Molecular diversity and specificity of acoel worms associated with corals in the Gulf of Eilat (Red Sea)
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ABSTRACT: Epizoic acoel worms are known to inhabit several stony coral genera and one soft coral genus in Eilat (Red Sea). Such acoels were recently found to belong to the genus Waminoa and a new species was described: W. brickneri. Worms isolated from several coral species exhibited variability in size and reproductive state, suggesting the presence of more than one species of Waminoa. In the present study we examined the 18S rRNA gene sequences of worms from 6 genera of stony corals from the Gulf of Eilat to reveal the genetic variability of the worms and to study the specificity between these worms and the corals on which they live. The sequences obtained were found to be genetically similar, and they formed a distinct cluster with the 18S rRNA gene sequence of W. brickneri. Our results demonstrate that corals belonging to 6 different genera harbored genetically similar worms and thus suggest the existence of low specificity between worms and their coral hosts.
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INTRODUCTION

The Acoela comprise an extremely diverse taxon of small (~0.5 to 10 mm long), cryptic, almost exclusively marine worms (Hooge & Tyler 2005). They are a morphologically varied group of soft-bodied worms (Hooge et al. 2002) lacking a gut cavity and protonephridia (Tyler 2003). Acoels have been reported to inhabit both pelagic and littoral environments (McCoy & Balzer 2002) and are known to include species that are epibenthic, epiphytic (Hooge & Tyler 2006) and epizoic on live coral colonies (Trench & Winsor 1987, Winsor 1990, Barneah et al. 2007a).

Acoel worm phylogeny and their relation to other bilaterians have been extensively studied in the last decade (Ruiz-Trillo et al. 1999, Baguñà & Riutort 2004, Ruiz-Trillo et al. 2004, Philippe et al. 2011). The phylogenetic work on this group is currently being carried out on 2 levels: phylum and family/genus. Traditionally classified as an order of the Platyhelminthes (Ruiz-Trillo et al. 1999), acoels were later placed in a new phylum (together with Nemertodermatida) called Acoelomorpha (Baguñà & Riutort 2004), which was suggested to represent the most basal bilaterian-triploblastic taxon. The findings of a recent phylogenetic study by Philippe et al. (2011) suggest that Acoelomorpha is a sister group of Xenoturbellida (a group of marine worms related to the Deutorostomes), and together they constitute an early branch of Bilateria named Xenacoelomorpha, which is, in turn, the sister group of the Ambulacraria (hemichordates and echinoderms).

Researchers have devoted intensive efforts to obtain finer resolutions of the systematics of the acoel species in several families (Hooge et al. 2002, Hooge & Tyler 2005). One such work is that by Hooge & Tyler (2005), who re-organized and revised the largest acoel family, the Convolutidae, which con-
tains one-third of the Acoela species including the genus *Waminoa*, the focus of the present study. In a phylogenetic investigation of the Acoela using the nuclear 18S rRNA gene, Hooge et al. (2002) produced a gene tree that revealed a polyphyletic Convolutidae, with larger, endosymbiont-containing acoels grouped separately from small-bodied species. The latter were eventually moved to a new family, the Isodiametridae.

*Waminoa brickneri* (Ogunlana et al. 2005) is a newly discovered species from the reefs of Eilat (Red Sea) and the second described species in this genus. Worms belonging to this genus are epizoic on living corals in the Gulf of Eilat, where they were detected on 13 species of stony corals and on one soft coral species at depths ranging from 2 to 50 m and exhibiting wide variability in size and reproductive state (Barneah et al. 2007a).

The presence of *Waminoa* worms on live coral colonies prompted several hypotheses about the nature of this coral worm association, one of which was that the worms feed on the coral’s mucus (Barneah et al. 2007a). This assumption was recently corroborated by the study performed by Naumann et al. (2010). The authors developed a novel labeling technique using stable isotope tracers (13C and 15N) that enabled the traceability of 15N-labeled mucus. Results from their study clearly indicated that 15N-labeled mucus compounds were transferred into *Waminoa* worms, while the continuous increase of δ15N by incorporation of mucus-N within the worms provided the first evidence for the utilization of coral mucus as a food source by *Waminoa*.

*Waminoa* worms possess 2 distinct types of dinoflagellate algal symbionts within their cells: small symbionts 5 to 10 µm in diameter, identified as belonging to the genus *Symbiodinium* (Barneah et al. 2007a) and larger *Amphidinium* symbionts 12 to 20 µm in diameter (O. Barneah unpubl. data). The initial hypothesis that the worms retrieve their algal symbionts from their coral hosts during feeding was examined using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) profiles of the ITS2 region of *Symbiodinium* derived from coral hosts and their resident worms. The results revealed that corals and worms possess different phylotypes of *Symbiodinium* and that worms from different coral hosts can harbor non-identical strains of *Symbiodinium* algae (Barneah et al. 2007a). The variation in size and reproductive state together with the possession of variable strains of *Symbiodinium* by different worms and our previous finding regarding the maternal transmission of algal symbionts (Barneah et al. 2007b) led us to hypothesize that there is more than one species of *Waminoa* associated with corals in the Gulf of Eilat.

To date, worms belonging to the genus *Waminoa* and similar epizoic worms are virtually unstudied in terms of genetic diversity. The aim of the present study was to examine the genetic diversity of acoel worms epizoic on different genera of corals in the Gulf of Eilat using 18S rRNA gene sequences.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field observations and collection of worms**

Fieldwork was performed by SCUBA diving on the reef across from the Inter-University Institute for Marine Sciences. Worms were removed from the corals by means of mild streams of water blown over the coral surface using a plastic pipette. The worms were sucked into the pipette and transferred underwater to sealed 50 ml plastic flasks. In the event that a single worm was collected, the worm was transferred underwater into an Eppendorf tube. In the laboratory, water was removed from the flasks (with the worms still attached to the plastic surface) and the worm-containing flasks were immediately frozen (−20°C).

**DNA extraction**

In the first phase of this research several worms (10 to 15) from each coral host were pooled together into a single DNA extraction. In a later stage, DNA was successfully extracted from single worms. Frozen samples were thawed and centrifuged for 1 min at maximal speed to pellet the worms. Excess water was removed. Genomic DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil purification kit (Mo Bio Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**PCR amplification**

Total DNA was used for the amplification of a ~1.4 kb fragment encompassing part of the 18S rRNA gene using universal 18S rRNA gene primers for eukaryotes: forward NSF370/18, AGG GYT CGA YYC CGG AGA and reversed NSR1787/18, CYG CAG GTT CAC CTA CRG with a Biometra TGradient thermocycler. Reaction mixtures included a 12.5 ml ReddyMix (PCR Master mix containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and a 0.2 mM concentration of each deoxynu-
Sequence analysis

MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) version 3.1 (Kumar et al. 2004) was used to de-replicate the libraries of 18S rRNA gene sequences for subsequent analyses, by comparing all the sequences in a data set to each other and grouping similar sequences together by ≥97% similarity. The first sequence from each group was chosen as a representative to be used in the phylogenetic analysis. All 18S rRNA gene sequences of each group were first compared with those in the GenBank database with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST, http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Sequences that were identified as non-acoel were discarded (e.g. algae or coral). Chimeric sequences were identified and removed using Bellerophon (Huber et al. 2004). The representative sequences from each of the libraries were aligned using ClustalW with the MEGA package (Kumar et al. 2004) and positions not sequenced in all isolates or with alignment uncertainties were removed. Sequences that were used for the construction of phylogenetic trees were ~500 bp long. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987) in the MEGA package (Kumar et al. 2004). Bootstrap re-sampling analysis (Felsenstein 1985) for 100 replicates was performed to estimate the confidence of tree topologies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Acoel worms were collected from 14 different stony coral colonies belonging to 6 genera, and 14 18S rRNA gene libraries were subsequently constructed (Table 1) using universal 18S rRNA primers, yielding 75 sequences (accession numbers: EU884315–EU884385, EU980317–EU980324). All the sequences obtained, including those that originated from single worms, showed high similarity (98 to 99%) to the 18S rRNA gene sequence of Waminoa brickneri (AJ875221) and clustered together in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). It is therefore suggested that this species is the most prevalent in the coral reef of Eilat. The dominance of W. brickneri worms on coral

Table 1. Worm samples collected from different coral colonies. See ‘Materials and methods’ for explanation of library labels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection date (dd.mm.yy)</th>
<th>Coral genus</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Library label</th>
<th>No. of sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.06.07</td>
<td>Acanthastrea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acan2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.06.07</td>
<td>Acanthastrea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acan3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.06.07</td>
<td>Echinophyllia</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Echi45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Plesiastrea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ples1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Plesiastrea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ples5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Faviafavus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fav4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Faviafavus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fav7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Faviafavus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fav8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.07</td>
<td>Siderastrea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sid9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.12.08</td>
<td>Echinopora</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>A3 (single)-Echi</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.12.08</td>
<td>Plesiastrea</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C6 (single)-Ples</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.12.08</td>
<td>Favites</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>E5 (single)-Favites</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.08</td>
<td>Siderastrea</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>M11 (single)-Sid</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.08</td>
<td>Siderastrea</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Q8 (single)-Sid</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
colonies belonging to 6 different genera suggests that we are witnessing low specificity between worms and corals. The recent findings about the nutrition of Waminoa worms on coral mucus (Naumann et al. 2010) together with previous findings regarding the non-identical Symbiodinium strains of coral hosts and their worms (hence showing that the worms do not retrieve algae by ingesting coral tissue) suggest that the worms are generalists in terms of their food-choice and thus are occupying different coral genera. Furthermore, similar worms were observed living on sea anemones (Actinaria) (data not shown), which belong to a different order in the phylum Cnidaria, demonstrating further flexibility in their host choice. Although acoel worms can occasionally occupy large areas on the tissue of live coral colonies (Barneah et al. 2007a) and can inhabit a variety of coral species, it was not until 2 decades ago that these worms became a focus of biological interest (Trench & Winsor 1987). Reports regarding acoels containing algal symbionts that are epizoic on corals include several taxa: Haplodiscus sp. from reefs near Micronesia (Trench & Winsor 1987), Waminoa sp. 1, 2, W. litus, and Convolutriloba hastifera from North Queensland, Australia (Winsor 1990). Haplodiscus sp. contains 2 distinct algal symbionts within the same host cell. This worm was described as pelagic, but spends part of its life cycle on the stony coral Porites (Trench & Winsor 1987). It is possible that pelagic individuals were identified as species of Haplodiscus and the benthic ones as Waminoa (Ogunlana et al. 2005). Thus, although it is likely that species of Haplodiscus sp. may be synonymous with species of Waminoa, verification of this assumption awaits the finding of more live material from both genera (Ogunlana et al. 2005).

The above-mentioned examples are mostly anecdotal and in most cases there are no accompanying molecular data available. The advent of molecular techniques and the use of 18S rRNA sequence data have been shown to be effective in determining the phylogenetic relationships of acoels (Hooge et al. 2002). In the present study we demonstrated that the acoel worms inhabiting corals in Eilat’s coral reef are genetically similar and most probably belong to the same species. However, in light of previous phylogenetic studies based on the 18S rRNA sequence data such as the endosymbiotic algae belonging to the genus Symbiodinium and harbored by corals (Rowan & Powers 1991, LaJeunesse 2001), we are aware of the limitations of this tool. Therefore, in the future it may be necessary to use specific primers or a different gene to increase the resolution of the results.

The present study focused on acoel worms from Eilat’s coral reef, which is the northern boundary of coral reef distribution worldwide. Reports of similar worms are accumulating from various locations around the Indo Pacific (Haapylä et al. 2009) and West Pacific (P. Scaps pers. comm.). The results of the present study strengthen the need for a thorough genetic survey of Waminoa and similar epizoic worms along a wider geographic range. Only this way will we be able to resolve the diversity of species, phylogenetic relations, and evolution of these fascinating worms.
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Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree based on 75 18S rRNA gene sequences of acoel worms that were collected from 14 colonies of stony corals belonging to 6 different genera. Numbers in parentheses: total number of similar clones based on ≥97 % identity for each representative sequence. Sequences in bold text represent closely related acoel worms. Main cluster contains sequences 98 to 99 % similar to Waminoa brickneri sequence. The tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987) in the MEGA package (Kumar et al. 2004) using partial sequences of 18S rRNA gene (540 bp). Bar: 10 substitutions per 100 nucleotide positions. Bootstrap probabilities (Felsenstein 1985) indicated at branch nodes. See Table 1 for abbreviations.
script, N. Paz for editorial assistance and the Inter-University Institute in Eilat and the Israel Maritime College in Michmoret for the use of their facilities.

LITERATURE CITED

Barneah O, Brickner I, Hooge M, Weis VM, Benayahu Y (2007b) First evidence of maternal transmission of algal endosymbionts at an oocyte stage in a triploblastic host, with observations on reproduction in Waminoa brickneri (Acoelomorpha). Invertebr Biol 126:113−119

Submitted: September 29, 2008; Accepted: December 7, 2011
Proofs received from author(s): January 19, 2012

Editorial responsibility: Hans Heinrich Janssen, Oldendorf/Luhe, Germany