## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Establishing the foundation for an applied molecular taxonomy of otters in Southeast Asia

Klaus-Peter Koepfli · Budsabong Kanchanasaka · Hiroshi Sasaki · Hélène Jacques · Kristina D. Y. Louie · Toanvong Hoai · Nguyen Xuan Dang · Eli Geffen · Arno Gutleb · Sung-yong Han · Thrine M. Heggberget · Lionel LaFontaine · Hang Lee · Roland Melisch · Jordi Ruiz-Olmo · Margarida Santos-Reis · Vadim E. Sidorovich · Michael Stubbe · Robert K. Wayne

Received: 15 May 2007/Accepted: 19 December 2007/Published online: 29 January 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2008

Abstract Four species of otters (Mustelidae, Lutrinae) occur in Southeast Asia and are considered to be of conservation concern: *Aonyx cinerea* (Asian small-clawed otter), *Lutra lutra* (Eurasian otter), *Lutra sumatrana* (Hairy-nosed otter), and *Lutrogale perspicillata* (Smooth-coated otter). Among these, *L. sumatrana* is endemic to the region, yet little is known about its biology, and the precise distribution of all four species in Southeast Asia is not well known. Furthermore, the taxonomy and systematics of *L. sumatrana* and *L. perspicillata* have been the subject of

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10592-007-9498-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

K.-P. Koepfli (⊠) · K. D. Y. Louie · T. Hoai · R. K. Wayne Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, 621 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1606, USA e-mail: klausk@lifesci.ucla.edu

#### B. Kanchanasaka

Royal Forest Department, Wildlife Research Division, 61 Paholyothin Road, Bangken, 10900 Bangkok, Thailand

#### H. Sasaki

Chikushi Jogakuen University Junior College, 2-12-1, Ishizaka, Dazaifu, Fukuoka 818-0192, Japan

#### H. Jacques

Société Francaise pour L'Etude et al Protection des Mammiferes, Paris, France

## N. X. Dang

Department of Zoology, Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Hoang Quoc, Viet Street, Nghia Do, Can day, Hanoi, Vietnam

# E. Geffen

Department of Zoology, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

controversy, which has implications for the legal protection and for conservation programs of these taxa. To resolve these controversies, we used a multigene data set comprised of segments from 13 nuclear and 5 mitochondrial loci (11,180 nucleotides) to evaluate the phylogenetic relationships of Asian Old World otters. Phylogenies were also estimated using two mitochondrial loci (1,832 nucleotides) obtained from two or more individuals of the four Southeast Asian species. The results from maximum parsimony, maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference

## A. Gutleb

Department of Production Animal Clinical Science, Norwegian School of Veterinary Science, Section for Stationary Clinic, P.O. Box 8146 Dep., 0033 Oslo, Norway

#### S. Han

Korean Otter Research Center, 578-Gorye, Hanam, Hwacheon, Gangwon-do, South Korea

## T. M. Heggberget

Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Tungasletta 2, 7485 Trondheim, Norway

### L. LaFontaine

LutrAtlantica, B.P. 1, 29670 Locquenole, France

## H. Lee

Conservation Genome Resource Bank for Korean Wildlife, College of Veterinary Medicine and BK21 Program for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

## R. Melisch

TRAFFIC International, c/o WWF Germany, Rebstöcker Str. 55, 60326 Frankfurt, Germany

showed that *L. sumatrana* and *L. lutra* are sister taxa, whereas *L. perspicillata* is sister to *A. cinerea*. Furthermore, the results from the two-mitochondrial gene analyses indicate that *L. sumatrana* is reciprocally monophyletic with respect to *L. lutra*, supporting the specific validity of the former taxon. Signs such as tracks and feces are often used in field surveys to provide information on the distribution and abundance of otters, but the accuracy of these methods may be compromised when several closely related species occur sympatrically. Therefore, the two-gene data set was used to develop a provisional set of diagnostic nucleotides that can be potentially used to identify the four species of Southeast Asian otters from noninvasively collected biological samples, such as feces.

# Keywords Otter · Lutrinae · Lutra sumatrana ·

Molecular phylogeny  $\cdot$  Molecular taxonomy  $\cdot$  Southeast Asia  $\cdot$  Conservation genetics

# Introduction

Knowledge of the distribution and abundance of species is critical to developing effective conservation plans. Along with more traditional field-based survey methods, molecular methods are being increasingly employed to help establish the presence of particular species within a geographic region (Schwartz et al. 2007). Molecular methods are especially helpful in studying species such as those in the Mustelidae (Mammalia, Carnivora) that are elusive and cryptic in habit and thus difficult to observe directly. For such species, data from tracks, feces, and scent marking stations collected during surveys may provide indirect evidence that a particular species is present (e.g., Kruuk et al. 1993). When multiple species occur sympatrically, however, accurate species identification from these signs may be difficult, even when species are distantly related (e.g., Davison et al. 2002). Reliably distinguishing species using signs becomes even more challenging when multiple, closely related species occupy the same area. Under such circumstances, molecular methods have been shown to be especially valuable in identifying different species, usually from fecal DNA samples (Hansen and Jacobsen 1999; Farrell et al. 2000; Riddle et al. 2003; Gómez-Moliner et al. 2004; López-Giráldez et al. 2005; Pilot et al. 2007).

M. Santos-Reis

Four species of otters (Mustelidae, Lutrinae) occur sympatrically in Southeast Asia: Aonyx cinerea (Asian small-clawed otter), Lutra lutra (Eurasian otter), Lutra sumatrana (Hairy-nosed otter), and Lutrogale perspicillata (Smooth-coated otter), following the classification of Wozencraft (2005). According to the IUCN/SSC Otter Specialist Group action plan (Mason and Macdonald 1990), three of the species (A. cinerea, L. lutra, and L. perspicillata) are of local conservation concern, whereas L. sumatrana is considered of global conservation concern. Further, the most recent IUCN Red List (IUCN 2006) categorizes A. cinerea and L. lutra as nearly threatened, L. perspicillata as vulnerable, and L. sumatrana as data deficient. Although mammal references with a larger regional coverage (e.g., Lekagul and McNeely 1988; Corbet and Hill 1992; Payne et al. 1985; Melisch 1995) indicate all four otter species occur throughout Southeast Asia, their precise distribution in this region is not well known (Foster-Turley and Santiapillai 1990; Sivasothi and Nor 1994; Conroy et al. 1998; Poole 2003). As is the case for otter species elsewhere and for many species in Southeast Asia in particular (Sodhi et al. 2004), all four species face pressures from habitat loss, pollution, retaliatory killing due to assumed or actual human-otter food competition (Melisch and Lubis 1998) and direct hunting and trade (Mason and Macdonald 1990; Rudyanto and Melisch 1994). Consequently, developing methods to increase knowledge about the ecology of these species is a high priority for their conservation.

Among the four species of otters found in Southeast Asia, L. sumatrana is of special concern, as it is the only species endemic to the region. Almost nothing is known about the biology of this species; hence its designation as "data deficient" in the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2006). Records for this species, museum or otherwise, are sparse since it was first described (Gray 1865; see Sivasothi and Nor 1994). Only recently has this species become the focus of intensive research efforts, when individuals were rediscovered during the 1990s in Malaysia (Sebastian 1995) and Thailand (Kanchanasaka 2001). Since then, the presence of Hairy-nosed otters has also been confirmed in Vietnam (Nguyen et al. 2001), Cambodia (Poole 2003), and Sumatra, Indonesia (Lubis 2005). Initial field studies (Kanchanasaka 2001) indicate that the tracks of L. sumatrana and L. lutra are quite similar, thereby making

V. E. Sidorovich

M. Stubbe Institute of Zoology, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Domplatz 4, PF 8, 06099 Halle (Saale), Germany

J. Ruiz-Olmo

Servei de Protecció i Gestió de la Fauna, Direcció general del Patrimoni Natural i del Medi Fisic, Dr. Roux 80, 08017 Barcelona, Spain

Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa, Centro de Biologia Ambiental/ Departamento de Biologia Animal, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande C2 –  $2^{\circ}$  Piso, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

Vertebrate Predation Research Group, Institute of Zoology, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Akademicheskaja Str., 27, Minsk 220072, Belarus

it difficult to distinguish the two species using this method. Moreover, the appearance and composition of feces (or spraints) from *L. sumatrana* overlaps with those from *L. lutra* and *L. perspicillata*, making species identification using this evidence equivocal at best (Kanchanasaka 2001). DNA analysis of fecal samples may provide an alternative means to unambiguously differentiate individuals from these three species. However, diagnostic molecular characters for all four species in the region must be discovered before such molecular analyses can be implemented.

Another important aspect relevant to the conservation of these otters is their taxonomy, especially with regards to the Hairy-nosed and Smooth-coated otters. Both species have had a complicated taxonomic history (see Sivasothi and Nor 1994). Although there is now general agreement that L. sumatrana is a valid species, this was not always the case. Due to the high similarity in behavior, body size and morphology of Lutra lutra and L. sumatrana, some authors classified the latter as a subspecies of L. lutra, possibly related to the Indo-Malayan Lutra lutra barang (e.g., Davis 1978; see Sivasothi and Nor 1994). The Smooth-coated otter has at times been placed in the genus Lutra (e.g., Chasen 1940; Harris 1968). However, classification of Smooth-coated otters into the genus Lutrogale is meant to reflect the distinctive differences in behavior and morphology this species exhibits compared to otters in the genus Lutra (Duplaix 1975; Hwang and Larivière 2005). Different taxonomic classifications for the same set of species can potentially affect conservation priorities and actions by altering the perceived "evolutionary value" of taxa (e.g., Avise and Nelson 1989; Daugherty et al. 1990; Bowen et al. 1991; also see Thompson 1997). For example, recognition of L. sumatrana as a distinct species elevates its conservation status considerably as opposed to its classification as a distinct subspecies of the widespread L. lutra. In general, taxonomic classifications that reflect phylogenetic relationships as accurately as possible convey more information and thus have a greater scientific and conservation value. Therefore, understanding the phylogenetic relationships among otters, and of Hairy-nosed and Smooth-coated otters in particular, will help to provide a foundation for more informed conservation management decisions.

Here, we use a multigene data set that expands upon our previous analyses (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, 2003) to investigate the molecular systematics of otters to establish a more precise taxonomy, especially with regards to Old World taxa. Furthermore, the phylogenetic relationships and genetic divergence of *Aonyx cinerea*, *Lutra lutra*, *L. sumatrana*, and *Lutrogale perspicillata* were examined in greater depth through the use of two mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) gene regions from the multigene data set and samples of two or more individuals from each of the four

taxa. The mitochondrial data set was used to develop a provisional set of diagnostic characters for identifying noninvasively collected biological samples from the four species found in Southeast Asia. Finally, we discuss the evolutionary and conservation significance of our findings.

## Materials and methods

## Study design

To estimate the phylogenetic relationships among otters distributed in Eurasia and to assess the specific status of the Hairy-nosed otter within the context of the phylogenetic species concept (e.g., Cracraft 1983), we assembled two data sets of DNA sequences for phylogenetic analysis that differed in taxon representation and sequence composition. The first data set (hereafter referred to as the multigene data set) contained 15 taxa that included 11 otter species and four outgroup species: American mink (Neovison vison), long-tailed weasel (Mustela frenata), zorilla (Ictonyx striatus), and striped weasel (Poecilogale albinucha). These four species were used as outgroups, based on their close relationship to the Lutrinae, as inferred from previous and ongoing molecular systematic studies of the Mustelidae (Koepfli and Wayne 2003; Sato et al. 2004, 2006; Flynn et al. 2005; Koepfli et al. unpublished data). This data set was composed of 15 sequence segments from 13 nuclear genes and three segments from five mitochondrial genes (11,180 bp total, after exclusion of 9 bp from one of the nuclear gene segments; see below) (see Supplementary Table 1).

The second data set (hereafter referred to as the twogene data set) contained 59 terminals, including multiple individuals of *Aonyx cinerea*, *L. lutra*, *L. sumatrana*, and *Lutrogale perspicillata*. The three otter species in the genus *Lontra* were used as outgroups based on previous research that showed this clade of otters are the sister group to the clade comprised of Old World river otters and the sea otter (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, 2003). This data set was comprised of sequences from two of the mitochondrial genes also used in the 15 taxa data set, *CYTb* and *NADH5* (1,832 bp total). This data set was also used to establish diagnostic nucleotide differences among the four species known to be distributed in Southeast Asia (see below).

# Sample collection

Tissue or hair samples were obtained from wild-caught Hairy-nosed otters in Thailand (n = 2) and Vietnam (n = 2). Hair samples from Smooth-coated otters were collected from four individuals at three different zoos: (1)

one male and one female from Khao Khiew Zoo. Chon Buri Province, Thailand, both of which originated from southern Thailand; (2) one male from Angkor Zoo, Siem Reap Province, Cambodia; and (3) one female from Tampok Zoo, southwest of Phnom Penh, Cambodia. At all three zoos, Smooth-coated otters were never kept with other species of otters, including Asian small-clawed otters, with which they are known to hybridize (Melisch and Foster-Turley 1996). Forty-one tissue samples were collected from Eurasian otters from the following localities and/or countries: Orkney Islands (n = 3), Western Wales (n = 2) and East Anglia (n = 2), United Kingdom; Mogeely (n = 1) and Rathcormac (n = 1), Ireland; Portugal (n = 4); Badajoz, Spain (n = 2); Brittany, France (n = 2); Germany (n = 2); Waldviertel, Austria (n = 2); Jutland, Denmark (n = 2); Norway (n = 3); Hungary (n = 3); Belarus (n = 2); Kavala, Greece (n = 1); Israel (n = 1); Primorski Province, Russia (n = 1); Novosibirsk Zoo, Russia (n = 1); and South Korea (n = 6). Nearly all of the Eurasian otter samples were from individuals that had been found road-killed or had died from other causes. Sample information for other otter species used in this study can be found in Koepfli and Wayne (1998, 2003).

## Laboratory methods

Total genomic DNA was extracted from hair or tissue samples using phenol chloroform methods, followed by ethanol precipitation (Sambrook et al. 1989) or using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Fifteen nuclear and three mitochondrial gene segments were amplified using published primers (see Supplementary Table 1) and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR was carried out in an MWG-Biotech Primus 96 Plus thermal cycler with the following conditions: 28-30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50-56°C for 30 s, 72°C for 45 s, and one cycle of 72°C for 5 min. Each 50 µl reaction contained 35.7  $\mu$ l sterile double-distilled water, 5  $\mu$ l 10× PCR buffer, 5 µl of 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µl of 10 mM dNTP mix, 1 µl of both 25 pM/µl forward and reverse primers, 0.3 µl Taq polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and 1 µl of 0.1-1 µg genomic DNA. All PCRs included a negative control (no DNA). PCR products were run on and excised from 1% agarose/Tris-acetic acid-EDTA gels and purified using an Ultra Clean Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Solana Beach, CA). PCR products were then cycle sequenced using the original amplification primers and either the CEQ Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Quick Start Kit (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) or the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequencing reactions were then run through either an 8-capillary CEQ 2000XL DNA Analysis System or a 48-capillary Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer.

The DNA extract from hair samples of L. perspicillata did not vield a sufficient amount of DNA for direct amplification of the nuclear gene segments. We therefore whole-genome amplified these samples using the GenomiPhi V2 DNA Amplification Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK). PCR tests with several of the nuclear primers resulted in successful amplification for one of the L. perspicillata and this sample was then used to amplify the 15 nuclear gene segments. To ensure that the wholegenome amplification process had not introduced any errors into our target sequences, we amplified the whole genome from the same individual a second time and then amplified and sequenced several nuclear loci to compare the sequences from the two samples. All sequences were identical from the two samples. Finally, we were unable to obtain clean sequence for the first  $\sim 244$  bp of the CYTb gene from the two L. perspicillata samples from Thailand and question marks were used to represent missing data for these two individuals.

The *CHRNA1* PCR product from *Lutra sumatrana* was found to contain a heterozygous 19 bp insertion and deletion (indel) that resulted in poorly resolved chromatograms when the PCR product was directly sequenced. Therefore, prior to cycle sequencing, the PCR product was cloned using a TOPO TA Cloning Kit for Sequencing and transformed in One Shot Top10 competent *Escherichia coli* cells (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). Positive clones were picked and cultured overnight and plasmid DNA was then isolated using a Wizard Miniprep Kit (Promega, Madison, WI).

## Data analyses

Sequence chromatograms were checked for accuracy and edited using Sequencher 3.1 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI). New sequences for six gene segments (APOB-29, CHRNA1, FES, GHR, RHO1, and CYTb) were combined with sequences from previous studies (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, 2003). Multiple alignments of exon and mitochondrial coding sequences were facilitated by translating these sequences into amino acids and comparing them with the orthologous human gene sequence. Multiple sequence alignments for all other gene segments were generated using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997), with default settings, and manually adjusted. For the segments that contained both exon and intron sequences, alignments were verified by checking that GT-AG splice junctions occurred at exon-intron boundaries (Breathnach et al. 1978). A 9-bp region of the WT1 gene segment, corresponding to a poly-A track of various lengths in different species, could not be aligned unambiguously and was excluded from the analyses. This reduced the multigene data set to 11,180 bp. Gaps, corresponding to indels, were introduced into the alignments of seven of the gene segments (*CHRNA1*, *FES*, *GHR*, *GNAT1*, *PLCB4*, *WT1*, and *12S-16S*). Novel sequences generated for this study were deposited in Genbank (see Supplementary Table 2 for accession numbers).

Sequences were concatenated into two data sets (the multigene and two-gene data sets, see above). For the two-gene data set, sequences from four otter species represented by multiple individuals (*Aonyx cinerea*, n = 2; *L. lutra*, n = 41, *L. sumatrana*, n = 4, and *Lutrogale perspicillata*, n = 4) were collapsed to haplotypes using the program Collapse v1.1 (available from http://www.darwin.uvigo.es). This resulted in a data set containing 30 ingroup terminals.

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods. We used PAUP\* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) to reconstruct maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees. For parsimony searches, all characters were equally weighted. Gaps were coded as present or absent (1 or 0), regardless of length, to utilize their potential phylogenetic signal (Barriel 1994). We performed heuristic searches using 100 random sequence additions, with one tree held at each step during stepwise addition, tree-bisection-reconnection branch swapping, steepest descent option not in effect, no upper bound for MaxTrees, and MulTrees option in effect. Jackknife analyses were conducted to evaluate the robustness of clades, using 10,000 replicates, with all uninformative characters excluded (Cunningham 1997), 50% of the characters deleted in each replicate and the same heuristic search conditions as described above. Branch support (BS) and partitioned branch support (PBS) for clades (Bremer 1988) were also calculated using TreeRot v2 (Sorenson 1998).

The GTR + I + G and the GTR + I models were selected as the best-fitting models of DNA substitution for the multigene and two-gene data sets, respectively, using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as implemented in Modeltest v3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998). These models and their estimated parameters were specified in maximum likelihood heuristic searches, using the same search conditions as used in the parsimony searches and gaps coded as missing. Bootstrap analyses were performed using 500 pseudoreplicates and the same search conditions as previously described, except only 5 random sequence additions were used.

We used MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for Bayesian phylogenetic analysis. The AIC as implemented in MrModelTest v2.2 (Nylander 2004) selected the HKY + G model and GTR + I model for the multigene and two-gene data sets, respectively. We analyzed the multigene data set under three different partitioning strategies to examine the effect data

partitioning has on the estimated topology: (1) unpartitioned, where all nucleotide positions in the multigene data set evolve under the same nucleotide substitution model (i.e., HKY + G); (2) two partitions, where the nuclear portion and mitochondrial portion of the multigene data set evolve under two different substitution models (HKY + I + G and GTR + I + G, respectively); and (3) 18 partitions, where the 18 gene segments evolve under their respective model of substitution, as determined using MrModelTest. For the last strategy, the GTR model was selected for each of the three mitochondrial gene segments, the K80 model was selected for three of the nuclear gene segments (CHRNA1, RAG1, WT1), the HKY model was selected for eight nuclear gene segments (exon 26 and exon 29 of APOB, fragment 2 of BRCA1, FES, GNAT1, PLCB4, RAG2, and TMEM20), the GTR model was selected for three nuclear gene segments (fragment 1 of BRCA1, GHR, and RHO1), and the SYM model was selected for the COL10A1 segment. Rate heterogeneity among nucleotide sites was accounted for by including I, G, or I+G parameters in these models. The following set of priors were used for the multigene data set: a Beta prior for the transition/transversion rate ratio, a Dirichlet prior for base frequencies, a uniform prior for the gamma shape parameter, all topologies equally probable, and unconstrained branch lengths with an exponential probability density. For the two-gene data set, we used Dirichlet priors for the six substitution rates of the GTR model, a Dirichlet prior for base frequencies, a uniform prior for the proportion of invariable sites, all topologies equally probable, and unconstrained branch lengths with an exponential probability density. For the multigene and two-gene data sets, two independent runs of four Metropolis-coupled MCMC chains were conducted for  $5 \times 10^6$  generations, sampling trees every 500 generations. For each independent run of the two data sets, the first 1,000 trees were discarded as burn-in. Tracer plots (Rambaut and Drummond 2003) and potential scale reduction factors (PSRF) of 1.00 showed that log-likelihood scores and model parameters had converged on a stationary distribution following burn-in. Further, the effective sample size values for estimates of the posterior distribution of the tree likelihood and model parameters were greater than 200, as determined with Tracer 1.3 (Rambaut and Drummond 2003). For each data set, the two independent MCMC runs generated a combined total of 18,002 trees. However, we were conservative in estimating consensus trees and clade credibility values, and thus based these estimates on a total of 15,002 trees for each data set.

We used the two-gene mtDNA data set and population aggregation analysis (Davis and Nixon 1992) to establish diagnostic nucleotide differences for the four otter species distributed in Southeast Asia. Nucleotide changes that were exclusive to each of the four species were used to identify "pure" (fixed) diagnostic characters (Davis and Nixon 1992). Using this approach, we establish a character based DNA barcode for each species that is independent of a tree-based approach to species identification (DeSalle et al. 2005).

# Results

Phylogenetic analysis of the multigene data set

Phylogenetic analysis of the 11,180 bp multigene data set using ML and BI resulted in trees with identical topologies

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood analysis of the multigene data set using the GTR + I + Gmodel  $(-\ln L = 32688.380)$ Numbered boxes denote nodes. Branch support (MP<sub>BS</sub>) and jackknife proportions (MP<sub>IP</sub>) from MP, bootstrap proportions from ML (ML<sub>BP</sub>) and clade credibility values from BI (BI<sub>CC</sub>) are shown above internodes from left to right. The clade credibility values shown are based on the unpartitioned analysis. Singleheaded arrows below internodes denote clades supported by parsimony-informative indels from particular gene segments. Gene segments are abbreviated as follows: CH = CHRNA1, GH = GHR, GN = GNAT1,PL = PLCB4, S = 12S - 16SrRNA, WT = WT1. Numbers following abbreviation indicate more than one indel for that particular gene segment. Double-headed arrow indicates that Enhydra lutris and Hydrictis maculicollis switch their positions in the MP tree. Branch lengths are proportional to number of substitutions per site (see scale bar)



(Fig. 1). Moreover, consensus tree topologies were identical among the three partitioning strategies implemented in the Bayesian analyses. The results show that *Lutra sumatrana* and *Lutrogale perspicillata* are members of a clade containing other Old World river otters (*Aonyx*, *Lutra*, and *Hydrictis*) and the sea otter (*Enhydra*) (node 4). Within this clade, *L. sumatrana* is placed as sister to *L. lutra* (node 8) whereas *Lutrogale* is placed as sister to *Aonyx cinerea* (node 7). The Old World clade is sister to a clade comprised of river otter species from the New World (*Lontra*) (node 5). Finally, the phylogenetic analyses support the placement of the giant otter (*Pteronura*) from South America as the sister to the ((Aonyx, Lutrogale, Lutra), (Lontra)) clade (node 6).

Gap/indel coding (see Materials and methods) for parsimony analysis reduced the multigene data set from 11,180 to 11,166 bp. This data set contained 1,779 variable characters and 1,172 parsimony-informative characters. Phylogenetic analysis resulted in a single most parsimonious tree of 3,353 steps and a retention index (RI) of 0.57. This tree was similar to the ML and BI trees in all respects except that the positions of Enhydra and Hydrictis were switched. In the MP tree, Enhydra was sister to the clade containing Aonyx, Lutra, and Lutrogale, whereas in the ML and BI trees, this position was occupied by Hydrictis. However, the branch separating Enhydra and Hydrictis (node 3) is the shortest internode within the ingroup and is also the least robust, with a branch support value of 1 and <50% jackknife value in the MP tree, and a bootstrap value of 86% in the ML tree (Fig. 1). The clade credibility value of node 3 was 0.95 in the unpartitioned and 18 partition Bayesian consensus trees, whereas this node was unresolved in the two-partition analysis. All other phylogenetic relationships recovered in MP, ML, and BI analyses were supported by maximum or near maximum jackknife, bootstrap, and clade credibility values, respectively. Further, several clades were supported by parsimony-informative indels. The clade that includes the

two species of Aonyx and Lutrogale was supported by a 3 bp insertion within the CHRNA1 intron, a 1 bp deletion in PLCB4 was a synapomorphy for L. lutra + L. sumatrana and a 2 bp deletion within the GHR intron supported the Lontra felina + L. longicaudis clade. Finally, PBS analyses showed that the more rapidly evolving mitochondrial gene segments contributed more phylogenetic information to the support of the multigene phylogeny than the slower evolving nuclear gene segments (Table 1). Among the 15 nuclear gene segments, WT1, RAG1, and fragment 2 of BRCA1 were the most informative. The number of gene segments contributing congruent phylogenetic signal (i.e., positive PBS values) to the 12 nodes of the MP phylogeny ranges from 3 to 18 (median = 11 gene segments). The relatively low amount of conflicting phylogenetic signal, as indicated by negative PBS values, indicates that congruence among the data partitions is generally high (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis of the two-gene data set

Analysis of the two-gene data set revealed 20 haplotypes among the 41 individuals of *L. lutra* sampled from throughout Eurasia (Table 2). Most haplotypes were restricted to single localities, although several localities

 Table 1 Partitioned branch support (PBS) values for the 12 nodes shown in Fig. 1

Partition	Node	;											% of Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
APOB26	3	1	0	0	0	-1	1	2	5	1	9	9	4.6
APOB29	0	0	0	0	0	3	-1	1	1	0	2	5	1.7
BRCA1F1	0	0	-1	-1	0.5	5	5	1	1	0	7	7	3.8
BRCA1F2	0	4	0	3	2	3	1	3.5	4	0	11	12	6.7
CHRNA1	3	-1	-1	0	-1.5	1	1	1	7	0	3	1	2.1
COL10A1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	5	5	2.5
FES	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	4	0	3	9	3.5
GHR	0	2	0	1	2.5	1	3	2	10	3	6	7	5.8
GNAT1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	0	12	2	3.2
PLCB4	0	1	0	0	-1	-1	0	1	1	1	5	1	1.2
RAG1	0	4	1	5	2	3	2	4.5	6	1	6	13	7.3
RAG2	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	6	1	2.2
RHO1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	1.2
TMEM20	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	4	4	1	4	3	3.2
WT1	0	4	2	3	4	1	2	2	8	1	14	10	7.9
СҮТВ	1	8	0	6	4	-4	17	16.5	26	20	7	18	18.4
NADH5	3	-1	0	4	-1.5	-2	13	15.5	8	16	17	3	11.6
12S/16S	3	0	0	0	1	2	23	9	15	16	4	12	12.9
Total nodal BS	17	23	1	30	12	14	69	70	109	61	124	119	

Total nodal branch support (BS) values are from Fig. 1 and % of Total indicates relative contribution of phylogenetic information from each gene segment to the support of the MP multigene phylogeny

Locality	Haj	plotyp	e																		Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Orkney I., UK	3																				3
Ireland		2																			2
W. Wales, UK	2																				2
East Anglia, UK	1	1																			2
Portugal		2		2																	4
Spain				1	1																2
France		2																			2
Germany		1				1															2
Denmark							2														2
Austria		2																			2
Norway								1	1	1											3
Hungary		2									1										3
Belarus												1	1								2
Greece														1							1
Israel															1						1
Russia																1	1				2
South Korea																		4	1	1	6
Total	3	14	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	41

Table 2 Distribution of 20 haplotypes among 41 samples of L. lutra

(e.g., Norway) were represented by multiple haplotypes. Haplotype 2, however, was found in Eurasian otters distributed across a wide area in Western Europe (Table 2). Haplotypes from L. lutra differed from one another by 1-21 bp (0.05-1.15% uncorrected distance), with the three haplotypes identified among six Eurasian otters from South Korea showing the most differences. Among the four individuals sampled from L. sumatrana, we found two haplotypes that differed by 4 bp (0.22%), with one haplotype occurring in the two individuals from Thailand and the other occurring in the two individuals from Vietnam. Three haplotypes that differed by 2-8 bp (0.11-0.50%) were found among the four individuals of Lutrogale perspicillata, with haplotype 3 being shared between two individuals, one from Cambodia and the other from Thailand. The other two haplotypes (L. perspicillata 1 and 2) were found in Smooth-coated otters from Thailand and Cambodia, respectively. Finally, the two individuals of Aonyx cinerea represented two different haplotypes that differed by 1 bp (0.06%).

Haplotypes from each of the four species formed wellsupported monophyletic groups in MP, ML, and BI analyses, using the three species of *Lontra* as outgroups (Fig. 2). Within *Lutra lutra*, the three haplotypes from South Korea (*L. lutra* 18, 19, and 20) form a wellsupported subclade that is joined with a haplotype (17) from a Eurasian otter sampled from East Anglia, UK. Most *L. lutra* haplotypes (1–16) are joined together in another subclade, with low to moderate support. Phylogenetic relationships among species in *Aonyx*, *Lutra*, and *Lutrogale* are congruent with relationships based on the much larger multigene data set. As in the phylogeny based on the latter data set, the relationships of *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* differ according to the reconstruction method used. ML and BI analyses again place *Hydrictis* sister to the clade containing *Aonyx*, *Lutra*, and *Lutrogale*, whereas MP analysis (1 tree, 1,163 steps, RI = 0.82) joins *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* into a clade. Nonetheless, both of these relationships received low support (Fig. 2).

Diagnostic nucleotides in the two-gene data set

Population aggregation analysis of the two-gene data set comprised of two or more individuals from each of the four species of otters showed that they could be distinguished by diagnostic nucleotides found in both the *CYTb* and *NADH5* genes (Tables 3, 4). As phylogenetic analyses showed that *Lutra lutra* was sister to *L. sumatrana* (Figs. 1, 2), these two species were distinguished by five nucleotides each with the *CYTb* gene and three (*L. lutra*) and four (*L. sumatrana*) nucleotides with the *NADH5* gene. Similarly, *Lutrogale perspicillata* and *Aonyx cinerea* were respectively distinguished by eight and five nonoverlapping nucleotides using the *NADH5* gene. Among the 47



diagnostic nucleotides for the four species, the majority of changes involved transition substitutions (41 transitions and 6 transversions).

# Discussion

The present study builds upon previous work that dealt either directly or indirectly with the molecular phylogenetics of otters (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, 2003). In agreement with those studies, our results show that otter species are grouped into three primary lineages: one containing the sea otter and river otters of Eurasia and Africa (*Aonyx, Enhydra, Hydrictis, Lutra*, and now *Lutrogale*); another containing river otters from North and South America (*Lontra*); and a third that includes the giant otter (*Pteronura*), which is sister to the other two lineages and forms the first divergence within the extant Lutrinae. Except for the placement of *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis*, all methods of phylogeny reconstruction recovered the same robust topology with maximal or near maximal branch support (Fig. 1). This robustness stems from the large number of phylogenetically informative characters included in the multigene data set. Of the 1,172 parsimony-informative

	4 8	7 2	1 6 8	2 0 1	3 1 5	4 7 2	4 8 6	4 9 2	5 1 6	5 6 8	6 9 3	7 0 2	7 1 7	7 9 8	8 3 1	9 1 9	9 4 2	9 7 8	1 0 3 3	1 0 3 5	1 0 5 3	1 0 6 5	1 1 4 0
Aonyx capensis	С	А	С	А	А	А	А	С	А	Т	С	С	А	С	А	С	С	А	С	С	С	А	А
Enhydra lutris			А	С						•			G					•			•		
Lontra canadensis	•		А	С						•		•						•					
Lontra felina			А	С						•								•			•		
Lontra longicaudis			А	С						•								•	•				
Hydrictis maculicollis			А	С						•	Т							•	•				
Aonyx cinerea 1			G	G	G		G			С							Т	•	Т		•	С	
Aonyx cinerea 2	•		G	G	G		G			С		•					Т	•	Т			С	
Lutra lutra 1	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 2	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 3			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 4			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 5			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 6			А								A		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 7	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 8	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 9	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 10	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 11	•		А							•	Α	•	Т		G	Т		•		Т			
Lutra lutra 12			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 13			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 14			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 15			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 16			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 17			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 18			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 19			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra lutra 20			А								Α		Т		G	Т				Т			
Lutra sumatrana 1	Т	G	А			G			G									G					
Lutra sumatrana 2	Т	G	А			G			G									G					
Lutrogale perspicillata 1	?	?	?	?				Т				Т		Т							Т		G
Lutrogale perspicillata 2			А					Т				Т		Т							Т		G
Lutrogale perspicillata 3			А					Т				Т		Т							Т		G

Table 3 Diagnostic nucleotides (bolded) in the CYTb gene that distinguish the four species of otters distributed in Southeast Asia

Nucleotide positions that are identical in state to the *Aonyx capensis* sequence are denoted with a period (.). Nucleotide position number based on position within the *CYTb* gene alignment (1-1140)

characters in the gap-coded multigene data set, 402 of these were contributed by the 15 nuclear gene segments and 770 were contributed by the three mitochondrial gene segments. The combination of slowly evolving nuclear sequences with fast evolving mitochondrial sequences results in well-resolved topologies with high statistical support for nearly all internal branches of the ingroup. However, despite the large amount of data included in the present study, the branch separating *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* remains difficult

to resolve. This difficulty may be related to the high level of homoplasy associated with such branches, as recently discussed for several well known clades whose resolution has been refractory, regardless of the amount of data applied (Rokas and Carroll 2006). Indeed, *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* both have long external branches associated with a high level of homoplasy: of the 108 substitutions that occur along the branch separating *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* in the MP analysis of the multigene data set, 91 (84%) of these are

	1	2 7	5 3	5 5	8 3	1 1 1	1 1 9	1 3 1	1 6 5	2 1 0	2 2 2	2 4 2	2 5 7	2 6 9	2 9 6	3 4 1	4 2 5	4 4 4	4 9 2	5 3 9	5 7 8	5 9 2	6 1 0	6 7 1
Aonyx capensis	T	, C	C	T	C	A	C	A	A	° C	2 C	2 C	A	A	A	A	T	G	A	C	A	A	T	A
Enhydra lutris		C	C		C		C			C	C	C	11				•	0		U		11		
Lontra canadensis	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lontra felina	•	•	•	•	•	C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lontra longicaudis	•	•	•	•	•	C	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Hydrictis maculicollis	•	•	•	•	•	U	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	U	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Aonyx cinerea 1	•	•	·	•	T	G	·	•	·	•	•	·	·	•	G	C	·	·	•	•	G	G	C	·
Aonyx cinerea 2	•	•	·	•	Т	G	·	•	·	•	•	·	·	•	G	c	·	·	•	•	G	G	c	·
Lutra lutra 1	•	A	·	•		U	·	•	·	•	•	T	G	•	U	C	·	·	•	•	U	U	C	·
Lutra lutra 2	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 3	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 4	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 5	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 6	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 7	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 8	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 9	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 10	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 10	•	A	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 12	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 12	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	T	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 13	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 14	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 15	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	T	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 10	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 18	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 18	•	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	T	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra lutra 20	·	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Т	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra sumatrana 1	•		T	C	•	•	•	•	•	Т	Т	1	G	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutra sumatrana 2	•	•	Т	c c	•	•	•	•	•	Т	Т	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lutrogale perspicillata 1	C	•	1	U	·	•	Т	G	G	1	1	·	•	T	•	•	C	А	C	T	•	•	•	G
Lutrogale perspicillata 2	c c	•	•	•	•	•	T T	G	G	•	•	•	•	T T	•	•	C C		G G	T	•	•	•	G
Lutrogale perspicillata 3	C C	•	•	•	•	•	T T	G	G	•	•	•	•	T T	•	·	C C	A A	G	T	•	•	•	G
Lurogaie perspicillata 5	U	•	•	•	•	•	I	G	G	•	•	•	•	1	•	•	U	A	G	1	•	•	•	G

Nucleotide positions that are identical in state to the *Aonyx capensis* sequence are denoted with a period (.). Nucleotide position number based on position within the *NADH5* gene alignment (1–692)

homoplastic (with a CI <1.0). The majority of the homoplastic substitutions (78/91) derive from the mitochondrial sequence data. This condition can result in long-branch attraction artifacts for methods such as parsimony (Felsenstein 1978), which may explain why *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* form a clade, albeit with low support, in the MP analysis of the two-gene data set (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, the short branch between *Enhydra* and *Hydrictis* suggests that the cladogenetic event that gave rise to these lineages occurred in rapid succession.

## Phylogenetic relationships of Lutra sumatrana

The multigene and two-gene data sets indicate that *L. lutra* and *L. sumatrana* are sister species (Figs. 1, 2), thereby confirming the suspected close relationship between these two species. Maximal values of node support across different methods of phylogenetic analyses as well as a synapomorphic 1 bp indel in the PLCB4 gene fragment support this relationship. Our results contrast with prior studies that suggested *L. sumatrana* shared a common

ancestry with *Hydrictis maculicollis*, the latter formerly classified in the genus *Lutra*, based on morphometric similarity of cranial, postcranial, and/or soft anatomical features (Pohle 1919; Pocock 1921; Van Zyll de Jong 1972, 1987). Notably, however, Van Zyll de Jong (1987) also conducted cladistic analyses using 12 anatomical characters (with 44 states) and found that *L. lutra* and *L. sumatrana* were joined as sister species in these analyses. Willemsen (1992) suggested that *L. lutra* and *L. sumatrana* may share ancestry with the extinct *L. palae-indica* (Late Pliocene or Early Pleistocene), which was uncovered in the Siwalik Hills, India.

Using multiple samples from each species, the two-gene data set indicates that L. lutra and L. sumatrana are reciprocally monophyletic and their respective branches are well supported, thus supporting the specific status of L. sumatrana. Intraspecific versus interspecific comparisons of genetic distances further supports the distinction between these two species. The 20 haplotypes we found among the 41 samples of L. lutra differ from one another by 0.05-1.15% uncorrected genetic distance (1-21 substitutions) and the two haplotypes from L. sumatrana differ by 0.22% (4 substitutions). The difference between L. lutra and L. sumatrana, however, ranges from 5.68 to 6.17% (104-113 substitutions), clearly indicating that the intraspecific distances do not overlap with the interspecific distance. Further, L. sumatrana is distinguished from L. lutra by 9 (exclusive) diagnostic nucleotide characters in the two-gene data set (see below). Incidentally, the two species differ by 0.39% uncorrected genetic distance (32 substitutions) in the nuclear portion and 4.82% (139 substitutions) in the mitochondrial portion of the multigene data set.

Morphologically, L. sumatrana is distinguished from L. lutra by a hair-covered rhinarium (nose pad) and facial pelage coloration (Pocock 1941). Our sampling of L. lutra did not include the putative subspecies L. l. barang, which is thought to be distributed in Thailand, Vietnam, and Sumatra (type locality) (Pocock 1941; but see Sivasothi and Nor 1994). We were therefore unable to assess the relationship of this taxon to L. sumatrana. Even so, skull length measurements of L. l. barang and L. sumatrana from multiple localities indicate that the skull of L. l. barang is shorter (condylobasal length =97-106 mm) than that of L. sumatrana (condylobasal length = 100-119 mm) (Pocock 1941). Sivasothi and Nor (1994) also noted that the skull of L. sumatrana was longer and flatter than that of L. lutra. Collectively, these morphological differences reinforce the hypothesis suggested by the genetic data that L. sumatrana and L. lutra are distinct species, although samples from L. l. barang will be necessary to corroborate this hypothesis.

## Phylogenetic relationships of Lutrogale perspicillata

The phylogenetic affinities of the Smooth-coated otter (L. perspicillata) have long been a puzzle ever since the species was first described by Geoffroy St.-Hillaire (1826) and named Lutra perspicillata. Gray (1865) later reclassified the species as Lutrogale macrodus, but it was not until Pocock (1940) that the current name was first used. Since then, some authors have considered Lutrogale synonoymous with Lutra (e.g., Chasen 1940) or as a subgenus of Lutra (e.g., Ellerman and Morrison-Scott 1966; Harris 1968; Payne et al. 1985) whereas others recognize Lutrogale as a monotypic genus (Pocock 1941; Van Zyll De Jong 1972, 1987; Corbet and Hill 1992; Willemsen 1986, 1992; Wozencraft 2005). Lutrogale is distinguished from Lutra by having a short, smooth pelage, a highly arched skull with large orbits set more anteriorly and laterally, a shortened rostrum, larger teeth, and a tail that is dorsoventrally flattened distally with distinctive integumentary keels (Pohle 1919; Pocock 1941; Harris 1968; Willemsen 1980, 1992; Hwang and Larivière 2005). The characters of the skull, teeth and tail in Lutrogale are shared with Pteronura (the giant otter, which has a completely keeled tail) and morphometric or cladistic analyses of morphology, as well as comparisons of behavior and vocalizations among lutrines, have suggested a relationship between these genera (Van Zyll de Jong 1972, 1987; Duplaix 1980). Nonetheless, other studies have suggested that Lutrogale evolved from Lutra-like ancestors (Pohle 1919; Willemsen 1992).

Our results do not support either of these hypotheses and instead indicate that Lutrogale shares common ancestry with Aonyx, forming a highly supported sister relationship with A. cinerea (Figs. 1, 2). Placement of Lutrogale within Aonyx is also supported by a synapomorphic indel in the CHRNA1 gene segment. The uncorrected genetic distance between A. cinerea and Lutrogale is 0.217% (18 substitutions) for the nuclear portion and 6.07% (175 substitutions) for the mitochondrial portion of the multigene data set. The pairing of these two species is surprising given their considerable morphological and ecological differences. The body mass of Lutrogale is two to three times larger than that of A. cinerea (7-11 vs. <3.5 kg, respectively) (Larivière 2003; Hwang and Larivière 2005). Lutrogale has well-developed claws and fully webbed forefeet and hindfeet whereas the claws are rudimentary in adults and the feet incompletely webbed in A. cinerea. These features correlate well with the diet and foraging mode of each species. Lutrogale is highly piscivorous, swimming after fish and catching them in their mouths (Kruuk et al. 1994; Sivasothi and Nor 1994; Kanchansaka 1997; Hwang and Larivière 2005). In contrast, A. cinerea mainly eats crabs and other shellfish and uses its sensitive forefeet to find its

prey under rocks or sediment within rivers and streams (Kruuk et al. 1994; Sivasothi and Nor 1994; Kanchansaka 1997; Larivière 2003).

Despite these differences between *Lutrogale* and *Aonyx* cinerea, our molecular results showing they are sister taxa are consistent with several observations. First, A. cinerea and L. perspicillata are known to hybridize in captivity (female A. cinerea x male L. perspicillata), resulting in viable hybrids intermediate in size between the two parent species (Melisch and Foster-Turley 1996). Both species have 2n = 38 chromosomes, which is found in other lutrines and mustelids and may represent the ancestral karyotype number for the family (Wurster and Benirschke 1968; Couterier and Dutrillaux 1986). Second, both Aonyx and Lutrogale share a similar brain structure, with an enlargement of the lateral part of the posterior sigmoid gyrus, which suggests high tactile sensitivity of the forelimb and correlates with the foraging mode in Aonyx (Radinsky 1968; Willemsen 1980). Third, Pleistocene fossil remains from Java, Indonesia, consisting of robust teeth and attributed to the extinct taxa Lutrogale palaeoleptonyx and L. robusta suggest that these earlier forms fed mainly on shellfish (Willemsen 1986, 1992). This, combined with the fact that both A. cinerea and A. capensis (the Cape clawless otter of sub-Saharan Africa) both feed primarily on crabs (although both species supplement their diet with fish, Lubis et al. 1998; Larivière 2001), suggests that L. perspicillata evolved piscivory secondarily from ancestors that were durophagous.

The placement of Lutrogale perspicillata as sister to Aonyx cinerea renders Aonyx paraphyletic. Given that Aonyx (Lesson 1827) has priority over Lutrogale (Gray 1865), one suggestion for taxonomic revision would be that Lutrogale be reclassified as Aonyx perspicillata. However, the descriptive name Aonyx, meaning "without claw," would be inappropriate for L. perspicillata because of its well-developed claws. An alternative would be to reclassify A. cinerea and L. perspicillata in Amblonyx (Rafinesque 1832) (meaning "blunt claw"), based on our present findings that these are joined as sister species (Fig. 1). Our previous studies (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, 2003) showed that A. capensis and Amblonyx cinereus (now Aonyx cinerea) were sister taxa, but samples of L. perspicillata were not available at the time. Therefore, based on these results, we suggested that generic separation of Amblonyx and Aonyx was unwarranted (Koepfli and Wayne 1998, p. 413), and this was used by Wozencraft (2005) to place Amblonyx cinereus in Aonyx. This taxonomic scheme is no longer tenable, however, given the phylogenetic position of L. perspicillata in the present study. Consequently, we suggest that placement of the Asiatic Aonyx cinerea and L. perpicillata into Amblonyx reflects the monophyly of these species as well as their separation from the African Aonyx capensis. If Amblonyx is indeed resurrected for A. cinerea, the species epithet cinereus should be used because it agrees with the gender of the genus name, as first noted by van Zyll de Jong (1987). Furthermore, following the tribal classification of the Lutrinae proposed by Willemsen (1992), we suggest that Lutrogale be transferred from the Lutrini to the Aonyxini, which would then include Aonyx capensis, Aonyx cinerea, and Lutrogale perspicillata.

Diagnostic nucleotides for Southeast Asian otters

We have established a panel of diagnostic nucleotides for each of the four species of otters found in the region using CYTb and NADH5 mitochondrial gene segments (Tables 3 and 4). These sets of DNA characters can be used as a character-based barcode (sensu DeSalle et al. 2005) to help identify if one or more otter species are present at a particular site, using DNA samples from feces, hair, and/or anal jelly (see Hájková et al. 2006). The diagnostic nucleotides can be assayed using direct sequencing of PCR products or through the design of species-specific primers. Alternatively, they can be used in conjunction with restriction enzymes to develop a species-specific PCR-RFLP assay, which has been found to be especially useful for analyzing low amounts of degraded DNA (e.g., Gómez-Moliner et al. 2004). The diagnostic nucleotides for the four species we report here should be considered provisional and are subject to corroboration or falsification as new sequences from additional individuals are added. This condition is common to any character-based taxonomic barcode, whether based on molecular or morphological characters (DeSalle et al. 2005).

Habitats and their biota throughout Southeast Asia are facing severe threats, especially from deforestation (Sodhi et al. 2004). In order to effectively design and implement conservation plans for any species, it is essential to know where they are found. Compared to other regions of the world where the distribution of otters is relatively well known, the distribution and abundance of the four species of otters in Southeast Asia is of immediate concern. We hope the description of diagnostic sequence sites for species identification will catalyze additional geographic surveys. When combined with information collected from tracks and camera traps (Kruuk et al. 1993), fecal DNA methods can provide a powerful tool in species identification and monitoring (Farrell et al. 2000; Davison et al. 2002).

Acknowledgements This paper is dedicated with great fondness to the late Claus Reuther for his tireless efforts on behalf of otter conservation worldwide and for his unwavering encouragement and guidance of those doing research on otters and their habitats. He will be sorely missed. We are very grateful to John F. Dallas and Chris Mason for providing tissue samples from *Lutra lutra*, and to Gerard Willemsen for valuable discussion and insights on otter taxonomy. We thank Graham Slater for review and comments on the manuscript. This study was supported by a National Science Foundation grant (BSR 0614585) awarded to R.K. Wayne.

# References

- Amrine-Madsen H, Koepfli K-P, Wayne RK, Springer MS (2003) A new phylogenetic marker apolipoprotein B provides compelling evidence for eutherian relationships. Mol Phylogenet Evol 28:225–240
- Avise JC, Nelson WS (1989) Molecular genetic relationships of the extinct dusky seaside sparrow. Science 243:646–648
- Barriel V (1994) Molecular phylogenies and how to code insertion/ deletion events. Life Sci 317:693–701
- Bowen BW, Meylan AB, Avise JC (1991) Evolutionary distinctiveness of the endangered Kemp's ridley sea turtle. Nature 352:709–711
- Breathnach R, Benoist C, O'Hare K, Gannon F, Chambon P (1978) Ovalbumin gene: evidence for a leader sequence in mRNA and DNA sequences at the exon-intron boundaries. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:4853–4857
- Bremer K (1988) The limits of amino acid sequence data in angiosperm phylogenetic reconstruction. Evolution 42:795–803
- Brouillette JA, Andrew JR, Venta PJ (2000) Estimate of nucleotide diversity in dogs with a pool-and-sequence method. Mamm Genome 11:1079–1086
- Chasen FN (1940) A handlist of Malaysian mammals. Bull Raffles Mus 15:1–109
- Conroy J, Melisch R, Chanin P (1998) The distribution and status of the Eurasian Otter (*Lutra lutra*) in Asia—a preliminary review. IUCN Otter Spec Group Bull 15:15–30
- Corbet GB, Hill JE (1992) The mammals of the Indomalayan Region: a systematic review. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Couturier J, Dutrillaux B (1986) Evolution chromosomique chez les Carnivores. Mammalia 50:124–162
- Cracraft J (1983) Species concepts and speciation analysis. Curr Ornithol 1:159–187
- Cunningham CW (1997) Is congruence between data partitions a reliable predictor of phylogenetic accuracy? Empirically testing an iterative procedure for choosing among phylogenetic methods. Syst Biol 46:464–478
- Daugherty CH, Cree A, Hay JM, Thompson MB (1990) Neglected taxonomy and continuing extinctions of tuatara (*Sphenodon*). Nature 347:177–179
- Davis JA (1978) A classification of otters. In: Duplaix N (ed) Proceedings of the first working meeting of the Otter Specialist Group. IUCN, Morges, pp 14–33
- Davis JI, Nixon KC (1992) Populations genetic variation and the delimitation of phylogenetic species. Syst Biol 41:421–435
- Davison A, Birks JDS, Brookes RC, Braithwaite TC, Messenger JE (2002) On the origin of faeces: morphological versus molecular methods for surveying rare carnivores from their scats. J Zool (Lond) 257:141–143
- DeSalle R, Egan MG, Siddall M (2005) The unholy trinity: taxonomy species delimitation and DNA barcoding. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 360:1905–1916
- Dragoo JW, Honeycutt RL (1997) Systematics of mustelid-like carnivores. J Mammal 78:426–443
- Duplaix N (1975) River otters in captivity. In: Martin RD (ed) Breeding endangered Species in captivity. Academic Press, London, pp 315–327

- Duplaix N (1980) Observations on the ecology and behavior of the giant river otter *Pteronura brasiliensis* in Suriname. Revue d'Écologie: La Terre et la Vie 34:495–620
- Ellerman JR, Morrison-Scott TCS (1966) Checklist of palaearctic and Indian mammals 1758 to 1946, 2nd edn. British Museum (Natural History), London
- Farrell LE, Roamn J, Sunquist ME (2000) Dietary separation of sympatric carnivores identified by molecular analysis of scats. Mol Ecol 9:1583–1590
- Felsenstein J (1978) Cases in which parsimony and compatibility methods will be positively misleading. Syst Zool 27:401–410
- Flynn JJ, Finarelli JA, Zehr S, Hsu J, Nedbal MA (2005) Molecular phylogeny of the Carnivora (Mammalia): assessing the impact of increased sampling on resolving enigmatic relationships. Syst Biol 54:317–337
- Foster-Turley P, Santiapillai C (1990) Action plan for Asian Otters. In: Foster-Turley P, Macdonald S, Mason C (eds) Otters: an action plan for their conservation. IUCN/SSC Otter Specialist Group, Gland, Switzerland, pp 52–63
- Geoffroy St-Hilaire I (1826) Loutre Dictionnaire Classique d-Histoire Naturelle 9:515–520
- Gómez-Moliner BJ, Cabria MT, Rubines J, Garin I, Madeira MJ, Elejalde A, Aihartza J, Fournier P, Palazón S (2004) PCR-RFLP identification of mustelid species: European mink (*Mustela lutreola*) American mink (*M vison*) and polecat (*M putorius*) by analysis of excremental DNA. J Zool (Lond) 262:311–316
- Gray JE (1865) Revision of the genera and species of Mustelidae in the British Museum. Proc Zool Soc Lond 1865:100–154
- Hájková P, Zemánová B, Bryja J, Hájek B, Roche K, Tkadlec E, Zima J (2006) Factors affecting success of PCR amplification of microsatellite loci from otter faeces. Mol Ecol Notes 6:559–562
- Hansen MM, Jacobsen L (1999) Identification of mustelid species: otter (*Lutra lutra*) American mink (*Mustela vison*) and polecat (*Mustela putorius*) by analysis of DNA from faecal samples. J Zool (Lond) 247:177–181
- Harris CJ (1968) Otters: a study of the recent Lutrinae. Weidenfeld and Nicoloson, London
- Hwang YT, Larivière S (2005) Lutrogale perspicillata. Mammal Species 786:1–4
- IUCN (2006) 2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland
- Jiang Z, Priat C, Galibert F (1998) Traced orthologous amplified sequence tags (TOASTs) and mammalian comparative maps. Mamm Genome 9:577–587
- Kanchanasaka B (1997) Ecology of otters in the upper Khwae Yai River Thung Yai Naresuan Wildlife Sanctuary Thailand. Nat Hist Bull Siam Soc 45:79–92
- Kanchanasaka B (2001) Tracks and other signs of the Hairy-nosed otter (*Lutra sumatrana*). IUCN Otter Spec Group Bull 18:57–63
- Koepfli K-P, Wayne RK (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of otters (Carnivora: Mustelidae) based on mitochondrial cytochrome b sequences. J Zool (Lond) 246:401–416
- Koepfli K-P, Wayne RK (2003) Type I STS markers are more informative than cytochrome b in phylogenetic reconstruction of the Mustelidae (Mammalia: Carnivora). Syst Biol 52:571–593
- Koepfli K-P, Gompper ME, Eizirik E, Ho C-C, Linden L, Maldonado JE, Wayne RK (2007) Phylogeny of the Procyonidae (Mammalia: Carnivora): molecules morphology and the Great American Interchange. Mol Phylogenet Evol 43:1076–1095
- Kruuk H, Kanchanasaka B, O'Sullivan S, Wanghongsa S (1993) Identification of tracks and other sign of three species of otter *Lutra lutra L perspicillata* and *Aonyx cinerea* in Thailand. Nat Hist Bull Siam Soc 41:23–30
- Kruuk H, Kanchanasaka B, O'Sullivan S, Wanghongsa S (1994) Niche separation in three sympatric otters *Lutra perspicillata*

*L lutra* and *Aonyx cinerea* in Huai Kha Khaeng Thailand. Biol Conserv 69:115–120

Larivière S (2003) Amblonyx cinerea. Mammal Species 720:1-5

- Lekagul B, McNeely JA (1988) Mammals of Thailand. Darnsutha Press, Thailand
- Lesson RP (1827) Manuel de mammalogie. Roret Paris 1:153-157
- Lindblad-Toh K, Wade CM, Mikkelsen TS, Karlsson EK, Jaffe DB et al (2005) Genome sequence comparative analysis and haplotype structure of the domestic dog. Nature 438:803–819
- López-Giráldez F, Gómez-Moliner BJ, Marmi J, Domingo-Roura X (2005) Genetic distinction of American and European mink (*Mustela vison* and *M lutreola*) and European polecat (*M putorius*) hair samples by detection of a species-specific SINE and a RFLP assay. J Zool (Lond) 265:405–410
- Lubis IR (2005) First recent record of Hairy-nosed otter in Sumatra Indonesia. IUCN Otter Spec Group Bull 22:14–20
- Lubis IR, Melisch R, Rosmalawati S (1998) Food analysis of Asian small-clawed otter (*Aonyx cinerea*) and Smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*) from spraints in the Pamanukan mangroves West Java Indonesia. In: Gossow H, Kranz A (eds) Otters and fish farms. Proceedings International Workshop Litschau 8th–9th Feb 1996 Austria. Boku-Rep Wildl Res Game Manage 14:95–105
- Lyons LA, Laughlin TF, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, Womack JE, O'Brien SJ (1997) Comparative anchor tagged sequences (CATS) for integrative mapping of mammalian genomes. Nat Genet 15:47–56
- Mason C, Macdonald S (1990) Conclusions and priorities for otter conservation. In: Foster-Turley P, Macdonald S, Mason C (eds) Otters: an action plan for their conservation. IUCN/SSC Otter Specialist Group, Gland, Switzerland, pp 80–89
- Melisch R (1995) Zur Biologie und Erhaltung der Otter (Carnivora Mustelidae) in Westjava Indonesien Diplomarbeit. Institut für Zoologie Universität, Hohenheim, Stuttgart
- Melisch R, Foster-Turley P (1996) First record of hybridisation in otters (Lutrinae: Mammalia) between Smooth-coated otter Lutrogale perspicillata (Geoffroy 1826) and Asian small-clawed otter Aonyx cinerea (Illiger 1815). Zool Gart 66:284–288
- Melisch R, Lubis IR (1998) Otters and their role in ricefields and fishponds of West Java Indonesia. In: Gossow H, Kranz A (eds) Otters and fish farms. Proceedings International Workshop Litschau 8th–9th Feb 1996 Austria. Boku-Rep Wildl Res Game Manage 14:23–32
- Murphy WJ, Eizirik E, Johnson WE, Zhang Y-P, Ryder OA, O'Brien SJ (2001) Molecular phylogenetics and the origin of placental mammals. Nature 409:614–618
- Nguyen XD, Pham TA, Le HT (2001) New information about the Hairy-nosed otter (*Lutra sumatrana*) in Vietnam. IUCN Otter Spec Group Bull 18:64–75
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2 Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre Uppsala University
- Payne J, Francis CM, Phillips K (1985) Field guide to the mammals of Borneo. The Sabah Society with World Wildlife Fund, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur
- Pilot M, Gralak B, Goszczynski J, Posluszny M (2007) A method of genetic identification of pine marten (*Martes martes*) and stone marten (*Martes foina*) and its application to faecal samples. J Zool (Lond) 271:140–147
- Pocock RI (1921) On the external characters of some species of Lutrinae (otters). Proc Zool Soc Lond 1921:535–546
- Pocock RI (1940) Notes on some British Indian otters with descriptions of two new subspecies. J Bombay Nat Hist Soc 41:514–517
- Pocock RI (1941) The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma Mammalia 2. Taylor and Francis, London

- Pohle H (1919) Die Unterfamilie der Lutrinae. Archiv für Naturgeschichte 85A:1–249
- Poole CM (2003) The first records of Hairy-nosed otter Lutra sumatrana from Cambodia with notes on the national status of three other otter species. Nat Hist Bull Siam Soc 51:273–280
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818
- Radinsky LB (1968) Evolution of somatic sensory specialization in otter brains. J Comp Neurol 134:495–506
- Rafinesque CS (1832) Description of a new otter, *Lutra concolor*, from Assam in Asia. Atlantic J 1:62
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2003) Tracer v1.3. Available at http://www.evolvezoooxacuk/
- Riddle AE, Pilgrim KL, Mills LS, McKelvey KS, Ruggiero LF (2003) Identification of mustelids using mitochondrial DNA and noninvasive sampling. Conserv Genet 4:241–243
- Rokas A, Carroll SB (2006) Bushes in the tree of life. PLoS Biol 4:1899–1904
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574
- Rudyanto MR, Melisch R (1994) Dua laporan tentang perburuan dan perdagangan berang-berang di Sumatera [Two reports of otter hunting and trade from Sumatra]. In: PHPA/AWB-INDONESIA (eds) Prosiding Simposium Pertama Mengenai Berang-berang die Indonesia Peranan Berang-berang bagi manusia Bogor, 7th April 1994, PHPA/Asian Wetland Bureau-Indonesia, Bogor, pp 99–103
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatus T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York
- Sato JJ, Hosoda T, Wolsan M, Suzuki H (2004) Molecular phylogeny of arctoids (Mammalia: Carnivora) with emphasis on phylogenetic and taxonomic positions of the ferret-badgers and skunks. Zool Sci 21:111–118
- Sato JJ, Wolsan M, Suzuki H, Hosoda T, Yamaguchi Y, Hiyama K, Kobayashi M, Minami S (2006) Evidence from nuclear DNA sequences sheds light on the phylogenetic relationships of Pinnipedia: single origin with affinity to Musteloidea. Zool Sci 23:125–146
- Schwartz MK, Luikart G, Waples RS (2007) Genetic monitoring as a promising tool for conservation and management. Trends Ecol Evol 22:25–33
- Sebastian AJ (1995) The Hairy-nosed otter in Peninsular Malaysia. IUCN Otter Spec Group Bull 11:3
- Sivasothi N, Nor BHM (1994) A review of otters (Carnivora: Mustelidae: Lutrinae) in Malaysia and Singapore. Hydrobiologia 285:151–170
- Sodhi NS, Koh LP, Brook BW, Ng PKL (2004) Southeast Asian biodiversity: an impending disaster. Trends Ecol Evol 19:654– 660
- Sorenson M (1998) TreeRot version 2. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods) Version 4.0. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Teeling EC, Scally M, Kao DJ, Romagnoli ML, Springer MS (2000) Molecular evidence regarding the origin of echolocation and flight in bats. Nature 403:188–192
- Thompson FC (1997) Names: the keys to biodiversity. In: Reaka-Kudla ML, Wilson DE, Wilson EO (eds) Biodiversity II: understanding and protecting our biological resources. John Henry Press, Washington DC, pp 199–211
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 24:4876–4882

<sup>1603</sup> 

Larivière S (2001) Aonyx capensis. Mammal Species 671:1-6

- van Zyll de Jong CG (1972) A systematic review of the Nearctic and Neotropical river otters (Genus *Lutra* Mustelidae Carnivora). Roy Ontario Mus Life Sci Contrib 80, 104 pp
- van Zyll de Jong CG (1987) A phylogenetic study of the Lutrinae (Carnivora; Mustelidae) using morphological data. Can J Zool 65:2536–2544
- Venta PJ, Brouillette JA, Yuzbasiyan-Gurkan V, Brewer GJ (1996) Gene-specific universal mammalian sequence-tagged sites: application to the canine genome. Biochem Genet 34: 321–341
- Willemsen GF (1980) Comparative study of the functional morphology of some Lutrinae especially *Lutra lutra Lutrogale perspicillata* and

the Pleistocene *Isolalutra cretensis*. Proc Konink Ned Akad van Wetenschappen B 83:289–326

- Willemsen GF (1986) *Lutrogale palaeoleptonyx* (Dubois 1908) a fossil otter from Java in the Dubois collection. Proc Konink Ned Akad van Wetenschappen B 89: 195–200
- Willemsen GF (1992) A revision of the Pliocene and Quaternary Lutrinae from Europe. Scr Geol 101:1–115
- Wozencraft WC (2005) Order Carnivora. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM (eds) Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 279–348
- Wurster DH, Benirschke K (1968) Comparative cytogenetic studies in the order Carnivora. Chromosoma 24:336–382