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle \in I\right\}\right.
$$

## 5. Below the first measurable cardinal

Let $\alpha$ be a strongly inaccessible cardinal which is below the first $\alpha^{*}$ with $\circ\left(\alpha^{*}\right)=$ 1. We will assume that $\alpha$ is at least the $\omega+1$-th strongly inaccessible cardinal so that the conditions of arbitrarily length will appear at this stage.
Definition 5.1. $P_{\alpha}$ consists of the conditions of the following kinds:

- The pure conditions, which are conditions of the form $\langle f\rangle$, where $f \in$ $C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$.
- The impure conditions, which are conditions of the form

$$
\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle,
$$

for some $n>0$, where
$-\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}<\alpha$ are inaccessible.

- for all $i, \Vdash_{\alpha_{i}}$ " $\alpha_{i} \leq \dot{\beta}_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}$ ", where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha$.
- $f_{0} \in C\left(\alpha_{0}^{+}, \alpha_{0}^{++}\right)$and for $i>0, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i}\right)=d_{i}$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-domain (in the sense of $V$ ), and for $\zeta \in d_{i}$,

$$
\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i-1} / \alpha_{i-1}}} " f_{i}(\zeta)<\alpha_{i} "
$$

In particular,

$$
\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i-1} / \alpha_{i-1}}} " f_{i} \in \dot{C}\left(\alpha_{i}^{+}, \alpha_{i}^{++}\right) .
$$

$-\operatorname{dom}(f)=d$ is an $\alpha$-domain, and for $\zeta \in d$,

$$
\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}}} " f(\zeta)<\alpha "
$$

In particular,

$$
\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}} " f \in \dot{C}\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right) "
$$

- for all $i, \Vdash_{\alpha_{i}} " \dot{q}_{i} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}} "$.

By recursion, we consider

$$
\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle
$$

as a condition in $P_{\alpha_{i}}$. Denote $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{i}}$ as the condition as bove. We also consider

$$
\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}, \dot{q}_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

as a condition in $P_{\alpha_{i}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}$. Denote such a condition by $p \upharpoonright(i+1)$.
The ordering $\leq_{\alpha}$ and $\leq_{\alpha}^{*}$ will be the same. We only define $\leq_{\alpha}$. We will also write a pure condition in an impure condition's format. When we mention a condition $p$, we put the superscript $p$ to every component in the condition. If $p$ is the condition as in the definition, we write $n^{p}=n, \operatorname{top}(p)=f$.

## Definition 5.2. Let

$$
p_{0}=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle,
$$

and

$$
p_{1}=\left(\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{0} / \gamma_{0}}, \dot{r}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle g_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{n-1} / \gamma_{n-1}}, \dot{r}_{m-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle g\rangle .
$$

We say that $p_{0} \leq{ }_{\alpha} p_{1}$ iff

- $n=m$.
- for $i<n, \alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i}$.
- $f_{0} \supseteq g_{0},\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \Vdash_{\alpha_{0}} " \dot{\beta}_{0}=\dot{\xi}_{0}$ and $\dot{q}_{0} \leq \dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0} \dot{r}_{0} "$.
- for $i>0, d_{i}^{p^{0}} \supseteq d_{i}^{p^{1}}$, and for $\zeta \in d_{i}^{p^{1}}, p \upharpoonright i \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}}}$ " $f_{i}(\zeta)=g_{i}(\zeta)$ ".
- for $i>0,\left(p_{0} \upharpoonright i\right) \subset\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle \vdash_{\alpha_{i}} " \dot{\beta}_{i}=\dot{\xi}_{i}$ and $\dot{q}_{i} \leq_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}} \dot{r}_{i}$ ".
- $\operatorname{dom}(f) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(g)$ and for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(g)$,

$$
p_{0} \upharpoonright n \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}} " f(\zeta)=g(\zeta) "
$$

We may also assume that $\dot{\xi}_{i}=\dot{\beta}_{i}$ for all $i$. The extension relation does not increase the length of a condition. For a generic $G$ containing a condition $p$, define $C_{\alpha}$ as the following: If $p$ is pure, then $C_{\alpha}=\{\alpha\}$. Assume $p$ is impure and $n=n^{p}$. Then $p \upharpoonright n \in P_{\alpha_{n}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n} / \alpha_{n}}$. Let $\beta_{n}=\dot{\beta}_{n}\left[G \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]$. By Proposition 4.1 (2) and (6), $G \upharpoonright\left(P_{\alpha_{n}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n} / \alpha_{n}}\right)$ introduces the set $C^{\prime}=C_{\alpha_{n-1}} \sqcup C_{\beta_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}} \subseteq \beta_{n-1}+1$ with $\max \left(C^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{n-1}$. Define $C_{\alpha}=C^{\prime} \cup\{\alpha\}$. Still, this forcing does not change the cardinal arithmetic.

We now define $P_{\alpha / \beta}$. An intuition is that we need $\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ is defined $\}$ to be densely embedded in $P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$.

Definition 5.3 (The quotient forcing). Let $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $P_{\alpha}$-name of the trivial forcing $\left(\{\emptyset\}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$. In $V^{P_{\alpha}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$-name of the empty set. Now assume that $\beta<\alpha$. Define $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. Define $P_{\alpha}[G]=\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$ as the forcing consisting of conditions of the form

$$
p=\left(\left\langle P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G], \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cdots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G], \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle
$$ where $n \geq 0$ and

(1) $\beta \leq \beta^{\prime}<\alpha$, so $P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]$ was already defined by recursion, which is just $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime}[G] / \beta}[G]$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\dot{\beta}^{\prime}[G]$. Furthermore, $q^{\prime} \in P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]$.
(2) If $n>0$, then $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}$, and for $i<n$,

- let $d_{i}=\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i}\right)$, then $d_{i}$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-domain, $d_{i} \in V$.
- for $\zeta \in d_{0}, \Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]}$ " $f_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha_{0}$ ", and if $i>0$, then for $\zeta \in d_{i}$, $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i-1}}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i-1} / \alpha_{i-1}}}[G] " f_{i}(\zeta)<\alpha_{i} "$.
- $\vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]}$ " $\alpha_{i} \leq \dot{\beta}_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}$ ", where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha$.
- $\vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]}$ " $\dot{q}_{i} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}[G]$ ".
(3) $d:=\operatorname{dom}(f)$ is an $\alpha$-domain, and is in $V$.
(4) Fix $\zeta \in d$. If $n=0$, then $\Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]} " f(\zeta)<\alpha$ ", otherwise, $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}}[G] * P_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G]}$ $" f(\zeta)<\alpha "$.
Back in $V$. If $\dot{p}$ is a $P_{\beta}$-name of a condition in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, then by density, there is $p_{0} \in P_{\beta}$ such that $p_{0}$ decides $n, \alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n-1}\right), \operatorname{dom}(f)$. In this case, we say that $p_{0}$ interprets $\dot{p}$. All in all, for such $p_{0}$ which interprets all
the relevant components of $\dot{p}$, let $p_{1}$ be such the interpretation. Write $p_{0}$ as $r_{0} \frown\langle g\rangle$ and by the interpretation, we may write

$$
p_{1}=\left(\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle .\right.
$$

There is a natural concatenation $p_{0}$ with $p_{1}$, written by $p_{0} \frown p_{1}$, which is

$$
r=r_{0} \frown\left(\langle g\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle .
$$

Then $r \in P_{\alpha}$ with $r \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists. For $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, we say that $p_{0} \leq p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq{ }_{\alpha} p^{\frown} p_{1}$. Also define $p_{0} \leq^{*} p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\alpha}^{*} p^{\frown} p_{1}$ (note that at this level $\leq^{*}$ and $\leq$ are still the same). One can check that the map $\phi:\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists $\} \rightarrow P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ defined by $\phi(p)=\left(p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}, p \backslash P_{\beta}\right)$ is a dense embedding, where $p \backslash P_{\beta}$ is the obvious component of $p$ which is in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$.

In $V^{P_{\beta}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \beta}$ be a $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \alpha}$-name of the set described as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. Write

$$
p=\left(\left\langle P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G], \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cdots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G], \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle\right.
$$

as an element in $P_{\alpha}[G]$. The part which excludes the top part, i.e.

$$
\left(\left\langle P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G], \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cdots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G], \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right)\right.
$$

is in $P_{\alpha_{n-1}}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G]$. Let $H$ be generic over the forcing. By our induction scheme, $H$ produces $C_{0} \sqcup C_{1}$, where $C_{0} \subseteq\left(\beta, \alpha_{n-1}\right.$ ] (can be empty if $n=0$ ), and $C_{1} \subseteq\left(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}\right]$ (can be empty if $\beta_{n-1}$, the interpretation of $\dot{\beta}_{n-1}$, is $\left.\alpha_{n-1}\right)$. If $n>0$, then $\max \left(C_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n-1}$, and if $\beta_{n-1}>\alpha_{n-1}$, then $\max \left(C_{1}\right)=\beta_{n-1}$. Let $C_{\alpha / \beta}=C_{0} \cup C_{1} \cup\{\alpha\}$.

Proposition 5.4. $P_{\alpha}$ and the relevant quotients at $\alpha$ satisfy Proposition 4.1.
Proof. (1) Similar as the proof of the corresponding properties in Propoisition 4.2.
(2) $\circ(\alpha)=0$. Then the forcing $P_{\alpha}$ introduces the set $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha+1$ where $C_{\alpha} \backslash\{\alpha\}$ is a bounded subset of $\alpha$. By induction hypothesis, it is easy to see that $C_{\alpha}$ contains only former inaccessible cardinals.
(3) The forcing $P_{\alpha}$ under a certain condition can be factored to $P^{0} * \dot{C}\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$, where $P^{0} \in V_{\alpha}$, and hence, $\alpha$ is still regular. Note that by induction on $\alpha$, $C_{\alpha}$ is still finite, and since $P^{0}$ is either empty or a two-step iteration where it forces GCH. Hence, $P_{\alpha}$ still forces GCH.
(4) Obvious.
(5) Let $\beta<\alpha$.

- The map $p \mapsto\left(p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}, p \backslash P_{\beta}\right)$ is a dense embedding from $\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright\right.$ $P_{\beta}$ exists $\}$ to $P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$.
- Similar to the proof of the corresponding properties in Proposition 4.1, $\vdash_{\beta} "\left|\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}\right|=\alpha^{++}$and is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c."
- Let $\beta^{\prime}<\beta^{*}$ and $\Vdash{ }_{\beta}$ " $\left\{p^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\beta^{\prime}\right\}$ be a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence of conditions in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ ". We may assume that $p^{\gamma}=p_{0}^{\gamma} \frown\left\langle f^{\gamma}\right\rangle$. Then $\vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left\{p_{0}^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\beta^{\prime}\right\}$ is a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence in a certain forcing $P_{\alpha^{*}} * \dot{P}_{\beta^{*} / \alpha^{*}} "$. By induction hypothesis, the two-step iteration is $\beta^{*}$ closed under $\leq^{*}$. Let $p_{0}^{*}$ be such that for all $\gamma, \Vdash_{\beta} " p_{0}^{*} \leq^{*} p_{0}^{\gamma}$ ". Now a
similar proof as in the corresponding property of Proposition 4.1 can be used to find $f_{1}^{*}$ such that for all $\gamma, \Vdash_{\beta} " p_{0}^{*} \frown\left\langle f_{1}^{*}\right\rangle \leq^{*} p_{0}^{\gamma} \frown\left\langle f_{1}^{\gamma}\right\rangle$ ".
- Since $\leq$ and $\leq^{*}$ on $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ coincide, the Prikry property holds.
(6) By the construction of $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \beta}$ and the factorization, the property holds.
(7) Obvious by the definition of the double quotient stated in the Proposition 4.1.


## 6. At The first $\alpha$ with $\circ(\alpha)=1$

We exhibit the forcing at the level of the first cardinal with a positive Mitchell order. Let $\alpha$ be the first such that $\circ(\alpha)=1$. A variation of the Extender-based Prikry forcing will be introduced. Instead of diving into a full definition all at once, we progress through a series of definitions.

Definition 6.1. A pure condition of $P_{\alpha}$ is $p=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$ where there is a common domain $d$ such that
(1) $A$ is a $d$-tree.
(2) $\operatorname{dom}(F)=A(\alpha)$.
(3) for $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(F), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$ where $\vdash_{\nu} " \nu \leq \dot{\beta}_{\nu}<\alpha$ and $\dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$ ".
(4) $\operatorname{dom}(f)=d$ and $f_{0} \in C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$.
(5) $\vec{f}=\left\langle f_{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$.
(6) for each $\nu \in A(\alpha), \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\nu}\right)=d$ and for $\zeta \in d, \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}}$ " $f_{\nu}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ". In particular, $f_{\nu}(\zeta)$ is a $P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$-name.

The forcing seems like a version of an Extender-Based Prikry forcing with interleaved forcings. The main difference is that now we have a sequence of Cohen-like functions. The role of the sequence of the Cohen-like functions is that we want the quotient forcings at this level (and also in general) to be highly closed with respect to the direct extension relation. If we just use a Cohen function in the ground model, then the corresponding quotient will no longer be highly closed with respects to the direct extension relation. When we perform a one-step extension, we want to somehow change the Cohen function to a name of a Cohen function with respects to the part of the condition below. The explanation will make a bit more sense once we introduce the one-step extension operation.

We now discuss a one-step extension of a pure condition. Suppose that $p=$ $\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$ with the common domain $d$. Let $\langle\mu\rangle \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A)$ with $\mu(\alpha)=\nu$. The one-step extension of $p$ by $\mu$ is $r^{\frown}\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ such that

- $r=\left(\left\langle f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}\right\rangle, F(\nu)\right)$. Write $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$.
- $A^{\prime}=\left\{\vec{\tau} \in A_{\langle\mu\rangle} \mid \tau_{0}(\alpha)>\beta^{*}\right\}$ where $\beta^{*}=\sup \left\{\gamma \mid \exists r \in P_{\nu}\left(r \Vdash_{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\beta}_{\nu}=\right.\right.$ $\gamma)$ " $\}$.
- $F^{\prime}=F \upharpoonright\left(A^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$.
- $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{0}\right)=d$.
- $\Vdash_{P_{\nu * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}}}$ " $g_{0}=f_{\nu} \oplus \mu$ ", i.e. for $\zeta \in d$, if $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu), g_{0}(\zeta)=\mu(\alpha)$, otherwise, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}} " g_{0}(\zeta)=f_{\nu}(\zeta)$ " (we can assume tat $g_{0}(\zeta)=f_{\nu}(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in d \backslash \operatorname{dom}(\mu))$.
- $\vec{g}=\left\langle f_{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}(\alpha)\right\rangle$.

Note that particular, $\left\langle f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}\right\rangle \in P_{\nu}$, and so, $r$ can be considered as a condition in $P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$. Like in a lot of Pirkry-type forcings, a $d$-tree at $\alpha$ gives us objects to create new blocks below $\alpha$. The part $\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ looks similar to a pure condition except that for each $\zeta$, we now have that each $g_{0}(\zeta)$ is a name with respects to the forcing corresponding to where $r$ lives.

We now define a condition in a general form.
Definition 6.2. A condition in $P_{\alpha}$ is either pure or of the form (which we call impure) which is of the form

$$
p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle
$$

for some $n>0$, and a common domain $d$ such that
(1) $\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \in P_{\alpha_{n-1}}$, where $\alpha_{n-1}<\alpha$.
(2) $\vdash_{\alpha_{n-1}} " \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dot{\beta}_{n-1}<\alpha, \dot{q}_{n-1} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}$ ".
(3) $d$ is an $\alpha$-domain (we emphasize that $d \in V$ ).
(4) $A$ is a $d$-tree, $\min (A(\alpha))>\beta^{*}$, where $\beta^{*}=\sup \left\{\gamma \mid \exists r \in P_{\alpha_{n-1}}\left(r \Vdash \dot{\beta}_{n-1}=\right.\right.$ $\gamma)\}$.
(5) $\operatorname{dom}(F)=A(\alpha)$, and for each $\nu \in A(\alpha), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$, where $\Vdash_{\nu}$ " $\nu \leq$ $\dot{\beta}_{\nu}<\alpha$ and $\dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu} "$.
(6) $\vec{g}=\left\{g_{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in A(\alpha)\right\}$.
(7) $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{0}\right)=d$ and for all $\nu^{\prime}, \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu^{\prime}}}\right)=d$.
(8) For $\zeta \in d, \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}}$ " $g_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha "$, and for all $\nu^{\prime}$, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu^{\prime}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu^{\prime}} / \nu^{\prime}}}$ $" g_{\nu^{\prime}}(\zeta)<\alpha "$.
We write $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{i}}=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle$, so $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{i}} \in P_{\alpha_{i}}$. Also write $p \upharpoonright i=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}, \dot{q}_{i}\right\rangle\right)$, and we consider $p \upharpoonright i$ as a condition in $P_{\alpha_{i}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}$. We put the superscript $p$ to every component, including the common domain, i.e. we write $d^{p}$ for $d$. We call $\dot{q}_{i}$ 's the interleaving part of $p$. With $p$ as above, we write $\operatorname{top}(p)=\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle, \operatorname{stem}(p)=p \backslash \operatorname{top}(p)$ and say that $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ has $n$ blocks. From the definition, it is straightforward to check that $\left|P_{\alpha}\right|=\alpha^{++}$.

Definition 6.3 (The one-step extension). Let

$$
p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle
$$

with its common domain $d$, and $\langle\mu\rangle \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A)$. Say $\nu=\mu(\alpha)$. The one-step extension of $p$ by $\mu$, denoted by $p+\langle\mu\rangle$, is the condition

$$
p^{\prime}=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown r_{0} \frown r_{1},
$$

where
(1) $r_{0}=\left(g_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}, F(\nu)\right)$,

- $g_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}$ has domain rng $(\mu)$.
- for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu),\left(g_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}\right)(\mu(\zeta))=g_{0}(\zeta)$.
- Write $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu} / \dot{q}\right\rangle$.
(2) $r_{1}=\left\langle h_{0}^{\prime}, \vec{h}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$,
- $A^{\prime}=\left\{\vec{\tau} \in A_{\langle\mu\rangle} \mid \tau_{0}(\alpha)>\beta^{*}\right\}$, where $\beta^{*}=\sup \left\{\gamma \mid \exists r \in P_{\nu}\left(r \Vdash_{\nu}\right.\right.$ " $\dot{\beta}_{\nu}=\gamma$ ") $\}$.
- $F^{\prime}=F \upharpoonright A^{\prime}(\alpha)$.
- $\vec{h}=\left\{g_{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}(\alpha)\right\}$.
- $\operatorname{dom}\left(h_{0}\right)=d$, and for all $\nu^{\prime}, \operatorname{dom}\left(h_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)=d$.
- $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}}$ " $h_{0}=g_{\nu} \oplus \mu$ ", i.e. for $\zeta \in d$, if $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu), h_{0}(\zeta)=\mu(\alpha)$, otherwise, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}}$ " $h_{0}(\zeta)=g_{\nu}(\zeta)$ " (we may assume that for $\zeta \in$ $\left.d \backslash \operatorname{dom}(\mu), h_{0}(\zeta)=g_{\nu}(\zeta)\right)$.
- for $\nu^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}(\alpha), h_{\nu^{\prime}}=g_{\nu^{\prime}}$

We define $p+\langle \rangle$ as $p$, and by recursion, define $p+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right\rangle=\left(p+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle\right)+$ $\left\langle\mu_{n}\right\rangle$.
Definition 6.4 (The direct extension relation). Let

$$
p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
p^{\prime}=\left(\left\langle h_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{0} / \gamma_{0}}, \dot{r}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle h_{m-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{m-1} / \gamma_{m-1}}, \dot{r}_{m-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle t_{0}, \vec{t}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

We say that $p$ is a direct extension of $p^{\prime}$, denoted by $p \leq_{\alpha}^{*} p^{\prime}$, if the following hold.
(1) $n=m$.
(2) for $i<n, \alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i}$.
(3) $p \upharpoonright n \leq^{*} p^{\prime} \upharpoonright n$, i.e.

- $f_{0} \supseteq h_{0}$.
- for $\bar{i} \leq n, p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{i}} \Vdash_{\alpha_{i}} " \dot{\beta}_{i}=\dot{\xi}_{i}$ and $\dot{q}_{i} \leq_{\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}^{*}} \quad \dot{r}_{i} "$ (we can take $\dot{\beta}_{i}=\dot{\xi}_{i}$ ).
- for $i \in(0, n), \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i}\right) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(h_{i}\right)$, and for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}\left(h_{i}\right), p \upharpoonright i \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}}$ $" f_{i}(\zeta)=h_{i}(\zeta) "$.
(4) $d^{p} \supseteq d^{p^{\prime}}$.
(5) $A \upharpoonright d^{p^{\prime}} \subseteq A^{\prime}$.
(6) for every $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$,

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright P_{\nu} \Vdash_{\nu} " F(\nu)_{0}=F^{\prime}(\nu)_{0} \text { and } F(\nu)_{1} \leq_{F(\nu)_{0}}^{*} F^{\prime}(\nu)_{1} " .
$$

(7) For $\zeta \in d^{p^{\prime}}$,

- $p \upharpoonright n \Vdash^{P_{\alpha_{n-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}}$ " $g_{0}(\zeta)=t_{0}(\zeta)$ ".
- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$, write $F(\nu)=\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right)$, and every $\vec{\mu}$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$, we have

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright(n+|\vec{\mu}|) \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}} " g_{\nu}(\zeta)=t_{\nu}(\zeta) " .
$$

Definition 6.5 (The extension relation). Let

$$
p=\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle
$$

and $p^{\prime} \in P_{\alpha}$. We say that $p$ is an extension of $p^{\prime}$, denoted by $p \leq_{\alpha} p^{\prime}$, if there is $\vec{\mu} \in A^{p^{\prime}}$, or $\vec{\mu}=\langle \rangle$, such that by letting $p^{*}=p^{\prime}+\vec{\mu}$ and write

$$
p^{*}=\left(\left\langle h_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{0} / \gamma_{0}}, \dot{r}_{0}\right\rangle\right)^{\frown} \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle h_{m-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{m-1} / \gamma_{m-1}}, \dot{r}_{m-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle t_{0}, \vec{t}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle,
$$

we then have that
(1) $p \upharpoonright n \leq p^{*} \upharpoonright m$, namely,

- $\alpha_{n-1}=\gamma_{m-1}$.
- $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{n-1}} \leq_{\alpha_{n-1}} p^{*} \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{n-1}}$.
- $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha_{n-1}} \Vdash_{\alpha_{n-1}}$ " $\dot{\beta}_{n-1}=\dot{\gamma}_{m-1}$ and $\dot{q} \leq_{\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}} \dot{r}_{m-1}$ " (we can take $\left.\dot{\beta}_{n-1}=\dot{\gamma}_{m-1}\right)$.
(2) $d^{p} \supseteq d^{p^{*}}$.
(3) $A \upharpoonright d^{p^{*}} \subseteq A^{\prime}$.
(4) for every $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$,

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright P_{\nu} \Vdash_{\nu} " F(\nu)_{0}=F^{\prime}(\nu)_{0} \text { and } F(\nu)_{1} \leq_{F(\nu)_{0}}^{*} F^{\prime}(\nu)_{1} "
$$

(5) For $\zeta \in d^{p^{*}}$,

- $p \upharpoonright n \Vdash^{P_{\alpha_{n-1} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}}}{ } g_{0}(\zeta)=t_{0}(\zeta) "$.
- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$, write $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$, then

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright(n+|\vec{\mu}|) \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \nu}} " g_{\nu}(\zeta)=t_{\nu}(\zeta) "
$$

Note that equivalently, $p \leq p^{\prime}$ if there is $\vec{\mu}$ such that $p$ is a condition obtained by extending the interleaving part of a direct extension of $p^{\prime}+\vec{\mu}$. For $p^{\prime} \leq p$, the interpolant of $p^{\prime}$ and $p$ is $p^{*}$ such that there exist unique $\vec{\mu}$ such that $p^{*}=p+\vec{\mu}$ and $p^{\prime}$ is obtained by extending the interleaving part of the direct extension of $p^{*}$.
Proposition 6.6. $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq\right)$ has the $\alpha^{++}$-chain condition.
Proof. Let $\left\{p^{\gamma} \mid \alpha^{++}\right\}$be a collection of conditions in $P_{\alpha} . p_{\gamma}$ can be written as $p_{0}^{\gamma} \frown\left\langle f_{0}^{\gamma}, \overrightarrow{f^{\gamma}}, A^{\gamma}, F^{\gamma}\right\rangle$, with the corresponding common domain $d^{\gamma}$. By shrinking the collection, we may assume that there are $p_{0}, d, b$ such that for all $\gamma, p_{0}^{\gamma}=p_{0}$, $b=A^{\gamma}(\alpha)$, and $d$ is the root of the $\Delta$-system $\left\{d^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha^{++}\right\}$. Since for each $\gamma<\alpha^{++}, \zeta \in d$, and $\nu \in b, f_{0}^{\gamma}(\zeta), f_{\nu}^{\gamma}(\zeta) \in V_{\alpha}$, and $F^{\gamma}(\nu) \in V_{\alpha}$, we can shrink the collection of conditions further so that there are $x_{\zeta, 0}, x_{\zeta, \nu}, y_{\nu}$, such that for all $\gamma<\alpha^{++}, f_{0}^{\gamma}(\zeta)=x_{\zeta, 0}, f_{\nu}^{\gamma}(\zeta)=x_{\zeta, \nu}$, and $F^{\gamma}(\nu)=y_{\nu}$. Then any two conditions are compatible.

Proposition 6.7. $\left(\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p\right.\right.$ is pure $\left.\}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\alpha$-closed.
Proof. Let $\beta<\alpha$ and $\left\langle p^{\beta^{\prime}} \mid \beta^{\prime}<\beta\right\rangle$ be a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence of conditions in $P_{\alpha}$. Write $p^{\beta^{\prime}}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\beta^{\prime}}, \vec{f} \vec{\beta}^{\prime}, A^{\beta^{\prime}}, F^{\beta^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ with its common domain $d^{\beta^{\prime}}$. Let $d^{*}=$ $\cup_{\beta^{\prime}<\beta} d^{\beta^{\prime}}, f_{0}^{*}=\cup_{\beta^{\prime}<\beta} f_{0}^{\beta^{\prime}}$. Let $\left(A^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$ be the $d^{*}$-tree obtained by pulling back $A^{\beta^{\prime}}$, and $A^{*}=\cap_{\beta^{\prime}<\beta}\left(A^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$. Shrink $A^{*}$ further so that $\min \left(A^{*}(\alpha)\right)>\beta$. By induction on $\nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)$, we may find $f_{\nu}^{*}$ and $F^{*}(\nu)$ such that

- for $\zeta \in d^{*}, f_{\nu}^{*}(\zeta)$ is "forced" to be equal to $f_{\nu}^{\beta^{\prime}}(\zeta)$ for some sufficiently large $\beta^{\prime}$ that $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$.
- $F^{*}(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\nu}^{*}\right\rangle$ is such that $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{*}$ is "forced" to be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle\dot{q}_{\nu}^{\beta^{\prime}} \mid \beta^{\prime}<\beta\right\rangle$, where $F^{\beta^{\prime}}(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\nu}^{\beta^{\prime}}\right\rangle$. This is possible because $\vdash_{\nu}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\nu^{*}$-closed", where $\nu^{*}$ is the least inaccessible above $\nu$, and $\nu>\beta$.
Then $\left\langle f^{*}, \overrightarrow{f^{*}}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle$, where $\overrightarrow{f^{*}}=\left\{f_{\nu}^{*} \mid \nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right.$ is inaccessible $\}$, is as required.

Theorem 6.8. $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property, i.e. for $p \in P_{\alpha}$ and a forcing statement $\varphi$, there is $p^{*} \leq^{*} p$ such that $p^{*} \| \varphi$.

To prove Theorem 6.8, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.9. Let $p \in P_{\alpha}$ and $\varphi$ be a forcing statement. Then there is $p^{*} \leq^{*} p$ such that if $r=r_{0} \frown \operatorname{top}(r), r \leq p^{*}, p^{\prime}$ is the interpolant of $r$ and $p^{*}$, and $r \| \varphi$, then

$$
r_{0} \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \| \varphi \text { the same way. }
$$

Proof. Assume for simplicity that $p$ is pure and write $p=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$ with its common domain $d$. A forcing $\mathbb{A}$ consists of conditions of the form $g=\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g}$, where there is a common domain $d_{g}$ such that

- $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{0}\right)=d_{g}, \vec{g}=\left\langle g_{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$, and for all $\nu, \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\nu}\right)=d_{g}$.
- for $\zeta \in d_{g}, f_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha$ and for $\beta<\alpha$ inaccessible, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}}$ " $g_{\beta}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".

For $g^{0}, g^{1} \in \mathbb{A}$, define $g^{0} \leq_{\mathbb{A}} g^{1}$ if $g_{0}^{0} \supseteq g_{0}^{1}$, and for $\nu \in A, \zeta \in d_{g^{1}}$, and relevant $r \in P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, r \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}}$ " $g_{\nu}^{0}(\zeta)=g_{\nu}^{1}(\zeta)$ ". Clearly, $\mathbb{A}$ is $\alpha^{+}$-closed.

Let $N \prec H_{\theta}$ for some sufficiently large regular $\theta,{ }^{<\alpha} N \subseteq N,|N|=\alpha, d, V_{\alpha} \subseteq N$, $p, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{A} \in N$. Build an $\mathbb{A}$-decreasing sequence $\left\langle f^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha\right\rangle$ below $\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{f}$ such that for every dense open set $D \in N \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{A})$, there are unboundedly many $\gamma<\alpha$ such that $f^{\gamma} \in D$. Let $f^{*}=\left\langle f_{0}^{*}\right\rangle \frown \overrightarrow{f^{*}}$ be the maximal $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle f^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha\right\rangle$ and $d^{*}$ be its common domain, so $d^{*}=N \cap \alpha^{++}$. Let $A^{*}$ be the $d^{*}$-tree which is the pullback of $A$. Note that $A^{*} \subseteq N$.

We are now going to consider an $\mathbb{A}$-decreasing subsequence $\left\langle f^{\gamma_{\nu}} \mid \nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle f^{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\alpha\right\rangle$, together with $\left\langle\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \mid \nu, \nu^{\prime} \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle A^{\nu} \mid \nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ satisfying a certain property, and

- for each $\nu^{\prime},\left\langle\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \mid \nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ is forced to be $\leq^{*}$-decreasing below $\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}$, where $F\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu^{\prime}} / \nu^{\prime}}, \dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}\right\rangle$.
- for $\nu^{\prime}<\nu, \dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu}=\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu^{\prime}}$.

All the proper initial subsequences will be in $N$. Let $\nu \in A^{*}(\alpha)$ and suppose that $\left\langle f^{\gamma_{\nu^{\prime}}} \mid \nu^{\prime}<\nu, \nu^{\prime} \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{q}_{\rho}^{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime}<\nu, \nu^{\prime}, \rho \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ have been constructed. For $\nu^{\prime}<\nu$, let $\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu^{\prime}}=\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu^{\prime}}$. Let $f^{\prime}$ be the maximal lower bound of the sequence $\left\langle f^{\gamma_{\nu^{\prime}}} \mid \nu^{\prime}<\nu, \nu^{\prime} \in A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$. For $\rho \geq \nu$, Let $\dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}$ be a $P_{\rho^{\prime}}$ name of a condition in $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}$ which is forced to be a $\leq^{*}$-maximal lower bound of $\left(\dot{q}_{\rho}^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{\nu^{\prime}<\nu}$. This is possible since $\Vdash_{\rho}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\nu^{+}$-closed" and note that $\left\langle\dot{q}_{\rho}^{*} \mid \rho \geq \nu\right\rangle \in N$. Consider the following set $D_{\nu} \subseteq \mathbb{A} . g=\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g} \in D_{\nu}$ with the common domain $d_{g}$, if either $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g}$ is incompatible with $\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{f}$, or the following holds:

- for every $\vec{\mu} \in A^{*}$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu, \operatorname{dom}(\vec{\mu}) \subseteq d_{g}$.
- there are
- a $P_{\nu}$-name of a condition $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{* *}$ in $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$ which is forced to be $\leq^{*}$ below $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{*}$
- a $d_{g}$-tree $A^{\nu}$ with $\min \left(A^{\nu}(\alpha)\right)>\xi^{*}:=\left\{\xi \mid \exists t \in P_{\nu}\left(t \vdash_{\nu} " \dot{\beta}_{\nu}=\xi "\right)\right\}$, and
- a function $F^{\nu}$ with $\operatorname{dom}\left(F^{\nu}\right)=A^{\nu}(\alpha)$,
- for $\rho \in A^{\nu}(\alpha)$ and all relevant $r \in P_{\rho}, r \vdash_{\rho} " F^{\nu}(\rho)_{1} \leq^{*} \dot{q}_{\rho}^{* ",}$
such that for every $r \in P_{\nu}$ and $\dot{q}^{\prime}$, if there are $h_{0}, \vec{h}, A^{\prime}$, and $F^{\prime}$ such that

$$
r \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{0}, \vec{h}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq^{*} r^{\frown}\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\nu}^{*}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle g_{\nu},\left\langle g_{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in A^{\nu}(\alpha)\right\rangle, A^{\nu}, F^{\nu}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
r \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{0}, \vec{h}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle \| \varphi,
$$

then

$$
r \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle g_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu}},\left\langle g_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu^{\prime}}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in A^{\nu}(\alpha)\right\rangle, A^{\nu}, F^{\nu}\right\rangle \| \varphi \text { the same way. }
$$

Claim 6.10. $D_{\nu} \in N$ is open dense.
Proof. The parameters we use to define $D_{\nu}$ are: $\mathbb{A}, p, P_{\nu}$, and $\left\{\vec{\mu} \in A^{*} \mid \vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu\right\}$. By Remark 2.4, the latter set has size at most $\nu^{++}$, and for each $\vec{\mu} \in A^{*}$, by the closure of $N, \vec{\mu} \in N$, hence, there is an enumeration of such a set in $N$. Thus, $D_{\nu} \in N$. To check the openness of $D_{\nu}$, note that if $\vec{g}^{0} \leq_{\mathbb{A}} \vec{g}^{1}$ and $\vec{g}^{1} \in D_{\nu}$ with the witnesses $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{* *}, A^{\nu}$. and $F^{\nu}$, then $\vec{g}^{0}$ is also in $D_{\nu}$ with the same witnesses.

It remains to show that $D_{\nu}$ is dense. Let $g_{0} \frown \vec{g} \in \mathbb{A}$. If $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g} \nmid\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{f}$, then we are done. Suppose not, we may assume $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g} \leq_{\mathbb{A}}\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{f}$. By (1) of Proposition 4.1 for $\nu$, let $\left\langle r_{\xi}, \dot{q}_{\xi} \mid \xi<\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{++}\right\rangle$be an enumeration of elements in $P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$ (with some repetitions if needed). Build sequences $\left\langle\left\langle h_{0}^{\xi}\right\rangle \frown \vec{h}^{\xi}\right\rangle,\left\langle A_{\xi}, F_{\xi} \mid \xi \leq\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{++}\right\rangle$such that

- $\left\langle\left\langle h_{0}^{\xi}\right\rangle \frown \vec{h}^{\xi}\right\rangle_{\xi \leq \nu^{+}}$is $\mathbb{A}$-decreasing, and is below $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g}$.
- $\left\langle A_{\xi} \mid \xi \leq \nu^{++}\right\rangle$is a $\operatorname{dom}\left(h_{0}^{\xi}\right)$-tree and for $\xi<\xi^{\prime}, A_{\xi^{\prime}}$ projects down to a subset of $A_{\xi}, \min \left(A_{\xi}(\alpha)\right)>\xi^{*}$.
- for $\nu^{\prime} \in A_{\xi}(\alpha),\left\langle F_{\xi}\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)_{1}\right\rangle_{\xi \leq \nu^{+}+}$is forced to be $\leq^{*}$-decreasing below $\dot{q}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{*}$.
- for $\xi<\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{++}$, if there are $h_{0}^{\prime}, \vec{h}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$, and $F^{\prime}$ such that

$$
r_{\xi} \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\xi}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{0}^{\prime}, \vec{h}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

is a direct extension of

$$
t^{*}:=r_{\xi} \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\xi}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{\nu}^{\xi+1},\left\langle h_{\rho}^{\xi+1} \mid \rho \in A_{\xi+1}(\alpha)\right\rangle, A_{\xi+1}, F_{\xi+1}\right\rangle,
$$

and

$$
r_{\xi} \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\xi}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{0}^{\prime}, \vec{h}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle \Vdash \varphi,
$$

then $t^{*}$ decides $\varphi$ the same way.
The construction is straightforward, and for a limit $\xi$, we can take any witnesses at the stage $\xi$ as long as the requirements are met. Finally, let $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \frown \vec{g}=\left\langle h_{0}^{\nu^{++}}\right\rangle \frown \vec{h}^{\nu^{++}}$, $A^{\nu}=A_{\nu^{++}}$, and $F^{\nu}=F_{\nu^{++}}$. These will be the witnesses for $\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle{ }^{-} \vec{g} \in D_{\nu}$.

Let $\gamma_{\nu} \geq \sup _{\nu^{\prime}<\nu} \gamma_{\nu^{\prime}}$ such that $f^{\gamma_{\nu}} \in D_{\nu}$. Also, we obtain the witnesses, $A^{\nu}$ and $F^{\nu}$. Let $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{\nu}=\dot{q}_{\nu}^{*}$. For $\rho>\nu$, let $\dot{q}_{\rho}^{\nu}$ be the second component of $F^{\nu}(\rho)$ if exists, otherwise, let $\dot{q}_{\rho}^{\nu}=\dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}$. This completes our analysis.

Assume that the pullback of $A^{\nu}$ to the $d^{*}$-tree has a subtree which is generated by $B^{\nu} \in E\left(d^{*}\right)$. Let $A^{* *}$ be a $d^{*}$-tree generated by $\Delta_{\nu} B^{\nu}$. Let $F^{* *}$ be a function with $\operatorname{dom}\left(F^{* *}\right)=A^{*}(\alpha)$ and for $\nu \in A^{* *}(\alpha), F^{* *}(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\nu}^{* *}\right\rangle, \dot{q}_{\nu}^{* *}$ is the $\leq^{*}$-maximal lower bound of $\left(\dot{q}_{\nu}^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{\nu^{\prime} \in A(\alpha)}$. This is possible since $\left(\dot{q}_{\nu}^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{\nu^{\prime} \in A(\alpha)}$ stabilizes after the stage $\nu^{\prime}=\nu$ (equivalently, we take $\dot{q}_{\nu}^{* *}=\dot{q}_{\nu}^{\nu}$ ). Then $p^{*}=$ $\left\langle f_{0}^{*}, \overrightarrow{f^{*}}, A^{* *}, F^{* *}\right\rangle \leq^{*} p$ satisfies.

We now show that $p^{*}$ satisfies Lemma 6.9. Let $p^{\prime} \leq p^{*}$ such that $p^{\prime}$ decides $\varphi$, $p^{\prime}$ is of the form

$$
p^{\prime}=r \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle h_{0}^{\prime}, \vec{h}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle,
$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $p^{\prime} \Vdash \varphi$. Let $\bar{p}$ be the interpolant of $p^{*}$ and $p^{\prime}$. We consider the notions of the proof of Claim 6.10. Say that $r=r_{\xi}$ and
$\dot{q}=\dot{q}_{\xi}$. By the construction of $A^{* *}$, we have that $A^{* *}$ projects down to a subset of $A^{\nu}$. This makes $p^{\prime} \leq^{*} t^{*}$, and hence, $t^{*} \Vdash \varphi$. Thus, $r_{\xi} \frown\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}_{\xi}\right\rangle \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi$. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.9.

Proof of Theorem 6.8. Let $p$ be a condition and $\varphi$ be a forcing statement. For simplicity, assume $p$ is pure and $p$ satisfies Lemma 6.9. Write $p=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle, d$ is the common domain for $p$.

We build a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence $\left\langle p^{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$ below $p$ by induction.
Assume $p^{\nu^{\prime}}$ is constructed for $\nu^{\prime}<\nu$. Let $p_{\nu}^{\prime}$ be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle p^{\nu^{\prime}}\right|$ $\left.\nu^{\prime}<\nu\right\rangle$. Write $p_{\nu}^{\prime}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\prime}, \overrightarrow{f^{\prime}}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with the common domain $d^{\prime}$. For every $\xi$, let $Q_{\xi}:=P_{\xi} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\xi} / \xi}$. Let $\xi^{*}=\sup \left\{\gamma \mid \exists r \in P_{\nu}\left(r \Vdash_{\nu} " \dot{\beta}_{\nu}=\gamma "\right)\right\}$. Fix $\rho>\xi^{*}$, $\rho \in A^{\prime}(\alpha)$. Let $\dot{G}_{\rho}$ be the canonical name for $Q_{\rho}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{\rho}^{0} \equiv " \exists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi) " . \\
& \varphi_{\rho}^{1} \equiv " \exists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \neg \varphi) " . \\
& \varphi_{\rho}^{1} \equiv " \nexists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \| \varphi) ",
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{p}$ is the appropriate interpolation, as described in Lemma 6.9. Note that for $r \in Q_{\rho}$, there are at most one $i$ such that $r \Vdash \varphi_{\rho}^{i}$. Enumerate $\left\langle\mu \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right| \mu(\alpha)=$ $\rho\rangle$ as $\left\{\mu_{\xi}\right\}_{\xi<\rho^{++}}$. By the closure of $\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \leq^{*}\right)$, we can find $\dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}$ such that $\Vdash_{\rho} "_{\rho}^{*} \leq^{*}$ $F^{\prime}(\rho)_{1}$ " such that for every $r \in Q_{\nu}$ and $\xi<\rho^{++}$, there is $f^{\mu_{\xi}}$ with $r \Vdash^{Q_{\nu}}$ " $f^{\mu_{\xi}} \leq^{*}$ $f_{\nu}^{*} \circ \mu_{\xi}^{-1 "}$, and if there are $f, \dot{q}$ with $r \frown\left(\left\langle f,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}\right\rangle\right) \leq^{*} r^{\frown}\left(\left\langle f^{\mu_{\xi}},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right)\right.\right.$ which forces $\varphi_{\rho}^{i}$, then so is $r^{\frown}\left(f^{\mu_{\xi}},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right)$. Now, for each $\mu=\mu_{\xi}$, we have $f^{\mu}=f^{\mu_{\xi}}$. Let $f_{\nu}^{*}=j_{E(\alpha, 0)}\left(\mu \mapsto f^{\mu}\right)\left(\operatorname{mc}\left(d^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then $f_{\nu}^{*}$ is forced to be an extension of $f_{\nu}^{\prime}$. Say $d^{*}=\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\nu}^{*}\right)$. For $\rho \neq \nu$ including 0 , let $f_{\rho}^{*}=f_{\rho}^{\prime} \cup\{(\xi, 0) \mid \xi \in$ $\left.d^{*} \backslash d^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $F^{*}(\rho)=F(\rho)$ for $\rho \leq \gamma^{*}$, otherwise, $F^{*}(\rho)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle$. Take $p_{\rho}^{*}=\left\langle f_{0}^{*}, \overrightarrow{f^{*}}, A^{\prime}, F^{*}\right\rangle$.

Now assume that $A^{\prime}$ is generated by $B^{\prime}$. By shrinking further, assume that for $\mu \in B^{\prime}, f_{\nu}^{*} \circ \mu^{-1}=f^{\mu \upharpoonright d^{\prime}}$. For $r \in Q_{\nu}$ and $\mu \in B^{\prime}$, with $\mu(\alpha)=\rho$, by the Prikry property, and the construction as above there is $r^{\mu} \leq r$ such that $r^{\mu \frown}\left(\left\langle f_{\nu}^{*} \circ \mu^{-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right) \Vdash \varphi_{\rho}^{i}$ for a unique $i$. Let $B_{i}^{r}$ be the collection of $\mu$ such that by writing $\rho=\mu(\alpha)$,

$$
r^{\mu \frown}\left(\left\langle f_{\nu}^{*} \circ \mu^{-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \Vdash \varphi_{\rho}^{i} .\right.
$$

There exists unique $i=i_{r}$ such that $B_{i_{r}}^{r}$ is of measure-one. By shrinking further, assume that there is $r^{*}$ such that for every $\mu \in B_{i_{r}}^{r}, r^{\mu}=r^{*}$. Let $B^{*}=\Delta_{\nu} \cap_{r \in Q_{\nu}}$ $B_{i_{r}}^{r}$. Let $A^{*}$ be generated by $B^{*}$ and $p^{\nu}=\left\langle f_{0}^{*}, \overrightarrow{f^{*}}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle$. This completes the construction of $p^{\nu}$.

We now change a notation by saying that $p^{\nu}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\nu}, \overrightarrow{f^{\nu}}, A^{\nu}, F^{\nu}\right\rangle$ and $B^{\nu}$ generates $A^{\nu}$. Let $p^{*}=\left\langle f_{0}^{*}, \overrightarrow{f^{*}}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle$, where $A^{*}$ is generated by $\Delta_{\nu} B^{\nu}, f^{*}=\cup_{\nu} f_{0}^{\nu}$, and for $\rho \in A^{*}(\alpha), f_{\rho}^{*}=\cup_{\rho} f_{\rho}^{\rho}$, and $F^{*}(\rho)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle$, where $q_{\rho}^{*}$ is forced to be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle F^{\nu}(\rho)_{1}\right\rangle_{\nu}$. This is possible because for every $\rho,\left\langle F^{\nu}(\rho)\right\rangle_{\nu}$ stabilizes at $\nu=\rho$. Note that $p^{*} \leq^{*} p$.

Claim 6.11. $p^{*}$ satisfies the Prikry property.

Proof. Let $p^{\prime} \leq p^{*}$ with $p^{\prime} \| \varphi$, Assume $p^{\prime} \Vdash \varphi$ and the interpolant of $p^{\prime}, p^{*}$, say $\bar{p}$, is such that $\bar{p}=p^{*}+\vec{\mu}$ with the minimal $n^{*}=|\vec{\mu}|$. If $n^{*}=0$. then we might apply $p^{\prime}$ for the Prikry property instead. Assume $n^{*}>0$.

For simplicity, we establish the case $n^{*}=2$. Say $\bar{p}=p^{*}+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\rangle$. Let

$$
p^{\prime}=\left(g_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left(g_{1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $p$ satisfies Lemma 6.9, we have that

$$
\left(g_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right)^{\frown}\left(g_{1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{\frown} \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi .
$$

Set $r=\left(g_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right)$. We use the notation for the construction of $p_{\nu_{1}}$. Note that $r \Vdash g_{1} \leq f^{\mu_{1}}$ and $r \frown\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \vdash_{\nu_{1}} "_{q_{1}} \leq \dot{q}_{\nu_{1}}^{*}$ ". We claim that $i_{r}=0$. Otherwise, we may assume $i_{r}=1$ (the case $i_{r}=2$ is similar). Let $G$ be $Q_{\nu_{1}}$-generic containing $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{*}\right)$. Then there is $t \in G$ such that $t^{\frown} \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \neg \varphi$, but if $t \leq \operatorname{stem}\left(p^{*}\right)$, we get a condition having contradictory decisions, which is a contradiction.

Note that $\mu_{1}(\alpha)=\nu_{1}$. We claim that $r^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu_{1} \upharpoonright d^{\prime}},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{\nu_{1}}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi$. Otherwise, we use the same argument as above and Lemma 6.9 to get a contradiction.

Consider $p^{*}+\left\langle\mu_{0}\right\rangle$. Since $i_{r}=0$, we have that for every $\langle\mu\rangle \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}\left(A^{p+\left\langle\mu_{0}\right\rangle}\right)$, $\mu \upharpoonright d^{\prime} \in B_{0}^{r}$. By a similar argument as above, if $p^{\mu}=p^{*}+\langle\mu\rangle$, then

$$
r^{* \frown}\left\langle f^{\mu \upharpoonright d^{\prime}}, F^{*}(\mu(\alpha))\right\rangle \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\mu}\right) \Vdash \varphi
$$

By a density argument, $r^{*} \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p+\left\langle\mu_{0}\right\rangle\right) \Vdash \varphi$, which contradicts the minimality of $|\vec{\mu}|$.

By the Prikry property and the fact the direct extension on $P_{\alpha}$ restricted to the pure conditions are $\alpha$-closed, it is standard to verify that all cardinals up to and including $\alpha$ are preserved.

The forcing singularizes $\alpha$ to have cofinality $\omega$, and add $\alpha^{++}$subsets of $\alpha$ : for $\gamma \in\left[\alpha, \alpha^{++}\right]$, define $t_{\gamma}: \omega \rightarrow \alpha$ as the following. By a density argument, let $p \in G$ be such that the common domain contains $\gamma$. Assume that $n^{p}$ is the number of the blocks in $p \backslash \operatorname{top}(p)$. For $n>n^{p}$, find any $p^{\gamma} \in G$ such that the number of blocks in $p^{\gamma} \backslash \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\gamma}\right) \geq n$. Write

$$
p^{\gamma}=s_{0} \frown \ldots \frown s_{n-2} \frown\left(f_{n-1}, s_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(f_{k-1}, s_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \frown\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle
$$

By compatibility between $p^{\gamma}$ and $p$, we have that $f(\gamma)$ has to be of the form $\check{\xi}_{0}$, $\xi_{0} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n-1}\right), f_{n-1}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=\check{\xi}_{1}$, and so on. Define $t_{\gamma}(n)=f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{k-1} \circ f(\gamma)$. Clearly $t_{\alpha}$ gives a cofinal sequence of $\alpha$ of length $\omega$, and hence, $\alpha$ is singularized to have cofinality $\omega$. Again, by a standard argument with the Prikry property, $\alpha^{+}$is preserved. Since the forcing is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c., all the cardinals are preserved. One can show that for $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}$, there is $p \in G$ such that for every relevant object $\mu$ appearing in the tree part, $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$. From here, use a density argument to show that $t_{\gamma}<^{*} t_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. Hence, the forcing violates the SCH at $\alpha$.

The set $C_{\alpha}$ is derived from the generic object as the following. If $G$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic, define $C^{\prime}=\operatorname{rng}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$. Each condition $p \in G$ is of the form

$$
s \frown\left(f_{k},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{k} / \nu_{k}}, \dot{q}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle
$$

where $\nu_{k}=t_{\alpha}(k+1)$. In this case, the forcing $\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{k} / \nu_{k}}$ also derives the set $C^{k}=$ $C_{\xi_{k} / \nu_{k}}$, where $t_{\alpha}(k+1)=\nu_{k}<\xi_{k}<\nu_{k+1}=t_{\alpha}(k+2)$. Let $C_{\alpha}=C^{\prime} \cup \cup_{k<\omega} C^{k}$. Then $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha+1, \max \left(C_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha, C_{\alpha} \backslash\{\alpha\}$ is a cofinal subset of $\alpha$, containing a subset of order-type $\omega$. So far, we have verified items (1) through (3) of Proposition 4.1.

Definition 6.12 (The quotient forcing). Let $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $P_{\alpha}$-name of the trivial forcing $\left(\{\emptyset\}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$. In $V^{P_{\alpha}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$-name of the empty set. Now assume that $\beta<\alpha$. Define $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. Define $\dot{P}_{\alpha}[G]=P_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$ as the forcing consisting of conditions of the form

$$
p=\left(\left\langle P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G], \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cdots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G], \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A, F\right\rangle\right.
$$

where $n \geq 0$ and
(1) $\beta \leq \beta^{\prime}<\alpha$, so $P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]$ was already defined by recursion, which is just $P_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}[G], q_{0} \in P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]$.
(2) If $n>0$, then $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}$, and for $i<n$,

- let $d_{i}=\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i}\right)$, then $d_{i}$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-domain, $d_{i} \in V$.
- for $\zeta \in d_{0}, \Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]} " f_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha_{0}$ ", and if $i>0$, then for $\zeta \in d_{i}$, $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i-1}}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i-1} / \alpha_{i-1}}}[G] " f_{i}(\zeta)<\alpha_{i} "$.
- $\vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]}$ " $\alpha_{i} \leq \dot{\beta}_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}$ ", where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha$.
- $\vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]}$ " $\dot{q}_{i} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}[G]$ ".
(3) $A$ is a $E(d)$-tree.
(4) $d \in\left[\alpha^{++}\right] \leq \alpha$ is the common domain for $p$, i.e. $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{0}\right)=d$, and $\vec{g}=\left\langle g_{\nu}\right|$ $\nu \in A(\alpha)\rangle$ and for each $\nu, \operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\nu}\right)=d$.
(5) Fix $\zeta \in d$. If $n=0$, then $\Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]} " g_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ", otherwise, $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}}[G] * P_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G]}$ $" g_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha "$.
(6) for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\zeta \in d, \Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G]} " g_{\nu}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".
(7) $\operatorname{dom}(F)=A(\alpha)$.
(8) for $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(F), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G], \dot{q}\right\rangle$, where $\Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G]}$ " $\nu \leq \dot{\xi}_{\nu}[G]<\alpha, \dot{q} \in$ $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G] "$
Back in $V$. If $\dot{p} \in \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, then by density, the collection of $p_{0} \in P_{\beta}$ such that $p_{0}$ decides $n, \alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n-1}\right)$, the common domain, $A, q^{\prime}$ (as the equivalent $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \beta^{\prime}}$-name, and so on), is open dense. In this case, we say that $p_{0}$ interprets $\dot{p}$. All in all, for such $p_{0}$ which interprets all the relevant components of $\dot{p}$, let $p_{1}$ be such the interpretation. Write $p_{0}$ as $r_{0} \frown\langle g\rangle$ and by the interpretation, we may write

$$
p_{1}=\left(\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle .\right.
$$

There is a natural concatenation $p_{0}$ with $p_{1}$, written by $p_{0}{ }^{\wedge} p_{1}$, which is

$$
r=r_{0} \frown\left(\langle g\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(\left\langle f_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f\rangle .
$$

Then $r \in P_{\alpha}$ with $r \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists. We denote $p_{1}$ by $r / P_{\beta}$. For $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, we say that $p_{0} \leq p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\alpha} p^{\frown} p_{1}$. Also define $p_{0} \leq^{*} p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\alpha}^{*} p^{\frown} p_{1}$. One can check that the map $\phi:\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists $\} \rightarrow P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ defined by $\phi(p)=\left(p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}, p / P_{\beta}\right)$ is a dense embedding, where
$p \backslash P_{\beta}$ is the obvious component of $p$ which is in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$. Note that if $G$ is $P_{\beta}$-generic and $H$ is $P_{\alpha}[G]$-generic, there is a generic $I$ for $P_{\alpha}$ such that $V[G * H]=V[I]$, where $I$ is generated by $\left\{p \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists, $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta} \in G$ and $\left.\left(p / P_{\beta}\right)[G] \in H\right\}$. If $I$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic and for some $p \in I, p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists, we can get $G$ which is $P_{\beta}$-generic and $H$ which is $P_{\alpha}[G]$-generic such that $V[G * H]=V[I]$ where $G$ is generated by $\left\{p \upharpoonright P_{\beta} \mid p \in I\right.$ and $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists $\}$ and $H=\left\{\left(p / P_{\beta}\right)[G] \mid p \in I\right.$ and $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists $\}$.

In $V^{P_{\beta}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \beta}$ be a $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$-name of the set described as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. and $H$ be generic over $P_{\alpha}[G]=\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$. Then let $I=G * H$ be $P_{\alpha}$-generic. $I$ derives the set $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha+1$ and $G$ derives the set $C_{\beta} \subseteq \beta+1$. Let $C_{\alpha / \beta}=C_{\alpha} \backslash C_{\beta}$.

The following have the same proof as for $P_{\alpha}$ essentially. The one that we would like to point out is the closure property.

Proposition 6.13. - $\Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\alpha^{++}{ }_{\text {_ c.c." }}$

- $\Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property.
- $\vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\beta^{*}$-closed", where $\beta^{*}$ is the least inaccessible cardinal greater than $\beta$.
Proof. We only proof item (3). For simplicity, let $\beta^{\prime}<\beta^{*}$ and in $V^{P_{\beta}}$, let $\left\langle p_{\gamma}\right| \gamma<$ $\left.\beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ be a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence. Write $p_{\gamma}=\left\langle P_{\xi}[G], q^{\gamma}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle g_{0}^{\gamma}, \vec{g}^{\gamma}, A^{\gamma}, F^{\gamma}\right\rangle$ with the common domain $d^{\gamma}$. Since $\left(P_{\xi}[G], \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\beta^{*}$-closed, let $q^{*}$ be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $q^{\gamma}$. In $V$, let $d^{*}=\cup\left\{d \mid \exists \gamma \exists p \in P_{\beta}\left(p \vdash_{\beta} \dot{d}_{\gamma}=d\right)\right\}$. For all $\beta$ (including 0) with $g_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ exists, let $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\beta}^{*}\right)=d^{*}$, and for $\zeta \in d, g_{\beta}^{*}(\zeta)$ is forced to be the same as the interpretation $g_{\beta}^{*}(\zeta)$ for some sufficiently large $\gamma$, if exists, otherwise, $g_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\zeta)=\check{0}$. Let $A^{*}=\cap_{\gamma} \cap_{p}\left\{A \mid A\right.$ is the pullback of $\left.A^{\gamma, p}\right\}$ where $p \Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\dot{A}^{\gamma}=A^{\gamma, p}$ ". By shrinking, assume $\min \left(A^{*}(\alpha)\right)>\beta$. Finally, for each $\gamma \in A^{*}(\alpha)$, the forcing which is relevant to $F^{\gamma}(\alpha)$ (for any $\gamma$ ) is greater than $\gamma$-closed in the direct extension, and $\gamma>\beta$, so we can find $F^{*}$ such that $\left\langle P_{\xi}[G], q^{*}\right)^{\frown}\left\langle g^{*}, \vec{g}^{*}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle$ is a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle p_{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

With all the definitions, one can verify the rest of Proposition 4.1.

## 7. The general levels

Let $\alpha<\kappa$ be inaccessible. We may assume that $\alpha$ is greater than the first $\beta$ with $\circ(\beta)=1$. This forcing will generalize all of the forcings in previous sections.

Definition 7.1. A condition in $P_{\alpha}$ is of the form

$$
p=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown \operatorname{top}(p)
$$

We have two cases.
(1) $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ is empty. In this case, $p$ is said to be pure.
(2) $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ is non-empty. In this case, $p$ is said to be impure. Then stem $(p)$ is of the form

$$
\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(s_{n-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right)
$$

for some $n>0$. We say that the number of blocks in $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ is $n$. We have that

- $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}<\alpha$.
- for all $i, \Vdash_{\alpha_{i}}$ " $\alpha_{i} \leq \dot{\beta}_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}$ ", where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha$.
- $\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown s_{n-1} \in P_{\alpha_{n-1}}$.
- $\Vdash_{\alpha_{n-1}} " \dot{q}_{n-1} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}} "$.

Equivalently, $\operatorname{stem}(p) \in P_{\alpha_{n-1}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}$.
$\operatorname{top}(p)$ also depends on $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ and $\alpha$. We have several cases.
(1) The case where $p$ is pure.
(a) $\circ(\alpha)=0$. Then $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f\rangle, f \in C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$.
(b) $\circ(\alpha)>0$. In this case, $\operatorname{top}(p)=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$, where

- $\vec{f}=\left\langle f_{\beta}\right| \beta<\alpha$ is inaccessible $\rangle$.
- there is a common domain $d$, which is an $\alpha$-domain, $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right)=d$ and for all $\beta, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\beta}\right)=d$.
- $f \in C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{++}\right)$and for each inaccessible $\beta<\alpha$, and $\zeta \in d$, $\vdash_{\beta} " f_{\beta}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".
- $A$ is a $d$-tree, with respect to $\vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$.
- $\operatorname{dom}(F)=A(\alpha)$.
- for $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(F), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$ where $\Vdash_{\nu}$ " $\nu \leq \dot{\beta}_{\nu}<$ $\alpha$ and $\dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu} "$.
(2) The case where $p$ is impure, say $\operatorname{stem}(p) \in P_{\alpha^{\prime}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \alpha^{\prime}}=: Q$.
(a) $\circ(\alpha)=0$. Then $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f\rangle, \operatorname{dom}(f)=d \in V$ is an $\alpha$-domain and for $\zeta \in d, \Vdash_{Q} " f(\zeta)<\alpha "$.
(b) $\circ(\alpha)>0$. In this case, $\operatorname{top}(p)=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$, where there is a common domain $d \in\left[\alpha^{++}\right] \leq \alpha, d \in V, d$ is an $\alpha$-domain such that
- $A$ is a $d$-tree, with respect to $\vec{E}_{\alpha}(d), \min (A(\alpha))>\sup \{\gamma \mid \exists r \in$ $\left.P_{\alpha_{n-1}}\left(r \Vdash \dot{\beta}_{n-1}=\gamma\right)\right\}$.
- $\vec{f}=\left\langle f_{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$.
- $\operatorname{dom}(F)=A(\alpha)$.
- for $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(F), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$ where $\vdash_{\nu}$ " $\nu \leq \dot{\xi}_{\nu}<\alpha$ and $\dot{q} \in$ $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu} "$.
- $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right)=d$ and for all $\nu, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\nu}\right)=d$.
- for $\zeta \in d$, $\vdash_{Q}$ " $f_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".
- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\zeta \in d$, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}}$ " $f_{\beta}(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".

Definition 7.2 (The one-step extension). Assume $\circ(\alpha)>0$. Let $p=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$ with the common domain $d$. Let $\langle\mu\rangle \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}(A)$ with $\mu(\alpha)=\nu$. The one-step extension of $p$ by $\mu$, denoted by $p+\langle\mu\rangle$, is the condition $p^{\prime}=\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \frown\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ such that
(1) if $\circ(\mu(\alpha))=0$, then $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown\left(f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}, F(\mu(\alpha))\right)$, where $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0} \circ\right.$ $\left.\mu^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{rng}(\mu)$, for $\gamma \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu), f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}(\mu(\gamma))=f_{0}(\gamma)$.
(2) if $\circ(\mu(\alpha))>0$, then $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown\left(\left\langle f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1},\left\langle f_{\beta} \circ \mu^{-1}\right| \beta \in(A \downarrow\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mu)(\mu(\alpha)), A \downarrow \mu, F^{\prime}\right\rangle, F(\mu(\alpha))\right)$, where $\operatorname{dom}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=(A \downarrow \mu)(\mu(\alpha))$, and for $\nu$, $F^{\prime}(\nu)=F(\nu)$.
(3) Write $Q$ as the forcing in which stem $\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ lives. Say $Q=P_{\mu(\alpha)} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \mu(\alpha)}$. Then

- $\vdash_{Q} " g_{0}=f_{\mu(\alpha)} \oplus \mu "$, namely $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{0}\right)=d$, for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu), g_{0}(\zeta)=$ $\mu(\zeta)$, and for the other $\zeta, g_{0}(\zeta)=f_{\mu(\alpha)}(\zeta)=$
- $\vec{g}=\left\{g_{\beta^{\prime}} \mid \beta^{\prime} \in\left\{\vec{\tau} \in A_{\langle\mu\rangle} \mid \tau_{0}(\alpha)>\xi^{*}\right\}\right.$, where $\xi^{*}=\sup \{\gamma \mid \exists r \in$ $P_{\mu(\alpha)}\left(r \Vdash \vdash_{\mu(\alpha)} \dot{\beta}_{\mu(\alpha)}=\gamma\right\}$.
- $\left.A^{\prime}=A_{\langle\mu\rangle} \mid \tau_{0}(\alpha)>\xi^{*}\right\}$.
- $F^{\prime}=F \upharpoonright\left(A^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$.

We define $p+\langle \rangle$ as $p$, and by recursion, define $p+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right\rangle=\left(p+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}\right\rangle\right)+$ $\left\langle\mu_{n}\right\rangle$.

Definition 7.3 (The direct extension relation). Let $p=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown \operatorname{top}(p)$ and
 $p \leq_{\alpha}^{*} p^{\prime}$, if the following hold.
(1) $\operatorname{stem}(p) \leq^{*} \operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ in some $\left.Q:=P_{\alpha^{\prime}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \alpha^{\prime}}\right)$.
(2) If $\circ(\alpha)=0$, write $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f\rangle$ and $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\langle g\rangle$, then $\operatorname{dom}(f) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(g)$, and for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(g), \Vdash_{Q} " f(\zeta)=g(\zeta)$ ".
(3) Suppose $\circ(\alpha)>0$. Write $\operatorname{top}(p)=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$ and $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Let $d^{p}$ and $d^{p^{\prime}}$ be the common domains for $p$ and $p^{\prime}$, respectively. Then

- $d^{p} \supseteq d^{p^{\prime}}$.
- $A \upharpoonright d^{p^{\prime}} \subseteq A^{\prime}$.
- for $\zeta \in \overline{d^{p^{\prime}}}, \Vdash_{Q} " f_{0}(\zeta)=g_{0}(\zeta)$ ".
- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$, say $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$, and for $\zeta \in d^{p^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright\left(P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}\right) \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}} " f_{\nu}(\zeta)=g_{\nu}(\zeta) " .
$$

- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$,

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright P_{\nu} \Vdash_{\nu} " F(\nu)_{0}=F^{\prime}(\nu)_{0} \text { and } F(\nu)_{1} \leq_{F(\nu)_{0}}^{*} F^{\prime}(\nu)_{1} "
$$

(the last direct extension is intentional).
Definition 7.4 (The extension relation). Let $p=\operatorname{stem}(p) \frown \operatorname{top}(p)$ and $p^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. We say that $p$ is a extension of $p^{\prime}$, denoted by $p \leq_{\alpha} p^{\prime}$, if the following hold.
(1) The case $\circ(\alpha)=0$. Then

- $\operatorname{stem}(p) \leq \operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ in some $Q=P_{\alpha^{\prime}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \alpha^{\prime}}$.
- Write $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f\rangle$ and $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\langle g\rangle$. Then $\operatorname{dom}(f) \supseteq \operatorname{dom}(g)$ and for $\zeta \in \operatorname{dom}(g), \operatorname{stem}(p) \Vdash_{Q} " f(\zeta)=g(\zeta) "$.
(2) The case $\circ(\alpha)>0$. Then there is $\vec{\mu}$ (possibly empty) such that if $p^{*}=p^{\prime}+\vec{\mu}$, and we write $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle$ and $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{*}\right)=\left\langle g, \vec{g}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle, d^{p}$ and $d^{*}$ are the common domains for $p$ and $p^{*}$, respectively, then
- $\operatorname{stem}(p) \leq \operatorname{stem}\left(p^{*}\right)$ in some $Q=P_{\alpha^{\prime}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \alpha^{\prime}}$.
- $d^{p} \supseteq d^{p^{*}}$.
- $A \upharpoonright d^{p^{*}} \subseteq A^{*}$.
- for $\zeta \in \overline{d^{p^{*}}}, \Vdash_{Q} " f_{0}(\zeta)=g_{0}(\zeta)$ ".
- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$, say $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$, and for $\zeta \in d^{p^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright\left(P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu} / \nu}\right) \Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}} " f_{\nu}(\zeta)=g_{\nu}(\zeta) " .
$$

- for $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ and $\vec{\mu} \in A$ with $\vec{\mu}(\alpha)=\nu$,

$$
p+\vec{\mu} \upharpoonright P_{\nu} \Vdash_{\nu} " F(\nu)_{0}=F^{*}(\nu)_{0} \text { and } F(\nu)_{1} \leq_{F(\nu)_{0}}^{*} F^{*}(\nu)_{1} " .
$$

Equivalently, $p \leq p^{\prime}$ if there is $\vec{\mu}$ such that $p$ is a condition obtained by extending the interleaving part of a direct extension of $p^{\prime}+\vec{\mu}$. We call $p^{*}$ the interpolant of $p$ and $p^{\prime}$. To be precise, $p^{*}$ is the unique condition such that $p^{*}=p+\vec{\mu}$ for some $\vec{\mu}$, $p^{\prime}$ is obtained by extending the interleaving part of a direct extension of $p^{\prime}$.
Proposition 7.5. $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq\right)$ has the $\alpha^{++}$-chain condition.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 6.6.
Proposition 7.6. $\left(\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p\right.\right.$ is pure $\left.\}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\alpha$-closed.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 6.7.
Let $\beta<\circ(\alpha)$. Let $\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu}} \mid \nu \in B\right\rangle, B \in \cap_{\gamma<\circ(\alpha)} E(\alpha, \gamma)(\{\alpha\})$, there is $d \in\left[\alpha^{++}\right] \leq \alpha$ such that $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right)=d$, for all $\nu$, $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu}}\right)=d$, and each $\zeta \in d$, $\Vdash_{P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu} / \nu}} " f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu}}<\alpha$ ". Let $X \in E(\alpha, \beta)(d)$ and for each $\mu \in X, \vec{g}_{\mu}=\left\langle g_{0}\right\rangle \smile\left\langle g_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu^{\prime}}}\right|$ $\left.\nu^{\prime} \in B \downarrow \mu\right\rangle \leq\left\langle f_{0} \circ \mu^{-1}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu^{\prime}}} \circ \mu^{-1} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in B \downarrow \mu\right\rangle$, where $B \downarrow \mu=\left\{\nu^{\prime} \in B \cap \mu(\alpha) \mid\right.$ $\left.\circ\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)<\circ(\mu(\alpha))\right\}$. Let $\vec{g}=j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\mu \mapsto \vec{g}_{\mu}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)$. Then
(1) $\vec{g}=\left\langle f_{0}\right\rangle \frown\left\langle f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu^{\prime}}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in B, \circ\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)<\beta\right\rangle$.
(2) $\vec{g} \leq \vec{f} \upharpoonright\left\{\nu^{\prime} \in B \mid \circ\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)<\beta\right\}$.

The point is $\vec{g} \leq j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\mu \mapsto(\vec{f} \upharpoonright B \downarrow \mu) \circ \mu^{-1}\right)\left(\operatorname{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right) . j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}(d)(\mu \mapsto B \downarrow$ $\left.\mu^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)=\left\{\nu^{\prime} \in B \mid \circ\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)<\beta\right\}$, and for each $\nu^{\prime}, j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu^{\prime}}}\right) \circ \mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)=$ $f_{\dot{\xi}_{\nu^{\prime}}}$.
Theorem 7.7. $\left(P_{\alpha}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property, i.e. for $p \in P_{\alpha}$ and a forcing statement $\varphi$, there is $p^{*} \leq^{*} p$ such that $p^{*} \| \varphi$.

If $\circ(\alpha)=0$, any $p \in P_{\alpha}$ is a finite iteration of Prikry-type forcings, hence, it has the Pirkry property. The proof for $\circ(\alpha)=1$ is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.8. We assume $\circ(\alpha)>1$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $p \in P_{\alpha}$ and $\varphi$ be a forcing statement. Then there is $p^{*} \leq^{*} p$ such that if $r=r_{0} \frown \operatorname{top}(r), r \leq p^{*}, p^{\prime}$ is the interpolant of $r$ and $p^{*}$, and $r \| \varphi$, then

$$
r_{0} \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \| \varphi \text { the same way. }
$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 6.9.
proof of Theorem 7.7. Assume for simplicity that $p$ is pure and write $p=\left\langle f_{0}, \vec{f}, A, F\right\rangle$. Let $d$ be the common domain of $p$. Build a $\leq^{*}$-decreasing sequence $\left\langle p^{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$ below $p$ be induction.

Assume $p^{\nu^{\prime}}$ is constructed for $\nu^{\prime}<\nu$. Let $p_{\nu}^{\prime}$ be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle p^{\nu^{\prime}}\right|$ $\left.\nu^{\prime}<\nu\right\rangle$. Write $p_{\nu}^{\prime}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\prime}, \overrightarrow{f^{\prime}}, A^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with the common domain $d^{\prime}$. For every $\xi$, let $Q_{\xi}=P_{\xi} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\xi} / \xi}$. Let $\gamma^{*}=\left\{\gamma \mid \exists r \in P_{\nu}\left(r \vdash_{\nu} " \dot{\beta}_{\nu}=\gamma "\right)\right\}$. Fix $\rho>\xi^{*}, \rho \in A^{\prime}(\alpha)$. Let $\dot{G}_{\rho}$ be the canonical name for $Q_{\rho}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\rho}^{0} & \equiv \exists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi) " \\
\varphi_{\rho}^{1} & \equiv \exists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \neg \varphi) " \\
\varphi_{\rho}^{2} & \equiv \nexists t \in \dot{G}_{\rho}(t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \| \varphi) ",
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{p}$ is the appropriate interpolation, as described in Lemma 7.8. Enumerate $Q_{\nu}$ as $\left\{r_{\xi}\right\}_{\xi<\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{++}}$(repetition is fine here). We are building $\left\langle p_{\nu, \xi} \mid \xi \leq\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{++}\right\rangle$
which is $\leq^{*}$-decreasing below $p_{\nu}^{\prime}$. At limit $\xi$, take any $p_{\nu, \xi}$ which is a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle p_{\nu, \xi^{\prime}} \mid \xi^{\prime}<\xi\right\rangle$. Suppose $p_{\nu, \xi}$ is constructed and let $p_{\nu, \xi}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\xi}, \vec{f} \vec{f}^{\xi}, A^{\xi}, F^{\xi}\right\rangle$ and $d^{\xi}$ be the common domain. Let $\rho \in A^{\xi}(\alpha)$. By the closure of $\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta} / \rho}, \leq^{*}\right)$, there is $\dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}$ such that for every $\mu \in A^{\xi}(\alpha)$ with $\mu(\alpha)=\rho$, by the Prikry property, there are $r^{\mu}, f_{0}^{\mu}, \overrightarrow{f^{\mu}} A^{\mu}$, and $F^{\mu}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\mu \frown}\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \leq^{*} \\
& r_{\xi} \frown\left(f_{\nu}^{\xi} \circ \mu^{-1} \vec{f} \upharpoonright\left(A^{\xi} \downarrow \mu\right)(\rho), A^{\xi} \downarrow \mu, F^{\xi} \upharpoonright\left(A^{\xi} \downarrow \mu\right)(\rho), F^{\xi}(\rho)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and there is unique $i=i_{\mu, r}$ such that

$$
r^{\mu \frown}\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \Vdash \varphi_{\rho}^{i_{\mu, r_{\xi}}}
$$

For $\beta<\circ(\alpha)$. there is unique $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}$ such that the collection of $\mu$ with $\circ(\mu(\alpha))=\beta$ and $i_{\mu, r_{\xi}}=i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}$ is of measure-one. Let $B_{\xi, \beta}:=B_{r_{\xi}, \beta}$ be such a set. By shrinking, assume further that there is $r_{\xi}^{*}$ such that for every $\mu \in B_{r_{\xi}, \beta}, r^{\mu}=r_{\xi}^{*}$. We now have two cases.

Case 1: For every $\beta, i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=2$. In this case, let $p_{\nu, \xi}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\xi}, \vec{f}^{\xi}, A^{*}, F^{\xi} \upharpoonright A^{*}(\alpha)\right\rangle$, where $A^{*}$ is generated by $\cup_{\beta<\circ(\alpha)} B_{r_{\xi}, \beta}$.

Case 2: There is $\beta$ such that $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}<2$. Let $g_{\nu}=j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\mu \mapsto f^{\mu}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\xi}\right)\right)$. Then $g_{\nu} \supseteq j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\mu \mapsto f_{\nu}^{\xi} \circ \mu^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\xi}\right)\right)=f_{\nu}^{\xi}$. Let $d^{*}=\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\nu}^{\xi+1}\right)$. For $\rho \neq \nu$ including 0. Assume now that $A^{\mu}$ is generated by $B^{\mu}$. Let $B^{<\beta}=j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}(\mu \mapsto$ $\left.B^{\mu}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}(d)\right)$. We have $B^{<\beta}=\cap_{\beta^{\prime}<\beta} E\left(\alpha, \beta^{\prime}\right)\left(d^{*}\right)$. Let $\vec{g}^{<\beta}=j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}(\mu \mapsto$ $\left.\vec{f}^{\mu}\right)\left(\operatorname{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\xi}\right)\right)$. Then $\vec{g}=\left\langle g_{\nu^{\prime}} \mid \nu^{\prime} \in B^{<\beta}(\alpha)\right\rangle$ and each $\operatorname{dom}\left(g_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)=d^{*}$. Let $F^{<\beta}=j_{E(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\mu \mapsto F^{\mu}\right)\left(\mathrm{mc}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{\xi}\right)\right)$. Let $B^{\beta}$ be the collection of $\tau \in \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(d^{*}\right)$ such that

- $\tau \upharpoonright d^{\xi} \in B_{r_{\xi}, \beta}$. Write $\mu=\tau \upharpoonright d^{\xi}$ and $\rho=\mu(\alpha)$.
- $B^{<\beta} \downarrow \tau:=\left\{\sigma \circ \tau^{-1} \mid \sigma \in B^{<\beta}\right\}$ is equal to $B^{\mu}$.
- for $g_{\nu} \circ \tau^{-1}=f^{\mu}$ and for $\eta \in\left(B^{<\beta} \downarrow \tau\right)(\rho), g_{\eta}^{<\beta} \circ \tau^{-1}=f_{\eta}^{\mu}$.
- $F^{<\beta} \upharpoonright\left(B^{<\beta} \downarrow \tau\right)(\rho)=F^{\mu}$.

We now take $B^{>\beta}$ as the collection of $\tau \in \cup_{\beta^{\prime}>\beta} \mathrm{OB}_{\alpha, \beta^{\prime}}\left(d^{*}\right)$ such that $\mu:=$ $\tau \upharpoonright d^{\xi} \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}\left(A^{\xi}\right)$, and $\left(B^{<\beta} \cup B^{\beta}\right) \downarrow \tau \in \cap_{\beta^{\prime} \leq \beta} E\left(\tau(\alpha), \beta^{\prime}\right)\left(\tau\left[d^{*} \cap \operatorname{dom}(\tau)\right]\right)$. Let $B^{*}=B^{<\beta} \cup B^{\beta} \cup B^{>\beta}$. Let $g_{0}=f^{\xi} \cup\left\{(\zeta, 0) \mid \zeta \in d^{*} \backslash d^{\xi}\right\}$. For $\rho \in B^{>\beta}(\alpha)$, let $g_{\rho}=f_{\rho}^{\xi} \cup\left\{(\zeta, 0) \mid \zeta \in d^{*} \backslash d^{\xi}\right\}$. Let $A^{*}$ be generated by $B^{*}$. Let $F^{*}$ be such that for $\rho \in A^{*}(\alpha)$, if $\circ(\rho)<\beta, F^{*}(\rho)=F^{<\beta}(\rho)$. If $\circ(\rho)=\beta$, let $F^{*}(\rho)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle$. If $\circ(\rho)>\beta$, let $F^{*}(\rho)=F^{\xi}(\rho)$. Finally, let $p_{\nu, \xi+1}=\left\langle g_{0}, \vec{g}, A^{*}, F^{*}\right\rangle$. This finishes the construction of $p_{\nu, \xi+1}$. Finally, we let $p_{\nu}=p_{\nu,\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{++}}$. Note that $\min \left(A^{*}(\alpha)\right)>$ $\gamma^{*} \geq \nu$.

We now change the notations slightly. Let $p^{\nu}=\left\langle f_{0}^{\nu}, \overrightarrow{f^{\nu}}, A^{\nu}, F^{\nu}\right\rangle$. Assume $A^{\nu}$ is generated by $B^{\nu}$. Let $A^{*}$ be generated by $B^{*}:=\Delta_{\nu} B^{\nu}$. Let $f_{0}^{*}=\cup_{\nu} f_{0}^{\nu}$. For $\rho \in A^{*}(\alpha)$, let $f_{\rho}^{*}=\cup_{\nu} f_{\rho}^{\nu}, F^{*}(\rho)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}\right\rangle$, where $\dot{q}_{\rho}$ is forced to be a $\leq^{*}$-lower bound of $\left\langle F^{\nu}(\rho)_{1}\right\rangle_{\nu}<\rho$. This is possible because the closure of $\left(\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is at least $\rho^{+}$.

Claim 7.9. $p^{*}$ satisfies the Prikry property.
Proof. If there is $p^{\prime} \leq^{*} p^{*}$ deciding $\varphi$, then we may use $p^{\prime}$ instead. Suppose $p^{\prime} \leq p^{*}$, $p^{\prime}$ is impure, and $p^{\prime} \| \varphi$. Assume $p^{\prime} \Vdash \varphi$, assume $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ has the minimum number
of blocks $n^{*}$. We will demonstrate the case $n^{*}=2$. Let $\bar{p}$ be the interpolant of $p^{*}$ and $p^{\prime}$, so $\bar{p}=p^{*}+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right\rangle$. Let

$$
p^{\prime}=\left(g_{0}, \vec{g}_{0}, A_{0}, F_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left(g_{1}, \vec{g}_{1}, A_{1}, F_{1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{\frown} \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Say that the tree part in $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ is $T$. Since $p$ satisfies Lemma 7.8, we have that

$$
\left(g_{0}, \vec{g}_{0}, A_{0}, F_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown\left(g_{1}, \vec{g}_{1}, A_{1}, F_{1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi .
$$

Set $r=\left(g_{0}, \vec{g}_{0}, A_{0}, F_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right), \nu=\nu_{0}, Q_{\nu}=P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \nu}\left(\right.$ which is $\left.P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}\right)$. Assume $\circ\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right)=\beta^{\prime}$. Note that $\mu_{1}(\alpha)=\nu_{1}$. Let $\mu=\mu \upharpoonright d^{\xi}$, where $d^{\xi}$ is described when we construct $p_{\nu, \xi+1}$. We now use the notations for the construction of $p^{\nu}$. Let $r=r_{\xi}$.

Claim 7.10. $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=0$.
Proof. We divide into cases, depending on $\beta^{\prime}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=1$ (the case $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=2$ is similar).

Case 1: $\beta^{\prime}=\beta$. Write $\nu_{1}=\rho$. Then $\vdash_{\rho}$ " $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}=\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}$ ". Then note that

$$
\vec{r}_{0}:=r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(g_{1}, \vec{g}_{1}, A_{1}, F_{1}\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \leq r_{\xi} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right)=: \vec{r}_{1}
$$

Let $G$ be $Q_{\rho}:=P_{\rho} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}$-generic containing $\vec{r}_{0}$, hence containing $\vec{r}_{1}$. Then there is $t \in G$ such that $t \subset \bar{p} \Vdash \neg \varphi$. We can take $t \in G$ such that $t \leq \vec{r}_{1}$, but this contradicts with the fact that $\vec{r}_{1} \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \varphi$.

Case 2: $\beta^{\prime}<\beta$. Pick any $\tau \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, say $\mu=\tau \upharpoonright d^{\prime}$ and $\rho=\tau(\alpha)$. Note that $B^{<\beta} \downarrow \tau=B^{\mu}$. We can see that $\mu_{1} \circ \tau^{-1} \in B^{\mu}$, and with other properties of $\tau$. Let $p^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained by extending the $r$ part of $p^{\prime}+\langle\tau\rangle$ to $r^{\mu}$. We then have that

$$
p^{\prime \prime} \leq r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{*}+\left\langle\mu_{0}, \tau\right\rangle\right)
$$

Let $G$ be $Q_{\rho}$-generic containing $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then it contains $r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \overrightarrow{f^{\mu}}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right)$. Find $t \in G$ such that $t^{\frown} \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \neg \varphi$ and $t \leq \operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)$, but then $\frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p})$ gives contradictory decisions on $\varphi$, a contradiction.

Case 3: $\beta^{\prime}>\beta$. Then take any $\tau^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Lev}_{0}\left(A_{1}\right)$ with $\circ\left(\tau^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right)=\beta . \tau^{\prime}=\tau \circ \mu_{1}^{-1}\right.$ for some $\tau$ with $\circ(\tau(\alpha))=\beta$. Write $\mu=\tau \upharpoonright d^{\xi}, \rho=\tau(\alpha)$. Let $p^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained by extending $p^{\prime}$ with $\tau^{\prime}$ is a similar fashion as the one-step extension and extend the $r$ part to $p^{\mu}$. Then $p^{\prime \prime} \upharpoonright Q_{\rho}$ exists and
$p^{\prime \prime} \leq r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \frown$
$\left(g_{1, \rho} \oplus \tau^{\prime},\left\langle g_{1, \eta} \mid \eta \in\left(A_{1}\right)_{\tau^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right)\right\rangle\left(A_{1}\right)_{\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\right\rangle}, F_{1} \upharpoonright\left(A_{1}\right)_{\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\right\rangle}\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right),\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$.
Let $G$ be $Q_{\rho}$-generic containing $p^{\prime \prime} \upharpoonright Q_{\rho}$. Then $G$ contains $r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \overrightarrow{f^{\mu}}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right)$.
Find $t \in G$ such that $t \leq p^{\prime \prime} \upharpoonright Q_{\rho}$ and $t \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{p}) \Vdash \neg \varphi$, but then
$t^{\frown}\left(g_{1, \rho} \oplus \tau^{\prime},\left\langle g_{1, \eta} \mid \eta \in\left(A_{1}\right)_{\tau^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right)\right\rangle\left(A_{1}\right)_{\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\right\rangle}, F_{1} \upharpoonright\left(A_{1}\right)_{\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\right\rangle}\left(\mu_{1}(\alpha)\right),\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{1} / \nu_{1}}, \dot{q}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$
is stronger than $t^{\frown} \operatorname{top}(\bar{p})$ and $p^{\prime}$, so the condition gives contradictory decisions, a contradiction.

All in all, we have that $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=0$. A similar proof as before shows that for every $\tau$ with $\rho=\tau(\alpha), \mu=\tau \upharpoonright d^{\xi}$, and $\circ(\rho)=\beta$, we have that

$$
r_{\xi}^{*} \frown\left(f^{\mu}, \vec{f}^{\mu}, A^{\mu}, F^{\mu},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\rho} / \rho}, \dot{q}_{\rho}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \frown \operatorname{top}(\bar{t}) \Vdash \varphi
$$

for the appropriate interpolant $\bar{t}$. Note that then for each extension of $r_{\xi}^{*} \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{*}+\right.$ $\left.\left\langle\mu_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ can be extended further to a condition $t$ where an object $\tau^{*}$ with $\circ\left(\tau^{*}\right)=\beta$ is used. With the fact that $i_{r_{\xi}, \beta}=0$, we have that $t \Vdash \varphi$. By a density argument, we have that $r_{\xi}^{*} \frown \operatorname{top}\left(p^{*}+\left\langle\mu_{0}\right\rangle\right) \Vdash \varphi$, and this contradicts with the minimality of $n^{*}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.7.
We now consider the club introduced by $P_{\alpha}$ and the cardinal arithmetic. By the Prikry property, all the forcings below $P_{\alpha}$ preserve all cardinals, and ( $\{p \in$ $P_{\alpha}, p$ is pure, $\left.\leq^{*}\right)$ is $\alpha$-closed, one can show that all cardinals below $\alpha$ are preserved. Since $P_{\alpha}$ has the $\alpha^{++}$-chain condition, all cardinals from $\alpha^{++}$and above are preserved. For generality, we consider the case $\circ(\alpha)>0$. Let $G$ be $P_{\alpha}$-generic. Then for each $\nu<\alpha$ such that by letting $Q_{\nu}=P_{\nu} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}$, we have that $G \upharpoonright Q_{\nu}$ exists. $G \upharpoonright Q_{\nu}$ is $Q_{\nu^{-}}$-generic, and it introduces a set $C^{\nu} \cup C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}$ where $\beta_{\nu}=\dot{\beta}_{\nu}\left[G \upharpoonright P_{\nu}\right], C^{\nu} \subseteq \nu+1$ with $\max \left(C^{\nu}\right), C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu} \subseteq\left(\nu, \beta_{\nu}\right]$ such that $\max \left(C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}\right)=\beta_{\nu}$ if $\beta_{\nu}>\nu$, otherwise, $C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}=\emptyset$. Let $C_{\alpha}=\left(\cup_{\left\{\nu|G| Q_{\nu} \text { exists }\right\}}\left(C^{\nu} \cup C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}\right)\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$. Since $\circ(\alpha)>0$, we can perform one-step extension of any condition so that $\left\{\nu \mid G \upharpoonright Q_{\nu}\right.$ exists $\}$ is unbounded in $\alpha$. Like in the extender-based Magidor-Radin forcing, $\left\{\nu \mid Q_{\nu}\right.$ exists $\}$ has a tail of order-type $\omega^{\circ(\alpha)}$. Hence, in $V[G], \alpha$ is singularized to have cofinality $\operatorname{cf}\left(\omega^{\circ(\alpha)}\right)$. From here and the Prikry property, one can show that $\alpha^{+}$is preserved. Also, note that for $\nu<\nu^{\prime}$, with the way we constructed the sets, we have that $C^{\nu} \cup C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}$ is an initial segment of $C^{\nu^{\prime}}$, so it is an initial segment of $C_{\alpha}$. Thus, $\lim \left(C_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\cup_{\left\{\nu \mid G \upharpoonright Q_{\nu} \text { exists }\right\}}\left(\lim \left(C^{\nu}\right) \cup \lim \left(C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}\right)\right)\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$ Fix $\xi \in C_{\alpha}$ with $\xi<\alpha$. Then $\xi \in C^{\nu} \cup C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}$ for some $\nu$. Forcing with $G$ can be factored into $G \upharpoonright Q_{\nu} * G / Q_{\nu}$. We can also form the quotient $P_{\alpha} / Q_{\nu}$ where the conditions look similar to the conditions of $P_{\alpha}$, except that all the components lie above $\beta_{\nu}$. One can verify that $\Vdash_{Q_{\nu}}$ " $\left(P_{\alpha} / Q_{\nu}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property and $\left(P_{\alpha} / Q_{\nu}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\dot{\beta}_{\nu}^{*}$-closed" where $\dot{\beta}_{\nu}^{*}$ is forced to be the first inaccessible above $\dot{\beta}_{\nu}$. Also, $G$ is isomorphic to $G_{0} * G_{1}$ where $G_{0}$ is $Q_{\nu}$-generic and $G_{1}$ is $P_{\alpha} / Q_{\nu}[G]$-generic. The forcing $P_{\alpha} / Q_{\nu}$ does not affect cardinals above $\beta_{\nu}$. Now, note that by Proposition 4.1 items (3) and (6), we have that either $2^{\xi}=\xi^{+}$and $2^{\xi}=\xi^{++}$, and $2^{\xi}=\xi^{++}$iff $\xi \in \lim \left(C^{\nu}\right) \cup \lim \left(C^{\beta_{\nu} / \nu}\right)$. Hence, the cardinal arithmetic below $\alpha$ satisfies (3) of Proposition 4.1. Since $\alpha \in \lim \left(C_{\alpha}\right)$, it remains to show that $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{++}$.

Work with a pure condition $p \in G$. Enumerate $\left\{\nu \mid G \upharpoonright P_{\nu}\right.$ exists $\}$ increasingly as $\left\{\nu_{i} \mid i<\omega^{\operatorname{cf}(\alpha)}\right.$. . Fix $\gamma \in\left[\alpha, \alpha^{++}\right)$. By a density argument, let $p^{\gamma} \leq p, p^{\gamma} \in G$ be such that if $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\gamma}\right)=\left\langle f^{\gamma}, \vec{f}^{\gamma}, A^{\gamma}, F^{\gamma}\right\rangle$, then for every object $\mu$ which appears in $A^{\gamma}, \gamma \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{stem}\left(p^{\gamma}\right) \in P_{\nu_{i}^{\gamma}} * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu_{i}^{\gamma}} / \nu_{i}^{\gamma}}$. For $i \leq i_{\gamma}$, define $t_{\gamma}(i)=0$. For $i>\gamma$, there is an extension $p^{\gamma, i} \in G$ such that
(1) $p^{\gamma, i} \upharpoonright P_{\nu_{i}}$ exists.
(2) by writing $p^{\gamma_{i}}$ as

$$
\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(s_{n-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle
$$

then $\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown s_{k} \in P_{\nu_{i}}$, and

- $f(\gamma)$ is a check-name $\check{\gamma}_{0}$, then $\gamma_{0} \in f_{n-1}$, where $f_{n-1}$ is the first coordinate of $s_{n-1}$.
- by recursion, $\gamma_{0}, \cdots, \gamma_{l-1}$ is defined for $l<n-k-1$, then $\gamma_{l-1} \in$ $\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n-l}\right)$, where $f_{n-l}$ is the first coordinate of $s_{n-l}$, and $f_{n-l}\left(\gamma_{l-1}\right)$ is a check-name $\gamma_{l}$.
We define $t_{\gamma}(i)=f_{k}\left(\gamma_{n-k-1}\right)$. For $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}$, there is a condition $p^{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}} \in G$ such that if $A^{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}}$ is the tree appearing in $\operatorname{top}\left(p^{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}}\right.$, we have that for every $\mu$ appearing in $A^{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}}, \gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu)$ and $\mu(\gamma)<\mu\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$. From this, it can be shown that $t_{\gamma}<^{*} t_{\gamma^{\prime}}$, which means there is $i^{*}$ such that for $i>i^{*}, t_{\gamma}(i)<t_{\gamma^{\prime}}(i)$. This gives $\alpha^{++}$different functions from $\omega^{\mathrm{cf}(\alpha)}$ to $\alpha$. It is easy to show that $\alpha$ is a strong limit cardinal, and so in $V[G], 2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{\mathrm{cf}(\alpha)} \geq \alpha^{++}$. Since $P_{\alpha}$ is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c., $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{++}$as desired.

Definition 7.11 (The quotient forcing). Let $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $P_{\alpha}$-name of the trivial forcing $\left(\{\emptyset\}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$. In $V^{P_{\alpha}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \alpha}$ be the $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \alpha}$-name of the empty set. Now assume that $\beta<\alpha$. Define $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. Define $P_{\alpha}[G]=\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$ as the forcing consisting of conditions of the form stem $(p) \frown \operatorname{top}(p)$, where
(1) $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ is of the form

$$
\left(P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta_{0} / \alpha_{0}}[G], \dot{q}_{0}\right) \frown\left(s_{n-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G], \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right),\right.
$$

for some $n$ (if $n=0$, then $\operatorname{stem}(p)$ is only $\left(P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G], q^{\prime}\right)$ ) such that

- $P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]=\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \beta}[G]$, and $q^{\prime} \in P_{\beta^{\prime}}$.
- if $n>0$, then $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}$, and for $i<n$,
- if $\circ\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0, s_{i}=\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle$, and if $\circ\left(\alpha_{i}\right)>0, s_{i}=\left\langle f_{i}, \vec{f}_{i}, A_{i}, F_{i}\right\rangle$, where $d_{i}=\operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i}\right)$ is an $\alpha_{i}$-domain, $d_{i} \in V$.
- for $\zeta \in d_{0}, \Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]} " f_{0}(\zeta)<\alpha_{0}$ " and if $i>0$, then for $\zeta \in d_{i}$, $\vdash^{P_{\alpha_{i-1}}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i-1} / \alpha_{i-1}}[G]}$ " $f_{i}(\zeta)<\alpha_{i}$.
$-\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]} " \alpha_{i} \leq \dot{\beta}_{i}<\alpha_{i+1} "$, where $\alpha_{n}=\alpha$.
$-\vdash_{P_{\alpha_{i}}[G]} " \dot{q}_{i} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{i} / \alpha_{i}}[G]$ ".
- if $\circ\left(\alpha_{i}\right)>0$,
* $A_{i}$ is a $d_{i}$-tree with respects to $\vec{E}_{\alpha_{i}}\left(d_{i}\right)$ (in the sense of $V$ ).
* $\vec{f}_{i}=\left\langle f_{i, \nu} \mid \nu \in A_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\rangle$.
* for each $\nu, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{i, \nu}\right)=d_{i}$.
$*$ for $\zeta \in d_{i}, \Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G]} " f_{i, \nu}(\zeta)<\alpha_{i}$.
* $\operatorname{dom}\left(F_{i}\right)=A_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$.
* for $\nu \in A_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), F_{i}(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G], \dot{q}\right\rangle, \Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G]} " \nu \leq \dot{\beta}_{\nu}<\alpha_{i}$ " and $\Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G]} " \dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G]$ ".
(2) if $\circ(\alpha)=0$, then $\operatorname{top}(p)$ is $\langle f\rangle$, and if $\circ(\alpha)>0$, then $\operatorname{top}(p)=\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle$, where there is a common domain $d$, which is an $\alpha$-domain (in the sense of $V)$ such that
- If $\circ(\alpha)=0$, then $\operatorname{dom}(f)=d$ and for $\zeta \in d, \Vdash_{P_{\beta^{\prime}}[G]} " f(\zeta)<\alpha$ ".
- Assume $\circ(\alpha)>0$. Then,
- $A$ is a $d$-tree with respects to $\vec{E}_{\alpha}(d)$ (in the sense of $V$ ).
$-\operatorname{dom}(F)=d$ and for $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(F), F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G], \dot{q}\right\rangle$ where $\vdash_{P_{\nu}[G]} " \nu \leq \dot{\beta}_{\nu}<\alpha$ and $\dot{q} \in \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G]$ ".
$-\operatorname{dom}(f)=d, \vec{f}=\left\langle f_{\nu} \mid \nu \in A(\alpha)\right\rangle$, and for all $\nu, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{\nu}\right)=d$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& - \text { for } \zeta \in d, \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n-1}}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}[G]} " f(\zeta)<\alpha " \text { and for } \nu \in A(\alpha), \\
& \quad \Vdash_{P_{\nu}[G] * \dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{\nu} / \nu}[G]} " f_{\nu}(\zeta)<\alpha " .
\end{aligned}
$$

Back in $V$. If $\dot{p} \in \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, then by density, the collection of $p_{0} \in P_{\beta}$ such that $p_{0}$ decides $n, \alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{0}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{dom}\left(f_{n-1}\right)$, the common domain, $A_{i}, A, q^{\prime}$ (as the equivalent $\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}^{\prime} / \beta^{\prime}}$-name, and so on), is open dense. In this case, we say that $p_{0}$ interprets $\dot{p}$. All in all, for such $p_{0}$ which interprets all the relevant components of $\dot{p}$, let $p_{1}$ be such the interpretation. Assume $\circ(\beta)>0$ and $\circ(\alpha)>0$ (the other cases are simpler) write $p_{0}$ as $r_{0} \frown\langle g, \vec{g}, B, H\rangle$ and by the interpretation, we may write

$$
p_{1}=\left(\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right) \frown\left(s_{0},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{0} / \alpha_{0}}, \dot{q}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cdots \frown\left(s_{n-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle .\right.
$$

There is a natural concatenation $p_{0}$ with $p_{1}$, written by $p_{0}{ }^{\frown} p_{1}$, which is

$$
r=r_{0} \frown\left(\langle g, \vec{g}, B, H\rangle,\left\langle\dot{P}_{\beta^{\prime} / \beta}, \dot{q}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \frown \ldots \frown\left(s_{n-1},\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\beta}_{n-1} / \alpha_{n-1}}, \dot{q}_{n-1}\right\rangle\right) \frown\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle .
$$

Then $r \in P_{\alpha}$ with $r \upharpoonright P_{\beta}=p_{0}$ exists. Denote $r / P_{\beta}$ the term $p_{1}$. For $P_{\beta}$-names $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$, we say that $p_{0} \leq p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\alpha} p^{\frown} p_{1}$. Also define $p_{0} \leq^{*} p_{1}$ if there is $p \in G^{P_{\beta}}$ such that $p$ interprets $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\alpha}^{*} p^{\frown} p_{1}$. One can check that the map $\phi:\left\{p \in P_{\alpha} \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists $\} \rightarrow P_{\beta} * \dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$ defined by $\phi(p)=\left(p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}, p / P_{\beta}\right)$ is a dense embedding, where $p \backslash P_{\beta}$ is the obvious component of $p$ which is in $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$. Note that if $G$ is $P_{\beta}$-generic and $H$ is $P_{\alpha}[G]$-generic, there is a generic $I$ for $P_{\alpha}$ such that $V[G * H]=V[I]$, where $I$ is generated by $\left\{p \mid p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}\right.$ exists, $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta} \in G$ and $\left.\left(p / P_{\beta}\right)[G] \in H\right\}$. If $I$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic and for some $p \in I, p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists, we can get $G$ which is $P_{\beta}$-generic and $H$ which is $P_{\alpha}[G]$-generic such that $V[G * H]=V[I]$, where $G$ is generated by $\left\{p \upharpoonright P_{\beta} \mid p \in I\right.$ and $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists $\}$ and $H=\left\{\left(p / P_{\beta}\right)[G] \mid p \in I\right.$ and $p \upharpoonright P_{\beta}$ exists $\}$.

In $V^{P_{\beta}}$, let $\dot{C}_{\alpha / \beta}$ be a $\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}$-name of the set described as the following. Let $G$ be $P_{\beta}$-generic. and $H$ be generic over $P_{\alpha}[G]=\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}[G]$. Then let $I=G * H$ be $P_{\alpha}$-generic. $I$ derives the set $C_{\alpha} \subseteq \alpha+1$ and $G$ derives the set $C_{\beta} \subseteq \beta+1$. Let $C_{\alpha / \beta}=C_{\alpha} \backslash C_{\beta}$.

Proposition 7.12. - $\vdash_{\beta} "\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq\right)$ is $\alpha^{++}$-c.c."

- $\Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left.\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq, \leq^{*}\right)$ has the Prikry property.
- $\Vdash_{\beta}$ " $\left(\dot{P}_{\alpha / \beta}, \leq^{*}\right)$ is $\beta^{*}$-closed", where $\beta^{*}$ is the least inaccessible cardinal greater than $\beta$.

We conclude that from all the analysis, Proposition 4.1 holds for $P_{\alpha}$ and all relevant quotients at $\alpha$.

## 8. The main forcing

We are now defining our main forcing $\mathbb{P}$. The forcing $\mathbb{P}=\cup_{\{\alpha<\kappa \mid \alpha \text { is inaccessible }\}} P_{\alpha}$. For $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}$, define $p \leq p^{\prime}$ if $p \in P_{\alpha}, p^{\prime} \in P_{\alpha^{\prime}}, \alpha \geq \alpha^{\prime}, p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ exists, and $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha^{\prime}} \leq_{\alpha^{\prime}} p$. The forcing is $\kappa^{+}$-c.c. Let $G$ be $\mathbb{P}$-generic. Then if $p \in G$ is such that $p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}$ exists, then $G \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic. We briefly describe $\mathbb{P} / P_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha<\kappa$ inaccessible. Recall that for $\alpha \leq \eta<\kappa, \Vdash_{\alpha}$ " $\left\{p / P_{\alpha} \mid p \in P_{\eta}, p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}\right.$ exists $\}$ is densely embedded in $\dot{P}_{\eta / \alpha}$ ". For $\alpha<\kappa$ inaccessible, let $\mathbb{P} / P_{\alpha}$ as the collection $\left\{p / P_{\alpha} \mid p \in \mathbb{P}, p \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}\right.$ exists $\}$. Note that the notation makes sense, since $p \in P_{\eta}$ for
some $\eta$. For $p_{0}, p_{1} \in \mathbb{P} / P_{\alpha}$, define $p_{0} \leq p_{1}$ (in $V^{P_{\alpha}}$ ) if there is $p \in P_{\alpha}$ such that $p^{\frown} p_{0} \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p \frown p_{1}$.
Remark 8.1. $V^{P_{\alpha}}$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P} / P_{\alpha}$, there is $\eta$ such that $p \in \dot{P}_{\eta / \alpha}$.
This introduces the set $C_{\alpha}$. Let $C=\cup_{\alpha}\left\{C_{\alpha} \mid G \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}\right.$ is $P_{\alpha}$-generic $\}$. Then $C \subseteq \kappa$ is a club. The next theorem shows that the cardinal arithmetic should be as expected.

Theorem 8.2. Let $\dot{f}$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-name of a function from $\beta$ to ordinals such that $\beta<\kappa$ and $G$ is $\mathbb{P}$-generic. Then $f \in V\left[G \upharpoonright P_{\alpha}\right]$ for some $\alpha<\kappa$.

Proof. We show by a density argument. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\dot{f}$ be a $\mathbb{P}$-name of functions from $\beta$ to ordinals, where $\beta<\kappa$. For simplicity, assume $p$ is an empty condition. Let $M \prec H_{\theta}$ for some sufficiently large regular $\theta, \beta \subseteq M, \dot{f}, p, \mathbb{P} \in M, V_{M \cap \kappa} \subseteq M$, and $\circ(M \cap \kappa) \geq \beta$. Say $\alpha=M \cap \kappa$. We are going to build $p^{*} \in P_{\alpha}$ of the form $p^{*}=\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle$. Let $f, \vec{f}$, and $A$ be any objects. Fix $\gamma<\beta$ and $\nu \in A(\alpha)$ such that $\circ(\nu)=\gamma$. Let $Y_{\nu}$ be a maximal antichain of relevant collections in $P_{\nu}$. For each $r \in Y_{\nu}$, let $G_{r}$ be $P_{\nu}$-generic containing $r$. Since $V_{\alpha} \subseteq M, M[G] \cap \kappa=M \cap \kappa$. Find $q \in \mathbb{P} / G$ such that $q$ decides $\dot{f}(\gamma)[G]$. By elementarity, we may find such a $q$ in $M[G]$. Then $q \in P_{\xi} / G$ for some $\xi<\alpha$. Back in $M$, let $\dot{\xi}$ and $\dot{q}$ be the names for such $\xi$ and $q$. Define $F(\nu)=\left\langle\dot{P}_{\dot{\xi} / \nu}, \dot{q}\right\rangle$. For $\nu$ with $\circ(\nu) \geq \beta$, we assign $F(\nu)$ to be any value. This completes the construction of $F$. By our design, we have that $p^{*}$ decides $\dot{f}$, and hence, $p^{*} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{f} \in V^{P_{\alpha}}$.

Corollary 8.3. $\kappa$ is inaccessible in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$.
Proof. By Theorem 8.2, if $\kappa$ is collapsed, then the witness function has to be in $V^{P_{\alpha}}$ for some $\alpha<\kappa$, but $\kappa$ is preserved in $P_{\alpha}$, a contradiction. The same argument shows that $\kappa$ is regular. Finally, for every $\beta<\kappa$, the value $2^{\beta}$ must be determined in $V^{P_{\alpha}}$ for some sufficiently large $\alpha$ because the forcing can be factored so that the quotient forcing after the stage $\beta$ is $\beta^{+}$-closed under the direct extension,
Corollary 8.4. Every cardinal is preserved in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$.
Proof. Similar to the previous corollary.
Corollary 8.5. For $\beta<\kappa$ the value $2^{\beta}$ is determined in $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}}$ for some $\alpha \in(\beta, \kappa)$.
Theorem 8.6. In $V^{\mathbb{P}}, \kappa$ is inaccessible, there is a club $D \subseteq \kappa$ such that for $\beta \in D$, $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{++}$and for $\alpha \notin D, 2^{\beta}=\alpha^{+}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be the club derived from $\mathbb{P}$ and $D=\lim (C)$. Then $D$ satisfies the theorem.

## 9. Getting different cardinal behaviors on stationary classes

Assume GCH. Let $\kappa$ be a strongly inaccessible cardinal. For each $\gamma<\kappa$, let $f_{\gamma}: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$. Assume that for each $\gamma$, there is a coherent sequence of extenders $\vec{E}_{\gamma}$, on a set $X_{\gamma} \subseteq \kappa$ and $\circ^{\gamma}: X_{\gamma} \rightarrow \kappa$ such that

- $\vec{E}_{\gamma}=\left\langle E_{\gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \mid \beta<o^{\gamma}(\alpha)\right\rangle$.
- each $E_{\gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ is an $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{+f_{\gamma}(\alpha)}\right)$ extender witnesses $\alpha$ being $\alpha^{+f_{\gamma}(\alpha)}$-strong.
- $\circ^{\gamma}(\alpha)<\alpha$.
- for $\nu<\kappa,\left\{\alpha \mid \circ^{\gamma}(\alpha) \geq \nu\right\}$ is stationary.

Then we can proceed a similar forcing construction, except that the corresponding Cohen part at $\alpha$ will be $C\left(\alpha^{+}, \alpha^{f_{\gamma}(\alpha)}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{\left\langle\vec{f}_{\gamma}\right| \gamma\langle\kappa\rangle}$ be the corresponded forcing.

Theorem 9.1. In the forcing $\mathbb{P}^{\left\langle f_{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\kappa\right\rangle}$, all the cardinals are preserved, the forcing produces a club $C \subseteq \cup_{\gamma<\kappa} X_{\gamma}$ such that for each $0<\xi<\kappa$ regular and $\gamma<\kappa$, the collection of $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{cf}(\alpha) \geq \xi$ and $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{+f_{\gamma}(\alpha)}$ is stationary.
Proof Sketch. Fix $\xi>0$ and a $\mathbb{P}$-name of a club subset of $\kappa \dot{D}$. Let $p$ be a condition, $\dot{D}$ a name of a club subset of $\kappa$. Let $M \prec H_{\theta}$ where $\theta$ is sufficiently large, $\dot{D}, p, \mathbb{P}^{\left\langle f_{\beta} \mid \beta<\kappa\right\rangle} \in M, V_{M \cap \kappa} \subseteq M$, and $\circ^{\gamma}(M \cap \kappa) \geq \xi$. Let $\alpha=M \cap \kappa$. We are now extending $p$ to a condition whose top level is $\alpha$. Let $p=\langle f, \vec{f}, A, F\rangle \in P_{\alpha}$, where $f, \vec{f}, A$ can be any sensible components. For each $\nu \in A(\alpha)$, let $F(\nu)$ be a condition that decides an element $\dot{\xi}$ which is the minimum of the interpretation of $\dot{D} \backslash(\nu+1)$. By elementarity, $\dot{\xi}$ is decided to be below $\alpha$. Then the final condition forces that $\alpha$ is in $\dot{C} \cap \dot{D}$, and forces that $2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{f_{\gamma}(\alpha)}$, and $\operatorname{cf}(\alpha) \geq \xi$.

Example 9.2. Start from GCH, $\kappa$ carrying a ( $\kappa, \kappa^{+\kappa}$ )-extender. Then it is possible that for $\gamma<\kappa$, there is a sequence coherent sequence of extenders $\vec{E}_{\gamma}$ on a stationary set $X_{\gamma} \subseteq \kappa$ where each $E_{\gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ witnesses $\alpha$ being $\alpha^{+\gamma}$-strong. Let $f_{\gamma}: \xi \mapsto \gamma$. Then the forcing $\mathbb{P}^{\left\langle f_{\gamma} \mid \gamma<\kappa\right\rangle}$ forces that $\kappa$ is inaccessible, and in $V_{\kappa}$ and each $\gamma<\kappa$, there is a stationary class $S_{\gamma} \subseteq \kappa$ such that for $\alpha \in S_{\gamma}, 2^{\alpha}=\alpha^{+\gamma}$.
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